

Survival Factors Investigation Attachment NYCT Train Service Supervisor Train Operator Performance Criteria

Manhattan, NY #1 RRD24FR003 (4 pages)

New York City Transit

HEEP - Employee Evaluation History Report (Detail)

Parameters

From: 11/29/2022 <u>To:</u> 11/29/2023

<u>Pass:</u> 145074 <u>TSS/Manager pass:</u> 125037

Name: CHAPMAN, CRYSTAL <u>TSS/Manager Name:</u> CALLAHAN, JOHN

 Division:
 B
 RC:
 2374

 District:
 3
 Prom. Date:
 04/09/23

Evaluation type: ALL

Evaluated as: ROAD/YARD TO

D . (0 = 14 < 12 0	0.5/4.0/00	0=10=100	00/00/00	00/00/00	00/00/00	44/40/00
						09/30/23	
Fit for duty	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Has safety equipment	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Boarding train	S				G	S	
Bulletin board	S	S					
Cab door position	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Knowledge - running time	S	S			G		S
P.A. check at terminal	S	-	-	-	S	-	-
Use of P.A. system	S				G		
Use of radio	S	S			G	S	S
Standing brake test	S				G		
Rolling brake test	S				G		
Running brake test	S				G		
Judgment of speed/distanc	S	S	S		G	S	S
Schedule adherence	S	S	S	G	G		S
Speed passing stations						S	
Operations restrict area		S	S		G	S	
Operation - customer serv	S	S	S	S	G		S
Operation - lay up tracks						S	
Safety Stops							
Proper station stop	S	S	S	S	S		S
Reaction to signals	S	S	S	_	S	S	S
Side and end signs	S	G	S	G	G	S	S
Signal comprehension	S	S	S		S	S	S
Buzzer/Horn Signals	_	S	S	_	G	S	
Speed over switches	S	S	S		G	S	S
Knows Flagging		S	S				
Preparing Train-Service							
Coupling/Uncoupling							
Securing Train					G	S	
OVERALL	S	S	S	G	G	S	S
Evaluated by TSS/Manager	104153	285729	427007	727737	259025	125037	125037

Legend:

- NA
- **10** 10-month
- 2W 2-week
- 6W 6-week
- 8M 8-month
- E Excellent
- G Good
- M Marginal
- N No
- NI Needs Improvement
- OT Other
- P Poor
- PO Posting
- PR Probationary
- S Satisfactory
- U Unsatisfactory
- V Verbal
- VG Very Good
- Y Yes
- Not applicable
- Not applicate.

 Not Required

 Not used in evaluation

 From:
 Hazel, Henri

 To:
 Sheryl Harley

Subject: RE: Clarification of evaluation by TSS

Date: Wednesday, February 21, 2024 1:53:17 PM

[CAUTION] This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Satisfactory = meets the minimum standards of the task being critiqued on. Good = above the minimum standards. A satisfactory employee knows how to complete a specific task. A good employee knows how to complete the task and why they are performing the task.

From: Sheryl Harley

Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2024 12:37 PM

To: Hazel, Henri <

Subject: Clarification of evaluation by TSS

Good afternoon,

One other question. I see on the operator's evaluation history that the TSS has rated some elements as Good and others as Satisfactory. Can you explain the difference to me? Is one deemed a higher classification of job performance than the other? I want to understand the rating system and what the standards are. Thank you.

Sheryl The state of the state