



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

---

<b>Location:</b>	Cincinnati, Ohio	<b>Accident Number:</b>	CEN15LA303
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	July 5, 2015, 17:40 Local	<b>Registration:</b>	N354BM
<b>Aircraft:</b>	AVIAT AIRCRAFT INC A-1C-180	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Defining Event:</b>	Fuel exhaustion	<b>Injuries:</b>	1 None
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 91: General aviation - Personal		

---

## Analysis

The private pilot was conducting a personal flight. After practicing stop-and-go landings, he departed, turned the airplane to the left, climbed to 1,800 ft mean sea level, reduced power to 40% to 45%, and activated the lean-of-peak assist feature on the engine instrumentation. As he leaned the mixture and watched for the first cylinder to reach peak exhaust gas temperature, a fuel flow sensor malfunction indicator light appeared on the engine instrumentation. The pilot subsequently enriched the mixture, but the sensor malfunction indication continued, and the engine lost power. After attempting to restart the engine, the pilot conducted a forced landing to a golf course. During the landing roll, the right wing tip and right elevator struck a tree, which resulted in structural damage. Examination of the engine, airframe, and fuel system did not reveal any preimpact mechanical malfunctions or failures that would have precluded normal operation. The fuel tanks were not damaged, and there was no evidence of fuel leakage at the accident site. However, only about 2 gallons of fuel were found remaining in the airplane. The 2 gallons were unusable. The engine instrumentation system was powered up, and the "fuel remaining" indicated 17.6 gallons. Per the system pilot's guide, the pilot is required to perform a "first time set up" that includes calibrating the fuel flow transducer. This calibration has a direct input into how the system computes the airplane's remaining fuel. This task was never accomplished, which provided the pilot with an erroneous "fuel remaining" indication. The pilot reported that he did not physically check the wing tank fuel quantity tubes that were in the cockpit or "dip" the fuel tanks to verify fuel quantity. Thus, it is likely that the engine lost power due to fuel exhaustion.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be:

The pilot's inadequate preflight inspection and fuel planning, which resulted in a loss of engine power due to fuel exhaustion.

## Findings

<b>Aircraft</b>	Fuel - Fluid management
<b>Personnel issues</b>	Fuel planning - Pilot
<b>Aircraft</b>	Fuel - Fluid level
<b>Environmental issues</b>	Tree(s) - Contributed to outcome

## Factual Information

### History of Flight

<b>Prior to flight</b>	Aircraft inspection event
<b>Maneuvering</b>	Fuel exhaustion (Defining event)
<b>Maneuvering</b>	Attempted remediation/recovery
<b>Emergency descent</b>	Off-field or emergency landing
<b>Emergency descent</b>	Collision with terr/obj (non-CFIT)

*\*\*\*This report was modified on February 20, 2020. Please see the docket for this accident to view the original report.\*\*\**

On July 5, 2015, about 1740 eastern daylight time, an Aviat Aircraft Inc., A1C-180 Husky airplane, N354BM, was substantially damaged during a forced landing following a loss of engine power near Cincinnati, Ohio. The private pilot was not injured. The airplane was registered to and operated by the pilot under the provisions of Title 14 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 91 as a personal flight. Visual meteorological conditions prevailed and a flight plan was not filed. The flight originated from the Clermont County Airport (I69), Batavia, Ohio, at 1730, and its destination was the Cincinnati Municipal Airport (LUK), Cincinnati, Ohio.

The pilot reported that after practicing stop and go short field landings at I69, the pilot departed for LUK. After departure, the pilot turned the airplane to the left, climbed to 1,800 ft mean sea level (about 950 ft above ground level), reduced power to 40% to 45% for slow flight, and activated the Lean-of-Peak assist feature of the JPI EDM930 Engine Instrument. As he leaned the mixture and watched for the first cylinder to reach peak exhaust gas temperature, a Fuel Flow Sensor malfunction indicator light appeared on the EDM930. The pilot subsequently enriched the mixture, but the sensor malfunction indication continued, and the engine lost power. After attempting engine restart procedures and applying carburetor heat, the engine did not respond, so the pilot set up for a forced landing to a golf course. During the landing roll, the right wing tip and right elevator struck a tree resulting in structural damage. The airplane came to rest upright and the pilot evacuated uninjured.

