

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

* * * * *

Investigation of: *

*

DERAILMENT OF UNION PACIFIC *

RAILROAD TRAIN UEBLTG 20 WITH * Accident No.: RRD19FR007

SUBSEQUENT FIRE AND HAZARDOUS *

MATERIALS RELEASE, FORT WORTH, *

TEXAS, APRIL 24, 2019 *

*

* * * * *

Interview of: RANDALL SKINNER
Director of Transportation
Tarrant County, Texas

Tarrant County Criminal Justice
Center
Fort Worth, Texas

Wednesday,
June 26, 2019

APPEARANCES:

RYAN FRIGO, Rail Accident Investigator
Operations and System Safety
National Transportation Safety Board

JAMES SOUTHWORTH, Investigator In Charge
National Transportation Safety Board

WAYNE LINDSEY ROBBINS, JR., Esquire
Robbins Travis, PLLC
(On behalf of Mr. Skinner)

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>I N D E X</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Interview of Randall Skinner:		
By Mr. Frigo		5
By Mr. Southworth		18

I N T E R V I E W

1
2 MR. FRIGO: Good morning. My name is Ryan Frigo. I'm an
3 investigator with the National Transportation Safety Board. Today
4 is June 26th, 2019, and we are here at the Tarrant County Criminal
5 Justice Center in Fort Worth, Texas to speak with Mr. Randall
6 Skinner with Tarrant County. And this is in reference to a UP
7 derailment in Fort Worth, Texas on April 24th, 2019. The NTSB
8 accident reference number is RRD19FR007.

9 Before we begin, I just want to introduce who's in the room.
10 For the benefit of the transcriptionist, just please spell your
11 last name. And I'll start and then we'll pass it off to my right.
12 Once again, Ryan Frigo, F-r-i-g-o, NTSB Operations and System
13 Safety.

14 MR. SOUTHWORTH: I'm James Allen Southworth, Jim Southworth,
15 S-o-u-t-h-w-o-r-t-h. I'm the railroad accident investigator in
16 charge for this investigation. I'm out of the Washington, D.C.
17 office for the NTSB.

18 MR. SKINNER: Randall Skinner, Director of Transportation;
19 last name S-k-i-n-n-e-r.

20 MR. FRIGO: And, Mr. Skinner, do you wish to have a
21 representative with you here today?

22 MR. SKINNER: I do.

23 MR. ROBBINS: I'm Wayne Lindsey Robbins, Jr., R-o-b-b-i-n-s.
24 I go by Lyn, L-y-n. I'm with the law firm of Robbins Travis, PLLC
25 in South Lake, Texas, and I'm representing the County and

1 Mr. Skinner today.

2 MR. FRIGO: Great. And, Mr. Skinner, is it okay if we record
3 our conversation with you here today?

4 MR. SKINNER: Yes.

5 MR. FRIGO: Thank you. And is it okay if we call you Randy
6 and proceed on a first name basis?

7 MR. SKINNER: Yes.

8 MR. FRIGO: Excellent. Thank you, sir.

9 INTERVIEW OF RANDALL SKINNER

10 BY MR. FRIGO:

11 Q. So, Randy, can you give us -- let's start out by just talking
12 a little bit about your current position with the County and
13 responsibilities that come along with that.

14 A. Sure. I was named interim director of transportation in
15 September of 2018, and officially became director of
16 transportation services around the 1st of April 2019.

17 Q. Okay. And what about prior to that?

18 A. Prior to that, I was planning manager for transportation
19 services and was in that role for several years.

20 Q. Okay. And so we're here talking about a lake. So how does
21 the transportation department in the county fit in with Echo Lake?

22 A. Are you referring to my involvement or the --

23 Q. Sure, or the structure. Because when I hear transportation
24 department, I think, you know, maybe it's transportation planning
25 or -- I don't necessarily think of a lake or a park with a lake.

1 A. So, while the lake and the park was under the responsibility
2 of the county for maintenance, we were responsible in our
3 department for making sure that reports were provided routinely to
4 TCEQ for -- with regard to the maintenance of the dam. There were
5 certain reports that our environmental specialist completed, and
6 he was out of our department.

