

# **Aviation Investigation Final Report**

Location: BERWICK, Maine Accident Number: NYC94LA088

Date & Time: May 21, 1994, 17:38 Local Registration: N3167E

Aircraft: AERONCA 11AC Aircraft Damage: Destroyed

**Defining Event:** 1 Fatal

Flight Conducted Under: Part 91: General aviation - Personal

### **Analysis**

SHORTLY AFTER TAKEOFF, THE AIRPLANE DESCENDED STEEPLY TO THE GROUND. THE AIRPLANE CRASHED AT THE MIDPOINT OF THE RUNWAY. THE EXAMINATION OF THE AIRPLANE REVEALED THE ELEVATOR FLIGHT CONTROLS WERE MISRIGGED AND THE ELEVATOR OPERATED IN REVERSE TO NORMAL CONTROL INPUTS. THE INSPECTION OF THE ELEVATOR TURNBUCKLE REVEALED THE SAFETY WIRE WAS TIED IN AN UNSATISFACTORY MANNER. ALSO, AN ELEVATOR CONTROL BOLT WAS INSTALLED WITH INADEQUATE CLEARANCE FROM THE AIRFRAME. THIS WAS THE FIRST FLIGHT AFTER AN ANNUAL INSPECTION. THE INSPECTION WAS DONE BY A LICENSED MECHANIC. ON THE DAY OF THE ACCIDENT, THE PILOT WORKED ON THE AIRPLANE FOR SEVERAL HOURS. SAFETY WIRE WAS FOUND INSIDE THE AIRPLANE; SCREWS AND FASTENERS WERE FOUND IN THE PILOT'S PERSONAL EFFECTS.

## **Probable Cause and Findings**

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be: The pilot's inadequate preflight which fail to detect proper operation of the elevator which resulted in the loss of control inflight. A factor is inadequate maintenance which consisted of misrigging of the elevator flight controls.

### **Findings**

Occurrence #1: LOSS OF CONTROL - IN FLIGHT

Phase of Operation: APPROACH - VFR PATTERN - FINAL APPROACH

### Findings

1. (F) FLT CONTROL SYST, ELEVATOR CONTROL

2. (F) MAINTENANCE, INSTALLATION - IMPROPER - UNKNOWN

3. (C) AIRCRAFT PREFLIGHT - INADEQUATE - PILOT IN COMMAND

-----

Occurrence #2: IN FLIGHT COLLISION WITH TERRAIN/WATER

Phase of Operation: DESCENT - UNCONTROLLED

#### Findings

4. TERRAIN CONDITION - GRASS

Page 2 of 7 NYC94LA088

### **Factual Information**

On May 21, 1994, at 1738 eastern daylight time, an Aeronca 11AC, N3167E, owned and piloted by Gerard J. Curran, of Somersworth, New Hampshire, struck the ground after takeoff from a private airstrip in Berwick, Maine. The pilot was fatally injured and the airplane was destroyed by the impact. Visual meteorological conditions prevailed and no flight plan had been filed for the flight operating under 14 CFR Part 91.

According to a witness at the airport:

I heard...taxiing N3167E back and forth on the runway. I was opening the hangar door when I heard him apply takeoff power at the east end of the strip. I went to a window to watch takeoff which would normally be visible from window. The aircraft was not visible at first, but suddenly dropped into view in a vertical dive with no rotation or spin. Heard the engine running at full power. The aircraft immediately impacted approximately half way down the runway....

The FAA reported this was the first flight following an annual inspection. According to the mechanic who accomplished the inspection:

...I did a check of the elevator control system by moving the elevators to the full limits of their travels, at the elevators, to insure that they were going from stop to stop, they were and the control yoke responded properly.....

According to FAA Aviation Safety Inspector (Airworthiness) of the Portland Flight Standards District Office,

...the elevator control cables had been incorrectly routed to their perspective attachment point, I.E. the cables attached to the top attachment point at the elevator control was routed directly to the top attachment point at the elevator horn located at the rear most point of the fuselage. The bottom cable was attached to the bottom of the attachment point at the control yoke and routed directly to the lower attachment point of the elevator horn. This arrangement allowed the elevators to deflect downward when the control yoke was moved in the aft direction.

Additionally, it was noted that the turnbuckles attached to the control yoke attachment points had been safety wired by a non experienced person, and that there was a difference in the size of the wire. The upper turnbuckle was saftied with .032 wire while the lower turnbuckle was saftied with .041 wire. At the aft section of the fuselage, two (2) discrepancies were noted. The first was the top elevator cable (attached to the top attachment point of the elevator horn) had been routed between the two elevator trim

Page 3 of 7 NYC94LA088

Under operating conditions, this would have caused the larger elevator cable to cables. "saw" through the smaller diameter cable over a period of time. The second discrepancy was the incorrect installation of the bolt securing the elevator cable shackle (p/n AN 115) to elevator horn. The nut and cotter pin barely missed striking the frame. The opposite out in the frame to allow for clearance of the nut/cotter pin. It was side provided a cut and nut were original, but had a new cotter pin also noted that the top bolt stalled. The lower bolt, nut and cotter pin were new.

