## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

### NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Investigation of:

FATAL FIRE AND SINKING OF THE

\* Accident No.: DCA20FM026 DREDGE WAYMON L BOYD IN CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS, ON AUGUST 21, 2020 \*

Interview of: RYAN GAMMONS, Director, HSE

Orion Marine Group

Via Microsoft Teams

Friday, April 9, 2021

#### **APPEARANCES:**

LUKE WISNIEWSKI, Investigator in Charge National Transportation Safety Board

ANDREW EHLERS, Marine Accident Investigator National Transportation Safety Board

PAUL STANCIL, Rail and Pipeline Accident Investigator National Transportation Safety Board

MICHAEL HOEPF, Human Performance Investigator National Transportation Safety Board

Investigating Officer U.S. Coast Guard

JEFF MORTON, Senior Director of Transportation Compliance Enterprise Products

NHAN TRUONG, Manager of Compliance Enterprise Products

GRAHAM KENYON, Vice President of Risk Management Orion Marine Group

RON PEREZ, Inspector
Railroad Commission of Texas

HEATHER von STERNBERG, Attorney
(On behalf of Mr. Gammons)

# I N D E X

ITEM		PAGE
Interview o	Ryan Gammons:	
В	Mr. Ehlers	7
В	Mr. Wisniewski	29
В	Mr. Stancil	37
В	Mr. Hoepf	42
В		56
В	Mr. Kenyon	58
В	Mr. Ehlers	59
В	Mr. Wisniewski	63
В	Mr. Stancil	73
В	Mr. Hoepf	74
В		76

### INTERVIEW

(12:05 a.m.)

MR. EHLERS: Okay, the recording has started. It's approximately 12:05 p.m. Central Standard Time and on April 9th, 2020 [sic]. This is the interview of Mr. Ryan Gammons, and did I say that correct?

MR. GAMMONS: Yes, sir.

2.0

MR. EHLERS: Okay, director of health, safety, and environmental for the Orion Group. This interview is being conducted in conjunction with the investigation into the explosion and fire involving the dredge Waymon L Boyd in the Corpus Christ ship channel on August 21st, 2020. The NTSB reference number for this accident is DCA20FM026.

First, can I ask you to say and spell your last name? Say your first and last name and spell your last name.

MR. GAMMONS: Ryan Gammons, G-a-m-m-o-n-s.

MR. EHLERS: Okay. And I'm going to repeat a couple things that I said to you earlier and just so it's on the record. This interview is being recorded. Do you consent to this recording?

MR. GAMMONS: I do.

MR. EHLERS: All right. This interview is being conducted in conjunction with a safety investigation, the purpose of which is to learn the facts of the accident, determine a probable cause, and prevent future accidents of this nature. Having said that, the NTSB cannot offer any guarantee of confidentiality or immunity

1 from legal action. Do you understand this? I do. 2 MR. GAMMONS: 3 MR. EHLERS: Okay, thank you very much. What I'm going to do 4 now is have everyone in the virtual room and the real room there 5 introduce themselves. 6 Again, my name is Drew Ehlers, my last name is spelled 7 E-h-l-e-r-s. I'm the marine accident investigator in the nautical 8 operations group for this investigation with the NTSB. 9 We'll turn it over to my colleague, Luke Wisniewski, who's 10 the investigator in charge. MR. WISNIEWSKI: Good afternoon, Mr. Gammons. 11 Yes, 12 Luke Wisniewski, W-i-s-n-i-e-w-s-k-i. I'm the investigator in 13 charge with the NTSB. 14 MR. EHLERS: Okay, Paul. 15 MR. STANCIL: Yes, I'm Paul Stancil, spelled S-t-a-n-c-i-l. 16 I am the pipeline group chairman for this investigation with the 17 NTSB. 18 MR. EHLERS: All right. And Mike. 19 MR. HOEPF: Good afternoon, I am Mike Hoepf, H-o-e-p-f. 2.0 a human performance and assistant safety investigator with the 21 NTSB. MR. EHLERS: Okay. Next, I'd like to turn it over to the 22 Coast Guard for introduction. 23 24 Hey, thanks, Drew. Good afternoon. 25 Senior Investigating Officer here at Sector Corpus Christi.

last name is All right, thank you very much. And I do not 2 MR. EHLERS: 3 see another representative from Orion. Heather, do you know, is 4 Graham or Matt or anyone also going to attend? 5 MS. VON STERNBERG: Yes, Graham's sitting here with us. 6 MR. EHLERS: I see, okay. All right, thank you very much. 7 Graham, if you don't mind, go ahead and introduce yourself. MR. KENYON: My name is Graham Kenyon, I'm the VP of risk 8 9 management for Orion. The last name Kenyon, K-e-n-y-o-n. 10 MR. EHLERS: Okay. And while we're in the room there, 11 Heather, if you would introduce yourself. 12 MS. VON STERNBERG: Sure. Heather von Sternberg. The last 13 name is spelled v-o-n S-t-e-r-n-b-e-r-q. I'm here on behalf of 14 Mr. Gammons. 15 MR. EHLERS: Okay. And is anyone else in the room there? 16 MS. VON STERNBERG: No, just the three of us. 17 MR. EHLERS: Okay, thanks very much. Okay, now I'd like to 18 turn it over to Enterprise. 19 MR. TRUONG: This is Nhan Truong. Last name is T-r-u-o-n-g. 2.0 And Jeff will be showing up late. Perhaps he's on a flight, so --21 MR. EHLERS: Okay. 22 MR. TRUONG: -- I'm just giving you a heads-up, that's all. 23 Okay. And could you explain who Jeff is, for MR. EHLERS: 24 the purpose of the interview, Nhan? 25 MR. TRUONG: Yes, sir. Jeff Morton, M-o-t -- I'm sorry,

1 M-o-r-t-o-n. He's the director of transportation compliance with 2 Enterprise Products.

MR. EHLERS: Okay. And for the recording, he may be joining later from Enterprise. All right.

And Mr. Perez.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

MR. PEREZ: Good afternoon, this is Ron Perez, P-e-r-e-z.

I'm a regional team lead for the Railroad Commission of Texas out of Region 7.

MR. EHLERS: Okay. And I believe I've caught everybody. Is there anyone, though, that I have missed?

(No audible response.)

MR. EHLERS: Okay, Mr. Gammons, we'll get started with the interview here. Again, thank you very much for agreeing to talk with us and again, any questions you have before we get started?

MR. GAMMONS: No, sir.

MR. EHLERS: Okay, thanks.

### INTERVIEW OF RYAN GAMMONS

BY MR. EHLERS:

- Q. All right, to start, could you just give us a little bit about your background, your education, starting with your educational background and your work history?
- A. Sure. I went to Central Washington University and received a bachelor's of science of safety and health management with a specialization in construction safety. I graduated in 2006 and have worked in the safety profession as a certified safety

professional since.

- 2 Q. Okay. And how long have you worked for Orion?
  - A. I started with Orion in November of 2018.
- 4 Q. Okay. And before that, can you give us an overview of the
- 5 companies or types of companies you worked with?
- 6 A. Sure. I started my career as an intern with General
- 7 | Construction Company in the Pacific Northwest, their subsidiary,
- 8 the Hewitt Corporation. They're the marine construction and
- 9 dredging segment of Hewitt on the West Coast, Alaska, and Hawaii.
- 10 | I spent time with them until 2010 when I transferred Hewitt
- 11 | regions to the Hewitt infrastructure west group and spent the next
- 12 | 6 years with them until 2016 when I left Hewitt.
- 13 I started with a company called Condon-Johnson & Associates,
- 14 | they're a foundational drilling and underground engineering group
- 15 | in the Bay Area of California. I worked with them from June of
- 16 16 until November of '18 when I came on with Orion.
- 17  $\|Q$ . All right, thanks. And what is your current position and
- 18 | official title?
- 19 A. I am the director of health, safety, and environmental for
- 20 Orion Marine Group.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And is that the position you've had since you started
- 22 | with Orion?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. Do your duties extend beyond Orion Marine Group? I
- 25 | know there's a cement construction side, or is it strictly on the

C

marine side?

- 2 | A. I'm strictly on the marine side.
- Q. Okay. All right, thanks. Can you describe what your duties
- 4 | are in your position?
- 5 A. As the director of HSE, I direct my reports, the regional HSE
- 6 managers that cover our construction and dredging work from
- 7 | basically up in Alaska all the way down to the Caribbean or
- 8 | Central America. We have five regional offices and each has a
- 9 regional HSE manager that reports to me and then they all have a
- 10 | variable number of HSE supervisors that report to them that, in
- 11 | the end, I have responsibility for, as well, along with the HSE
- 12 department.
- 13 | Q. Okay. How big would you say your organization is? Do you
- 14 have a ballpark figure?
- 15 | A. The Orion Marine Group or the total Orion Group Holdings?
- 16 Q. Actually, the HSE group.
- 17 | A. You're talking number of people?
- 18 | O. Yes.
- 19 A. I believe there's 17 right now.
- 20 | 0. Okay.
- 21 A. Safety professionals.
- 22 | Q. And you mentioned you had several regions. How are the
- 23 | regions broken up? And probably specifically to Texas.
- 24 | A. Sure. We have our Gulf Coast construction region in Texas
- 25 | that's out of Deer Park near Houston, and then we have the Port

- 1 Lavaca dredging operations out of Port Lavaca, Texas, and then we
- 2 | have the other regions across the company -- country.
- 3 ||Q. Okay. So the construction side in Texas and the dredging
- 4 | side are two separate -- considered two separate regions?
- $5 \parallel A$ . Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Okay. How many HSE folks do you have working on the dredging
- 7 || side?
- 8 A. We have seven, I believe.
- 9 Q. Okay, all right. How are they organized? Is it one assigned
- 10 | to each dredge or how does that work?
- 11 A. We have one regional HSE manager, we have an HSE coordinator
- 12 | that's assisting to the manager, and then we have five HSE
- 13 | supervisors that are each assigned to a vessel.
- 14 | 0. Okay.
- 15 A. Dredge.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. And so who was directly assigned with HSE oversight of the
- 17 | Waymon L Boyd?
- 18 | | A. We did not have somebody directly assigned to that vessel at
- 19 | that point. We had multiple HSE supervisors in the area that were
- 20 | lending their expertise when needed.
- 21  $\|Q$ . Okay, all right. This is going to be a very general
- 22 | question, but can you describe the overall safety program for
- 23 | Orion Marine Group?
- 24 A. The overall safety program, I guess, yeah, that is pretty
- 25 | general. What do you mean by that?

- Q. Well, how are you organized? Like, for instance, do you have a safety management system that you use? Do you have general quidelines? How does that work?
  - A. Okay. We have our HSE policy and procedures manual that we've developed through years of how to do business safely in our work. We also utilize, as you mentioned, a safety management system that is HCSS Safety and --
    - MS. VON STERNBERG: We have background noise, as well, here.
    - MR. GAMMONS: Little bit of background noise, there's construction going on here.
- MR. EHLERS: Oh, okay.
  - MR. GAMMONS: We have HCSS Safety that we utilize the electronic format, iPads, iPhones, that sort of thing, to assist our projects and our project teams with the paperwork side of safety, as well, and document retention.
- 16 BY MR. EHLERS:

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

- Q. Okay. Does that HCSS Safety program, does that utilize all the way down onto the deck plate dredge level or is that for your managers, your safety managers?
- 20 A. No, everywhere. Every job, every dredge, every construction 21 site, they are all utilized in it.
- Q. Okay. Does the system include -- is it for reporting, is it
  -- does it have things like procedures and stuff like that in it,
  emergency actions, anything? What kind of things are resident in
  HCSS?

