



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

---

<b>Location:</b>	Gulfport, Mississippi	<b>Accident Number:</b>	CEN22LA138
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	March 6, 2022, 21:30 Local	<b>Registration:</b>	N8170J
<b>Aircraft:</b>	Beech 200	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Defining Event:</b>	Sys/Comp malf/fail (non-power)	<b>Injuries:</b>	5 None
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 91: General aviation - Business		

---

## Analysis

The airplane was on an instrument approach when the pilot attempted to extend the landing gear. During the gear extension process, the passengers heard a “loud bang” under the floor panels, and the pilot reported that the gear would not extend. The pilot diverted to another airport and attempted to extend the landing gear manually, which was unsuccessful as the landing gear system was jammed. The pilot landed with the gear retracted and the airplane sustained substantial damage to the fuselage and empennage.

A postaccident examination of the landing gear revealed that the gear was still jammed and could not be actuated with the gear handle or by manual extension. The right landing gear drive shaft was unable to rotate and exhibited a binding during the rotation attempt. The examination and disassembly of the right main landing gear actuator revealed that the initial failure originated between the bevel gear set. The pinion gear and driven gear exhibited damage and fractures of their respective teeth. The cracked teeth and pitch line pitting present on the pinion gear indicate that it exhibited fatigue wear prior to the failure of the bevel gears. The final surface of the driven gear was significantly smeared, which obstructed the examination and analysis of the surfaces for fatigue-like cracks and wear patterns. Although the failure was within the bevel gear set, the initiating gear failure could not be determined due to the damage.

The pinion gear had effective case depth but did not meet the drawing requirements for minimum case hardness. The driven gear did not show evidence of case hardening and did not meet the drawing requirements for both effective case depth and minimum case hardness. Since the bevel gear set were operational for more than 12,000 cycles, it is likely that the case hardness did not contribute to the gear failure.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be:

The failure of the right main landing gear actuator, which led to a gear-up landing.

### Findings

<b>Aircraft</b>	Landing gear actuator - Failure
-----------------	---------------------------------

## Factual Information

### History of Flight

<b>Landing</b>	Sys/Comp malf/fail (non-power) (Defining event)
<b>Post-impact</b>	Fire/smoke (post-impact)

On March 6, 2022, about 2130 central standard time, a Beech 200 airplane, N8170J, was substantially damaged when it was involved in an accident at Gulfport-Biloxi International Airport (GPT), Gulfport, Mississippi. The pilot and four passengers were not injured. The flight was operated as a Title 14 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 91 business flight.

The pilot reported that while on an instrument approach to Stennis International Airport (HAS), Bay St Louis, Mississippi, he attempted to extend the landing gear but it would not extend. He requested to hold while he attempted to manually extend the gear, but the manual extension handle was jammed. He diverted to GPT and again attempted to extend the landing gear without success, so he made a gear-up landing.

According to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) inspectors, the airplane was on approach to HAS when the pilot attempted to extend the landing gear. During the gear extension process, the passengers heard a “loud bang” under the floor panels and the pilot reported that the gear would not extend. The pilot diverted to GPT and attempted to extend the landing gear manually, which was unsuccessful as the landing gear system was jammed. The pilot landed with the gear retracted and the airplane sustained substantial damage to the fuselage and empennage. After landing, there was a small fire under the right engine nacelle.

Postaccident examination of the landing gear by the FAA revealed that the gear was still jammed and could not be actuated with the gear handle or by manual extension. They cut the landing gear drive shafts to the left and right gear actuators. The left drive shaft was successfully rotated using channel lock pliers. The right drive shaft would barely move and exhibited a binding sound and feel during the rotation attempt. The airplane was relocated to the recovery facility where the right main landing gear actuator was removed and shipped to the FAA aircraft certification office (ACO) in Wichita, Kansas.

An examination and disassembly of the right main landing gear actuator was completed by Textron Aviation under the supervision of the FAA ACO. The examination concluded the following:

1. The initial component failure originated between the straight bevel gear set.

2. Breakage of the teeth from the pinion gear varied in fracture surface and location. The individual teeth that broke off have different features in comparison to the six adjacent teeth that were broken.
  - a. The individual broken teeth show cracking features that would have developed progressively before failure.
  - b. The group of adjacent broken teeth have evidence of shear overload and tensile overload, indicating the failure was abrupt and possibly related to shock overloading.
  - c. Smearing that occurred during the final stages of mechanical failure obscures additional evidence to the failure modes present.
3. The broken pinion gear teeth exhibited internal secondary cracks.
4. The driven gear teeth were plastically deformed and cracked along the tip of the addendum as it smeared against the broken section of pinion teeth. Additional cracks were observed near the root of the driven gear but could not be confirmed as either a result of fatigue or overload cracking.
5. The appropriate grease was used and abundant within the housing.
6. Both the pinon and driven gears were confirmed to be the correct alloy per the drawing requirements.
7. The pinion gear had effective case hardening to the appropriate depth but did not meet the drawing requirements for minimum case hardness.
8. The driven gear did not have evidence of case hardening and did not meet the drawing requirements for both effective case depth and minimum case hardness.

