



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

<b>Location:</b>	Plant City, Florida	<b>Accident Number:</b>	SEA05LA076
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	April 16, 2005, 17:55 Local	<b>Registration:</b>	N33CF
<b>Aircraft:</b>	Beech A36	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Defining Event:</b>		<b>Injuries:</b>	6 None
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 91: General aviation - Personal		

## Analysis

The pilot reported the takeoff roll was normal; however shortly after takeoff during the climb he noted a reduction in the climb performance at takeoff power. He stated the airplane began to settle, eventually impacting terrain in a tail-low attitude. The pilot and the five passengers aboard the airplane were not injured and were able to exit the airplane without assistance. Post accident examination of the engine revealed no evidence of a mechanical malfunction that would have contributed to a loss of engine power. Downloaded data for the airplane's engine monitoring system revealed no evidence of an engine anomaly. Data from the accident flight were consistent with normal engine operations and no different from the values found in identically equipped and configured engine.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be: An engine power interruption during takeoff for undetermined reasons.

### Findings

Occurrence #1: LOSS OF ENGINE POWER  
Phase of Operation: TAKEOFF - INITIAL CLIMB

#### Findings

1. (C) REASON FOR OCCURRENCE UNDETERMINED

Occurrence #2: FORCED LANDING  
Phase of Operation: DESCENT - EMERGENCY

-----

Occurrence #3: HARD LANDING  
Phase of Operation: EMERGENCY DESCENT/LANDING

Findings

2. TERRAIN CONDITION - GROUND

## Factual Information

On April 16, 2005, about 1755 eastern daylight time, a Beech A36 Bonanza airplane, N33CF, sustained substantial damage following a collision with terrain shortly after takeoff from the Plant City Municipal Airport, Plant City, Florida. The airplane is owned by the pilot, and was being operated as a visual flight rules (VFR) cross-country flight under the provisions of Title 14, CFR Part 91, when the accident occurred. The airline transport pilot, and the five passengers aboard the airplane, were not injured. Visual meteorological conditions prevailed, and no flight plan was filed for the cross-country flight. The pilot's planned destination was Rock Hill (York County) Airport, Rock Hill, South Carolina.

During a telephone conversation with the NTSB IIC on April 16, the pilot reported the takeoff roll was normal; however shortly after takeoff during the climb he noted a reduction in the climb performance at takeoff power. He stated the airplane began to settle, eventually impacting terrain in a tail-low attitude.

In a written statement, dated April 21, the pilot reported that shortly after retracting the landing gear, during the initial climb out, the engine began to run rough and lose power. The pilot reported that he cycled the fuel boost pump to the low position; however, "no change in power" was noted. The pilot further reported that "...there was a question as to whether the airplane would clear the trees and [I] did not know what was on the other side - so I elected to set the airplane in a field on the airport property."

The airplane was powered by a Teledyne Continental IO-550-B engine rated at 300-horsepower. The engine was equipped with, among other things, a Tornado Alley Turbo Whirlwind turbonormalizing package.

The airplane was equipped with a J.P. Instruments EDM 700 engine monitoring system. The configuration found in the aircraft recorded, in part, exhaust gas temperature (EGT), cylinder head temperature (CHT), turbine inlet temperature (TIT), oil temperature (OIL) and fuel flow (FF) in gallons per hour. These parameters were recorded using a 6 second sample rate.

The system was removed from the wreckage and shipped to J.P. Instruments, Costa Mesa, California, to facilitate data extraction and readout. Data from 24 individual flights, the accident flight and 23 previous flights, were downloaded from the unit's internal digital memory chip.

The data file corresponding with the accident flight (file number 55) contained approximately 2.7 minutes of data. The unit began logging engine data at 21:41:16 (when the avionic bus was powered) and the last data entry was recorded at 21:43:58.

Comparison evaluation of the data from the accident flight and the most recent flight prior to the accident revealed no significant data influxes or anomalies between the two flights. The EGT's, CHT's, FF and TIT's values recorded on the accident flight were consistent with the values recorded on the previous flight.