7 Q. Out of the transportation department?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. So just for the benefit of those who will read the
10 transcript, what is TCEQ? And that's something we could fill --

11 A. Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.

12 Q. Okay. Great. So that's like a state reporting?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. And why is it that the -- that Echo Lake would require
15 some type of reporting to the state environmental agency?

16 A. I don't have -- I don't know the answer to that very clearly.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. Our environmental specialist may be able to help answer that.

19 Q. Okay. And that's okay. You know, thank you for your
20 background and just clarifying why the transportation department
21 would be involved. Can you give us a little sense of the history
22 about the lake? What was it -- is it a natural lake? Is it
23 manmade?

24 A. Again, I don't know how the lake developed, but I believe
25 that Tarrant County -- it was in the 1970s, I believe, when we

1 acquired that or became responsible for that lake, and have been
2 involved in the -- I believe we've been involved in the
3 maintenance of that through those years, through that time period,
4 primarily through our precinct that would provide the maintenance
5 on site.

6 Q. Okay. And what are some of those maintenance activities?

7 A. Drainage. I believe that it also involved -- and again, this
8 is -- you probably want to talk to the precinct to get the exact
9 information as to all the details of what they did. But I believe
10 it was also mowing, for instance.

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. I'm sure there were others. I just can't speak to those.

13 Q. And I'm just taking notes here just on some of -- just I
14 might want to follow up on a few items. So the 1970s, roughly?

15 A. I believe that's correct.

16 Q. Okay. And do you know the intended purpose of the lake? Is
17 it for recreational? Is it water retention?

18 A. I believe -- and again, I'm not a hundred percent certain of
19 this, but I believe that it was for industrial purposes. You
20 know, it receives, we believe, a lot of runoff from -- as
21 development has occurred through the years, and I think a lot of
22 the runoff feeds into that lake. But I don't -- I can't speak
23 definitively on the purpose of it.

24 Q. Okay, the original intended --

25 A. Right.

1 Q. Okay. And do you know approximately the capacity of the
2 lake?

3 A. I don't know that answer.

4 Q. Okay. Maybe that's something we could --

5 A. You probably would need to speak with our county engineer.
6 He may have that information.

7 Q. Okay. Do you know, did the -- are you aware of any records
8 that the county might have kept on overflow events at the lake?

9 A. That would, again, be a question for our county engineer. I
10 don't recall.

11 Q. Are you aware of any monitoring systems that are in place at
12 the lake to monitor water level?

13 A. I believe that there are, but I don't -- again, I don't know
14 specifically.

15 Q. Maybe that's another one for the county engineer?

16 A. I think he would --

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. He'll probably be able to answer that a little better.

19 Q. Okay. And then as far as the, do you know the type of
20 reporting that the -- so I think, if I have it right, the
21 transportation department would have an employee that would
22 produce a report to provide to the state?

23 A. He would be able to speak better to the type of reports and
24 how often those are produced. But yes, that was a TCEQ
25 requirement.

1 Q. Okay. Do you know the general -- any of the general
2 information that was contained on the report? Was it like a water
3 quality level?

4 A. During those years that those reports were being produced, in
5 my role as planning manager, I had -- I did not get involved in
6 that.

7 Q. Okay. I can appreciate that. And maybe that's something for
8 the local precinct, to discuss with them, or with the
9 environmental --

10 A. Probably the environmental specialist would be your better
11 source there.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. He's a wealth of information on some of that.

14 Q. Are they at the precinct level or is that like a countywide
15 level type role, the environmental specialist?

16 A. He is actually out of our office, Transportation Services.

17 Q. He's out of Transportation Services?

18 A. Yeah.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. So he's responsible for the environmental reporting for all
21 the precincts countywide.

22 Q. Okay. That helps me understand it a little more. What about
23 the stormwater drainage system within the adjacent neighborhoods,
24 is that, do you know, is that a county function or --

25 A. Outside of the boundary of the park?

1 Q. Correct.

2 A. That's within the City of Fort Worth.

3 Q. So that's a city function. Okay.

4 A. Outside of the boundary of the park.

5 Q. Okay. And I want to ask this question in two ways because I
6 want to just also discuss the transfer of ownership of the lake.
7 But prior to that transfer of ownership, are you aware of any
8 communication between the county and any of the railroads in that
9 area about the dam or the lake or --

10 A. Well, I know there obviously is a lot of history that the
11 county has with the lake, so I imagine there would be some
12 correspondence through the years with the railroads. But again,
13 I'm not entirely sure what that correspondence would be. We may
14 have emails. I can't speak to what the content would have been.

