



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

---

<b>Location:</b>	Chicago, Illinois	<b>Incident Number:</b>	CEN111A649
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	September 17, 2011, 10:45 Local	<b>Registration:</b>	C-FGYL
<b>Aircraft:</b>	Airbus Industrie A320-211	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Minor
<b>Defining Event:</b>	Sys/Comp malf/fail (non-power)	<b>Injuries:</b>	140 None
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 129: Foreign		

---

## Analysis

The first officer's (FO) windshield cracked during cruise flight. The crack subsequently progressed into multiple multidirectional cracks that obscured the FO's view through the window. The flight crew was unable to determine the severity of the cracks and diverted without further incident. A postincident examination of the windshield revealed an area of arcing located along the electrical bus bar at the lower edge of the windshield, near the forward corner. This location coincided with the area in which the power and sensing element wires were routed around the structural glass plies. The area of arcing was surrounded by a cloudy and degraded interlayer, which was consistent with the presence of moisture. A section of the moisture seal was worn and appeared to have been repaired, resulting in moisture ingress. The moisture degraded the interlayer and electrical system resulting in a discontinuity that led to electrical arcing and failure of the heating system causing the windshield cracking. The latest windshield revisions, with part numbers NP-165331-1/-2, are available and include enhancements that reduce the potential for moisture ingress and its subsequent effects on the electrical system.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this incident to be: The infiltration of moisture into the windshield heating layer that induced arcing in the heating system that subsequently cracked the windshield.

## Findings

---

<b>Aircraft</b>	Flight compartment windows - Failure
<b>Aircraft</b>	Flight compartment windows - Design

## Factual Information

### History of Flight

#### Enroute-cruise

Sys/Comp malf/fail (non-power) (Defining event)

On September 17, 2011, about 1045 central daylight time, an Airbus Industrie A320, C-FGYL, sustained minor damage when the copilot's window cracked during cruise at flight level 340 northwest of Chicago, Illinois. The flight crew declared an emergency, and diverted to the Chicago O'Hare International Airport (ORD), Chicago, Illinois, landing about 1113 without further incident. There were no injuries to the 2 flight crewmembers, 4 cabin crewmembers, and 134 passengers. The airplane was registered to GECAS and the flight was operated by Air Canada as flight 791, under the provisions of 14 Code of Federal Regulations Part 129 as a scheduled international passenger flight. Day visual flight rules conditions prevailed for the flight, which operated on an activated instrument flight rules flight plan. The flight originated from the Toronto Pearson International Airport, near Toronto, Ontario, Canada, about 0940, and was destined for the Los Angeles International Airport, near Los Angeles, California.

The operator's incident report stated an 18-inch long crack developed in the first officer's (FO) windshield, extending from the left bottom corner to the top right corner, during cruise flight. The crack subsequently progressed into multiple multi directional cracks that obscured the FO's view through the window. The flight crew was unable to determine the severity of the cracks and how many plies were affected. The flight crew complied with the quick reference handbook guidance and diverted to ORD. The flight crew performed an overweight landing and taxied the airplane to the gate.

The incident windshield, part number NP-165311-8 with serial number 07169H9453; CA122417, was made from laminated glass. The layers, from the outboard surface to the inboard surface; included a Herculite II glass layer coated with a Nesatron anti-ice system and its associated conductive bus bar, a urethane interlayer, a vinyl interlayer, a urethane interlayer, a Herculite II glass layer, a vinyl interlayer, and a Herculite II glass layer.

A NTSB aircraft structures and maintenance specialist oversaw a postincident examination of the windshield at PPG Industries, Inc., near Huntsville, Alabama, on March 9, 2012. The examination revealed that the fracture origin was located at the center of the windshield. An area of arcing was located along the electrical bus bar at the lower edge of the windshield, near the forward corner. This location coincided with the area in which the power and sensing element wires were routed around the structural glass plies. This area of arcing was surrounded by a cloudy and degraded interlayer, which was consistent with the presence of moisture. The moisture seal was worn and the moisture seal upper edge had been repaired. Appearance of the moisture seal's forward and lower edges was consistent with a factory condition.

The latest windshield revisions produced by PPG Aerospace, with part numbers NP-165331-1/-2, are now available and include enhancements that reduce moisture ingress and its subsequent effects on the electrical system.

