



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

---

<b>Location:</b>	Highgate, Vermont	<b>Accident Number:</b>	IAD05LA085
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	June 26, 2005, 13:00 Local	<b>Registration:</b>	UNREG
<b>Aircraft:</b>	Martel Air Command 532Elite	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Defining Event:</b>		<b>Injuries:</b>	1 Fatal
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 91: General aviation - Personal		

---

## Analysis

The pilot purchased the used gyroplane about 2 weeks prior to the accident. After assembling it, and 2 days before the accident, he conducted a series of touch and go landings, or "crow hops" along a runway. The day before the accident flight was his first flight. During the accident flight, a main rotor blade "folded," and the gyroplane descended nose first into wooded terrain. Examination of the wreckage revealed airframe and rotor blade marks, consistent with retreating rotor blade stall, which could have resulted from either pilot input or "a sharp downdraft." Winds, about the time of the accident, at an airport 25 nautical miles to the south, were 7 knots, with no wind gusts reported. The make and model of gyroplane was known to be unstable, and the pilot was at least aware of a recommended upgrade kit to enhance stability, but had not installed it. The pilot was not rated in gyroplanes, and had spoken to a flight instructor about training, but then decided to self-train. The pilot also did not possess a repairman's certificate for the gyroplane.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be: The pilot's improper cyclic input, which resulted in a retreating rotor blade stall, and its subsequent impact with the propeller. Also causal, was the pilot's failure to acquire proper training. A factor was the pilot's decision not to have a stability upgrade kit installed prior to flying the gyroplane.

## Findings

---

Occurrence #1: LOSS OF CONTROL - IN FLIGHT

Phase of Operation: CRUISE

### Findings

1. (C) AIRCRAFT HANDLING - IMPROPER - PILOT IN COMMAND
2. (C) INADEQUATE TRAINING - PILOT IN COMMAND
3. RETREATING BLADE STALL - PILOT IN COMMAND
4. (F) MAINTENANCE,MODIFICATION - NOT PERFORMED - PILOT IN COMMAND
5. ROTOR SYSTEM,MAIN ROTOR BLADE - BLADE STRIKE

-----

Occurrence #2: IN FLIGHT COLLISION WITH TERRAIN/WATER

Phase of Operation: DESCENT - UNCONTROLLED

### Findings

6. TERRAIN CONDITION - GROUND

## Factual Information

On June 26, 2005, about 1300 eastern daylight time, an amateur-built, unregistered Air Command 532 Elite gyroplane was substantially damaged when it impacted trees and terrain in Highgate, Vermont. The certificated private pilot was fatally injured. Visual meteorological conditions prevailed, and no flight plan had been filed for the local flight, which originated at Franklin County State Airport (FSO), Highgate, Vermont. The personal flight was conducted under 14 CFR Part 91.

According to a Vermont State Police report, the pilot's daughter stated that he had purchased the gyroplane (used) about 2 weeks before the accident. As far as she knew, the pilot assembled it himself, and was thinking about modifying it, but had not done so by the time of the accident. She also noted that the pilot was not taking any lessons, and that he was learning to fly it on his own.

Another pilot confirmed with police that the accident pilot had purchased the gyroplane about 2 weeks previously. On June 24, 2006, the accident pilot performed touch and go landings, or "crow hops," along the runway, and on the day before the accident, the accident pilot flew the gyroplane for the first time.

On the day of the accident, a local sheriff was at the airport when he observed the gyroplane, about 800 feet in the air, with "some type of mechanical failure." He then saw the gyroplane "go straight down into [a] heavily wooded area."

Another witness saw the gyroplane take off, and about 500 feet, the "the blade folded," and the gyroplane "went down."

A third witness noticed "the propeller not working," before the gyroplane "went straight down with the front end first," while a fourth witness thought "the rotor was barely moving" before seeing the gyroplane descend vertically.

According to a Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) inspector, the wreckage was located about 1 mile east of the runway, in wooded terrain. Fuel was found at the scene and in the fuel filter, and the propeller was hand-turned "without difficulty."

According to an FAA-approved basic flight instructor (BFI), the accident pilot had phoned him and asked about lessons, as he was buying a gyroplane. The flight instructor was familiar with the gyroplane, as he had seen it operated at fly-ins by the original owner. He urged the accident pilot to convert it to the manufacturer's then-current approved configuration, using an upgrade kit the manufacturer sold. The flight instructor understood that the accident pilot had acquired the upgrade kit, but elected to fly prior to installing it. The kit lengthened the tail

boom and raised the seat to bring the center of gravity in line with the propeller thrust line. The instructor knew of no similar accidents in the upgraded version of the gyroplane, "in contrast to a dozen or more accidents similar to this one in the unmodified originals."

The flight instructor further stated that he was familiar with the accident model of gyroplane because he had owned and flew an earlier model for a number of years. His was lighter, simpler, and a lower-powered version, but its center of gravity was 5-6 inches below the propeller thrust line. He put 300-400 hours on it, but "eventually grounded it because of its pitch stability problems. These qualities made it very unpleasant to fly in even routine thermal turbulence."

The flight instructor also stated to the FAA inspector that the accident model was "very unstable in the best of hands," and that he understood how a novice could have difficulty flying one.

