



Aviation Investigation Final Report

Location:	PETALUMA, California	Accident Number:	LAX00LA036
Date & Time:	November 18, 1999, 16:40 Local	Registration:	N550RT
Aircraft:	Beech 95-B55	Aircraft Damage:	Substantial
Defining Event:		Injuries:	2 None
Flight Conducted Under:	Part 91: General aviation - Personal		

Analysis

The pilot was attempting a short field landing and allowed the airspeed to dissipate too soon. The airplane entered a stall/mush condition and landed hard. The force of the landing bent the engine mounts and broke the wings. The pilot reported that he had not experienced any mechanical problems with the aircraft. The airplane was equipped with vortex generators on the wings and vertical stabilizer, which, according to the STC developer, reduces the stall, approach and Vmca airspeeds by at least 10 knots. The various reference speed reductions were never approved for inclusion as a supplement to the Pilot's Operating Handbook (POH). The STC developer said that pilots were supposed to use the original speeds and limitations in the POH. The pilot said he was using an approach speed derived from a sales brochure from the STC maker. The pilot stated that the accident could have been prevented by maintaining 'greater speed.'

Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be: The failure of the pilot to maintain adequate airspeed which resulted in a stall/mush condition and subsequent hard landing.

Findings

Occurrence #1: HARD LANDING
Phase of Operation: LANDING - FLARE/TOUCHDOWN

Findings

1. (C) AIRSPEED - NOT MAINTAINED - PILOT IN COMMAND
2. (C) STALL/MUSH - ENCOUNTERED - PILOT IN COMMAND

Factual Information

On November 18, 1999, at 1640 hours Pacific standard time, a Beech 95-B55, N550RT, landed hard and bounced several times before running off the end of the runway at the Petaluma Municipal Airport, Petaluma, California. The airplane, owned and operated by the pilot, sustained substantial damage. The commercial pilot and passenger were not injured. The local area personal flight, conducted under the provisions of 14 CFR Part 91, had originated at the Santa Rosa, California, airport, at 1615, and was en route to Petaluma. Visual meteorological conditions prevailed and no flight plan was filed.

The pilot reported that he was attempting to perform a short field landing to runway 11. He stated that he allowed the airspeed to dissipate too soon and the airplane dropped to the runway and bounced twice before he was able to recover. The stall-warning indicator had not enunciated. The propeller blades and engine mounts were bent and the wings had separated from the fuselage.

The pilot reported that he had not experienced any mechanical problems with the aircraft prior to the accident. He stated that the accident could have been prevented by maintaining "greater speed."

A Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) inspector from the Oakland Flight Standards District Office inspected the aircraft after the accident. He tested the stall indicator warning system and reported that it functioned normally.

The aircraft was equipped with vortex generators. The supplemental type certificate (STC) and the micro vortex generator kit installation manual were reviewed. The kit consisted of 106 vortex generators mounted on the wings, just aft of the boot line and on both sides of the rudder, plus two strakes on the outside of the engine nacelles. The manufacturer reported that the vortex generators had been shown "to substantially reduce stall speeds and V_{mca} , and to improve stall characteristics." However, they further stated that no flight manual supplement was developed for this specific STC (#SA5789NM), therefore, the original speeds, limitations, and performance data listed in the pilot's operating handbook (POH) were to be used in order to provide an increased margin of safety.

The pilot reported that he used the vortex generator manufacturer's (reduced) speeds he obtained from a sales brochure as his reference airspeeds during the approach, instead of the airspeeds indicated in the POH.

Pilot Information

Certificate:	Commercial	Age:	74, Male
Airplane Rating(s):	Single-engine land; Multi-engine land	Seat Occupied:	Left
Other Aircraft Rating(s):	None	Restraint Used:	
Instrument Rating(s):	Airplane	Second Pilot Present:	No
Instructor Rating(s):	None	Toxicology Performed:	No
Medical Certification:	Class 3 Valid Medical-w/ waivers/lim	Last FAA Medical Exam:	April 27, 1999
Occupational Pilot:	UNK	Last Flight Review or Equivalent:	
Flight Time:	4150 hours (Total, all aircraft), 300 hours (Total, this make and model), 20 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 1 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft)		

Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

Aircraft Make:	Beech	Registration:	N550RT
Model/Series:	95-B55 95-B55	Aircraft Category:	Airplane
Year of Manufacture:		Amateur Built:	
Airworthiness Certificate:	Normal	Serial Number:	TC-2101
Landing Gear Type:	Retractable - Tricycle	Seats:	6
Date/Type of Last Inspection:	September 1, 1999 Annual	Certified Max Gross Wt.:	5100 lbs
Time Since Last Inspection:		Engines:	2 Reciprocating
Airframe Total Time:		Engine Manufacturer:	Continental
ELT:	Installed, activated, did not aid in locating accident	Engine Model/Series:	IO-470-L
Registered Owner:	RICHARD KEITH	Rated Power:	260 Horsepower
Operator:		Operating Certificate(s) Held:	None
Operator Does Business As:		Operator Designator Code:	

Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

Conditions at Accident Site:	Visual (VMC)	Condition of Light:	Day
Observation Facility, Elevation:	SUU ,62 ft msl	Distance from Accident Site:	32 Nautical Miles
Observation Time:	00:55 Local	Direction from Accident Site:	40°
Lowest Cloud Condition:	Unknown	Visibility	20 miles
Lowest Ceiling:	Overcast / 20000 ft AGL	Visibility (RVR):	
Wind Speed/Gusts:	6 knots /	Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:	/
Wind Direction:	50°	Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:	/
Altimeter Setting:	30 inches Hg	Temperature/Dew Point:	14°C / 7°C
Precipitation and Obscuration:	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
Departure Point:	SANTA ROSA , CA (STS)	Type of Flight Plan Filed:	None
Destination:	(O69)	Type of Clearance:	None
Departure Time:	16:15 Local	Type of Airspace:	Class E

Airport Information

Airport:	PETALUMA MUNI O69	Runway Surface Type:	Asphalt
Airport Elevation:	87 ft msl	Runway Surface Condition:	Dry
Runway Used:	11	IFR Approach:	None
Runway Length/Width:	3600 ft / 75 ft	VFR Approach/Landing:	Full stop

Wreckage and Impact Information

Crew Injuries:	1 None	Aircraft Damage:	Substantial
Passenger Injuries:	1 None	Aircraft Fire:	None
Ground Injuries:	N/A	Aircraft Explosion:	None
Total Injuries:	2 None	Latitude, Longitude:	38.209823,-122.760253(est)

Administrative Information

Investigator In Charge (IIC):	Mars, Noelani
Additional Participating Persons:	MIKE BECKER; OAKLAND , CA
Original Publish Date:	May 8, 2001
Last Revision Date:	
Investigation Class:	Class
Note:	
Investigation Docket:	https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=47825

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).