15 Q. Right. And maybe that's something that if we speak to the
16 county engineer to help better understand the overflow events over
17 time, possibly there is a communication record.

18 A. Possibly.

19 Q. Possibly. Okay. So maybe that's something we -- you know, I
20 think that we'll make a little request list towards the end of
21 this discussion and --

22 A. I don't have that information with me, but --

23 Q. That's okay. Okay. Can you talk about the transfer of
24 ownership? Because our understanding is that there was a transfer
25 of ownership of the lake that did occur?

1 A. Yes. And that was on March -- that was in March of 2017.
2 The Commissioner's Court approved an interlocal agreement with
3 Fort Worth and it was related to the conveyance of Echo Lake Park.
4 I have the court order number if you need that. And there was a
5 date that was established for the city to actually take ownership
6 of the park. I believe -- I have to double-check this, but I
7 believe it was October 1st of 2017.

8 Q. Okay. And as part of that transfer, it's our understanding
9 that the county retained some responsibility for some
10 infrastructure elements within the park?

11 A. So the way that the interlocal agreement was established,
12 Tarrant County would, from the date of the conveyance, or from
13 that March date, to October 1st, we would still be maintaining the
14 park. And then from October 1st, it would be -- it was our
15 understanding that that would be in the City of Fort Worth's hands
16 with regard to maintenance. Part of that agreement did include
17 improvements to drainage with the construction of a 42-inch pipe.
18 That would be in addition to the existing -- I believe it's a 30-
19 inch pipe that's operational now.

20 Q. Okay. And under that agreement, who was responsible for the
21 improvement of adding the additional drainage pipe?

22 A. Tarrant County.

23 Q. The county, okay. And are you aware of any condition
24 monitoring of the existing pipe?

25 A. We have a hydraulic study that was prepared that speaks to

1 the functionality of what would -- the anticipated functionality
2 of the existing pipe, and that's identified in that study.

3 Q. Okay. And when was that study completed?

4 A. It was actually performed, let's see, 2016.

5 Q. Okay. Maybe that's something we could have a copy of. Do
6 you have the title? I think you've got some notes there. If I
7 could just write --

8 A. I do. I don't have the exact title, though. These are just
9 my notes here.

10 Q. Just call it like 2016 hydrology --

11 A. Hydrology. Drainage study.

12 Q. Yep. Okay.

13 A. So I -- I'm sorry, go ahead.

14 Q. No, go ahead, please. Pardon me.

15 A. That was just -- that would answer, probably, your question
16 better than I could answer it.

17 Q. Okay. Can you recall out of that study what the description
18 of the existing drainage pipe was at the time that the assessment
19 was performed?

20 A. I believe that the study speaks to the condition of the pipe,
21 but I'm trying to remember now exactly -- I cannot recall. I
22 don't know exactly what the language was, but it did speak to the
23 condition of the pipe, I believe.

24 Q. Okay.

25 A. The existing, I would assume.

1 Q. Of the existing pipe?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Correct.

4 A. Right. Okay. And after this transfer in 2017, you mentioned
5 that there was a period of time between March and October where
6 the county still maintained maintenance activities?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. And then after that October time period, what was the
9 county's function at the lake?

10 A. Our understanding that we've operated on is since the
11 October 1st date, that our responsibility then was to install --
12 or make improvements to the dam, which included the 42-inch pipe
13 construction, and that was the extent of our understanding of our
14 involvement.

15 Q. Okay. And is there already -- let's ask it this way: At the
16 time of the accident, was that 42-inch drainage improvement pipe
17 installed?

18 A. Not at that time.

19 Q. Okay. And then just, again, sticking with the time frame of
20 at the time of the accident, was there a -- was that drainage
21 improvement project part of a capital program with the county?
22 Was it still just a thought on a piece of paper or was it actually
23 moving through some type of process?

