UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

FRANCIS SCOTT KEY BRIDGE

COLLAPSES AFTER VESSEL * Accident No.: DCA24MM031 COLLISION IN BALTIMORE. *

COLLISION IN BALTIMORE,
MARYLAND ON MARCH 26, 2024

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Interview of: RUEL SABELLANO, Manager, Structures Engineering

Maryland Transportation Authority

via telephone

Wednesday, April 24, 2024

APPEARANCES:

DAN WALSH, Highway Factors Investigator National Transportation Safety Board

SCOTT PARENT, Highway Factors Investigator National Transportation Safety Board

RYAN BOUNDS, Assistant Attorney General State of Maryland

I N D E X

ITEM	PAGE
Interview of Ruel Sabellano:	
By Mr. Walsh	4
By Mr. Parent	7

1 INTERVIEW 2 (1:00 p.m.)3 MR. WALSH: Today is Wednesday, April 24th, 2024, the time is 4 about 1:00 p.m. Central Daylight Time. This interview is in 5 regard to the Key Bridge collapse in Baltimore, Maryland, that 6 occurred on March 26th, 2024. My name is Dan Walsh, D-a-n 7 W-a-l-s-h, highway factors investigator in the NTSB's Office of 8 Highway Safety. And with me is Mr. Parent. 9 MR. PARENT: Hello. Scott Parent, S-c-o-t-t P-a-r-e-n-t. I, 10 too, am a highway factors investigator with NTSB in the Office of 11 Highway Safety. 12 MR. WALSH: Mr. Bounds. 13 Good afternoon. Ryan Bounds, R-y-a-n MR. BOUNDS: 14 I'm an assistant attorney general with the state of B-o-u-n-d-s. 15 Maryland. 16 MR. WALSH: And Mr. Sabellano. 17 MR. SABELLANO: Yeah, my name is Ruel Sabellano, R-u-e-l 18 S-a-b-e-l-l-a-n-o, and I'm the structures engineering manager at 19 MDTA. 2.0 Thank you. MR. WALSH: 21 INTERVIEW OF RUEL SABELLANO 22 BY MR. WALSH: 23 And Mr. Sabellano, how long have you been employed with the

I've been employed with MDTA since 2015, so about -- about

Maryland Transportation Authority?

24

25

nine years.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

MR. WALSH: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Parent will continue with the questioning.

MR. PARENT: Dan, I'm going to defer to you and then if I have any questions, I will follow up.

MR. WALSH: Okay, thank you.

BY MR. WALSH:

- Q. Mr. Sabellano, did the Francis Scott Key Bridge have a bridge warning system that minimizes the loss of life that may occur in the event of a catastrophic collapse of a bridge due to a vessel collision?
- A. Yeah, Mr. Walsh, as far as I know, we don't have any, but this idea, intelligent warning systems are usually handled by our electrical group, the ITS electrical department should -- you know, usually have all these intelligent systems.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of other bridges owned and operated by the Maryland Transportation Authority that have bridge warning systems?
- A. I would say the same, the same response, as far as I know.

 But again, I'll defer that to our electrical ITS department for --
- 21 there might be some other information that I may not know.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if the Maryland Transportation Authority
 has contemplated using bridge warning systems in the past on
- 24 | bridges they own and operate?
- 25 A. As far as I know, I -- I do not know, no.

6

- Q. Okay.
- 2 A. I do not know (indiscernible).
- 3 \parallel Q. Okay. In terms of pier monitoring systems, did the Francis
- 4 | Scott Key Bridge have a pier monitoring system that provides
- 5 warnings if the pier protection has been impacted by a vessel?
- 6 A. It's the same response as my previous, previous questions, as
- 7 | far as know, I don't think we have, but I would defer that
- 8 | question to our electrical ITS group.
- 9 Q. Please discuss any Maryland Transportation Authority training
- 10 | that was given to Brawner Builders before concrete repairs began
- 11 on the bridge deck.
- 12 A. As per my capacity as the structures engineering manager, my
- 13 answer to that is I do not know that the training -- once the --
- 14 | because once the contract is out and it's awarded, it would be
- 15 \parallel administered by our construction group. Those trainings should be
- 16 part of -- in the contract documents, all the required training
- 17 | should be part of the contract, contract documents that Brawner
- 18 | must fulfill.
- 19 Q. Okay. Do you know if any of the training entailed the
- 20 | potential for bridge strikes?
- 21 A. Can you repeat the question again, sir?
- 22 \parallel Q. Sure. Do you know if any of the training entailed the
- 23 | potential for bridge strikes?
- 24 A. As far as I know, and based on the contract that I prepared,
- 25 you know, this particular contract, we don't have that, that kind

of training. But in the contract it mentions all the trainings, you know, hazardous materials, BPs (ph.), all trainings and 2 3 everything that is needed for the kind of situations. I think 4 it's in the -- it's in the contract, it's the contractor's 5 responsibility to make sure that their staff are trained before they do the job and before they do the job, they're required to 6 7 submit a -- what we call a safety plan and the safety plan, that should be submitted by -- by the contractor, should identify all 8 9 those hazards and along with it all the trainings that may come

- Q. In light of this collapse, does the Maryland Transportation

 Authority have any plans to improve the pier protection on bridges
 they own and operate?
- A. Based on the experience that -- you know, with this incident, I believe there will be some considerations. Again, I'm not in the capacity to say yes or no to that for sure, it's because, you know, I'm a mid-level manager. However, again, based on this incident, based on the lessons learned, we will look into that and there will be some considerations.