- 1 A. All the above that you just mentioned. It has our procedures
- 2 | in it for reference so our crews and leaders can access
- 3 | information as they need. It's a point for them to document their
- 4 daily, weekly, monthly interactions when it comes to safety across
- 5 the gamut of all the things that their requirements are for their
- 6 | job and for their vessel. And it also allows us to input site-
- 7 | specific things into it, as well.
- 8 Q. Okay. And when you said procedures, is it safety procedures
- 9 or is this operational procedures, how to operate a piece of
- 10 | equipment, that kind of thing?
- 11 A. Strictly safety policies and procedures.
- 12 | Q. Okay, all right. Do you have an audit system for your safety
- 13 program, either an internal or external audit?
- 14 A. We do have an internal system, yeah.
- 15 ||Q|. Okay. How does that work?
- 16  $\mid A$ . We have an internal audit department in the company that
- 17 | yearly does an audit of random departments and it so happens I was
- 18 | in one of those departments recently.
- 19 | Q. Okay. And is that -- was that audit done -- have you had an
- 20 | audit previously of your department since you've been at the
- 21 | company?
- 22 | A. No, sir.
- 23 | Q. Okay. When was that audit, when did that take place?
- 24 | A. I believe it was 2020. I don't remember the exact month.
- 25 | Q. Okay. Was that prior to or after the accident?

- A. Prior to.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Okay, all right. So it wasn't generated as a result of the
- 3 | accident or anything like that?
- 4 | A. No, sir.
- $5 \parallel Q$ . Okay. What were the -- it's probably too much to ask, but
- 6 | I'll ask it anyway. What was the outcome of that audit?
- 7 | A. Very favorable. Very good. There were a few minor findings
- 8 of specific job locations of that sort that needed to have
- 9 improvements, but other than that it was very, very favorable for
- 10 | the program and the policies being implemented successfully.
- 11 | Q. Okay, all right. We were provided a couple of site-specific
- 12 | safety plans, most notably for the Epic dock project, but also
- 13 some examples of other projects that had taken place with the
- 14 Waymon L Boyd. Can you tell me when a site-specific safety plan
- 15 | is required?
- 16 A. Every job, every scope of work that we have, we have a
- 17 | planning team and most of the time that would be a site-specific
- 18 | safety plan, that would be the ideal way to plan for an upcoming
- 19 project. Now, there's other -- there's some projects that don't
- 20 | need it due to size or scope of work or length of time that our --
- 21 | you know, we're going to be out on the job, but most of our
- 22 | dredging jobs would have it and it's also usually a requirement
- 23 | from the client, whoever that may be, to submit some form of
- 24 pre-work safety plan that suffices them.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And so of the plans that we were sent, a couple of

- 1 | them had what was called an accident prevention plan. Can you
- 2 | tell me when -- what's the difference why one job would require an
- 3 | accident prevention plan and another a site-specific plan?
- 4 | A. The client. APPs, accident prevention plans, are required by
- 5 | the Corps of Engineers.
- 6 Q. Okay. And is that --
- 7 A. (Indiscernible).
- 8 | Q. Okay. And is that done in lieu of a site-specific safety
- 9 plan?
- 10 A. Yes, sir. Just a different format. Basically the same, the
- 11 same -- the same thing.
- 12 | Q. Okay. And then you mentioned some jobs don't require a plan
- 13 | because of scope or such. Do you have a set threshold in which --
- 14 or a set of criteria in which it's required and not required, the
- 15 | safety plan?
- 16 | A. I do not have a set criteria. It's pretty understood by my
- 17 | regional HSE managers as to what my expectations are in that
- 18 | realm.
- 19 Q. Okay, all right. And so that probably leads to the next
- 20 | question, which is, who's responsible for drafting the safety
- 21 | plans?
- 22 | A. It's the regional HSE teams.
- 23 | Q. Okay. So as a group, in other words, it's not a single
- 24 person?
- 25 || A. No. There's certain times when a site safety supervisor or

- HSE supervisor would do it, if they're going to be assigned to a project long term and we feel that they want full ownership of all documents, generating safety plans. Other times, if there's not a site safety supervisor assigned to the project, a regional HSE supervisor or manager would complete it or there could be a combination of the two of those titles helping to assist to put
- 8 Q. Okay. Can you describe the process for building a safety 9 plan?
  - A. Yeah, looking at what the scope of work is, getting with the management team or the estimating team or the bid review team, understanding what the job is going to entail, and then basically just taking a site-specific template and filling it out to make it specific to the project that we are going forward to build.
  - Q. Okay. Does the process involve a risk assessment?
- 16 A. Sometimes, yes, depending on the type of work.

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

together one.

- Q. And how does that work? How is a risk assessment done, what's the process for that?
- A. It's not a specific process, it's more of when -- during the discovery of how the job is, or the focus of the job or the scope of project, through the bid team to the estimate team and the project management team, along with the safety team, to understand what, you know, different aspects of the work that could be abnormally risky or could be abnormally hazardous, where they would then take that and build off onto the site-specific safety

- l plan in more detail on that specific hazard.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Okay. Do you have any sort of checklist or a list of
- 3 potential hazards that you run through with the -- with the
- 4 project team for discovery?
- 5 A. Each regional HSE manager has their own internal expectations
- 6 | for what their scope of work is and to be completed.
- 7 ||Q|. Okay. Does the process involve a site visit prior to the
- 8 job?
- 9 A. If available, if accessible, yes, the HSE supervisors or the
- 10 | manager of that region will always try to get to the site prior to
- 11 | construction or dredging.
- 12 | Q. Okay. Well, I'll ask the questions one at a time. Number
- 13 one, do you know, was a risk assessment done for the Epic phase 2
- 14 | project?
- 15 | A. I know a site-specific safety plan was put together, I know
- 16 | there was some communication between the project management team
- 17 | and the regional management of the safety group. I couldn't speak
- 18 | to what sort of risk assessment was done prior to that job.
- 19 Q. Okay. And do you know if they did a site visit ahead of
- 20 | time?
- 21 | A. I'm not aware if the regional HSE team did. I know we have
- 22 | been to that project location before for phase 1 of that project
- 23 | so they were familiar with that location, with that site, and I
- 24 | know there was a pre-job startup visit by the project management
- 25 | team.

- 1 Q. Okay. Who's required to review the safety plan, the site-
- 2 | specific safety plan?
- 3 | A. Between the regional HSE manager and the project manager in
- 4 | charge of the project.
- 5 || Q. Okay. And then what --
- 6 || A. Do you mean like a final review before it's --
- 7 Q. Yeah, yeah.
- 8 A. Yeah, those would be the two parties.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then once it's been approved, who then -- who is
- 10 | it distributed to?
- 11 A. The project team.
- 12 Q. Okay. And that includes who?
- 13 A. Field supervision, top management, anybody who's involved as
- 14 a supervisory level of the job.
- 15  $\|Q$ . Okay. And is it done via e-mail, a paper copy?
- 16 | A. All of the above. It's distributed out to e-mail, it's
- 17 | printed out and usually kept on the vessels or on the job sites in
- 18 | trailers and, you know, each person then has it in their computer
- 19 | files, as well, to be able to reference or communicate as needed.
- 20 | Q. Okay. Is there any documentation to show hey, that each of
- 21 | the key personnel has reviewed the plan prior to project start?
- 22 A. I don't believe so.
- 23 | Q. Okay, all right. In the Epic dock site-specific safety plan,
- 24 we noted that excavation around pipelines is not one of the
- 25 potential hazards listed. Would this normally be included on a

- 1 | safety plan if there is a pipe hazard, pipeline hazard?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you know why it wasn't specifically noted in this
- 4 | report or in this plan?
- 5 | A. I would assume that it wasn't because the pipe was not in the
- 6 dredge scope of work.
- 7 || Q. Okay, all right. The SSSP mentions a supervisor accident
- 8 | incident near miss investigation report. Can you describe the
- 9 purpose of that report?
- 10 A. An internal investigation report for us to utilize when
- 11 | there's an incident.
- 12 | Q. Okay. What's included in that report?
- 13 | A. There's many -- it's a long report, it's everything from the
- 14 | involved parties' personal information up to what transpired, what
- 15 we're going to do to correct it and so on.
- 16 Q. Okay. So it includes corrective actions?
- 17 | A. It does.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And then how are those corrective actions
- 19 | accomplished?
- 20 | A. It depends on the corrective actions. I mean, there's many
- 21 | ways, right? Between the regional HSE team and the regional vice
- 22 | president and the regional teams themselves, they would have
- 23 | communications on those corrective actions, whether that's
- 24 physically out on the project site, an e-mail that goes out, it
- 25 depends. I mean, we're talking about a grand scope of incidents

- 1 here, everything from a small near miss or, you know --
- 2 | Q. Sure.
- 3 A. -- someone needs first aid all the way up to, you know,
- 4 | reportables of that nature. So it varies.
- 5 | Q. Okay. Is anyone tracking completion of the corrective
- 6 | actions?
- 7 A. The regional HSE teams do, yes.
- 8 | Q. Okay. And do they do that by a database or how is that done?
- 9 A. They're all different, they all vary.
- 10 | Q. Okay. Do you know, were there any reportable near misses or
- 11 | incidents in the Waymon L Boyd's project? This is prior to the
- 12 | accident.
- 13 A. There was a reportable accident that occurred sometime before
- 14 the incident. We're referencing where an employee injured his
- 15 | finger.
- 16  $\|Q$ . Okay. And a report was generated for that?
- 17 || A. Yeah, that was not on the dredge, it was on a booster pump
- 18 down the waterway.
- 19 Q. Okay. But an incident was generated for that, that incident,
- 20 | that injury?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And were there corrective actions that came from that
- 23 | accident?
- 24 A. There were.
- 25 | Q. Okay. Do you remember what they were?

- 1 A. Not off the top of my head. I know it had something to do
- 2 | with tool use.
- 3 | Q. Okay. Hold on just a second. Do you keep data from these
- 4 | reports? Do you keep data on accidents, like numbers and types of
- 5 | accidents that occur over the years?
- 6 A. Every single one.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And has there ever been an accident involving a
- 8 | pipeline and an Orion dredge?
- 9 A. Not in my time here. Not to my knowledge, ever, to be
- 10 | honest.
- 11  $\|Q$ . Okay. Is that something that we could confirm?
- 12 A. What's that?
- 13 Q. Can we confirm that? You said not to your knowledge. I know
- 14 | it's been 3 years. I assume the data goes back further.
- 15 || A. There is not anything that has not happened while I've been
- 16 | here. To my knowledge, I don't believe it has ever happened
- 17 prior.
- 18  $\|Q$ . Okay, all right. So the next thing I'd like to talk about is
- 19 | job safety analysis or job hazard analysis. Again, we've been
- 20 | provided several examples of those and in fact, the JSAs that were
- 21 | generated as part of the Waymon L Boyd's last project. What's the
- 22 | purpose of a JSA?
- 23 A. To recognize risk and mitigate it.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And how is it used?
- 25 A. Lots of different ways. We have many forms of the job hazard

analysis, we have paper forms, we have job hazard analyses in our HCSS Safety system that we utilize, what we call mini JHAs, which is just a pocket flip book for small operations or small tasks that need to be completed, that we would then recognize the hazards, first the steps of that task, as it's associated with each step, and then the mitigating factors we would apply to ensure the hazards do not create injury or incidents. Okay. So the JSAs I've seen is a form, but how is that operationalized, let's say? How does the deck plate mate or deckhand, how does that -- how does he use that or she use that? JHAs are created with crew involvement, so they're not just typed up and then put to the corner. They are utilized through the life of the task or operation as a tool that can be communicated and used for knowledge for all the crews and have, you know, a morning meeting or a pre-shift meeting aspect to it and then if there's a live document that has evolved as the scope of work eventually evolves. The construction thing's the same. Okay. So you mentioned, I think, the pocket version and then also an electronic version. For that electronic version, how is that created, is it -- in other words, is it manually typed into a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

25

form, is there pull-down menus where you can select different
hazards? How does that work?