24 A. At the time of the --

25 Q. Accident.

1 A. Of the accident? No, it was going through a process.

2 Q. Okay.

3 A. Of trying to secure a construction company to do the work.

4 Q. Okay. So --

5 A. We were in the process of doing that.

6 Q. Okay. So maybe let's take a step back. What does it take to
7 even -- it sounds simple, right, just adding a 42-inch drainage
8 pipe. But, you know, when we're talking about an asset owned by a
9 municipality, and then a county involved for completing another
10 part of the project, and then, you know, we talked a little bit
11 about state environmental reporting, that's a lot of players
12 involved. So if you just could -- you know, if you could kind of
13 walk me through, what does it even take to -- once you know that
14 there's a problem or that there's a recommendation to put in an
15 additional pipe, what does it take to make that happen?

16 A. Well, certainly you have to have the construction plans. And
17 we worked rather quickly, in my estimation, on making sure that we
18 have the construction plans completed. We actually had those
19 completed in October of 2017, according to my notes here. And we
20 had -- the Commissioner's Court awarded a construction contract in
21 -- well, it was August of 2017, according to my notes, initially,
22 for a construction company to do the work. However, we still
23 needed to get clearance and approvals from TCEQ and UP. And TCEQ
24 followed up after having reviewed the plans and asked that a
25 filtration system be included. So we go back and ask that the

1 plans be revised. Well, UP had seen the original plans, but now
2 we had to have UP review the revised plans with the filtration
3 system. So we're adding -- there's time that is being added to
4 the process.

5 We eventually received UP approval and TCEQ approval of the
6 revised plans in February of 2018. And so you can have -- there
7 was a few months of delay there. But then, in addition to that,
8 we needed to execute a pipeline agreement with UP which would
9 allow the county to be able to work on site.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. That process took several months as attorneys from both the
12 county and UP were reviewing the document.

13 Q. So, Randy, at the time of the accident, was it still in that
14 attorney review process or --

15 A. The pipeline agreement was approved in October -- approved by
16 Commissioner's Court in October of 2018.

17 Q. And was there an intended construction start date? Did that
18 original bid, was that still valid?

19 A. Well, when we -- the original approval was in March of 2017,
20 and so now we're in October of 2018. We're over a year.

21 Q. Right.

22 A. And the concern obviously would be the increased costs
23 related to that original bid. And -- I'm sorry.

24 Q. So did you have to reissue the RFP or --

25 A. We -- the county had to re-bid the --

1 Q. The project?

2 A. The project.

3 Q. And when did that occur?

4 A. And so that occurred in -- we got approval to re-bid the
5 project in February of 2019.

6 Q. 2019.

7 A. Right. And so -- February 5th, actually, 2019.

8 Q. And you've got a great timeline there. I'm going to probably
9 have to request that timeline, as well. I think that really kind
10 of lays out a lot of information that I might not even be asking
11 you that I should. But the -- so we've got, so we're in -- okay,
12 so let's go to February of '19. So we've got an approval to put a
13 bid out on the street, right, to do an RFP?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. And what about after that? Was there an award that was made?

16 A. There was. And that --

17 Q. Is that sometime in the April time frame?

18 A. I'm sorry. Let me see if I have it on here. Oh, here we go.
19 So Commissioner's Court approved the award on May 14th, I show.

20 Q. Okay. So after the accident. So it was out -- it was in the
21 review process at the time of the accident?

22 A. In the process. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. I just have -- I have maybe one or two more questions
24 and then I'll pass it off here to my colleague. So what's been
25 done since the accident, anything, as far as the project?

1 A. From the county's --

2 Q. From the county's perspective.

3 A. Not from our perspective. Again, it's the county's
4 understanding that that now is the responsibility of the City of
5 Fort Worth after the conveyance. And we've not received
6 permission -- the contractor has not received permission to be on
7 site yet from UP, so we've not been on site.

8 Q. So you have a project that is approved by the state
9 environmental process and agreed to by the UP, you have a bid
10 that's been awarded, there's a whole construction plan, you're
11 just -- would it be fair to say that at this point you're waiting
12 for an agreement with the UP on when to schedule the work to be
13 completed?

14 A. Yes. The construction company that was awarded must have
15 what's called a contractor's right of entry form signed by UP, and
16 that has been in the process for a few weeks and I have not gotten
17 confirmation from the construction company that they've received
18 the signed form.