MR. WALSH: Okay. Mr. Parent will continue with the questioning.

BY MR. PARENT:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

with it.

Q. Yeah, just on the pier protection, kind of following up with Dan, have you guys decided whether or not you're going to evaluate the protection provided by whatever pier protection there might be

- 1 at the other bridges that are owned by MDTA? Or have you -- or 2 have the evaluations started?
- 3 A. Yeah, we are in the process of -- you know, based on this
- 4 | experience right now, you know, like I mentioned earlier, the
- 5 | lessons learned from this incident, we'll also try to look into
- 6 what we can do with the other bridges.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . Do you know how that evaluation is going to happen, like what
- 8 | are you going to use to evaluate the level of protection provided
- 9 | -- how many bridges do you have, four?
- 10 A. There are tunnel-bridges that -- that have navigable waters?
- 11 | Q. Yeah, MDTA, how many -- how many bridges --
- 12 | A. MDTA.
- 13 | O. -- does MDTA --
- 14 A. So we have the Key Bridge, the Bay Bridge -- about five
- 15 \parallel bridges including -- including -- including the Key Bridge. We
- 16 | have Key Bridge, Hatem Bridge, Tydings, and we also have the Bay
- 17 | Bridge and Nice Bridge, yeah.
- 18 \parallel Q. And so what type of evaluation are you going to do? How are
- 19 you going to look at the level of protection that would be
- 20 | provided, for instance, the pier protection at the Bay Bridge?
- 21 | A. Right now I do not know, but we are in the process of doing
- 22 | that. You know, I can't tell you the details, but we are -- you
- 23 | know, based on this incident, we are looking into this to make
- 24 | sure we -- you know, we apply all the lessons learned.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} . And if during that evaluation it's determined that a higher

С

- 1 level of pier protection might be needed, what are some of the
- 2 pitfalls that you might encounter as far as installing more robust
- 3 pier protection?
- 4 A. What might be some --
- $5 \parallel Q$. Like, you know, would there be funding issues, like --
- 6 A. Funding issues.
- 7 $\|Q$. -- how would you overcome issues that you might encounter as
- 8 | far as making more robust pier protection around the bridges, at
- 9 | least that MDTA is responsible for?
- 10 A. Yeah, funding is always going to be a challenge, there's
- 11 | always competing priorities within each agency, like MDTA, and
- 12 | things like this are very important, you know, we do consider all
- 13 | available funding sources, if there's anything. You know, that
- 14 the federal can lend a hand with, that would probably be the --
- 15 | like grants, all those other stuff coming from -- from the Feds,
- 16 | from the FHWA, that will be greatly appreciated, yeah.
- 17 $\|Q$. Regarding the Bay Bridge, what type of pier protection is at
- 18 | the Bay Bridge currently?
- 19 A. The pier protection at the Bay Bridge, yeah, we do have some
- 20 | fender systems right now around, around the piers.
- 21 \parallel Q. Are there dolphins similar to the ones at the Key Bridge?
- 22 | A. I can't remember for sure (indiscernible), you know. Yeah.
- 23 | So I don't know.
- MR. PARENT: Okay. I don't think I have any other questions,
- 25 Dan. I think that pretty much covers it for me.

1	MR. WALSH: Okay, thank you. The time is now 1:10 p.m.
2	Central Daylight Time, we are going to go ahead and conclude the
3	interview. Thank you very much, Mr. Sabellano, for your
4	participation.
5	MR. SABELLANO: Thank you, sir. Appreciate it.
6	MR. PARENT: Thank you very much, appreciate it.
7	(Whereupon, at 1:10 p.m., the interview concluded.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceeding before the

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF: FRANCIS SCOTT KEY BRIDGE COLLAPSES AFTER

CARGO VESSEL COLLISION IN BALTIMORE,

MARYLAND ON MARCH 26, 2024 Interview of Ruel Sabellano

ACCIDENT NO.: DCA24MM031

PLACE: via telephone

DATE: April 24, 2024

was held according to the record, and that this is the original, complete, true and accurate transcript which has been transcribed to the best of my skill and ability.

Comment of the second

David A. Martini Transcriber

National Transportation Safety Board

Office of Highway Safety

Washington, DC 20594

Sign



Date: 05/24/2024

Sheet 1 of 1 **Interview Clarification Form** NTSB Interview of: RUEL SABELLANO, Manager, Structures Engineering Maryland Transportation Authority NTSB Case Number: DCA24MM031 Date of Interview: April 24, 2024 INSTRUCTIONS: After reading the transcript or summary of your interview, please note any clarifications or additions on this sheet. If additional space is needed, make as many additional copies of the second page as necessary. When completed, please sign the bottom of each sheet. DO NOT make any marks or notations on the actual transcript. If there are no changes, please check this box and sign the bottom of this sheet. Page: 7 Now reads: you know, hazardous materials, BPs (ph.), all trainings and Should read: you know, hazardous materials, PPEs, all trainings and Reason for Change: Meant to say PPE instead of BPs (ph) Line: _____ Page: _____ Now reads: _____ Reason for Change: _____ Line: _____ Now reads: _____ Should read: _____ Reason for Change: Line: _____ Page: _____ Now reads: Reason for Change: I declare that I have read my foregoing interview transcript or summary and, together with any clarifications and/or additions made above, the facts stated herein are true. Name: Ruel Sabellano