



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

---

|                                |                                      |                         |             |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| <b>Location:</b>               | Bennett, Colorado                    | <b>Accident Number:</b> | CEN11LA164  |
| <b>Date &amp; Time:</b>        | January 30, 2011, 05:58 Local        | <b>Registration:</b>    | N787CB      |
| <b>Aircraft:</b>               | CIRRUS DESIGN CORP SR22              | <b>Aircraft Damage:</b> | Substantial |
| <b>Defining Event:</b>         | Loss of control in flight            | <b>Injuries:</b>        | 1 Minor     |
| <b>Flight Conducted Under:</b> | Part 91: General aviation - Personal |                         |             |

---

## Analysis

The pilot reported that he was practicing night instrument approaches in visual flight rules conditions. During the accident approach, he said he was in a right turn and had turned his head to the right to look at some instrumentation. He felt the airplane accelerate and looked at his flight displays, which indicated he was in an extreme unusual attitude, possibly inverted. He attempted to recover from the unusual attitude but realized that he had severe vertigo and spatial disorientation, so he activated the airplane's ballistic parachute recovery system. After the parachute deployed, the airplane struck terrain in a nose low attitude, sustaining substantial damage. Postaccident inspection of the airplane disclosed no preimpact mechanical problems with the airplane. Federal Aviation Administration guidance indicates, that if neither horizon nor surface references exist, the attitude of an airplane must be determined by artificial means from the flight instruments. However, during periods of low visibility and night conditions, the supporting senses sometimes conflict with what is seen; when this happens, a pilot is particularly vulnerable to disorientation.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be: The pilot's failure to maintain control of the airplane during an instrument approach due to spatial disorientation.

## Findings

---

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| <b>Personnel issues</b>     | Spatial disorientation - Pilot                |
| <b>Environmental issues</b> | Dark - Contributed to outcome                 |
| <b>Aircraft</b>             | Directional control - Not attained/maintained |

## Factual Information

### History of Flight

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Approach</b>             | Loss of control in flight (Defining event) |
| <b>Uncontrolled descent</b> | Collision with terr/obj (non-CFIT)         |

On January 30, 2011, about 0558 Mountain Standard Time, a Cirrus SR-22, N787CB, sustained substantial damage after impacting terrain near Bennett, Colorado, following the activation of the Cirrus Airframe Parachute System (CAPS). The airplane was registered to Fitch Bergner Aviation LLC, and operated by the pilot. The personal flight was conducted under the provisions of 14 Code of Federal Regulations Part 91, without a flight plan. Dark night, visual meteorological conditions prevailed at the time of the accident. The solo private pilot reported minor injuries. The local flight originated from the Centennial Airport (APA), Denver, Colorado.

According to the pilot, he was practicing night instrument approaches at Front Range Airport (FTG). He received air traffic control clearance to fly a practice GPS 35 approach at FTG under his own navigation from the "AVNEW" intersection, which is the initial approach fix. Upon reaching AVNEW, he initiated a right turn toward the next approach fix (HRMER intersection). During the right turn, the pilot stated that he looked to his right to cross check the GPS and set up the autopilot for a coupled approach. He felt the airplane start to accelerate rapidly, and he looked back to the Primary Flight Display (PFD) which was "showing all brown with no sky and 6-7 chevrons, indicating a severe unusual attitude." He tried to correct the unusual attitude, but said that he had severe vertigo, and was unable to regain control of the airplane. He elected to deploy the ballistic recovery parachute, and the aircraft impacted terrain in a nose low attitude in a creek bed.

The nearest weather reporting facility to the accident site is FTG. The 0555 surface observation at FTG recorded: wind 220 degrees at 3 knots; 10 statute miles visibility; sky condition clear; temperature zero degrees Celsius (C); dew point minus four degrees C; altimeter 29.92 inches of mercury. Sunrise was at 0708 MST.

Examination of the airplane at the accident site by the NTSB investigator-in-charge (IIC) revealed that the front cowling was crushed aft and the engine firewall had impact damage and buckling. A postaccident examination of the airplane was done by representatives of the airplane manufacturer and the engine manufacturer, under the direction of the NTSB. No anomalies consistent with a preimpact failure or malfunction associated with the airframe or engine were observed.

The airplane was equipped with Avidyne Entegra Primary Flight Display (PFD) and Multi-Function Displays (MFD). This equipment displays flight and navigational data to the pilot, and also records data regarding airplane pitch, roll, airspeed, altitude, heading, acceleration forces,

GPS position, and engine data. The PFD and MFD were removed from the airplane, and sent to the NTSB's Vehicle Recorder Division for download. The downloaded data showed that, just prior to the accident, the airplane went through a series pitch and roll oscillations, with maximum pitch values of approximately 26 degrees nose up, and 75 degrees nose down. The airplane reached maximum roll values of approximately 83 degrees right wing down, and 120 degrees left wing down. The maximum indicated airspeed, which occurred just prior to the parachute deployment, was 190 knots. This data corroborates the pilot's description of events.