A. For the electronic version, all of the above. You can
manually type, you can select drop-down boxes of -- you know, if

they're struck by hazards, they can give you some blueprints to

- l  $\|$  then build off of.
- 2 | Q. Okay. Is a pipeline hazard one of the drop-down menus that
- 3 | you know of?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 | Q. Okay.
- 6 A. (Indiscernible) in general would be, but I don't know if
- 7 | pipeline is on there.
- 8 Q. Okay, all right. Let's see here. So the JSAs that we were
- 9 provided were, I believe, all from the morning meetings. Are JSAs
- 10 | required for that morning meeting? I shouldn't say morning
- 11 | meeting, the shift start meeting, so there's also one in the
- 12 | evening that we had JSAs, as well.
- 13 A. JHAs are required for each operation and each task to be
- 14 completed. It doesn't necessarily have to be a pre-shift meeting,
- 15 || it could be a midday meeting, it could be, you know, at any time
- 16 when a task changes or evolves.
- 17  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. Is a JHA/JSA required for the pre-shift meeting?
- 18 | A. No. Again, the pre-shift meeting is the pre-shift meeting
- 19 | and a JHA can be used as a tool to communicate during that pre-
- 20 | shift meeting, but it can also be utilized throughout the day.
- 21 | Q. Okay, all right. The crew, when we interviewed them, they
- 22 | referred to that pre-shift meeting as a safety meeting. Are there
- 23 | safety requirements in the pre-shift meeting?
- 24 | A. Simple requirements, communicate safety and have a stretch
- 25 and flex pre-shift.

Q. Okay, all right. But it's not a -- there's not a set safety curriculum or safety subject matter areas that have to be done during the pre-shift meeting?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- A. We utilize what we call our target zero reports that go out Orion Marine Group-wide, so all the regions, all the projects, that give them a base plate to start off of, but then they can tailor that to their specific work, tasks, job, whatever they are doing. If it does not apply, they can use it. If they want to build off of that. The important thing is to communicate and
- Q. Okay, all right. So I'd like to shift gears again here and talk about training. How is training on safety matters conducted at the company?

discuss hazards that are associated with your work.

- A. There's training expectations set by myself and our policy manuals and then the regional HSE managers and departments can address what training needs they have specific to their work, specific to their employees, what training needs are due to lapse of, you know, time and then it's negotiated at that regional level to ensure that the training is kept up and appropriate for the work being performed.
- Q. Okay. How about pre-employment or immediate employment training, what kind of safety training do folks get when they get hired by Orion?
- A. There's a new hire training focus that, on day 1, the employees go with the safety team, HR has their portion of the new

- 1 hire day and then the safety group, one of the many people in that
- 2 | group will sit down and go through some expectations set and
- 3 different -- you know, how a JHA is constructed, what to look for
- 4 | while on the job, what specific hazards to their work, that
- 5 nature.
- 6 Q. Okay. We know several of the crew members spoke limited
- 7 | English. Are the training materials done -- are they bilingual?
- 8 A. The training materials and the person doing the training
- 9 | would be available to speak the employee's preferred language.
- 10 Q. Okay, all right. Is there a stop work authority policy for
- 11 | the company?
- 12 A. There is, yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And does that extend to all employees?
- 14 A. A hundred percent of us, yes.
- 15  $\|Q$ . Okay. And how are folks trained in stop work authority?
- 16 A. That's part of their new hire orientation, but it's also an
- 17 | expectation that's constantly set by all management and
- 18 | supervision, that everybody has the right to speak up, stop work,
- 19 | communicate unsafe or potentially unsafe work or even just to ask
- 20 | questions.
- 21 | Q. Okay. I'm getting off on a tangent from training and I'm
- 22 | sorry, but I wanted to ask this question. If a stop work happens
- 23 on a job site, is anyone in the safety organization notified?
- 24 | A. It depends. There could be a stop work for something minor
- 25 | that is just addressed through the person's supervisor and then

- 1 | maybe communicated to those that are in immediate need to know.
- 2  $\parallel$  Or it could be something that could be taken as a near miss or
- 3 maybe a lessons learned that then might be communicated throughout
- 4 the entire company.
- 5 | Q. Okay. Is there any kind of trip wires for when something is
- 6 | raised to a higher level?
- 7 | A. That's the responsibility of the supervisor or the
- 8 | supervision to identify things that could be potentially good to
- 9 communicate upwards or outwards.
- 10 | Q. Okay. So when you say the supervisor on a dredge, would that
- 11 | be, for instance, the dredge captain?
- 12 A. Yes, captain, deck captain. Yeah.
- 13 | Q. Okay, all right. All right, back to training. Sorry for
- 14 | jumping off the rails just a bit there. I noted in one of the
- 15 | accident prevention plans or actually a couple accident prevention
- 16 | plans, a year-long weekly training plan. It looked like every
- 17 | week was a different subject. Is there a weekly training
- 18 | requirement?
- 19 | A. There's not. Certain jobs do certain things to keep the
- 20 | topics fresh for their employees. Certain regions do certain
- 21 | things. Like I said, we utilize the target zero report to get it
- 22 | out to everybody and then the jobs can focus in on the specific
- 23 | nature to their work, as well.
- 24 | Q. Okay. So the training listed in that APP, that's not
- 25 | required training?

- $1 \mid\mid A$ . Not by myself, no.
- 2 | Q. Okay.
- 3 A. It may be by the specific job safety or the regional safety,
- 4 | but that's an expectation I set.
- $5 \parallel Q$ . Okay. For those training topics in that list, who develops
- 6 | the curriculum or who develops the training materials for that?
- 7 A. I would have to see what you're referring to, I don't have
- 8 | that in front of me.
- 9 Q. Okay. After some folks -- after I turn it over, I'll try and
- 10 | bring it up and I can show you what I'm referring to and we can go
- 11 | into that further.
- 12 | A. Okay.
- 13 | Q. Is there a company -- maybe I should say company-wide
- 14 | tracking system for ensuring a certain training is done on a
- 15 | repeating basis?
- 16 A. We track our trainings on HCSS and each regional safety
- 17 | manager or HSE manager has their own way of tracking trainings, as
- 18 | well. Some of them use Excel spreadsheets, some strictly use
- 19 | HCSS, some use both.
- 20 | Q. Okay. Okay. Hold on a second. All right, I have a couple
- 21 | more questions and again, then I'll turn it over to my colleagues
- 22 | and I'll probably come back for more. So once again, taking a
- 23 | different track here, the Council for Dredging and Marine
- 24 | Construction Safety, are you familiar with this organization?
- 25 A. I am.

- 1 Okay. And can you describe what its purpose is and what Orion's involvement is with that group? 2
- We're a member and they are a safety organization to assist 4 regulation and compliance of marine construction groups and
- 5 dredging groups.

3

8

9

6 Okay. And when you say Orion is a member, is it like a 7 monthly meeting type of thing or is it -- maybe you can explain a little bit more.

Before COVID, they did have meetings in Washington, D.C.

- After COVID, I think it's strictly all teleconferencing and quite 10 11 a few of my safety team call in to that, whether it's, you know, 12 to hear about the latest of COVID, updates for the construction
- industry or talk about a certain hazard or identification that 13
- 14 they want to discuss and communicate.
- 15 So we're familiar with the Council's Pipeline Task 16 Force and in January of 2020 they issued a report on best 17 practices. Are you familiar with that report on pipeline --
- 18 Α. Yeah, I believe --
- 19 Go ahead. Q.
- 2.0 Yeah, I believe so.
- 21 One of the things they said in that report is -- it talked 22 about tolerant zones around pipelines and essentially what it said 23 is every company is different, but 75 feet seems to be the 24 standard or the no-go distance. Does Orion have a standard
- 25 tolerant zone around pipelines or excavating around pipelines?

- 1 A. We work with the pipeline owner to establish a safe distance
- 2 | and we like to stay at least 20 feet away, but sometimes greater
- 3 | than that.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Is that documented anywhere, the 20 feet, or is that a
- 5 | thumb rule?
- 6 A. Thumb rule.
- 7 ||Q|. Okay, all right. The task force also put out a checklist.
- 8 Does Orion use that checklist?
- 9 A. Orion has our own internal methods for a best practice on how
- 10 | to negotiate around pipelines.
- 11  $\|Q$ . Okay. And again, is that a written document?
- 12 A. No, it's a standard best practice that we've utilized
- 13 | throughout the company.
- 14 | Q. Okay. I mean, what does that include?
- 15 | A. Well, a lot of things. One is like an 811 call to pre-
- 16 | identify any and all potential underground or underwater
- 17 | utilities, you know, and then taking it from there if there are
- 18 | any and marking it appropriately, identifying and communicating to
- 19 the appropriate people and so on.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And just to be clear, you don't use the pipeline task
- 21 | force checklist currently?
- 22 | A. It's very similar, they're very similar. It's just standard
- 23 | throughout the industry.
- 24 | Q. Okay, all right. But you don't have a similar document that
- 25 | lists the various measures taken kind of in a single place?

- A. No.
- 2 MR. EHLERS: Okay, all right. Okay, I need to look up
- 3 | that training plan that I was telling you about and so what I'm
- 4 | going to do is I'm going to turn it over to my colleague,
- 5 Mr. Wisniewski. Thank you.
- 6 BY MR. WISNIEWSKI:
- 7 Q. Hi, this is Luke Wisniewski, NTSB. I'm going to pull up the
- 8 site safety plan that we received. Let me know when you can see
- 9 || it.
- 10 | A. Okay, it's up.
- 11 Q. So in there, on page 4, and so this is the one we received,
- 12 | it's called (indiscernible) Safety Plan CHST, then you have the
- 13 | year, which -- 2020 and the month, I believe, which is '06 and
- 14 then the date 20 -- June of 20 -- I'm sorry, June 26th. Is that
- 15 | the correct one for this project?
- 16 A. I believe so, yeah.
- 17 | Q. Because I'm trying to, you know, from a document control
- 18 | standpoint, I'm trying to -- a lot of these are very similar, I
- 19 | think we received a couple different copies, so I'm just trying to
- 20 | make sure we're using the correct one. I was looking for like an
- 21 | issue date or somewhere on the document. Is there supposed to be
- 22 one or is this just how --
- 23 A. No. No, there's not.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Okay, I'll go down then to the page I was on, page 4,
- 25 | it talks about the incident and accident investigation reporting

- 1 | system and as you were speaking earlier, the supervisor accident
- 2 and incident near miss reporting and just again, what database or
- 3 what system does that go into? These reports.
- 4 | A. We utilize an internal drive for our company.
- 5 Q. Is there a certain name to it?
- 6 | A. No.
- 7 ||Q|. Is it an Excel file or like an Access database?
- 8 A. It's an Access database, a drive or like an iDrive or
- 9 something to that nature. Every region has their own.
- 10 | Q. Okay, but every regional safety manager can access it and
- 11 | input it, as well as the project managers?
- 12 | A. They access their own. And the project managers do not, no.
- 13 | Q. Okay, so it's just your safety folks, the 17 individuals you
- 14 | identified, is that correct?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And how far back, again, does this database go, this
- 17 | Access database?
- 18 | A. Since I've been here, so November 2018 we started it.
- 19 | Q. Oh, okay, so it was just started. Was there any database
- 20 before then?
- 21 A. They utilized paper and file folders and that sort of thing.
- 22  $\|Q$ . And with this system, when you refer to an internal audit
- 23 | like for review, is this solely for safety or is this an internal
- 24 | review process throughout the whole company, for Orion Marine
- 25 Group?

- 1  $\blacksquare$  A. Throughout the whole company.
- 2 | Q. Orion Marine Group or the larger --
- 3 A. Orion Group Holdings.
- 4 Q. Orion Group Holdings, okay. Now, in this internal audit, are
- 5 | they like certified auditors? Do they have any type of training?
- 6 Like, are you familiar with like ISO 90001 quality management
- 7 | systems, basic --
- 8 A. They are. They are, they are, yes.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry, say that again.
- 10  $\blacksquare$  A. They are auditors.
- 11 | Q. They are auditors, like certified auditors?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And you talked about an internal program. Is there
- 14 | any external group that looks at your reports?
- 15 | A. Not my --
- 16  $\|Q$ . Do you have a third party that looks at them?
- 17 | A. Not my reports.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. And so when these auditors come and they indicate -- you
- 19 | indicated that they performed the safety evaluation on your
- 20 | department, what were the findings? Is that report -- you said
- 21 | you got good marks on it, was there any observations or
- 22 | nonconformities that they wrote up in that?
- 23 | A. There were a few observations that we quickly corrected.
- 24 | Q. Can you identify a few of those for us?
- 25 A. To be honest, I don't remember.

