



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

<b>Location:</b>	Fallon, Nevada	<b>Accident Number:</b>	WPR13LA321
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	July 10, 2013, 04:45 Local	<b>Registration:</b>	N517DJ
<b>Aircraft:</b>	RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT COMPANY A36	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Defining Event:</b>	Loss of engine power (total)	<b>Injuries:</b>	4 Minor
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 135: Air taxi & commuter - Non-scheduled		

## Analysis

The pilot reported that, during climbout, when the airplane was about 1,000 ft above ground level, the engine lost power. The pilot subsequently maneuvered the airplane to attempt to land on the departure runway. The airplane touched down short of the runway in rough, desert terrain, which resulted in substantial damage to the wings, fuselage, and empennage.

A postaccident engine examination revealed that the No. 5 connecting rod cap and one of its two bolts had fractured midlength; the opposite bolt was intact with the nut still fastened. Metallurgical examination revealed that the No. 5 connecting rod had failed due to a fatigue crack that had initiated on the outer surface of the nut-facing boss. The crack propagated inward until the final cross-section of the connecting rod yoke failed in overstress, which then catastrophically breeched the left side of the engine case. The fatigue crack did not show any material imperfections; however, the surface finish of the boss where the fatigue crack initiated was consistent with it having been shot peened. Although shot peening is performed to impart compressive stresses to prevent fatigue crack initiation, excessive peening times may sufficiently alter the surface to create stress concentrators. However, the configuration of the fractured rod yoke precluded any accurate surface roughness measurements and, therefore, it could not be determined if improper surface modification had occurred.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be:

A fatigue failure of the No. 5 connecting rod, which resulted in a catastrophic engine failure during the initial climb and a subsequent forced landing.

## Findings

<b>Aircraft</b>	Recip engine power section - Fatigue/wear/corrosion
<b>Aircraft</b>	Recip engine power section - Failure
<b>Environmental issues</b>	Rough terrain - Contributed to outcome

## Factual Information

### History of Flight

<b>Initial climb</b>	Loss of engine power (total) (Defining event)
<b>Emergency descent</b>	Collision with terr/obj (non-CFIT)
<b>Landing</b>	Collision with terr/obj (non-CFIT)

On July 10, 2013, about 0445 Pacific daylight time (PDT), a Raytheon Aircraft Company, A36, N517DJ, experienced a catastrophic engine failure during initial climb out, resulting in an off airport landing near Fallon Municipal Airport (FLX), Fallon, Nevada. Silver Sage Aviation was operating the airplane under the provisions of 14 *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 135. The commercial pilot and three passengers sustained minor injuries; the airplane sustained substantial damage. The cross-country business flight was departing Fallon, with a planned destination of Dixie Valley, Nevada. Night visual meteorological conditions prevailed, and a visual flight rules (VFR) flight plan had been filed.

The pilot reported that during climb out about 1,000 feet above ground level the airplane engine lost power, and he attempted to return to FLX. He was unable to make it, and made a forced landing in the desert adjacent to FLX.

The airplane sustained substantial damage to the wings, fuselage, and empennage.

### Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Commercial	<b>Age:</b>	65
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	Single-engine land; Multi-engine land	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Left
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Restraint Used:</b>	
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	Airplane	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	No
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	No
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	Class 2 Without waivers/limitations	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	January 10, 2013
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>	Yes	<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	October 1, 2012
<b>Flight Time:</b>	10000 hours (Total, all aircraft), 9000 hours (Total, this make and model), 116 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 33 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft), 6 hours (Last 24 hours, all aircraft)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Make:</b>	RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT COMPANY	<b>Registration:</b>	N517DJ
<b>Model/Series:</b>	A36	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Airplane
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>		<b>Amateur Built:</b>	
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Normal	<b>Serial Number:</b>	E-3075
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Retractable - Tricycle	<b>Seats:</b>	6
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	June 26, 2013 100 hour	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	4000 lbs
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>	18 Hrs	<b>Engines:</b>	1 Reciprocating
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>	1294 Hrs at time of accident	<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	CONT MOTOR
<b>ELT:</b>	Installed, not activated	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	IO-550 SERIES
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	Dan Urquhart	<b>Rated Power:</b>	300 Horsepower
<b>Operator:</b>	Dan Urquhart	<b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b>	On-demand air taxi (135)
<b>Operator Does Business As:</b>	Silver Sage Aviation	<b>Operator Designator Code:</b>	UQ8A