The flight instructor subsequently had an opportunity to examine parts of the wreckage. According to his observations, the vertical main rotor spindle that was attached to a ring gear was bent 25-30 degrees to the left. The ring gear, which spun above the spindle and was normally in a plane parallel to the top surface of the torque bar, was tilted to the left of the top surface of the torque bar.

There were also deep gouges on the front end of the torque bar, which corresponded with the positions of bolts that held the main bearing assembly together. The main bearing assembly would normally rotate just above the torque bar, with the ring gear, and the bolts would clear the bar. Contact between the bolts and the torque bar would have been consistent with violent bending loads having been placed on the main spindle bolt.

The main rotor bearing was not seized and could be spun easily by hand. Except for saw cuts made by the recovery crew, the control pushrods were bent but continuous.

The flight instructor also noted that the propeller thrust line was located 8-10 inches above the center of gravity on the unmodified (accident) model of gyroplane. The horizontal stabilizer was small and close-coupled. If the rotor thrust was interrupted, either by pilot input or a sharp downdraft while the throttle setting was high, propeller thrust would cause a violent nose-down rotation of the airframe. Such a rotation would then result in a cyclic pitch change to the rotor, overpowering pilot control inputs. Since the rotor spun counterclockwise (from above), there would be a pitch increase to the retreating blade on the gyrocopter's left side. The blade (which already operated at a higher angle of attack than the advancing blade because its airspeed was lower) could then stall if the cyclic pitch input were large and sudden enough. That, in turn, would result in the blade on the left to descend as it proceeded through the left-rear sector of the rotor disk. Such motion, if the rotor flexed down beyond its normal flapping stops, would allow the rotor to contact the propeller and the drive gear for the pre-spin mechanism, as well as potentially bend the spindle bolt to the left or aft. If the stalled blade hit the propeller, it could fold up as seen by the witnesses.

The accident pilot held a private pilot certificate with a single engine land rating. The pilot's latest FAA third class medical certificate was attained on April 4, 1998. At the time, the pilot reported 2,350 hours of flight time. Flight time since that date was unknown. The pilot did not hold a repairman's certificate for the gyroplane.

An autopsy was performed on the pilot by the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, Vermont State Department of Health, Burlington, Vermont. Toxicological testing was performed by the FAA Forensic Toxicology Team, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Weather, reported at an airport about 25 nautical miles to the southwest, at 1253, included clear skies, winds from 120 degrees true, at 7 knots, a temperature of 84 degrees Fahrenheit, and a dew point of 64 degrees Fahrenheit.

### Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Private	<b>Age:</b>	66, Male
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	Single-engine land	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Single
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Restraint Used:</b>	
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	No
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	Yes
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	None	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	April 1, 1998
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>		<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	
<b>Flight Time:</b>	2350 hours (Total, all aircraft)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Make:</b>	Martel	<b>Registration:</b>	UNREG
<b>Model/Series:</b>	Air Command 532Elite	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Gyroplane
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>		<b>Amateur Built:</b>	Yes
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Experimental (Special)	<b>Serial Number:</b>	1
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Tricycle	<b>Seats:</b>	1
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	Unknown	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>		<b>Engines:</b>	1 Reciprocating
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>		<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	Rotax
<b>ELT:</b>	Not installed	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	532
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	Joseph R. Benjamin	<b>Rated Power:</b>	65 Horsepower
<b>Operator:</b>		<b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b>	None

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

<b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>	Visual (VMC)	<b>Condition of Light:</b>	Day
<b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b>	PLB,371 ft msl	<b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>	25 Nautical Miles
<b>Observation Time:</b>	12:53 Local	<b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>	230°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Clear	<b>Visibility</b>	10 miles
<b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>	None	<b>Visibility (RVR):</b>	
<b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>	7 knots /	<b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Wind Direction:</b>	120°	<b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Altimeter Setting:</b>	30.12 inches Hg	<b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>	29°C / 18°C
<b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
<b>Departure Point:</b>	Highgate, VT (FSO )	<b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>	None
<b>Destination:</b>		<b>Type of Clearance:</b>	None
<b>Departure Time:</b>		<b>Type of Airspace:</b>	

## Airport Information

<b>Airport:</b>	Franklin County State FSO	<b>Runway Surface Type:</b>	
<b>Airport Elevation:</b>	228 ft msl	<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	
<b>Runway Used:</b>		<b>IFR Approach:</b>	Unknown
<b>Runway Length/Width:</b>		<b>VFR Approach/Landing:</b>	Unknown

## Wreckage and Impact Information

<b>Crew Injuries:</b>	1 Fatal	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Passenger Injuries:</b>		<b>Aircraft Fire:</b>	None
<b>Ground Injuries:</b>	N/A	<b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>	None
<b>Total Injuries:</b>	1 Fatal	<b>Latitude, Longitude:</b>	44.939998,-73.083335

## Administrative Information

<b>Investigator In Charge (IIC):</b>	Cox, Paul
<b>Additional Participating Persons:</b>	Ted Domin; FAA/FSDO; Portland, ME
<b>Original Publish Date:</b>	August 29, 2006
<b>Last Revision Date:</b>	
<b>Investigation Class:</b>	<a href="#">Class</a>
<b>Note:</b>	
<b>Investigation Docket:</b>	<a href="https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=61804">https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=61804</a>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).