- Q. Okay. And how about any nonconformities?
- 2 A. No, none.
- 3 ||Q. Okay. And I'll ask for a copy of that. And so asking for
- 4 | that document correctly, how will I request that? A name.
- 5 A. Through Graham. There's an internal audit findings for the
- 6 | HSE department in 2020.
- 7 | Q. All right, thank you. Scrolling down to the next page of
- 8 your site-specific safety plan for Epic dock. In there, on page
- 9 | 5, it has a Mr. Nathan Hathaway -- Hawthorne.
- 10 A. Hawthorne. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. As the HSE manager for the region and, I would assume, is
- 12 | that for Port Lavaca?
- 13  $\blacksquare$  A. That is.
- 14 | Q. Okay. And then the project manager is also listed there,
- 15 | Mr. Jacob Bures. You indicated that there wasn't someone
- 16 | specifically assigned from your HSE department for the Waymon L
- 17 | Boyd.
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 | Q. And was this a vacancy, was it just an opening or why wasn't
- 20 | there someone assigned to this vessel?
- 21 || A. We didn't have the amount of HSE supervisors for all the
- 22 | jobs that we had going on at that time and certain jobs have
- 23 | requirements for an HSE supervisor due to the client and this was
- 24 | not one of them.
- 25 | Q. Okay, so you're saying, in this contract with Epic, you did

- not have to provide one because it wasn't spelled out in the contract, correct?
  - A. That's one of the reason that there wasn't one, yes.
- 4 Q. Is normally one assigned to the boat or is it just based on projects?
  - A. Based on the project need and necessity.
- Q. I will go down to -- let's see, it's page 7. That lists everyone there, as far as something -- you know, if something was to happen in the work, who you report it to and the points of contact. And so there are several safety supervisors that are listed on page 7. So even though they're not assigned, are these people in that region, from Port Lavaca, that could help?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.

3

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And so I see also you have the superintendents and the dredge captain listed here on that page. Do they have the ability to report near misses or access your database or how do they -- take me through that again, once again real quick, how they report a near miss up through the safety department.
- A. The supervisor in charge of the work would be notified of the -- you know, the person that's on the site would be notified of any incident that occurs. That person's responsibility is to then contact the safety department and notify them as well as their direct report, which could be a superintendent or a project manager or so on and the communication continues up all the way to me for every single incident and others also, my boss and other

- 1 managers throughout the company.
- 2 || Q. So is one method the iPad, the iPhone, could they report near
- 3 misses in that system, in that application?
- $4 \mid \mid A$ . They could. We do not use that application for that, though.
- $5 \parallel Q$ . Oh, it's not used for that.
- $6 \parallel A$ . It's not.
- 7 Q. Okay. And looking at this one, this plan here, this site-
- 8 | specific plan deals directly with the dredging work and I know we
- 9 received several of like the project plans that showed a bulkhead
- 10 was scheduled to be put in as part of this construction package.
- 11 | What's your interaction with the construction side of the house?
- 12 | A. They, as well, each of the construction regions report to
- 13 | myself, as well.
- 14 | Q. Oh, so the construction -- was there a site-specific safety
- 15 | plan developed for the construction aspect of this work?
- 16 | A. There would've been prior to the construction work
- 17 | commencing.
- 18  $\|Q$ . Do you know why there was a delay in not putting in that
- 19 | bulkhead or that portion of the work? Are you aware of any of
- 20 | that?
- 21 A. I've heard things, but no, not positive.
- 22 | Q. But obviously you would write and you would develop your plan
- 23 prior to that work commencing, so did you have a draft or anything
- 24 | for that yet or --
- 25 | A. We were not to that point yet. The construction group was

not ready to go down there to work.

- $2 \parallel Q$ . Okay. And then the target zero report that you speak about,
- 3 does this encapsule the near-miss incidents? You know, I assume
- 4 | you're relaying them to all the dredge groups, all the crews. Car
- 5 you take us through like what would be listed in one of these
- 6 | target zero reports that you share?
- 7 | A. So this target zero report is sent out to all of the regions'
- 8 construction and dredging, so it needs to be broad and safety
- 9 communicative that can be used by all groups. There will be, you
- 10 | know, a page or so on company expectations or what's going on
- 11 | around the company, maybe a job highlight or an outstanding safety
- 12 performance that was recognized. There could also be some
- 13 | information in there about a near miss or a lessons learned that
- 14 would be applicable for the whole company.
- 15 There's always a training or two in there and then a back
- 16 sheet of just a checklist of kind of reminders of what's going on
- 17 | in this time frame, you know, is there any training that we're
- 18 | doing nationwide, what's your expectations, and then we have what
- 19 we call our focus for target zero, which is kind of seven steps to
- 20 | a successful safety program, identified in there as well for
- 21 everybody to communicate and know.
- 22 | Q. Okay, thank you for that. And with that, have you ever had a
- 23 | near miss or anything to talk about pipeline safety laid out?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. And how far do these target zero reports go back? Was that

- implemented with your position?
- 2 A. Yes, sir. Yeah, shortly after I came on board, I think early
- 3 2019 was when we started those.
- 4 Q. Okay. I think that's really all I have right now at this
- 5 point in time. There was just one other -- two more questions
- 6 | that revolve around these and it's listed in here, as far as this
- 7 | hazard control reporting observation program. In there it talks
- 8 about these hazard hunts that the crew is supposed to go around
- 9 and perform on a weekly basis. Really at their lunch period, it
- 10 sounds like. Was there any of those -- are those reported up into
- 11 | your -- this hazard control reporting observation program?
- 12 A. That was an old program that I inherited when I got here. We
- 13 | have since moved away from it. Port Lavaca's dredging group has
- 14 utilized it more than any other of the regions and during this,
- 15 | when we looked at the safety plan, they were still utilizing it.
- 16 We have since moved on to a better program that is an
- 17 | observational safety program.
- 18 | Q. Are these hazard hunts reported anywhere or recorded
- 19 | anywhere?
- 20 || A. Internally in the region, they are. The new system is
- 21 | basically an improvement due to the fact of better reporting and
- 22 | tracking.
- 23 | Q. And who would have those? Is that Mr. Hawthorne?
- 24 | A. He may.
- 25 | Q. Who would be the hazard control reporting observation program

- 1  $\parallel$  manager for Port Lavaca, then, at this time? Mr. Hawthorne?
- 2 | A. It would be Mr. Hawthorne.
- 3 | Q. Okay. And also, I quess, would be in there, the
- 4 documentation or anything of a stop work? Would that be listed in
- 5 | -- right, because this program was in place at the time?
- 6 | A. Yes.

- 0. Would that also be documented in this?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MR. WISNIEWSKI: Okay, thank you for that. That's all I have 10 at this time. I'll pass it over to my colleagues.
- 11 MR. EHLERS: Okay, Mr. Stancil.
- 12 BY MR. STANCIL:
- 13 Q. Yes, good afternoon, Mr. Gammons. I do have a couple of
- 14 | follow-up questions. You told us about your membership in the
- 15 | Council for Dredging and Marine Construction Safety. What is your
- 16 | involvement in the organization?
- 17 || A. We've just kind of been getting into it a little bit more
- 18 | since I have been on board here. I wasn't necessarily familiar
- 19 with it before I worked for this company. We started to get
- 20 | involved in it in 2019 and then 2020, with COVID, it kind of put
- 21 | the brakes on it for a while and then since probably the last 2
- 22 | months or so we've been back involved and participating in their
- 23 calls or their meetings.
- 24 | Q. Is it just you or are there other representatives from the
- 25 | company involved?

- 1 | A. I'm rarely involved. Excuse me, my regional HSE managers
- 2 | that have the time to get on that call, and then I talk to them
- 3 and find out what's transpired during that call.
- 4 | Q. So do they participate in any committees to draft fact
- 5 | finding, best practices, anything like that?
- 6 A. We have not at this time, not yet.
- 7 | Q. Okay. All right, tell us about any other best practices that
- 8 Orion incorporates in its operations. You mentioned that a lot of
- 9 | it is an unwritten procedure, is that correct?
- 10 A. By a lot of it, what do you mean?
- 11 | Q. Well, you mentioned that you did not have a written procedure
- 12 | for dredging best practices in the area of the pipelines.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Is there any other -- correct?
- 15 || A. That's right, yes.
- 16 Q. Yeah. So is there any other organization's best practices
- 17 | that you've used or incorporated into your procedures?
- 18 | A. We have a very stout safety program and procedures and we
- 19 utilize our own internals for pretty much everything.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. But there's no other outside organization such as the Common
- 21 || Ground or some of the guidance that FMSA puts out, for instance,
- 22 | is there anything like that that you refer to?
- 23 | A. We pay attention to the industry. You know, if the
- 24 | Associated General Contractors release something we take a look at
- 25 | it, such as -- you know, the American Society of Safety

- 1 Professionals, also. There's many industry organizations that we
- 2 | try to keep a pulse of.
- 3 | Q. Okay. Now, are you members -- do you have membership in any
- 4 of those organizations?
  - A. Both of them.

- 6 Q. Okay. You said that pipeline hazards are not included in the
- 7 | site-specific safety plan because the pipeline was located outside
- 8 | of the scope of the work area. Who made that determination?
- 9 A. That would've been the project team.
- 10 | Q. The project team, meaning the health, safety, and environment
- 11 | team or the management team?
- 12 | A. The management team, the project management team.
- 13  $\|Q$ . Okay. Was that made prior to the development of the site
- 14 | safety plan?
- 15 A. I can't answer that question, I wouldn't know.
- 16 Q. Okay. Was there any consideration given to inviting the
- 17 | pipeline company to the pre-construction planning?
- 18 | A. I don't know that. That would be a question for the project
- 19 | management team.
- 20 | Q. Okay. How about, well, in case you might know, how about
- 21 | having pipeline company representatives present on the job site
- 22 | while work is going on near the pipelines, anything to your
- 23 | knowledge on that?
- 24 | A. We always invite our pipeline companies to any pipeline in
- 25 conflict or that we would be crossing or working near, to be a

- 1 part of pre-construction and whenever we're passing over or near
- 2 | with the dredge, those lines. I believe in this case Epic chose
- 3 | not to.
- 4 | 0. There would have been --
- 5 A. (Indiscernible), excuse me.
- 6  $\|Q$ . -- a decision, it would have been Epic's decision to invite
- 7 | the pipeline company or would it have been yours?
- 8 A. No, I believe the project management team did invite the
- 9 pipeline company and they did not participate.
- 10 Q. Okay. Is there any documentation of that?
- 11 | A. That would be a question for the project management team.
- 12 | Q. Okay. Nothing that you were copied on?
- 13 | A. No, sir.
- 14 | O. Okay. Who were the specific HSE team members involved in
- 15 | drafting the site safety plan, was it the Port Lavaca team under
- 16 | Nathan Hawthorne?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18  $\|Q$ . Okay. And are they under your supervision?
- 19 | A. Nathan is under my supervision. His team is under his
- 20 | supervision with obvious association to me.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And are you in the loop as far as reviewing the plan
- 22 | prior to the project?
- 23 | A. I am not.
- 24 | Q. All right, what else here? Going on to some training, a
- 25 | training question that I have. Among the best practices

- identified by the Council of Dredging and Marine Construction 1 Safety are recommendations for training such as having the crew 2 3 recognize signs of pipeline leaks. Are you aware of any training
- I'm aware of one specific training the dredge captain gave to 5 6 That would've been his responsibility.
- 7 Is anything under your responsibility concerning hazard awareness to the crew members? 8
- 9 Well, hazard awareness is everybody in the company's responsibility, we all have responsibility there. 10 11 supervisor in charge of his crew or her crew has responsibility to 12 continue training and continue good communication of hazards 13 associated with the day-to-day operations.
- 14 So regarding those best practices, those unwritten Okay. best practices, is there anything that addresses shutting down equipment or isolating emission sources following an incident?
- 17 I couldn't speak to that, I wouldn't know.

given to the crews in that regard?