Representatives from Tornado Alley Turbo, Inc, reviewed the data and reported that the engine values from the accident flight were consistent with normal engine operations and no different from the values found in identically equipped and configured engine installation.

Post accident engine examination by representatives from Teledyne Continental Motors and the Federal Aviation Administration revealed no evidence of a mechanical malfunction that would have contributed to a loss of engine power. In a post inspection written report from Teledyne Continental Motors, dated November 29, the representative conducting the engine examination stated, "The inspection of this modified engine did not reveal any visual abnormalities that would have prevented normal operation and production of rated horsepower."

### Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Airline transport	<b>Age:</b>	57, Male
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	Single-engine land; Multi-engine land	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Left
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Restraint Used:</b>	
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	Airplane	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	No
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>	Airplane multi-engine; Airplane single-engine; Instrument airplane	<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	No
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	Class 2 Without waivers/limitations	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	February 1, 2005
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>	No	<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	March 1, 2004
<b>Flight Time:</b>	3651 hours (Total, all aircraft), 92 hours (Total, this make and model), 46 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 24 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Make:</b>	Beech	<b>Registration:</b>	N33CF
<b>Model/Series:</b>	A36	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Airplane
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>		<b>Amateur Built:</b>	
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Utility	<b>Serial Number:</b>	E-2495
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Retractable - Tricycle	<b>Seats:</b>	6
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	June 1, 2004 Annual	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	4000 lbs
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>	38 Hrs	<b>Engines:</b>	1 Reciprocating
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>	2064 Hrs as of last inspection	<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	Teledyne Continental
<b>ELT:</b>	Installed, activated, did not aid in locating accident	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	IO-550-B
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	Sky King Ventures, LLC	<b>Rated Power:</b>	300 Horsepower
<b>Operator:</b>	Clarence O. Floyd	<b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b>	None

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

<b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>	Visual (VMC)	<b>Condition of Light:</b>	Day
<b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b>	LAL, 142 ft msl	<b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>	8 Nautical Miles
<b>Observation Time:</b>	17:50 Local	<b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>	270°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Scattered / 25000 ft AGL	<b>Visibility</b>	15 miles
<b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>	None	<b>Visibility (RVR):</b>	
<b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>	7 knots /	<b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Wind Direction:</b>	30°	<b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Altimeter Setting:</b>	30.18 inches Hg	<b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>	20°C / 5°C
<b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
<b>Departure Point:</b>	PLANT CITY, FL (PCM)	<b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>	None
<b>Destination:</b>	Rock Hill, SC (UZA)	<b>Type of Clearance:</b>	VFR
<b>Departure Time:</b>	17:55 Local	<b>Type of Airspace:</b>	

## Airport Information

<b>Airport:</b>	PLANT CITY MUNI PCM	<b>Runway Surface Type:</b>	Asphalt
<b>Airport Elevation:</b>	154 ft msl	<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	Dry
<b>Runway Used:</b>	10	<b>IFR Approach:</b>	None
<b>Runway Length/Width:</b>	3950 ft / 75 ft	<b>VFR Approach/Landing:</b>	Forced landing

## Wreckage and Impact Information

<b>Crew Injuries:</b>	1 None	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Passenger Injuries:</b>	5 None	<b>Aircraft Fire:</b>	None
<b>Ground Injuries:</b>	N/A	<b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>	None
<b>Total Injuries:</b>	6 None	<b>Latitude, Longitude:</b>	28,-82.164169

## Administrative Information

<b>Investigator In Charge (IIC):</b>	Hogenson, Dennis
<b>Additional Participating Persons:</b>	George McNeill; FAA FSDO; Tampa , FL Jason Lukasik; Teledyne Continental Motors ; Mobile , AL George Braly; Tornado Alley Turbo; Ada, OK
<b>Original Publish Date:</b>	March 28, 2006
<b>Last Revision Date:</b>	
<b>Investigation Class:</b>	<a href="#">Class</a>
<b>Note:</b>	
<b>Investigation Docket:</b>	<a href="https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=61325">https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=61325</a>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).