

# **Aviation Investigation Final Report**

Location: DAYTON, Ohio Incident Number: IAD97IA018

Date & Time: November 7, 1996, 20:15 Local Registration: N814DE

Aircraft: McDonnell Douglas MD-11 Aircraft Damage: None

**Defining Event:** 209 None

Flight Conducted Under: Part 121: Air carrier - Scheduled

### **Analysis**

While en route the flight crew experienced a failure of the pitch trim control system. The crew was unable to change the pitch trim by the yoke mounted trim switches or the center console mounted horizontal stabilizer control handles. The crew stated that when the slats were extended on descent, they were unable to change stabilizer trim. Subsequent examination of the horizontal stabilizer pitch trim system found that there was no lubrication on the screw actuator assemblies and that the fuse pin had sheared in the output drive unit of the horizontal stabilizer drive gearbox. The sheared fuse pin locked the horizontal stabilizer in its last set position, as designed. A review of Assembly Orders at Douglas Aircraft (DAC) found that DAC production personnel and DAC Inspection personnel stamped the assembly orders indicating completion and acceptance of the lubrication. However, the investigation revealed that no lubrication had been applied to the screw actuator nuts.

## **Probable Cause and Findings**

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this incident to be: Failure of the output drive unit shear pin due to lack of lubrication to the screw actuator assemblies. Contributing to the cause was the aircraft manufacturer's production and inspection personnel failing to lubricate the screw assemblies after initial installation and again after washing.

### **Findings**

Occurrence #1: AIRFRAME/COMPONENT/SYSTEM FAILURE/MALFUNCTION

Phase of Operation: CRUISE - NORMAL

#### Findings

- 1. (C) HORIZONTAL STABILIZER JAMMED
- 2. (C) MAINTENANCE, LUBRICATION NOT PERFORMED PRODUCTION/DESIGN PERSONNEL
- 3. (C) IMPROPER USE OF PROCEDURE PRODUCTION/DESIGN PERSONNEL

Page 2 of 8 IAD97IA018

#### **Factual Information**

#### HISTORY OF FLIGHT

On November 7, 1996, at about 2015 eastern standard time, a McDonnell Douglas MD-11, N814DE, owned and operated by Delta Airlines, Inc., as Flight 37, experienced a horizontal stabilizer trim failure while en route to Covington, Kentucky. The aircraft diverted to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base in Dayton, Ohio, and landed without further incident. There were no reported injuries among the 13 crewmembers and 196 passengers who were deplaned normally. However, two passengers were taken to an area hospital for observation. The flight originated from Gatwick Airport, London, United Kingdom, at 0510 EST, with an intended destination of the Greater Cincinnati International Airport, Covington, Kentucky. Instrument meteorological conditions prevailed, and an instrument flight rules flight plan had been filed. The flight was conducted under the provisions of 14 CFR Part 121 as an international-scheduled-passenger flight.

While en route, the flight crew experienced a failure the pitch trim control system. The flight crew was unable to change the pitch trim by the yoke mounted trim switches or the center console mounted horizontal stabilizer control handles. The flight crew stated that when the slats were extended on descent, they were unable to change stabilizer trim, and all hydraulic systems checked OK. When the autopilots were engaged a "STAB OUT OF TRIM" message appeared. Disengaging the autopilots caused the message to disappear, but the configuration showed 1.0-degree aircraft nose up, and aft pressure was needed on the control yoke to hold altitude. The flight crew declared an emergency, and the aircraft was diverted to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base near Dayton, Ohio where it landed uneventfully.

#### AIRCRAFT INFORMATION

The McDonnell Douglas MD-11, S/N 48623, Fuselage Number 605, Aircraft 814, was manufactured and certificated on September 8, 1996. Federal Aviation Administration records indicated that the aircraft was registered to Delta Airlines on September 26, 1996, and maintenance records indicated that the aircraft had accumulated 540 hours and 70 cycles, at the time of the incident.

#### **EXAMINATION OF THE HORIZONTAL STABILIZER**

Shortly after landing Delta maintenance personnel stated that they began trouble shooting the reason for the horizontal stabilizer lockout. They stated that they initially thought that the horizontal stabilizer gearbox was lacking oil, which caused the shear pin to fail, locking the stabilizer in place as designed. During removal of the gearbox it was noted that the jackscrews, both left and right, were lacking lubrication. The gearbox and both jackscrews

Page 3 of 8 IAD97IA018

were sent to Delta Airlines in Atlanta, Georgia for further examination, and the aircraft was repaired and returned to service on November 11, 1996.

On November 12, 1996, the horizontal stabilizer pitch trim system was examined. Present at the examination were representatives from the National Transportation Safety Board, Federal Aviation Administration, Delta Airlines and McDonnell Douglas.

The system included: two (2) hydraulic motors, one (1) gearbox, one (1) drive unit, two (2) drive chains, and two (2) screw actuator assemblies. The examination revealed that the fuse pin had sheared in the output drive unit of the horizontal stabilizer drive gearbox. The sheared fuse pin locked the horizontal stabilizer in its last set position. In addition, there was no visible grease film on the screw actuator assemblies as called for in Douglas Parts Service manual DPS 3.17-51, which stated in part, "Apply heavy coat of DPM 5850 to threads of screw. Apply Lubricant to fitting on top of sprocket until lubricant extrudes between sprocket and screw." In addition, the Lubrication Chart on page 40 of DPS 3.17-51 depicted a brush symbol to indicate brush application of the required lubricant to the surface of the screw threads.