- 18 Okay. I think my last question for now, regarding that 19 bulkhead that was supposed to have been constructed, was that 2.0 supposed to have been installed prior to the dredging, originally?
- 21 I don't believe so.
- 22 Okay. And was there some reason why the bulkhead was 23 delayed?
- 24 I understood there was -- I don't know the answer to that.
- 25 You don't.

4

15

- 1 A. That would be a question for the construction team.
- 2 MR. STANCIL: Okay, understood. All right, I think that's it
- for me at the moment and I'll pass it on to my colleagues or the
- 4 || next --
- 5 MS. VON STERNBERG: Can we take a small restroom break?
- 6 Because we've been going about an hour.
- 7 MR. EHLERS: Sure, we'll do that. It's 8 minutes past the
- 8 | hour and we'll start at quarter past.
- 9 MS. VON STERNBERG: Sounds good, thanks.
- 10 MR. EHLERS: Yeah.
- 11 (Off the record.)
- 12 (On the record.)
- MR. EHLERS: Okay, Mr. Gammons, you ready?
- 14 MR. GAMMONS: Yes, sir.
- 15 MR. EHLERS: All right. I'm going to turn it over to my
- 16 colleague, Mike Hoepf.
- 17 MR. HOEPF: Thank you, Drew.
- 18 BY MR. HOEPF:
- 19 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Gammons. Again, my name is Mike. I
- 20 | appreciate you taking the time to talk with us today.
- 21 A. No problem.
- 22 | Q. I do want to apologize if any of these questions come across
- 23 | as redundant, we really covered the waterfront on this interview,
- 24 | so I just kind of wanted to clarify a couple of things, maybe a
- 25 couple of new questions, but mostly topics we've already

1 discussed. So just in terms of me trying to scope out my

2 | questions to make sure that they're not, you know, outside of your

- area of knowledge for this, we've talked a bit about the site-
- 4 specific safety plan for the Epic dock. I do want to talk a
- 5 | little bit more about that. But I think you said -- you indicated
- 6 | that you were not very familiar with that specific plan or had you
- 7 | reviewed it in much detail at any point in the wake of the
- 8 | accident?

- 9 A. I have looked at it, yeah, since the accident.
- 10 Q. Okay, okay. So you're aware of some of it. You said it was
- 11 | -- so it was Nathan Hawthorne, then, was the gentleman who
- 12 developed the plan or --
- 13 A. He's the regional HSE manager over the Port Lavaca dredging
- 14 division.
- 15  $\|Q$ . Okay. And then, so would you have expected that he
- 16 | physically would have drafted that up or perhaps one of his
- 17 | subordinates or you're not sure?
- 18 | A. I would probably say -- well, I'm not a hundred percent sure
- 19 | who did, but it was either him or his subordinates and him, a
- 20 mixture of the two.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Okay, great. And like I said, if you're -- if you're
- 22 | not sure about something, that's great, we can try to ask the
- 23 | appropriate, you know, person those questions. So just generally,
- 24 | when we're talking about these site-specific safety plans, what
- 25 | information is typically site specific in those?

- 1 A. I mean, it could be anything related to the construction
- 2 project or the dredging site, dredging project, that we're
- 3 | embarking on. It could be specific to, in this case, utility
- 4 | locates, it could be overhead, underground, you know, it could be
- 5 crane setups, what temporary structures are going to be utilized,
- 6 what kind of equipment is going to be utilized, you know. I mean,
- 7 | it's everything that's related to hazards or specifics of a job
- 8 site.
- 9 Q. Okay. Yeah, because I know that there's, you know, some
- 10 discussion that's kind of -- I mean, it has to be expected there's
- 11 some kind of, you know, general discussion about, you know, this
- 12 is what our, you know, program is and, you know, I saw that there
- 13 was some information, certainly the change in some math and things
- 14 | like that. Just for clarification, can you confirm that there is
- 15 or there is not a risk assessment in the site-specific safety plan
- 16 | for the Epic dock?
- 17 | A. Define risk assessment.
- 18 | Q. Actually, maybe you can define risk assessment. How does
- 19 | Orion define a risk assessment?
- 20 | A. Orion defines a risk assessment by communicating any and all
- 21 | risks that are perceived or obvious on a job site. I mean, that
- 22 | would be the best definition I can give you.
- 23 | Q. Yeah, I know. I mean, risk assessment kind of means a little
- 24 | bit different things to different people and I didn't really want
- 25 to lead you, so let me -- I'll define what I think of risk

- assessment, but I mean just generally, as you defined it, is there
  a risk assessment in there?
- 3 There is a -- when the plans are developed, the HSE 4 supervisor or manager performs a knowledge base, knowledge 5 starting point of the project, whether that's through meetings or 6 communications with the project team or the bid team or the 7 estimating team, to understand what the scope of work is. can look at a number of documents, have meetings to talk through 8 9 things, whatever it may be, and then at that point their own internal safety professional risk assessment is what is generated 10
- Q. Okay. And again, I want to just emphasize that if you're not sure about something, that's perfectly fine for you to say so.

and geared towards developing the plan.

- But in your opinion, is that -- would you say that it does contain a risk assessment or it does not contain a risk assessment or you're not sure?
- 17 | A. I would say yes.
- Q. Okay, okay. So when I'm talking about a risk assessment, I'm kind of picturing the sea of risk matrix and some discussion about the specific risk to be encountered with, you know, specific to
- 21 the site.

- A. Well, that's a -- a matrix is different than just an assessment, so --
- Q. Sure, yeah. I understand what you're saying, yeah. So I didn't see that in there and so I was just wondering if that was

something that was, you know, typically, you know, in a sitespecific safety plan or, you know, I'm just trying to get a feel
for, you know, generally how you guys approach this. That's
really all I'm trying to clarify there. So that's helpful for me.

2.0

So let me ask you this, sir, I'm just reviewing my notes here. Yeah, so we're talking about risk assessments and we talked with some of the employees, you know, involved with this in the project and it sounds like they told us that they did do a risk assessment. You know, they said that they got on a boat, they went out, you know, they went out to the site and, you know, they took a look, they were looking for hazards, but that, as far as I can tell -- and what they told us is that they didn't document that anywhere and I'm wondering why that information wouldn't be documented in the site-specific safety plan. Again, not a criticism, but I'm just trying to understand what your -- you know, what your processes, you know, are.

Is there a reason why, you know -- you know, they would go out there and kind of do -- maybe you want to -- maybe we'll call it informal risk assessment, but they went out there and they did a risk assessment, but then that wasn't documented in this plan. So what is your perspective, you know, coming from, you know, kind of a high-ranking safety department official, is that -- would you like to see those kind of informal risk assessments, you know, documented in a document like this or is that just kind of the usual process? Am I looking at that wrong?

Well, I guess I'd start by saying who is they? You said they went out and did a risk assessment. Are we talking about the project management team or the surveyors or who are we discussing? I want to say the -- gosh, now my memory's failing me. want to say the crew, actually, I'm going to say the captain had told us that. Don't quote me on that, though. The managers, the project management team might have went out there as well, but I'm just -- but just anyway, just generally speaking, you know -- you know, what's your take on that? Well, the site-specific safety plan is developed by the safety department prior to the job commencing. If the crew or the captain did a risk assessment on the site, yes, it would've been great for them to communicate that, whatever their findings were, to the safety department to add on or expand on the site-specific safety plan, if there was anything to add on to it, and I'm not hearing -- was there anything that they felt there was more needed in the site-specific safety plan, is that what you're saying? No, no. I don't recall the site-specific safety plan coming up in any of our, you know, discussions, you know, with the dredge, you know, captains or anything like that. Again, I'm just kind of trying to understand, you know, what your processes are in general. Let me ask you this. You know, for a typical sitespecific safety plan, you know, I know that you indicated that for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

team to kind of be a part of that. Would you expect them to work

the Epic dock, you'd expect, you know, Nathan Hawthorne and his

with the project management team? Is the project management team, you know, consulting -- working with the HSE team specifically or 2 3 is it -- does the HS -- sorry. Does your team, you know, work independently? 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- No, we're all one team, you know, we all try to make sure that the communication is flowing all ways. So to work with the project management team, yeah, absolutely, they need to know what's being built, they need to know what the scope of work is, you know, whether it's, you know, a dredge job or a construction job, we're working hand in hand with each other, you know, just like they're leaning on us for things and we're leaning on them for things such as knowledge.
- Okay. And do you ever consult with -- you know, with Epic or 14 Enterprise, be it part of the site-specific safety plan development or is it more of an internal kind of document?
  - It's an internal document. There are certain occasions where the client dictates certain aspects of the site-specific safety plan that they want to see or we may have a partnership to develop -- to ensure that everything is understood and communicated both ways. Yeah, it varies from job to job.
- 21 Okay, okay. And so I promise you, I'm almost done with this 22 topic here, but you know, really -- so really, this is the last 23 thing I just kind of want to understand, you know, is there -- are 24 there situations where -- it appears, you know, for the Epic dock 25 that there's not really discussion about the risks specific to the

site and I'm just trying to understand, is that -- is that a typical -- you know, is that a typical site-specific safety plan? Because to me, that's what I'm thinking of when I think of a sitespecific safety plan, is I'm thinking about a discussion about site-specific risks. So to me, that was -- I was kind of surprised to not see that in there. Now, my personal opinion, you know, isn't important here, but I'm just wondering, you know, what's your perspective on, you know, is that a typical -- is that typical of the site-specific safety plans that you have? Or is that atypical? I would say that is a typical plan for our dredging group. What you're referring to is more of a hazard analysis, a job hazard analysis for the actual task or operations that are going on in the field. Putting together a site-specific safety plan has its usefulness and that's why we do it, right? But when it comes to communicating risk with the crew and identifying what the work processes are in the field while the work is actually performing, the site-specific safety plan was developed far before that ever takes place. So once they're out on site, that's where we rely on job hazard analyses, all the different forms and formats of that, and our communication efforts between the leadership and the crew to ensure that hazards and the safety is mitigated and communicated,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

hearing your question right, that's where I would see that step

right? I mean, that's -- I guess that's what I'm -- if I'm

at, not necessarily an up-front document developed in the office before they even get out on site.

2.0

Q. Yeah. So I think we're kind of getting to the meat and the potatoes of, you know -- I think you're kind of getting to, you know, exactly what I'm trying to get at. You know, I'm trying to understand what are -- you know, what are your processes and, you know, when I read the site-specific safety plan, to me, it looks like a lot of general discussion about, you know, safety processes and things, but it doesn't really seem to be specific to the site.

And so it sounds like what you're telling me, if I'm interpreting you correctly, so please correct me if I'm wrong, but it sounds like what you're telling me is that that hazard identification and mitigation planning is done further down the road at a different, you know, phase in the project. Now, is that an accurate characterization or am I hearing you wrong?

- A. No, it's done as the project goes forward, when we're actually on site. You can't mitigate for hazards until you're actually on the project identifying what the hazards are. You can, you know, put a plan together in the office, but construction and dredging are in the field and that's where we actually need to be boots on the ground before we can identify what the hazards are that we need to keep our crews safe from.
- Q. Okay. Yeah, I mean, I see that. But the next thing I want to talk about is the JSAs or JHAs, you know, whatever -- you know, whatever you want to call them. And so, you know, those are -- it

seems to me kind of being that, you know, hazard discussion, you know, when you get things like PPE and pinch points and all that. But I guess -- and again, this is me asking, you know, it seems like sort of these macro-level hazards where you've got a pipeline, you know, in the area, I guess I just -- I guess I was assuming that I would've seen some of that discussion in the site-specific safety plan. I'm just wondering, is there -- you know, is there another document that we should be looking for that would contain documentation about that hazard?

You know, it's not in the site-specific safety plan. You know, I'm not being critical of that or anything like that, but is there another -- is there another document where we would expect to find that hazard? And I know you said it's outside the template, but is there, you know -- you know, if it was within the template, you know, what document would I expect to find that in?