According to the Accredited Representative from the Bureau d'Enquêtes et d'Analyses (BEA), Airbus had issued a Flight Operation Telex (FOT) on May 25, 2011, to inform operators about the issuance of a revised Cockpit Windshield/ Window Cracked operational procedure in case of a cockpit window cracking. The new procedure asked the crew to check if the inner ply is affected by the crack by using either a pen or finger nail. If the inner ply is not cracked, based on the fail safe design, the flight crew can continue the flight, without other restriction.

### Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Airline transport	<b>Age:</b>	50, Male
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	Multi-engine land	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Left
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>		<b>Restraint Used:</b>	
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	Airplane	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	Yes
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>		<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	No
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	Class 1 Without waivers/limitations	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	August 17, 2011
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>	Yes	<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	May 17, 2011
<b>Flight Time:</b>	10809 hours (Total, all aircraft)		

### Co-pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Airline transport	<b>Age:</b>	38, Male
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	Multi-engine land	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Right
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>		<b>Restraint Used:</b>	
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	Airplane	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	Yes
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>		<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	No
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	Class 1 Without waivers/limitations	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	January 14, 2011
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>	Yes	<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	August 7, 2011
<b>Flight Time:</b>	5419 hours (Total, all aircraft)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Make:</b>	Airbus Industrie	<b>Registration:</b>	C-FGYL
<b>Model/Series:</b>	A320-211	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Airplane
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>		<b>Amateur Built:</b>	
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Transport	<b>Serial Number:</b>	MSN 254
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Retractable - Tricycle	<b>Seats:</b>	147
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	September 16, 2011 AAIP	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	169500 lbs
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>		<b>Engines:</b>	2 Turbo fan
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>	49660 Hrs at time of accident	<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	CFM
<b>ELT:</b>	Installed, not activated	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	56-5A
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	GECAS	<b>Rated Power:</b>	
<b>Operator:</b>	AIR CANADA	<b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b>	Foreign air carrier (129)
<b>Operator Does Business As:</b>		<b>Operator Designator Code:</b>	ARNF

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

<b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>	Visual (VMC)	<b>Condition of Light:</b>	Day
<b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b>	ORD,672 ft msl	<b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>	93 Nautical Miles
<b>Observation Time:</b>	10:51 Local	<b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>	130°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Few / 4200 ft AGL	<b>Visibility</b>	10 miles
<b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>	None	<b>Visibility (RVR):</b>	
<b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>	5 knots /	<b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Wind Direction:</b>		<b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Altimeter Setting:</b>	30.3 inches Hg	<b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>	17°C / 6°C
<b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
<b>Departure Point:</b>	Toronto (CYYZ)	<b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>	IFR
<b>Destination:</b>	Los Angeles, CA (LAX )	<b>Type of Clearance:</b>	IFR
<b>Departure Time:</b>	09:40 Local	<b>Type of Airspace:</b>	

## Airport Information

<b>Airport:</b>	Chicago O'Hare IAP ORD	<b>Runway Surface Type:</b>	Asphalt;Concrete
<b>Airport Elevation:</b>	672 ft msl	<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	Unknown
<b>Runway Used:</b>	14R	<b>IFR Approach:</b>	Unknown
<b>Runway Length/Width:</b>	9685 ft / 200 ft	<b>VFR Approach/Landing:</b>	Precautionary landing

## Wreckage and Impact Information

<b>Crew Injuries:</b>	6 None	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Minor
<b>Passenger Injuries:</b>	134 None	<b>Aircraft Fire:</b>	None
<b>Ground Injuries:</b>		<b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>	None
<b>Total Injuries:</b>	140 None	<b>Latitude, Longitude:</b>	41.978297,-87.910773(est)

## Administrative Information

<b>Investigator In Charge (IIC):</b>	Malinowski, Edward
<b>Additional Participating Persons:</b>	Robert Hendrickson; Federal Aviation Administration; Washington, DC David McNair; Transportation Safety Board of Canada; Gatineau, QB, Canada Stirling Macfarlane; PPG Industries, Inc.; Huntsville, AL Alain Agnesetti; French Bureau d' Enquetes et d'Analyses (BEA); Paris, France
<b>Original Publish Date:</b>	November 7, 2012
<b>Last Revision Date:</b>	
<b>Investigation Class:</b>	<a href="#">Class</a>
<b>Note:</b>	
<b>Investigation Docket:</b>	<a href="https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=81818">https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=81818</a>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).