



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

<b>Location:</b>	S. Charleston, West Virginia	<b>Accident Number:</b>	NYC01LA069
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	January 26, 2001, 10:53 Local	<b>Registration:</b>	N9558M
<b>Aircraft:</b>	Mooney M-20	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Defining Event:</b>		<b>Injuries:</b>	2 Minor
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 91: General aviation - Personal		

## Analysis

The pilot arrived at the airport, and serviced the airplane with 10 gallons of fuel. He started the engine, checked all the gauges, found no anomalies, and departed. During the flight, the pilot preformed several touch-and-goes at several different airports before proceeding to his planned destination. At his destination, the pilot maneuvered the airplane to land. Once on final, the pilot selected approach flaps, but they did not extend. With the throttle completely retarded, the pilot started executing "S" turns to maintain a proper descent rate. On short final, the pilot noticed that the airplane was right of the runway so he turned left, and then started a flare to land. The airplane touched down and went off the runway to the left. It then hit a hangar and parked airplane about 500 feet from the approach end of the runway before coming to an stop. Continuity of the flaps system could not be verified because of impact damage.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be: The pilot's failure to maintain runway alignment during the landing roll.

## Findings

Occurrence #1: LOSS OF CONTROL - ON GROUND/WATER  
Phase of Operation: LANDING - ROLL

Findings

1. (C) PROPER ALIGNMENT - NOT MAINTAINED - PILOT IN COMMAND

## Factual Information

On January 26, 2001, at 1053 Eastern Standard Time, a Mooney M-20, N9558M, was substantially damaged while landing at the Mallory Airport (WV12), South Charleston, West Virginia. The certificated private pilot and passenger received minor injuries. Visual meteorological conditions prevailed for the flight that departed Summersville, West Virginia, destined for WV12. No flight plan was filed for the personal flight that was conducted under 14 CFR Part 91.

According to the pilot, he arrived at the airport and serviced the airplane with 10 gallons of fuel. The pilot started the engine, and let it run for awhile to ensure it had warmed up because of the cold temperatures that morning. The pilot checked all the gauges, found no anomalies, and then departed. During the flight, the pilot preformed several touch-and-goes at several different airports before proceeding to WV12. Approximately 1 hour 15 minutes into the flight, and while operating on the left fuel tank, the engine began to "sputter". The pilot selected the right tank and the engine returned to smooth operation. When the engine started to "sputter" the left tank indicated 1/8. At the time the pilot switched to the right, it indicated 1/8 of a tank. The pilot became "very concerned," so he requested radar vectors to Charleston, West Virginia. While en route to Charleston, the pilot realized he was close to his planned destination, so he then requested vectors to WV12. Within a "few" minutes the pilot identified the airport, and maneuvered the airplane to land on Runway 33.

Once on final, the pilot selected approach flaps, but they did not extend. With the throttle completely retarded, the pilot started executing "S" turns to maintain a proper descent rate. On short final, the pilot noticed that the airplane was right of the runway so he turned left, and then started a flare to land. The airplane touched down on the runway, but then departed it to the left. When the airplane departed the runway, the pilot had the controls all the way to the right. Before coming to a stop, the airplane struck a hangar and a parked airplane about 500 feet past the approach end of the runway. Except for being unable to extend the flaps, the pilot did not report any other failures or malfunctions with the airplane or its systems.

According to a Federal Aviation Administration inspector that responded to the accident, continuity of the flaps system could not be verified because of impact damage.

## Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Private	<b>Age:</b>	48,Male
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	Single-engine land	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Left
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Restraint Used:</b>	
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	No
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	No
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	Class 3 Valid Medical--no waivers/lim.	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	February 23, 2000
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>		<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	April 10, 1999
<b>Flight Time:</b>	625 hours (Total, all aircraft), 371 hours (Total, this make and model), 595 hours (Pilot In Command, all aircraft), 3 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 2 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Make:</b>	Mooney	<b>Registration:</b>	N9558M
<b>Model/Series:</b>	M-20	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Airplane
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>		<b>Amateur Built:</b>	
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Normal	<b>Serial Number:</b>	670135
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Retractable - Tricycle	<b>Seats:</b>	4
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	March 12, 2000 Annual	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	2740 lbs
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>	19.3 Hrs	<b>Engines:</b>	1 Reciprocating
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>	3633.6 Hrs at time of accident	<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	Lycoming
<b>ELT:</b>	Installed, not activated	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	IO-360-A1A
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	William Dean	<b>Rated Power:</b>	200 Horsepower
<b>Operator:</b>		<b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b>	None

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

<b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>	Visual (VMC)	<b>Condition of Light:</b>	Day
<b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b>	CRW,982 ft msl	<b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>	46 Nautical Miles
<b>Observation Time:</b>	15:54 Local	<b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>	17°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Scattered / 12000 ft AGL	<b>Visibility</b>	10 miles
<b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>	None	<b>Visibility (RVR):</b>	
<b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>	/ None	<b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Wind Direction:</b>		<b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Altimeter Setting:</b>	30.2 inches Hg	<b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>	-2°C / -8°C
<b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
<b>Departure Point:</b>	Sommersville, WV (SXL )	<b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>	None
<b>Destination:</b>	S. Charleston, WV (WV12)	<b>Type of Clearance:</b>	None
<b>Departure Time:</b>	09:30 Local	<b>Type of Airspace:</b>	Class G

## Airport Information

<b>Airport:</b>	MALLORY WV12	<b>Runway Surface Type:</b>	Asphalt
<b>Airport Elevation:</b>	880 ft msl	<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	Dry
<b>Runway Used:</b>	33	<b>IFR Approach:</b>	None
<b>Runway Length/Width:</b>	2000 ft / 24 ft	<b>VFR Approach/Landing:</b>	Full stop;Straight-in

## Wreckage and Impact Information

<b>Crew Injuries:</b>	1 Minor	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Passenger Injuries:</b>	1 Minor	<b>Aircraft Fire:</b>	None
<b>Ground Injuries:</b>	N/A	<b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>	None
<b>Total Injuries:</b>	2 Minor	<b>Latitude, Longitude:</b>	38.309188,-81.749916(est)

## Administrative Information

<b>Investigator In Charge (IIC):</b>	Muzio, David
<b>Additional Participating Persons:</b>	Tom Fye; FAA/FSDO; Charleston, WV
<b>Original Publish Date:</b>	July 30, 2001
<b>Last Revision Date:</b>	
<b>Investigation Class:</b>	<a href="#">Class</a>
<b>Note:</b>	The NTSB traveled to the scene of this accident.
<b>Investigation Docket:</b>	<a href="https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=51391">https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=51391</a>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).