According to a Federal Aviation Administration inspector, examination of the engine and airframe at the accident site did not reveal any mechanical or fuel delivery problems. All engine controls were functioning properly and oil quantity was normal. Additionally, the fuel tanks were not damaged and there was no indication of fuel leaking at the accident site. The aircraft was equipped with two 26-gallon (25 usable) fuel tanks, one in each wing. According to the pilot, the aircraft burned about 10 gallons per hour and the last amount of fuel put on the aircraft was 13.4 gallons, the day before the accident. The aircraft accumulated about 3.1 hours of flight time since the refueling.

At the accident site, the JPI EDM930 system was powered up and the "fuel remaining" indicated 17.6 gallons. Per the EDM 930 pilot's guide, the pilot is required to perform a "first time set up" which

includes calibrating the fuel flow transducer. This calibration has a direct input into how the EDM computes the aircraft's remaining fuel. This task was never accomplished, which provided the pilot with an erroneous "fuel remaining" indication. After the fuel was drained from the left wing, approximately 2 gallons were recovered from the tanks. The pilot reported that he did not physically check the wing tank fuel quantity tubes that were in the cockpit, or "dip" the fuel tanks to verify fuel quantity. Also, the pilot stated that he was "preoccupied" with trying to obtain the "rich to peak" fuel burn on the EDM930 while the engine began to lose power, and he may not have noticed the engine was losing power until it actually quit.

### Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Private	<b>Age:</b>	58
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	Single-engine land	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Front
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Restraint Used:</b>	5-point
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	Airplane	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	No
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	No
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	Class 3 With waivers/limitations	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	May 14, 2013
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>	No	<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	July 13, 2013
<b>Flight Time:</b>	1015.5 hours (Total, all aircraft), 517.5 hours (Total, this make and model), 907.5 hours (Pilot In Command, all aircraft), 18.6 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 7.5 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft), 3.5 hours (Last 24 hours, all aircraft)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Make:</b>	AVIAT AIRCRAFT INC	<b>Registration:</b>	N354BM
<b>Model/Series:</b>	A-1C-180 UNDESIGNAT	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Airplane
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>	2011	<b>Amateur Built:</b>	
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Normal	<b>Serial Number:</b>	3132
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Tailwheel	<b>Seats:</b>	2
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	June 6, 2014 Annual	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	2200 lbs
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>		<b>Engines:</b>	1 Reciprocating
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>	561 Hrs at time of accident	<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	LYCOMING
<b>ELT:</b>	C91 installed, not activated	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	O-360-A1P
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	On file	<b>Rated Power:</b>	180 Horsepower
<b>Operator:</b>	On file	<b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b>	None

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

<b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>	Visual (VMC)	<b>Condition of Light:</b>	Day
<b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b>	169,843 ft msl	<b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>	4 Nautical Miles
<b>Observation Time:</b>	17:30 Local	<b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>	235°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Clear	<b>Visibility</b>	10 miles
<b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>	Overcast / 12000 ft AGL	<b>Visibility (RVR):</b>	
<b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>	/	<b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>	/ None
<b>Wind Direction:</b>		<b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Altimeter Setting:</b>	30.07 inches Hg	<b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>	24°C / 18°C
<b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>			
<b>Departure Point:</b>	Clermont, OH (I69 )	<b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>	None
<b>Destination:</b>	Cincinnati, OH (LUK )	<b>Type of Clearance:</b>	None
<b>Departure Time:</b>	17:30 Local	<b>Type of Airspace:</b>	Class G

## Wreckage and Impact Information

<b>Crew Injuries:</b>	1 None	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Passenger Injuries:</b>		<b>Aircraft Fire:</b>	None
<b>Ground Injuries:</b>	N/A	<b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>	None
<b>Total Injuries:</b>	1 None	<b>Latitude, Longitude:</b>	39.031944,-84.272499

## Administrative Information

<b>Investigator In Charge (IIC):</b>	Lemishko, Alexander
<b>Additional Participating Persons:</b>	Michael Bloom; FAA FSDO; Cincinnati, OH
<b>Original Publish Date:</b>	April 13, 2020
<b>Last Revision Date:</b>	
<b>Investigation Class:</b>	<a href="#">Class</a>
<b>Note:</b>	The NTSB did not travel to the scene of this accident.
<b>Investigation Docket:</b>	<a href="https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=91539">https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=91539</a>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).