



Aviation Investigation Final Report

Location:	Yuma, Arizona	Accident Number:	DCA16CA197
Date & Time:	June 28, 2016, 07:43 Local	Registration:	N565AQ
Aircraft:	FACEBOOK UK LTD AQUILA	Aircraft Damage:	Substantial
Defining Event:	Aircraft structural failure	Injuries:	N/A
Flight Conducted Under:	Part 91: General aviation - Flight test		

Analysis

On June 28, 2016, at 0743 mountain standard time, the Facebook Aquila unmanned aircraft, N565AQ, experienced an inflight structural failure on final approach near Yuma, Arizona. The aircraft was substantially damaged. There were no injuries and no ground damage. The flight was conducted under 14 Code of Federal Regulations Part 91 as a test flight, the aircraft did not hold an FAA certificate of airworthiness.

This was the first flight of the full-scale aircraft. The flight launched in restricted airspace from Yuma Proving Ground's (YPG) Site 8 UAV runway. There were no anomalies noted during the 90-minute flight.

According to the operator, at 0704, a simulated landing at 1,250 feet above sea level was performed to test the autoland feature of the autopilot. Autoland is the normal, and only, landing maneuver of the aircraft. The maneuver was executed normally, tracking the centerline and glidepath, and obeying the wave-off command. At the time of the simulated landing, the crew noted that the wind had increased above the intended test limit of 7 knots at flight altitude.

At 0737, the crew commanded a landing to the designated landing site. During the final approach, the aircraft encountered an increasing amount of turbulence and wind speeds of up to 10 knots at the surface and 12 to 18 knots, as measured by the aircraft at flight altitude. The operators post-flight telemetry analysis showed that the aircraft experienced significant deviations in pitch, roll, and airspeed, consistent with turbulence during the final approach.

At 0743, while on final approach at 20 feet above the ground, the right outboard wing experienced a structural failure with a downward deflection. Four seconds later, the aircraft impacted the ground at a groundspeed of 25 knots in an approximately wings-level attitude. The aircraft sustained substantial damage as a result of the impact and wing failure. As a result of the aircrafts design (skid landing gear, low-slung engines and propellers), the operator expected some damage during normal landings.

The operators analysis of available data indicates that the structural failure was likely initiated by a wind gust that lofted the aircraft above the glidepath about 5 seconds prior to failure. The autopilot responded to this gust by lowering the nose of the aircraft to reestablish itself on the glidepath. The airspeed then increased to 28 KIAS from the normal 24 KIAS. As the aircraft descended back onto the glidepath, the autopilot started to deflect the elevons upwards.

The operator determined that the combination of high airspeed, up elevon, and low angle of attack, resulted in increased downward lift (and torsion) on the outer wing panels. This loading exceeded its structural limit and resulted in a downward deformation and failure of the right wing. At the time of the last gust (5 seconds prior to touchdown) the aircraft was near idle power and the inboard propellers were commanded to the windmilling state - the highest drag configuration available to the autopilot.

Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be:

a structural failure of the wing as a result of exceeding the airspeed envelope due to wind gusts which were beyond the capabilities of the autopilot. Contributing to the accident was an insufficient amount of drag to track the glideslope in the presence of atmospheric disturbances.

Findings

Aircraft	Main frame (on wing) - Failure
Environmental issues	Convective turbulence - Effect on equipment
Aircraft	Autopilot computer - Capability exceeded

Factual Information

History of Flight

Approach	Aircraft structural failure (Defining event)
Approach	Turbulence encounter

Pilot Information

Certificate:	Private	Age:	29
Airplane Rating(s):	Single-engine land	Seat Occupied:	Left
Other Aircraft Rating(s):	None	Restraint Used:	
Instrument Rating(s):	None	Second Pilot Present:	Yes
Instructor Rating(s):	None	Toxicology Performed:	No
Medical Certification:	Class 2 With waivers/limitations	Last FAA Medical Exam:	July 28, 2015
Occupational Pilot:	Yes	Last Flight Review or Equivalent:	April 4, 2015
Flight Time:	1688 hours (Total, all aircraft), 2 hours (Total, this make and model), 1609 hours (Pilot In Command, all aircraft), 13 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 2 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft)		

Co-pilot Information

Certificate:	Private	Age:	37
Airplane Rating(s):	Single-engine land	Seat Occupied:	Right
Other Aircraft Rating(s):	None	Restraint Used:	
Instrument Rating(s):	None	Second Pilot Present:	Yes
Instructor Rating(s):	None	Toxicology Performed:	No
Medical Certification:	Class 2 With waivers/limitations	Last FAA Medical Exam:	March 16, 2016
Occupational Pilot:		Last Flight Review or Equivalent:	March 15, 2016
Flight Time:	2263 hours (Total, all aircraft), 28 hours (Total, this make and model), 624 hours (Pilot In Command, all aircraft), 17 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 3 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft), 2 hours (Last 24 hours, all aircraft)		

Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

Aircraft Make:	FACEBOOK UK LTD	Registration:	N565AQ
Model/Series:	AQUILA 1A	Aircraft Category:	Airplane
Year of Manufacture:	2015	Amateur Built:	
Airworthiness Certificate:	None	Serial Number:	F1501
Landing Gear Type:	Skid	Seats:	0
Date/Type of Last Inspection:	June 27, 2016	Certified Max Gross Wt.:	937 lbs
Time Since Last Inspection:		Engines:	4 Electric
Airframe Total Time:	1.5 Hrs at time of accident	Engine Manufacturer:	Joby Motor
ELT:	Not installed	Engine Model/Series:	
Registered Owner:	FCL Tech	Rated Power:	
Operator:	Facebook, Inc.	Operating Certificate(s) Held:	None

Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

Conditions at Accident Site:	Visual (VMC)	Condition of Light:	Day
Observation Facility, Elevation:	N/A	Distance from Accident Site:	0 Nautical Miles
Observation Time:	07:27 Local	Direction from Accident Site:	360°
Lowest Cloud Condition:	Clear	Visibility	
Lowest Ceiling:		Visibility (RVR):	
Wind Speed/Gusts:	10 knots /	Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:	/ Convective
Wind Direction:	180°	Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:	/ Moderate
Altimeter Setting:	29.79 inches Hg	Temperature/Dew Point:	33°C
Precipitation and Obscuration:			
Departure Point:	Yuma, AZ (N/A)	Type of Flight Plan Filed:	None
Destination:	Yuma, AZ (None)	Type of Clearance:	None
Departure Time:	06:07 Local	Type of Airspace:	Restricted area

Airport Information

Airport:	Site 8 YPG None	Runway Surface Type:	Gravel
Airport Elevation:	680 ft msl	Runway Surface Condition:	Dry;Soft
Runway Used:	8	IFR Approach:	None
Runway Length/Width:	500 ft / 500 ft	VFR Approach/Landing:	Full stop;Straight-in

Wreckage and Impact Information

Crew Injuries:	N/A	Aircraft Damage:	Substantial
Passenger Injuries:		Aircraft Fire:	None
Ground Injuries:	N/A	Aircraft Explosion:	None
Total Injuries:	N/A	Latitude, Longitude:	33.099998,-114.400001

Administrative Information

Investigator In Charge (IIC): English, William

Additional Participating Persons:

Original Publish Date: December 16, 2016

Last Revision Date:

Investigation Class: [Class](#)

Note: This accident report documents the factual circumstances of this accident as described to the NTSB.

Investigation Docket: <https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=93514>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).