Douglas Aircraft Engineering agreed that the lack of adequate lubrication on the thread of the screw actuator assemblies, lead to a "chattering" on the nuts of the screw actuator assemblies which lead to the failure of the fuse pin. (See attached Photographs).

A review of Assembly Orders at Douglas Aircraft (DAC) for fuselage 605 revealed that lubrication requirements per DPS 3.17-51 were specified at two stages of the assembly.

1. After initial installation in the aft fuselage barrel assembly. 2. After wash, prior to delivery to the customer.

The assembly orders were found stamped by DAC production personnel and DAC Inspection personnel indicating completion and acceptance of the lubrication. However, the investigation revealed that no lubrication had been applied to the screw actuator nuts.

#### IN-SERVICE AIRCRAFT

Operators of fuselages 599 through 607, were notified of the suspected nonconformance. The following is a summary of the DAC Product Support findings:

Fuselages 599 and 601 were found properly lubricated.

Fuselages 602, 604, 606, and 607, were inspected for lubrication, and found to be inadequately lubricated.

Fuselages 608, 609, 610, and 611, while still in assembly were inspected and rejected, their horizontal stabilizer trim systems were subsequently lubricated properly per DPS 3.17-51.

Page 4 of 8 IAD97IA018

All uninstalled screw actuators at DAC were returned to the manufacturer for proper lubrication was accomplished.

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

As a result of this investigation, Douglas Aircraft Corporation (DAC) has disciplined the Production and Quality Assurance employees involved in this matter.

DAC Production has initiated an awareness flowdown program for Production employees.

DAC Product Verification (Quality Assurance) has developed a plan to elevate the skill level of DAC Inspectors by providing extensive training.

DAC Quality Assurance - Regulatory Affairs will conduct quarterly evaluations to validate the corrective action plan provided to the FAA.

In addition, Delta Airlines has added to their aircraft acceptance inspection program, a visual inspection of the horizontal stabilizer assembly, to ensure adequate lubrication is present.

#### **Pilot Information**

Certificate:	Airline transport	Age:	58,Male
Airplane Rating(s):	Multi-engine land	Seat Occupied:	Left
Other Aircraft Rating(s):	None	Restraint Used:	
Instrument Rating(s):	Airplane	Second Pilot Present:	Yes
Instructor Rating(s):	None	Toxicology Performed:	No
Medical Certification:	Class 1 Valid Medicalno waivers/lim.	Last FAA Medical Exam:	June 10, 1996
Occupational Pilot:	Yes	Last Flight Review or Equivalent:	
Flight Time:	27500 hours (Total, all aircraft), 1442 hours (Total, this make and model), 268 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft)		

Page 5 of 8 IAD97IA018

# **Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information**

Aircraft Make:	McDonnell Douglas	Registration:	N814DE
Model/Series:	MD-11 MD-11	Aircraft Category:	Airplane
Year of Manufacture:		Amateur Built:	
Airworthiness Certificate:	Transport	Serial Number:	48623
Landing Gear Type:	Retractable - Tricycle	Seats:	280
Date/Type of Last Inspection:	September 14, 1996 Continuous airworthiness	Certified Max Gross Wt.:	625500 lbs
Time Since Last Inspection:	550 Hrs	Engines:	3 Turbo jet
Airframe Total Time:	550 Hrs	Engine Manufacturer:	P&W
ELT:	Installed	Engine Model/Series:	PW 4460
Registered Owner:	DELTA AIR LINES, INC.	Rated Power:	60000 Lbs thrust
Operator:		Operating Certificate(s) Held:	Flag carrier (121)
Operator Does Business As:		Operator Designator Code:	DALA

# Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

Conditions at Accident Site:	Unknown		Condition of Light:	Day
Observation Facility, Elevation:			Distance from Accident Site:	
Observation Time:			Direction from Accident Site:	
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Unknown		Visibility	
Lowest Ceiling:	Unknown		Visibility (RVR):	
Wind Speed/Gusts:	/		Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:	/
Wind Direction:	0°		Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:	/
Altimeter Setting:			Temperature/Dew Point:	
Precipitation and Obscuration:				
Departure Point:	GATWICK	(LGW)	Type of Flight Plan Filed:	IFR
Destination:	CINCINNATI	(CVG)	Type of Clearance:	IFR
Departure Time:	06:00 Local		Type of Airspace:	Class A

Page 6 of 8 IAD97IA018

# **Airport Information**

Airport:		Runway Surface Type:	Concrete
Airport Elevation:	825 ft msl	<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	Dry
Runway Used:	23	IFR Approach:	ILS
Runway Length/Width:	12600 ft / 300 ft	VFR Approach/Landing:	None

# Wreckage and Impact Information

Crew Injuries:	13 None	Aircraft Damage:	None
Passenger Injuries:	196 None	Aircraft Fire:	None
Ground Injuries:	N/A	Aircraft Explosion:	None
Total Injuries:	209 None	Latitude, Longitude:	39.8204,-84.049186(est)

Page 7 of 8 IAD97IA018

#### **Administrative Information**

Investigator In Charge (IIC): Wilson, Butch Additional Participating RUSS HAYDEN; COLUMBUS WADE H REYNOLDS; ATLANTA Persons: , GA MIKE DENARO; ATLANTA , GA **Original Publish Date:** March 31, 1998 Last Revision Date: **Investigation Class:** Class Note: **Investigation Docket:** https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=28143

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, "accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person" (Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB's statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 United States Code section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 United States Code section 1154(b) is available here.

Page 8 of 8 IAD97IA018