### Pilot Information

|                                  |  |  |                   |
|----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|
| <b>Certificate:</b>              | Private  | <b>Age:</b>                              | 60, Male          |
| <b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>       | Single-engine land; Multi-engine land  | <b>Seat Occupied:</b>                    | Left              |
| <b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b> | None   | <b>Restraint Used:</b>                   |                   |
| <b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>     | Airplane   | <b>Second Pilot Present:</b>             | No                |
| <b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>     | None   | <b>Toxicology Performed:</b>             | No                |
| <b>Medical Certification:</b>    | Class 3 With waivers/limitations   | <b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>            | November 1, 2010  |
| <b>Occupational Pilot:</b>       | No   | <b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b> | December 22, 2010 |
| <b>Flight Time:</b>              | 1740 hours (Total, all aircraft), 1042 hours (Total, this make and model), 1715 hours (Pilot In Command, all aircraft), 18 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 2 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft), 1 hours (Last 24 hours, all aircraft) |  |                   |

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

|                                      |   |                                       |                 |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>Aircraft Make:</b>                | CIRRUS DESIGN CORP  | <b>Registration:</b>                  | N787CB          |
| <b>Model/Series:</b>                 | SR22  | <b>Aircraft Category:</b>             | Airplane        |
| <b>Year of Manufacture:</b>          |   | <b>Amateur Built:</b>                 |                 |
| <b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>    | Normal  | <b>Serial Number:</b>                 | 0787            |
| <b>Landing Gear Type:</b>            | Tricycle  | <b>Seats:</b>                         | 4               |
| <b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b> | October 12, 2010  | <b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>       | 3400 lbs        |
| <b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>   |   | <b>Engines:</b>                       | 1 Reciprocating |
| <b>Airframe Total Time:</b>          | 1754 Hrs at time of accident                                | <b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>           | CONT MOTOR      |
| <b>ELT:</b>                          | C126 installed, activated, did not aid in locating accident | <b>Engine Model/Series:</b>           | IO-550 SERIES   |
| <b>Registered Owner:</b>             | On file   | <b>Rated Power:</b>                   | 300 Horsepower  |
| <b>Operator:</b>                     | On file   | <b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b> | None            |

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

|   |                      |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| <b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>     | Visual (VMC)         | <b>Condition of Light:</b>                  | Night/dark           |
| <b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b> | KFTG,5122 ft msl     | <b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>         | 1526 Nautical Miles  |
| <b>Observation Time:</b>                | 05:55 Local          | <b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>        | 340°                 |
| <b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>          | Clear                | <b>Visibility</b>                           | 10 miles             |
| <b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>                  | None                 | <b>Visibility (RVR):</b>                    |                      |
| <b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>                | 3 knots /            | <b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>     | /                    |
| <b>Wind Direction:</b>                  | 220°                 | <b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b> | /                    |
| <b>Altimeter Setting:</b>               | 29.9 inches Hg       | <b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>               | 0°C / -4°C           |
| <b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>   |                      |   |                      |
| <b>Departure Point:</b>                 | Englewood, CO (APA ) | <b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>           | None                 |
| <b>Destination:</b>                     | Watkins, CO (FTG )   | <b>Type of Clearance:</b>                   | VFR flight following |
| <b>Departure Time:</b>                  | 05:30 Local          | <b>Type of Airspace:</b>                    |                      |

## Airport Information

|                             |                         |                                  |                           |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Airport:</b>             | Front Range Airport FTG | <b>Runway Surface Type:</b>      |                           |
| <b>Airport Elevation:</b>   | 5512 ft msl             | <b>Runway Surface Condition:</b> |                           |
| <b>Runway Used:</b>         |                         | <b>IFR Approach:</b>             | Global positioning system |
| <b>Runway Length/Width:</b> |                         | <b>VFR Approach/Landing:</b>     | None                      |

## Wreckage and Impact Information

|                            |         |                             |                       |
|----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Crew Injuries:</b>      | 1 Minor | <b>Aircraft Damage:</b>     | Substantial           |
| <b>Passenger Injuries:</b> |         | <b>Aircraft Fire:</b>       | None                  |
| <b>Ground Injuries:</b>    | N/A     | <b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>  | None                  |
| <b>Total Injuries:</b>     | 1 Minor | <b>Latitude, Longitude:</b> | 39.543609,-104.431663 |

## Administrative Information

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Investigator In Charge (IIC):</b>     | Shaver, Christopher   |
| <b>Additional Participating Persons:</b> | James J Muldoon; FAA FSDO; Denver, CO<br>Brannon Meyer; Cirrus Aircraft; Duluth, MN<br>Rodney Martinez; Teledyne Continental Motors; Mobile, AL |
| <b>Original Publish Date:</b>            | November 17, 2011   |
| <b>Last Revision Date:</b>               |   |
| <b>Investigation Class:</b>              | <a href="#">Class</a>   |
| <b>Note:</b>                             |   |
| <b>Investigation Docket:</b>             | <a href="https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=78243">https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=78243</a>   |

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).