You know, let's say that this project -- so this is another, you know, similar project, just hypothetical project, and let's say the pipeline was going to be within the template, where would I expect to find that discussion about the pipeline?

- A. The site-specific safety plan, the JHAs, the daily communication, that would all be all of the above, right?
- Q. Okay. So if the pipeline had been in the template, then you would have expected it probably would have been in the site-specific safety plan and the job safety analysis.
- A. Yes.

2.0

Q. Okay, okay. Okay, all right, that clarifies a lot. Thank you, I appreciate that. Okay, so the JSAs, we've already talked about those, I don't want to get too much more into the weeds on that. So the JSAs that we were provided, a lot of them looked, you know, pretty similar. It was, you know, okay, these are -- you know, the discussion of the PPE was the same and obviously that's understandable, you're working in the same kind of environment.

2.0

But I was just trying to clarify, you said that these JSAs are effectively completed by those in the field and so should I understand that, you know, the examples that we were provided were completed by employees during the safety briefing and this is like their transcription of that or -- and you probably don't -- maybe you don't know what we were provided, but I was thinking what to me it looked like was, it looked like -- my understanding was that this was material that was developed by the safety department and then it was reviewed during those morning safety meetings. Can you just kind of help me understand how that works?

A. Well, I'll say to your part one, I don't know what you were

- provided. But two, the majority of the JHAs were not recovered from the incident scene, so due to the nature of the incident, we couldn't get to them. So I'd like to see them just as well as you would.
- Q. Got you. No, I understand that. Sorry, I wasn't being clear. I just meant generally speaking, you know, not necessarily

specific to what we were provided, but can you just help me understand how that process works? You know, so there's a morning safety meeting. You know, my understanding of this, and correct me or just cut me off and just correct me or explain how this really works, but my understanding is that the captain would pull out a tablet and then he would have the materials that were prepared by the safety department and then he would kind of review those, you know, based on what was applicable, you know, to the team.

2.0

But I think what I thought I heard you say earlier, you know, in our discussion today was what actually happens is that the JSA is completed by the crew, but maybe I heard you incorrectly, so can you just --

A. No, you're right. Both. The captain has JHAs in his tablet that he has developed or his team has developed. The captain is also responsible for developing task-specific JHAs throughout the life of the project. If there's something that changes, then they need to redraft the JHA and adjust it.

If it's an ongoing operation that is not going to change, it doesn't have any variations to the work, then the captain is required to review that JHA at least every 2 weeks. Now, if he chooses to review it every morning, that's great, you know, more is always better. But there's more to the morning meeting than just the JHA.

Q. Okay. So yeah, so while we're on that topic, what else

- 1 || happens at the morning meeting, then?
- 2 A. Stretch and flex, safety communication. It depends on the
- 3 day, I mean, what's going on on the job, what's the captain --
- 4 | it's up to the captain or the deck captain to lead that meeting
- 5 | and ensure that the right amount of information is communicated to
- 6 his or her crew.
- 7 | Q. Okay, I got you. So again, I'm just going to ask this
- 8 generally. I understand we don't have the specific JSAs, you
- 9 know, for this incident. The examples that we were provided cover
- 10 | a lot of tasks but they didn't cover anything for the levermen.
- 11 Do JSAs typically cover the levermen tasks?
- 12 A. I think that would be -- yeah, sometimes. It depends on what
- 13 | the -- I guess I would need more specifics.
- 14 Q. Yeah, so you know --
- 15 | A. Do you mean like only levers in the -- sitting in the seat,
- 16 | like should they have a JHA for that?
- 17 | Q. Well, you know, specifically in this incident I'm thinking
- 18 | about, you know, there's some -- let's say you're maneuvering
- 19 | around an obstacle, you know, it doesn't matter what it is,
- 20 | whether it's a pipeline or, you know, whatever. You know, some
- 21 steps that you might take to be careful to, you know, prevent the
- 22 | cutter head from impacting structure or something like that, I'm
- 23 | just -- would that be discussed in a JSA or is there -- would the
- 24 | leverman's task be covered in some other kind of safety process?
- 25 A. No, I think it should be discussed in the JHA. If there is

- mitigating steps to be taken to prevent a hazard, then yeah, it should be put in a JHA.
- Q. Okay, okay, I got you. All right, sounds good. So is it acceptable for a leverman to work through a safety meeting?
  - A. I don't have the answer to that. What are you referring to?
- Q. So would it be acceptable for a leverman to continue swinging the dredge while a safety meeting is going on or would he be
- 8 required to stop work and participate in a safety meeting?
- 9 A. The leverman should participate in a safety meeting.
- 10 Q. Okay. So he should stop swinging the dredge while he's
- 11 participating in the safety meeting, he or she?
- 12 A. We need all hands to be participating in the safety meetings.
- 13 | Everybody.

- 14 Q. Right. But can you simultaneously participate in a safety
- 15 meeting and swing the dredge?
- 16 A. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. All right. And let me talk a little bit about do you guys have requirements from the safety department for drills?
- 19 | A. You're talking like emergency drills?
- 20 | Q. Yeah, fire drills, that kind of thing.
- 21 | A. Yeah.
- 22 | Q. Can you talk about what those are?
- 23 A. Monthly requirements for multiple drills per the Coast Guard.
- 24 MR. HOEPF: Okay, great. Thank you so much, Mr. Gammons.
- 25 | That's all the questions I have for right now. I'll turn it back

over to Drew.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

MR. EHLERS: Okay, thanks again. I'm going to go ahead and turn it over to the Coast Guard.

: Thanks, Drew.

BY :

- Q. Good afternoon, sir, thanks for being here.
- A. Good afternoon.
- Q. So you mentioned earlier about -- you know, I think we were talking about the pipelines being included maybe in the JHA or the site safety plan, I'm not sure where those questions were coming from, but we got down to a 20-foot kind of zone. You know, outside of 20 feet, we usually don't put them in. Does your department typically verify that 20 feet, if it's known? If it's a hazard that's been, you know, reported, do you guys check that
- 15 | 20 feet?
- 16 A. We do not.
- 17 Q. Have you guys ever checked that in the past just to make sure
- 18 | that, you know, the project manager or whomever's bringing that
- 19 | hazard to your attention, that that 20 -- that distance is
- 20 | accurate?
- 21 A. We like to be involved as much as possible, but with the
- 22 | amount of projects and the amount of work being performed and how
- 23 | many, you know, of these types of hazards are associated with the
- 24 work, it would be infeasible for the safety team to check every
- 25 single one of those. We rely a lot on the captains and the

- project management teams and the on-site teams to trust but verify their markings.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Would you say, based on your experience in the industry, is
- 4 | that kind of a common practice, if you can't -- you only have a
- 5 | shop of 17 relying on the people who are kind of at the deck plate
- 6 | level to give you that information, is that normal in the
- 7 | industry?
- 8 A. Safety is absolutely everyone's job. You know, it's not just
- 9 the safety person at our company, everybody has the responsibility
- 10 | to look out for each other and look out for the work processes and
- 11 | identify hazards and follow the plans and procedures that have
- 12 | been put together.
- 13 | Q. Yes, sir. Okay, and we just mentioned drills. Do you know,
- 14 as part of the monthly drills, do you know which drills are
- 15 | conducted?
- 16 | A. There's a few. I don't have them in front of me right now,
- 17 | no. And I know prior to --
- 18 | Q. (Indiscernible). Okay, is abandon ship one of those?
- 19 A. I believe so.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Do you have any document that says here's how the abandon
- 21 | ship drill will go, here are the duties of everyone, do you have
- 22 | anything like that?
- 23 A. Not in front of me. I'd have to find it.
- 24 | Q. Okay. So Orion has some sort of a policy or a best practice,
- 25 SOP, when it comes to how drills are conducted and the

- responsibilities of the crew?
- $2 \parallel A$ . The dredging group has something, yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And I'll get with NTSB, we can get that, if we don't
- 4 have it already, just to see kind of what you guys are doing on
- 5 | the drills. Do you know if any of the drills include any sort of
- 6  $\parallel$  -- like hitting an all-stop button to make sure all machinery is
- 7 de-energized?
- 8  $\blacksquare$  A. I do not know the answer to that.
- 9 : Okay. All right. Sorry, I'm just looking
- 10 | through this. There's so many people that went before me, it's a
- 11 | little disjointed. We covered most of what -- for right now,
- 12 | that's all I have. Thank you, sir, appreciate it.
- 13 MR. GAMMONS: Yes, sir.
- MR. EHLERS: Okay, thank you very much. Graham, do you want
- 15 | to go ahead and ask questions?
- 16 MR. KENYON: Sure, I've just got a couple of clarifying
- 17 | questions.
- 18 BY MR. KENYON:
- 19 | Q. In relation to the actual safety protocols that we're talking
- 20 | about in relation to this project, is it your understanding,
- 21 | Mr. Gammons, that this project was a dredging project purely?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. So the other portion of the project which everyone keeps
- 24 | talking about, which is the construction of the dock, is an actual
- 25 | separate project and was actually going to be run by a separate

- element of the Orion Group?
- 2 A. Yes, it was going to be run out of our Deer Park construction
- 3 office and I believe it was a separate contract, as well.
- $4 \parallel Q$ . So that would have required a separate safety --
- $5 \parallel A$ . A separate safety team, a separate division, the regional
- 6 safety manager would have been different and the site-specific
- 7 | safety supervisor would have been different, as well.
- 8 Q. Okay. And that part of the project hadn't begun or been
- 9 | fully awarded at that time, correct?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 MR. KENYON: Okay, no further questions.
- 12 MR. EHLERS: Okay, thank you very much. Nhan, for
- 13 | Enterprise.
- MR. TRUONG: I don't have any questions, Drew.
- 15 MR. EHLERS: Okay, thanks very much. Mr. Perez.
- 16 MR. PEREZ: Thank you, sir, thank you for time. We don't
- 17 have any questions at this point.
- 18 MR. EHLERS: Okay.
- 19 MR. GAMMONS: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. EHLERS:
- 21 | Q. All right, it's back to me here. How are you doing? Do you
- 22 | need any --
- 23 | A. Great.
- 24 | Q. Okay. We'll go another 30 minutes if we need to and then
- 25 | make sure we take a break before that happens. But all right, I

- promised you this training plan that I was referring to, I'd show
  you what I was referring to. So I'm going to bring it up on my
- 3 screen here. Please let me know when you can see it.
- $4 \parallel A$ . I can see it.
- 5 || Q. Okay, so this is the accident prevention plan for the
- 6 dredging of Morgan's Point to Exxon Barbours Cut Terminal. This
- 7 | is a project that was done by the Waymon L Boyd, I believe,
- 8 earlier in 2020 prior to the accident. This plan was provided or
- 9 prepared by Mr. Hawthorne, who we've discussed.
- 10 So what I was referring to on page 21 of this document --
- 11 | stand by while I get there. I can do it faster than that. There
- 12 we go. Yeah, it's a weekly training and a list of training
- 13 | topics, safety training topics for every week. Is this something
- 14 | that's generated from your office or would that be Mr. Hawthorne's
- 15 | office that's generated this?
- 16 | A. That would be Mr. Hawthorne's office and I believe that has
- 17 | been superseded by the target zero report, so I don't believe that
- 18 | is still in effect.
- 19 Q. Okay. Some of these lessons have numbers next to them,
- 20 | almost looks like a lesson plan number, for instance, personal
- 21 | protective equipment says HS4660. Does that number mean anything
- 22 | to you?
- 23 A. Unfortunately, it does not.
- 24 | Q. Okay, all right. Okay. There's a couple of -- I'll ask two
- 25 more questions and I believe you may be the wrong person to ask,

- 1 | but I'll ask. There's a couple of training topics on this list
- 2 | and I'm going to expand it if I can here. One is in May,
- 3 excavation and trenching and another in June, which is pipeline
- 4 utility digging safety. Do you have standard training materials
- 5 | for these two topics? I'll try and highlight them here. Or is
- 6 this, again, something that maybe Mr. Hawthorne or his group would
- 7 | have produced?
- 8 | A. Yeah, I don't -- I have standard training materials for a
- 9 number of those things. I don't know what is being referred to in
- 10 this, like with that HS4330 for trench and excavation, that seems
- 11 | to be pretty specific, but pipeline utility digging safety from
- 12 June, I don't -- I have not seen anything that would be standard
- 13 | training material for that.
- 14 | Q. Okay. So to make sure, from your -- the materials that you
- 15 | have, you don't have anything for these two subjects?
- 16 | A. I have training materials for excavation and trenching, but
- 17 | it would not be that HS4330.
- 18 | Q. I got you, okay. All right, I promise I'll stop asking
- 19 | questions about this topic. A follow-up on the internal audit.
- 20 | Did the internal audit, was that focused at your level or did it
- 21 | reach down to the regions, as well?
- 22 A. Regions, as well.
- 23 | Q. Okay. All right. And then bouncing again to JHAs and JSAs,
- 24 | you mentioned, and I think this is the first I had heard it, that
- 25 there were a lot of JSAs or JHAs that were lost during the

- accident in the fire. Okay, the copies that we have are electronic copies. Would those be the ones that are in the HCSS system?
- 4 A. I don't know what you've been provided, but I would assume 5 so.
- Q. Okay. And the ones that were lost, would those be paper copies or are there other systems that JSAs and JHAs are resident on?
- 9 A. No, there wouldn't have been any of the paper copies or the
  10 mini-JHAs or anything to that nature that was produced by the crew
  11 out in the field.
- Q. Okay. Had the dredge not been involved in the accident,
  what's done with those documents, are they eventually collected up
  or are they -- how are they -- how are they treated?
- 15 || A. They are not eventually collected up.
- 16 Q. Okay, so they stay on the vessel?