A review of the maintenance record revealed that on January 6, 2015, the right main landing gear actuator was overhauled and later reinstalled on the airplane. As of the accident date, the right main landing gear actuator was to be overhauled or replaced every 8,000 cycles, which was due in 7,429 cycles. On October 20, 2021, during a maintenance phase inspection, the main landing gear mechanical actuators were inspected per the aircraft maintenance manual with no defects noted. This inspection was completed at 12,449 total cycles. As of the accident date, the right main landing gear actuator was to be inspected every 1,000 cycles, which was due in 945 cycles.

## Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Airline transport; Commercial; Flight instructor	<b>Age:</b>	72, Male
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	Single-engine land; Multi-engine land	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Left
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Restraint Used:</b>	4-point
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	Airplane	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	No
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>	Airplane multi-engine; Airplane single-engine; Instrument airplane	<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	Class 2 With waivers/limitations	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	October 5, 2021
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>	Yes	<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	September 17, 2021
<b>Flight Time:</b>	16726 hours (Total, all aircraft), 5179 hours (Total, this make and model), 16431 hours (Pilot In Command, all aircraft), 95 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 24 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft), 4 hours (Last 24 hours, all aircraft)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Make:</b>	Beech	<b>Registration:</b>	N8170J
<b>Model/Series:</b>	200	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Airplane
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>	1980	<b>Amateur Built:</b>	
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Normal	<b>Serial Number:</b>	BB728
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Retractable - Tricycle	<b>Seats:</b>	9
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	October 20, 2021 Continuous airworthiness	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	12500 lbs
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>	70.2 Hrs	<b>Engines:</b>	2 Turbo prop
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>	13164.3 Hrs at time of accident	<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	Pratt & Whitney
<b>ELT:</b>	C126 installed, not activated	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	PT6-41
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	HIAF AETA LLC	<b>Rated Power:</b>	850 Horsepower
<b>Operator:</b>	HIAF AETA LLC	<b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b>	None

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

<b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>	Visual (VMC)	<b>Condition of Light:</b>	Night
<b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b>	KGPT,28 ft msl	<b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>	0 Nautical Miles
<b>Observation Time:</b>	21:24 Local	<b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>	304°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>		<b>Visibility</b>	7 miles
<b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>	Broken / 600 ft AGL	<b>Visibility (RVR):</b>	
<b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>	12 knots /	<b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Wind Direction:</b>	140°	<b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b>	/
<b>Altimeter Setting:</b>	30.08 inches Hg	<b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>	21°C / 20°C
<b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
<b>Departure Point:</b>	Borger, TX (BGD)	<b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>	IFR
<b>Destination:</b>	Gulfport, MS (GPT)	<b>Type of Clearance:</b>	IFR
<b>Departure Time:</b>	18:15 Local	<b>Type of Airspace:</b>	Class D

## Airport Information

<b>Airport:</b>	Gulfport-Biloxi International Airport GPT	<b>Runway Surface Type:</b>	Asphalt,Concrete
<b>Airport Elevation:</b>	28 ft msl	<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	Dry
<b>Runway Used:</b>	14	<b>IFR Approach:</b>	ILS
<b>Runway Length/Width:</b>	9002 ft / 150 ft	<b>VFR Approach/Landing:</b>	

## Wreckage and Impact Information

<b>Crew Injuries:</b>	1 None	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Passenger Injuries:</b>	4 None	<b>Aircraft Fire:</b>	On-ground
<b>Ground Injuries:</b>	N/A	<b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>	None
<b>Total Injuries:</b>	5 None	<b>Latitude, Longitude:</b>	30.407954,-89.073991

## Administrative Information

<b>Investigator In Charge (IIC):</b>	Lindberg, Joshua
<b>Additional Participating Persons:</b>	Brooks "Chip" Vaughn; Federal Aviation Administration; Jackons, MS Jennifer Barclay; Textron Aviation; Wichita, KS
<b>Original Publish Date:</b>	March 28, 2024
<b>Last Revision Date:</b>	
<b>Investigation Class:</b>	<a href="#">Class 3</a>
<b>Note:</b>	The NTSB did not travel to the scene of this accident.
<b>Investigation Docket:</b>	<a href="https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=104754">https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=104754</a>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).