19 Q. Okay. And when we've talked about UP, is there a specific
20 department? Are you dealing with folks in Omaha? Are you dealing
21 with local?

22 A. I was dealing with a contact in Omaha.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. Do you need that name?

25 Q. That'd be great.

1 A. Justin Mahr, M-a-h-r.

2 Q. Okay.

3 A. But I believe he is no longer with UP.

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. There is a new contact. I believe his name is Craig. But
6 that contact has been dealing mostly with the construction
7 company. I called and left a message for him to call me back and
8 I don't recall getting a response.

9 Q. All right. Randy, thank you for answering my questions here.

10 A. Sure.

11 MR. FRIGO: Mr. Southworth?

12 MR. SOUTHWORTH: Okay.

13 BY MR. SOUTHWORTH:

14 Q. If we don't already have it, one of the things I'd like to
15 get is that hydrology study. That's fairly current. I mean,
16 2016. We're still in the 2000s.

17 A. Sure.

18 Q. As a drainage study as for how far that hydrology will go,
19 we'd like to have that and who did it. Do you know if it was Army
20 Corps, or did they get somebody else, or private?

21 A. Who did the study?

22 Q. Yeah.

23 A. TranSystems, a consulting --

24 Q. Consulting firm. Okay. So at the state level or county
25 level or city level, I guess, they would do an RFP and that

1 company got the bid to do the hydrology study?

2 A. The county, I believe. Yeah.

3 Q. Yeah, we'll get names from you when we're through here on the
4 environmental specialist, the precinct people that have to deal
5 with maintenance and mowing, the dam, and all that kind of stuff;
6 county engineer, he's going to be useful.

7 The UP contact, an attorney, that's not Justin Mahr, right?
8 There's been an attorney you guys have worked with at UP, as well?

9 A. That's where I'm a little -- I believe so, but that's where
10 I'm a little fuzzy. I know there were some emails that were going
11 back and forth between our county engineer and Mr. Mahr.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. But I don't know what communications very clearly were going
14 on between our DA's office and the attorney's.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. That's where I'm a little unsure.

17 Q. Okay. Just so we get another source to get additional
18 information that involves the transfer and stuff --

19 A. Yeah. Sure.

20 Q. -- and some of the lake matters and all that. Does a company
21 Railroad One or Rail One ring a bell?

22 A. I'm sorry?

23 Q. Rail One or Railroad One, does that ring a bell as a
24 contractor?

25 A. Not off the bat.

1 Q. Okay. Because I'm kind of interested to figure out -- I
2 mean, I've been out there working with the tank cars and doing all
3 kinds of investigative work with the tank cars that failed, and
4 while I was out there, they delivered a pipe, culvert. I didn't
5 measure it because it wasn't safe for me to be in that area. And
6 of course, the railroad has done a lot to restore the railroad
7 through that area. And I do know the pipe or culvert or whatever
8 is going to go into that railroad right about where the BN goes
9 overtop on a bridge. And the company that was out there was this
10 Rail One or Railroad One. I've seen them before all over Texas.
11 So I'm just wondering how they fit into --

12 A. I'm not familiar with that.

13 Q. I'm sure it's probably through UP directly, mostly, and they
14 probably use this Rail One for all kinds of things like signal
15 systems and it's just some contract work that they do outside of
16 the railroad and that's who they use. They're quite popular in
17 this area. I am getting some contact information from them just
18 so I know what's happening out there.

19 So I got to see the railroad after the incident, what's been
20 done since then. And we'll get similar information from the UP,
21 as well. And that will also lead us to what's been done or was
22 planned to be done with the existing 32-inch pipe, I guess, that's
23 out there. And we're talking about culverts, right?

24 A. Yeah, that's -- and I think -- I thought it was a 30-inch,
25 but I can't recall.

1 Q. Okay. Other than that, I'm making sure I understand, it's
2 Tarrant County really has the lake and most of what there is
3 about it until October 1st, then it's transferred to the City of
4 Forth Worth. But you guys still had an obligation, or however we
5 want to describe it, maybe obligation is the wrong word, for the
6 installation of the 42-inch culvert?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. And the location of that we can find out, I guess, if
9 we look at the RFP, or is that the pipe, maybe, I saw that got
10 delivered?