17

used, they benefit the crew and they keep the crew safe. To be collected up wouldn't have a whole lot of purpose to it. They're old, they were specific to that operation, to that hazard, and to make them, you know, reused again for a future operation wouldn't make sense because they wouldn't be the same operation.

That's correct. Yeah, like I said, they're a tool to be

- Q. Okay. So they're eventually just destroyed after they've been used?
- 25 A. Yeah, I think -- well, I don't know what they're done with.

- Q. Okay, all right. The last question I have for now is has there been any changes in procedures, safety procedures or policies, since the accident?
  - A. No.

5

6

7

8

13

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

MR. EHLERS: Okay, all right. Okay, thank you very much. I will have a last question, I always get the -- I have the right of the last question, but I'll turn it over to my colleagues here.

### BY MR. WISNIEWSKI:

- 9 Q. Yes, this is Luke Wisniewski again, NTSB. You indicated in
  10 the -- well, on the site safety plan it indicates that the site -11 the safety supervisor goes out weekly to the vessels. Can you
  12 find for us the last time that an individual was out on the
- A. It would've been somebody from the Port Lavaca office and I could locate that information, I don't have it with me.

Waymon L Boyd from your office or from the Port Lavaca office?

- Q. Is that recorded in some database or is that -- you keep logs on how often they attend?
- A. I do not keep logs and it's not recorded unless they've identified something of an unsafe nature that then they maybe wrote an observation for or something to that nature, but I don't believe that was the case.
- Q. Now, is that also spelled out in the -- we didn't receive a

  full copy of it and we only received one area of the HSSC program

  manual from 2019, but is that spelled out, how often they will be

  out there as well, as part of their job description?

- A. No, sir.
- $2 \parallel Q$ . And the second portion of the site safety plan, in the back,
- 3 | all the way to -- I'll pull it up and show you, it's on page 24.
- 4 | It lists all the point of contacts for like Orion, even Coast
- 5 Guard and Sector Houston, everyone that's there. But on there
- 6 | they have listed also like the port captain, Chris Boyd; the
- 7 | operations manager, it looks like Tim McCoy. How do those two
- 8 | individuals play into reviewing the site safety plan, the -- how
- 9 | is that interaction with those individuals and what you put
- 10 | together for these vessels?
- 11 A. You're talking about Tim McCoy and Chris Boyd?
- 12 | Q. Correct. Yeah, the port captain, you know, would definitely
- 13 | have knowledge of what's going on on board all of those dredges
- 14 and he could provide insight. So how do you incorporate him into
- 15 the site safety plan or the safety program in general?
- 16 A. Well, that's two different questions. The site safety plan,
- 17 | they don't have a lot of interaction at all. That's more of the
- 18 project management team of -- let me look through there. You
- 19 | know, Glen Kurtz, the operations manager. You know, you have
- 20 | operations as Tim McCoy. He's more of an equipment operations.
- 21 | The operations manager is Glen Kurtz and he would have a lot of
- 22 | input when Nathan is developing this plan along with Jacob. Yeah,
- 23 | you're going away from it there. Jacob Bures is the project
- 24 | manager. Tim McCoy is the equipment operations, so he wouldn't
- 25 have a whole lot to do with it, and the port captain, that would

- 1 be more of a question for somebody else. I don't know what the 2 interactions there would be.
- 3 Q. Okay. Yeah, so I'm trying to understand like feedback for
- 4 the site safety plan. So your safety region is putting these
- 5 | together, but you don't have any input or oversight on what's
- 6 | being developed in there, correct?
- 7 | A. I have oversight of the process. To be completely blunt, I
- 8 don't have time to review every safety plan that we develop for
- 9 | every project from the North Slope of Alaska to Central America.
- 10 | So no, I'm not looking at every one of them. You know, I have
- 11 | regional HSE managers that their responsibility is to ensure that
- 12 | a proper safety plan is developed with good involvement from
- 13 project management teams and a focus on the operations that are
- 14 | going to be undertook to complete the job.
- 15  $\|Q$ . Thank you for that. So to clarify, then the regional
- 16 manager, is that in his requirements, job requirements, to oversee
- 17 | the site safety plan?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How about the JSA?
- 20 || A. That is the responsibility of the crew and the field
- 21 | supervision.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And how about the job hazard analysis, the job safety
- 23 | analysis?
- 24 | A. The same thing.
- 25 | Q. And so that is all pushed down to the region and to the

- 1 safety supervisors that are in that regional office?
- 2 | A. No, not the safety supervisors, the crew leadership. So the
- 3 captain in this case would be responsible for ensuring that a job
- 4 has an analysis developed for every operation and task.
- 5  $\|Q$ . But I'm trying to understand as far as how that oversight,
- 6 how do you monitor that these are being looked at and performed,
- 7 | who's looking at that?
- 8 A. The safety department will spot check JHAs when they're out
- 9 on the vessel or out on the project site, you know, as well as the
- 10 general superintendents. The field superintendents are expected
- 11 | to do that, as well. The project management, when they're on
- 12 site, would look at that. The operations manager, if he goes out,
- 13 | he would look at that. When I tour projects or vessels, I always
- 14 ask to see the JHA, that's one of the first things I want to do,
- 15 | so that way I know what the hazards are when I'm on that site or
- 16 | that vessel. It's one of the first things any of us do.
- 17  $\|Q$ . And any of these internal checks, are they recorded anywhere
- 18 | or is this just a spot check?
- 19 A. No, we sign off on the JHA, we'll sign the JHA if it's a
- 20 | paper copy or we can sign in HCSS if it's an electronic document,
- 21 | that we were there and we reviewed it. And the safety team does
- 22 | that, as well, any time they're there.
- 23 | Q. And how often -- I'll go back, how often are you going out?
- 24 | It says weekly, but --
- 25 A. It varies.

- Q. It just varies.
- $2 \parallel A$ . Are you asking how often am I going out?
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$ . No, no, how often is someone going out from the safety
- 4 | office, from the HSE office to the vessel?
- $5 \parallel A$ . It varies.
- 6 Q. And that's based on their availability?
- 7 A. Yeah, the availability, the risks, the location, the hazards,
- 8 | the, you know, leading and lagging indicators we've seen on that
- 9 project. Many things.
- 10 | Q. And so that's why I wanted to go into when you identify
- 11 | discrepancies or observations, so you record those for that
- 12 | vessel, for that crew. How is that captured in this database?
- 13 A. Yeah, there's an observational recording program in the HCSS.
- 14 | Q. And I'm trying to ask you now to compare without having these
- 15 | numbers in front of you, but where does the Orion Waymon L Boyd
- 16 | dredging vessel compare to the other seven cutter head suction
- 17 | dredges? Because I know, you know, they're not necessarily in the
- 18 same class, but they are cutter suction dredges, so how do you
- 19 | internally, like ranking them based on these observations, these
- 20 | findings, can you go through where Waymon L Boyd, that vessel sat
- 21 | in this program?
- 22 | A. They were all -- they're all about the same, I mean, they're
- 23 | all the kind of same scope of work, they all have challenges, they
- 24 | all have extremely good safety cultures. We were doing good
- 25 | things on that vessel. Other than that, like I previously

- mentioned, the pickup we had with the finger injury there in previous months.
  - Q. And so looking at these trends, looking at these benchmarks that you identified, what areas, let's say over the last 2 years since you've been there, have you focused on or you had your team
- 7 A. Define areas, I guess.
- Q. Let's say if you had a foot trip and a fall on, let's say, a certain vessel, do you go out and target that? Is that painting the yellow --
- 11 | A. Sure.

focus on?

3

4

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 Q. -- highlight yellow on the ladders, is that highlighting 13 them, putting some kind of reflective markers?
  - A. Okay. So the big areas of focus have been -- number one is hand injuries. It's a huge focus for the whole industry. I mean, you know, that's our number one tool is our hands. Choosing the protection, whether that's gloves or engineering out the hazard or using a tool instead of your hands. We focused a lot on hand injuries lately.

Focused a lot on incident reporting to ensure that the minor stuff, the perceived minor stuff, whether it's a near miss or little lessons learned or a scratch or a scrape or a bump, you know, those things are being reported because we can't know about them and learn from them unless they're being communicated up and reported. That's been another focus. And then the focus for

- 1 | target zero, which is, you know, plan our work, identify and
- 2 | having a plan, following the plan, setting our expectations,
- 3 | holding people accountable, communicating safety efforts. So
- 4 there's a couple of our major focuses over the last few years.
- $5 \parallel Q$ . And I'll go into a little round with like emergency
- 6 procedures. Have you had any incidents where like a spill, a
- 7 | hazardous release, that you focused on at all or have been there
- 8 | incidents within the marine group?
- 9 A. We've had spills. Nothing hazardous as of, you know -- I
- 10 | guess fuel, right, that we've been able to refocus our
- 11 environmental side of things on.
- 12 | Q. Okay, so I just want to drill down on that a little bit more.
- 13 | Okay, so you had a couple spills. Is that contained to the vessel
- 14 or is that going over the side?
- 15 | A. Over the side.
- 16 Q. Okay, so over the side. You've identified what the measures
- 17 | to -- I didn't see in your site safety specific plan like a -- I
- 18 | see your emergency like for hazardous spills, but it looks like
- 19 | it's all in the water, but just a general overarching -- if I'm a
- 20 | crew member on board, how do I know what I should be reporting?
- 21 | So let's say a spill went over the side, how do I know what I need
- 22 | to report as a crew member? How is that being checked?
- 23 | A. It's being communicated via your new hire orientation of
- 24 | what's expected to be reported, and it's also being communicated
- 25 | to you by your leadership, your captain, or your superintendent or

- your foreman, deck captain, whoever that may be that your direct

  leadership is, needs to be helping to help you understand what the

  expectations are for your job title and your participation in the

  safety culture.
- Q. Okay. So then I just report to my supervisor hey, I see a spill or I spilled something that's -- that's how I'm going to report it up, is that --
  - A. That's right. And then your supervisor needs to be also knowing his or her expectations to -- as of who needs to be reported from there, which they all do.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

Q. Okay. Yeah, so I'll go back then to a lot of this discussion we've had so far about this internal non-written procedure policy while working around pipelines. We talked about the different memberships and programs that are out there and the recommended corridors and safety standoff distances, and you indicated that in this non-written procedure a best practice within the company is that you're supposed to stay 20 feet away from pipelines.