The airplane was a Beech A36, serial number E-3075. The operator reported that at the time of the accident, the airplane had a total airframe time of 1,294 hours. The logbooks contained an entry for an annual inspection dated June 26, 2013. The tachometer read 1,280.1 hours at the last inspection. The tachometer read 1,298.7 hours at the accident scene.

The engine was a Continental Motors IO-550, serial number 281690-R. Total time recorded on the engine at the last 100-hour inspection was 5,364.9 hours, and time since major overhaul was 282.3 hours.

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

<b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>	Visual (VMC)	<b>Condition of Light:</b>	Night
<b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b>	NFL,3934 ft msl	<b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>	5 Nautical Miles
<b>Observation Time:</b>	04:56 Local	<b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>	300°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Clear	<b>Visibility</b>	10 miles
<b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>	None	<b>Visibility (RVR):</b>	
<b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>	/ None	<b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Wind Direction:</b>		<b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Altimeter Setting:</b>	30.12 inches Hg	<b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>	16°C / 2°C
<b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
<b>Departure Point:</b>	Fallon, NV (FLX )	<b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>	VFR
<b>Destination:</b>	Dixie Vally, NV (NV30)	<b>Type of Clearance:</b>	None
<b>Departure Time:</b>		<b>Type of Airspace:</b>	

## Airport Information

<b>Airport:</b>	Fallon Municipal Airport FLX	<b>Runway Surface Type:</b>	Asphalt
<b>Airport Elevation:</b>	3966 ft msl	<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	Dry;Rough
<b>Runway Used:</b>	03	<b>IFR Approach:</b>	None
<b>Runway Length/Width:</b>	5703 ft / 75 ft	<b>VFR Approach/Landing:</b>	None

## Wreckage and Impact Information

<b>Crew Injuries:</b>	1 Minor	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Passenger Injuries:</b>	3 Minor	<b>Aircraft Fire:</b>	None
<b>Ground Injuries:</b>	N/A	<b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>	None
<b>Total Injuries:</b>	4 Minor	<b>Latitude, Longitude:</b>	39.499168,-118.748886(est)

The airplane came to rest approximately 600 feet from the departure end of runway 03. The accident site was flat desert terrain.

The wreckage was documented at the accident site and recovered.

During recovery of the airplane, it was noted that there was a breach of the engine case adjacent to the

number five cylinder.

## Tests and Research

---

Investigators examined the wreckage at Air Transport, Phoenix, Arizona, on July 30, 2013.

The airframe was examined with no mechanical anomalies identified.

The engine examination revealed that the number five connecting rod cap and one of the bolts had fractured at midlength, whereas, the opposite bolt was intact with the nut still fastened. The resulting failure continued with a catastrophic breach to the left side of the engine case between the number six and four cylinders.

There were no indications of any oil starvation in the engine.

There was no assembly discrepancies noted during the examination.

The engine was able to be rotated, and continuity was established from the front of the engine to the rear.

The airplane was equipped with a JPI 800 engine monitoring system. The unit was removed from the airplane to be sent to the NTSB laboratory for download. The report indicated no abnormalities noted prior to the engine failure.

The number five and number six connecting rods, rod end caps, and bolts were sent to the NTSB materials laboratory for further examination.

The factual report of the NTSB materials laboratory examination of the engine components is in the accident docket.

Examination revealed that the fracture surfaces were a result of fatigue failure of the number five connecting rod.

## Administrative Information

**Investigator In Charge (IIC):** Jones, Patrick

**Additional Participating Persons:** Larry Zloczewski; Federal Aviation Administration; Reno, CA  
Mike Council; Continental Motors, Inc.; Mobile, AL

**Original Publish Date:** August 11, 2015

**Last Revision Date:**

**Investigation Class:** [Class](#)

**Note:**

**Investigation Docket:** <https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=87437>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).