According to Mr. Paul Hubbard, Aviation Safety Inspector (Airworthiness), Portland Flight Standards District Office, the airplane was involved in an incident in 1992, after which the wings and tail surfaces were removed and the airplane was taken to the pilots residence. The airplane was then transported to Furnas airport. Mr. Hubbard was unable to determined when or where the pilot installed the elevators.

In a telephone interview, Mr. Hubbard said the safety wire on the elevator cable turnbuckles had to be cut and the turnbuckles loosed to remove the cables. After installation, the turnbuckles would be tightened to tension the cables, and then safety wired to hold their positions.

An autopsy was conducted by Henry F. Ryan, M.D. Chief Medical Examiner, Augusta, Maine, on May 23, 1994. According to Dr. Ryan, a screwdriver, and various screws and fasteners were part of the pilot's personal effects. Toxicological examination conducted by the FAA Civil Aeromedical Institute in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, was negative for drugs and alcohol.

According to the pilot's last medical application dated November 23, 1992, he had a total time of 758 hours. The last entry in the pilot's log book was dated September 24, 1993. It showed a total time of 630 hours. The pilot's recency of experience was not determined. The log book did show 60 hours of flight time in the accident airplane between August 5, 1990 and September 1993.

Page 4 of 7 NYC94LA088

### **Pilot Information**

Certificate:	Private	Age:	53,Male
Airplane Rating(s):	Single-engine land; Single-engine sea	Seat Occupied:	Left
Other Aircraft Rating(s):	None	Restraint Used:	
Instrument Rating(s):	None	Second Pilot Present:	No
Instructor Rating(s):	None	Toxicology Performed:	Yes
Medical Certification:	Class 3 Valid Medicalno waivers/lim.	Last FAA Medical Exam:	November 23, 1992
Occupational Pilot:	No	Last Flight Review or Equivalent:	
Flight Time:	630 hours (Total, all aircraft), 60 hours (Total, this make and model)		

# **Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information**

Aircraft Make:	AERONCA	Registration:	N3167E
Model/Series:	11AC 11AC	Aircraft Category:	Airplane
Year of Manufacture:		Amateur Built:	
Airworthiness Certificate:	Normal	Serial Number:	11AC-1507
Landing Gear Type:	Tailwheel	Seats:	2
Date/Type of Last Inspection:	May 19, 1994 Annual	Certified Max Gross Wt.:	1250 lbs
Time Since Last Inspection:	0 Hrs	Engines:	1 Reciprocating
Airframe Total Time:	1125 Hrs	Engine Manufacturer:	CONTINENTAL
ELT:		Engine Model/Series:	A-65
Registered Owner:	GERARD J. CURRAN	Rated Power:	65 Horsepower
Operator:		Operating Certificate(s) Held:	None
Operator Does Business As:		Operator Designator Code:	

Page 5 of 7 NYC94LA088

# **Meteorological Information and Flight Plan**

Conditions at Accident Site:	Visual (VMC)	Condition of Light:	Day
Observation Facility, Elevation:	SFM ,244 ft msl	Distance from Accident Site:	12 Nautical Miles
Observation Time:	17:35 Local	Direction from Accident Site:	25°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Clear	Visibility	10 miles
Lowest Ceiling:	None	Visibility (RVR):	
Wind Speed/Gusts:	6 knots /	Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:	/
Wind Direction:	200°	Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:	/
Altimeter Setting:	29 inches Hg	Temperature/Dew Point:	21°C / 4°C
Precipitation and Obscuration:	No Obscuration; No Precipita	ation	
Departure Point:		Type of Flight Plan Filed:	None
Destination:		Type of Clearance:	None
Departure Time:	17:38 Local	Type of Airspace:	Class G

# **Airport Information**

Airport:	BERWICK NONE	Runway Surface Type:	Grass/turf
Airport Elevation:	150 ft msl	<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	Dry
Runway Used:	27	IFR Approach:	None
Runway Length/Width:	2000 ft / 100 ft	VFR Approach/Landing:	None

# Wreckage and Impact Information

Crew Injuries:	1 Fatal	Aircraft Damage:	Destroyed
Passenger Injuries:		Aircraft Fire:	None
Ground Injuries:	N/A	Aircraft Explosion:	None
Total Injuries:	1 Fatal	Latitude, Longitude:	

Page 6 of 7 NYC94LA088

#### **Administrative Information**

Investigator In Charge (IIC): Hancock, Robert Additional Participating PAUL HUBBARD; PORTLAND . ME RAY CLOUTIER: PORTLAND Persons: . ME **Original Publish Date:** December 7, 1994 **Last Revision Date: Investigation Class:** Class Note: Investigation Docket: https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=38758

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, "accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person" (Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB's statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 United States Code section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 United States Code section 1154(b) is available here.

Page 7 of 7 NYC94LA088