How is that verified or communicated down to the levermen, the dredge captain, and verified? Is that something that -- from a safety director or manager, that you would want to make sure that gets communicated to the crew? How do you check that?

- A. I apologize, you asked like three questions there. Could you clarify your question?
- Q. Yeah. So we talked about a non-written procedure on how far

  -- a best practice to stay away from a pipe and you indicated 20

- 1 || feet --
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. -- as a best practice.
- 4 A. Well, 20 feet or what's recommended to you by your utility
- 5 owner.
- 6 Q. And whatever the corridor or safety standoff under the
- 7 utility provider request, understood. So how does the safety
- 8 office verify that the crew members know, they know that distance?
- 9 | Is that something that you're looking at?
- 10 A. It's something that the safety office is looking at when they
- 11 | identified that there's a pipeline or a utility in the area. That
- 12 would be the project management team, the safety office, the
- 13 captain, they would all need to communicate that and because the
- 14 crew works for the captain, it would be on the captain to ensure
- 15 | that the communication is put forth.
- 16 | Q. Who would ensure that the captain is communicating that
- 17 | effectively to the crew?
- 18 | | A. The safety and the project management teams.
- 19 | Q. And so who specifically from the safety or project management
- 20 | teams, is that the project manager?
- 21 | A. The project manager is responsible for his project, yes.
- 22 ||Q|. And on the same level, would that also be the safety
- 23 | supervisor or a regional manager?
- 24 | A. If there's a safety supervisor --
- 25 | Q. Assigned?

- 1 A. -- dedicated to that job, that would be part of their
- 2 | responsibility, as well, to communicate any hazards in the area
- 3 and to, you know, continue to work with the captain to communicate
- 4 | anything, as well. If there's not, then it's -- like I said
- 5 | before, safety is everybody's job, so the captain and the deck
- 6 captain need to be held responsible for that.
- 7 | Q. So I'm just looking for, okay, who kind of validates or
- 8 checks that the dredge captain and the deck captain are
- 9 | effectively communicating. You're saying that's the project
- 10 manager and the safety?
- 11 | A. There's general superintendents that are involved with the
- 12 | captains' day-to-day jobs. They report directly to the general
- 13 | superintendents. The safety managers or safety supervisors, when
- 14 | they're on site, would -- would look for those things, as well.
- 15 | And the project management and/or the operations manager, when
- 16 they're on site, would be looking for those things.
- 17  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And the last set of questions I have goes back to
- 18 | Graham's follow-up on the construction portion of the project.
- 19 | A. Yeah.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. You indicated that it would be a separate contract, separate
- 21 | team.
- 22 | A. Yeah.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. Who would be heading up that HSE team from your -- from the
- 24 | region?
- 25 | A. Who, specifically, by name?

- Q. Yes, who would've been involved with that?
- 2 A. That would've been Chris Villalobos. He's our regional HSE
- 3 manager out of Deer Park for the Gulf Coast construction.
- $4 \parallel Q$ . And they report to you, as well?
- 5 | A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And then who would be the next one down from him, if there
- 7 was someone specifically? I would imagine the structure is the
- 8 same where you'd have a safety supervisor attached to it, if you
- 9 | had one on the contract.
- 10 A. Yes. And we hadn't assigned somebody yet because we hadn't
- 11 | started to mobilize for the work. We hadn't gotten there yet.
- 12 MR. WISNIEWSKI: Understood, thank you. That's all I have.
- 13 MR. EHLERS: All right, Mr. Stancil.
- 14 BY MR. STANCIL:
- 15  $\|Q$ . Yes, just a couple of follow-ups. Did Orion maintain any
- 16 | safety data about the pipeline, such as the products that they
- 17 | carried, locations of the lines, operating pressure, emergency
- 18 | contacts or safety data sheets, anything like that?
- 19 | A. I believe the project management team did, yes.
- 20 0. And where would that material have been stored?
- 21 | A. I don't know, you'd have to ask them.
- 22  $\|Q$ . Okay. Do you know who would have had access to the material?
- 23 | Would it have just been the management team or was it something
- 24 | the crew would have access to?
- 25 A. The captain would've had access to it and I believe the deck

- captain and again, you'd have to talk to them. I don't know where they would have stored it, if they would have stored it.
- Q. Do you think they would have kept it on board the vessel or somewhere else?
  - A. Again, you'd have to talk to them.
- 6 MR. STANCIL: Okay, fair enough. All right, that's all I have. Thank you.
- 8 MR. GAMMONS: Yes, sir.
- 9 MR. EHLERS: Okay, Mr. Hoepf.
- 10 MR. HOEPF: Thank you, Drew.
- 11 BY MR. HOEPF:

- 12  $\parallel$  Q. And thank you again, Mr. Gammons, for being with this long
- 13 | interview this afternoon. I just have a couple of quick
- 14 clarifying questions. The same topics as we were discussing
- 15 | before. Like I said, it should be -- it should be pretty quick.
- 16 | So Mr. Kenyon had brought up a point that I just wanted to
- 17 | clarify. We've been talking about the site-specific safety plan.
- 18 | Are there two site-specific safety plans, one for construction and
- 19 one for dredging, or is there just one?
- 20 | A. You're talking in this specific case?
- 21 Q. Yeah, in this specific case.
- 22 | A. So they would have been two separate contracts, they would
- 23 | have been two separate divisions working on them, so the dredging
- 24 | division does not perform construction work and vice versa. So
- 25 | the construction group would have developed a site-specific safety

- 1 plan for that work prior to mobilizing to the job site and
- 2 beginning their operations.
- 3 Q. Okay, okay. So there would have been a separate site-
- 4 | specific safety plan for construction. So this is the site-
- 5 | specific safety plan for the dredging. Okay.
- 6 | A. Just dredging.
- 7  $\|Q$ . Just dredging, okay. All right, thank you. So just a kind
- 8 of quick check-off. We know that JSAs were lost. Are there any
- 9 other safety documents that would be available for us to look at?
- 10 | Just from what you would expect, you know, generally from your,
- 11 | you know, knowledge in the safety department, is there any other,
- 12 you know, documentation of safety hazards, anything else we could
- 13 | look at?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. Then my only last question would just be we
- 16 | potentially might have a small follow-up document request for this
- 17 | interview and I'm wondering -- so do you ever have a risk matrix
- 18 | built into your site-specific safety plans?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | 0. Okay, okay. So --
- 21 | A. Unless they're required by the client.
- 22 | Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear that last part. Unless it was
- 23 | required by the client?
- 24 | A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And so to your knowledge, the client had never --

1 | never required that?

- A. I don't know if they ever have. I know this client did not.
- 3 || Q. Okay, okay. So if we were to have a document request for a
- 4 | site-specific safety plan with a risk matrix in it, that would not
- 5 be something you'd be able to provide because, to your knowledge,
- 6 the site-specific safety plans have never included a risk matrix,
- 7 | is that accurate?
- 8 A. To my knowledge.
- 9 MR. HOEPF: Okay, all right. That is all the questions.
- 10 | Thank you very much for your time, Mr. Gammons.
- 11 Back to you, Drew.
- 12 MR. EHLERS: Okay, thanks very much.
- 13 : Thanks, Drew.
- 14
- 15 | Q. Yes, sir. So just a very short question. So I'm thinking of
- 16 | some lessons learned, and I know there was an explosion on the
- 17 | Jonathon King Boyd in 2018. Do you know if there was any sort of
- 18 | lessons learned put out or any policies or procedures kind of
- 19 | incorporating what happened in that incident, kind of put out to
- 20 | the fleet by Orion?
- 21 | A. What are you referring to? I'm not familiar with that
- 22 dredge.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. There was a dredge explosion in 2018 on the *Jonathon King*
- 24 | Boyd and there was some kind of lessons learned coming out of
- 25 | that. I just didn't know if you had seen anything, if anything

was briefed to any of the crew members.

MR. KENYON: Sorry. this is Graham.

: Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

4 MR. KENYON: I believe that that's not one of our dredges.

That's a different --

: I know that, but it's the lessons learned from somebody else in the industry where --

MR. KENYON: Okay, I see what you're saying, but --

Yeah.

MR. KENYON: -- Ryan's getting confused.

11 MR. GAMMONS: Yeah, I'm not -- that's not our dredge.

12 Yeah.

MR. GAMMONS: That's not our dredge, so --

- Q. Sorry, Ryan. Yeah, yeah. So not the dredge but something similar in the industry that an explosion happened, that they hit pipeline, and I was just wondering if any of that, kind of the takeaways were ever incorporated in any sort of training, any procedures, anything like that.
- A. I actually don't know about that incident.
- Q. Okay. All right, fair enough. And then one other thing
  about looking at the site safety plan, I think you covered it, I
  just want to make sure. It says in there on page 4 that the
  safety department makes regular daily and weekly safety visits.
- 25 Do you know if any of those visits were conducted?

A. I know that the regional HSE manager, Nathan Hawthorne, had gone out to that project multiple times. Yeah, does that answer your question?

Yeah, so we have to talk to Nathan, then, and ask him. Okay, that's all the questions I had. Thank you, sir, appreciate it.

MR. GAMMONS: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EHLERS: Okay, Mr. Kenyon.

MR. KENYON: Yeah, nothing from me. Thank you.

MR. EHLERS: All right, thank you very much. Mr. Truong.

MR. TRUONG: Nothing from me, as well, thank you.

MR. EHLERS: Okay. And Mr. Perez.

MR. PEREZ: No questions from me, thank you.

MR. EHLERS: Okay, thank you. Okay, one more from my NTSB team. Any follow-on questions?

(No audible response.)

MR. EHLERS: Okay. So my last question is this. We've asked you a lot of questions today. Is there anything we haven't asked you that we should have knowledge of that would be important to our investigation that you think is important for us to know?

MR. GAMMONS: No, sir, I can't think of anything.

MR. EHLERS: Okay, all right. Fair enough. If you do think of something, obviously, please get in touch with us through Mr. Kenyon or Ms. von Sternberg. So thanks.

MR. GAMMONS: Absolutely, will do.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

MR. EHLERS: All right. Again, thank you much for your time and appreciate it and it sounds like it's a good time to stop. all right, I'm stopping the recording now. Thank you very much. (Whereupon, the interview concluded.) 

#### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceeding before the

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF: FATAL FIRE AND SINKING OF THE

DREDGE WAYMON L BOYD IN CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS, ON AUGUST 21, 2020

Interview of Ryan Gammons

ACCIDENT NO.: DCA20FM026

PLACE: Via Microsoft Teams

DATE: April 9, 2021

was held according to the record, and that this is the original, complete, true and accurate transcript which has been compared to the recording accomplished at the hearing.

, ...

David A. Martini Transcriber



# National Transportation Safety Board Washington, D.C. 20594

# **Transcript Errata**

## TABLE OF CORRECTIONS FOR TRANSCRIPT INTERVIEW WITH: RYAN GAMMONS **RECORDED ON APRIL 9, 2021**

PAGE	LINE	INE   CURRENT WORDING   CORRECTED WORDI			
NUMBER	NUMBER				
8	7	"their subsidiary,"	"a subsidiary of"		
8	8	Hewett	Kiewit		
8	9	Hewett	Kiewit		
8	10	Hewett	Kiewit		
	11	Hewett	Kiewit		
8	12	Hewett	Kiewit		
12	22	no	yes		
13	17	team	process		
17	13	as	at		
19	13	"reportable accident"	"recordable incident"		
20	15	"has not happened"	"has happened"		
21	16	"then if there's"	"then used as"		
21	17	"The construction thing's the same."	Delete sentence.		
40	2	EPIC	Enterprise		
41	5	"I'm aware of one specific"	"I'm not aware of specific"		
62	9	"No, there wouldn't have"	"No, they would have"		
63	1	"the pickup"	"the incident"		

If, to the best of your knowledge, no corrections are needed kindly circle the statement "no corrections needed" and initial in the space provided.

NO CORRECTION	NS NEED		_	
		Initials		1
Ryan Person providing	. GA	www.	\$	
Person providing	g the above	intormation	1	
Signature of Per	son providin	g the above	e inform	nation
5/27/3	2021	_ ,	· ·	