11 A. I have no idea. I'm not that familiar with that.

12 Q. Yeah. I mean, a truck pulled up and it had like four or five
13 of these big pipes, and they dropped off one and left. So I guess
14 they were going somewhere else with the other ones, probably not
15 even related to this at all. But they did drop off one. And then
16 from these Rail One people that were on site, they were able to
17 tell me that's the one that's going in under the railroad, under
18 the UP's railroad under the bridge. There's the bridge, there's
19 the UP, and this culvert's going under all of that.

20 A. Is this recent?

21 Q. Um-hum. This last week I was here. So -- yeah, the truck
22 pulled up while I was there. And then I saw the contractors and
23 said, what are we doing? That's what they told me. And I think
24 the same contractor probably was out there with the UP forces
25 reinstalling the signal systems and all that kind of stuff, as

1 well. But I haven't had a chance to follow up. Like I said, it
2 was just last Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday I was out there, so I
3 haven't had a chance to follow up yet on Rail One's role in this
4 and get with my UP spokesperson. I'm trying to keep these pieces
5 together in my mind, and I just wondered if it was anything you
6 might have seen.

7 MR. SOUTHWORTH: I don't have any further questions or
8 comments.

9 MR. FRIGO: Okay. I don't have any additional. So I think
10 we've got a pretty good list of requests. I can follow up with an
11 email, or why don't I just run through my list. Do you have
12 any -- do you want to make any clarifications first?

13 MR. ROBBINS: I was going to suggest, perhaps, we go off the
14 record and you and I can see if we have the same list, and then we
15 can come back on the record and put the list on there.

16 MR. FRIGO: We can do that. Or I can even just send you an
17 email. We can close this out and --

18 MR. ROBBINS: That works for me.

19 MR. FRIGO: -- I'll send you an email. Okay.

20 So, Randy, thank you for taking the time to talk with us this
21 morning. I appreciate you -- you know, I usually like to close
22 these things out by saying who else should we speak with, but I
23 think you've given us a list here already.

24 So is there anything else you might want to -- should we have
25 asked you something that we did not? Is there --

1 MR. SKINNER: I just want to be able to provide as much
2 information as I can, and I apologize if I'm not the most -- if I
3 can't provide you everything at this particular discussion. But
4 we can certainly answer any questions that you may have at a later
5 date.

6 MR. FRIGO: Oh, that's great.

7 MR. ROBBINS: Can we go off just a second. Let me talk to
8 him in the hall for about 10 seconds?

9 MR. FRIGO: Absolutely. We're going to go off the record
10 here.

11 (Off the record.)

12 (On the record.)

13 MR. FRIGO: Okay. And we're back on the record.

14 And the question I had asked prior to going off the record
15 was if there was anybody else that we should speak to or if you
16 had any other comments that you'd like to make.

17 MR. SKINNER: So I believe we had mentioned our county
18 engineer, our environmental specialist may be able to provide some
19 additional information for you in the investigation.

20 MR. FRIGO: Great.

21 MR. SKINNER: You had asked for the timeline.

22 MR. FRIGO: Absolutely.

23 MR. SKINNER: We can provide that for you as well.

24 MR. FRIGO: It looks to be a great timeline, so --

25 MR. SKINNER: It'll help you.

1 MR. FRIGO: That's great. Randy, thank you again for taking
2 the time to speak with us this morning. And with that, we'll go
3 off the record.

4 (Whereupon, the interview was concluded.)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceeding before the

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF: DERAILMENT OF UNION PACIFIC
RAILROAD TRAIN UEBLTG 20 WITH
SUBSEQUENT FIRE AND HAZARDOUS
MATERIALS RELEASE, FORT WORTH,
TEXAS, APRIL 24, 2019
Interview of Randall Skinner

ACCIDENT NO.: RRD19FR007

PLACE: Fort Worth, Texas

DATE: June 26, 2019

was held according to the record, and that this is the original,
complete, true and accurate transcript which has been transcribed
to the best of my skill and ability.



Lisa Fuerstenberg
Transcriber

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'L. Fuerstenberg', written over the printed name.