UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Investigation of:

*
FIRE ONBOARD SPIRIT OF NORFOLK *

NEAR NORFOLK NAVAL STATION, *

VIRGINIA ON JUNE 7, 2022 *

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Interview of: JACK KENNETH EDGAR

Donjon SMIT OPA-90 Salvage

Virginia Beach, Virginia

Accident No.: DCA22FM022

APPEARANCES:

CDR RANDY WADDINGTON, Lead Investigating Officer Chief of Analysis and Compliance Division United States Coast Guard

LCDR Inspections & Investigations
Oversight
United States Coast Guard

LCDR Staff Member
Fifth Coast Guard's District Inspections
United States Coast Guard

CDR ERIC ROY, Technical Advisor Chief of Response Training Coast Guard Training Center Yorktown

CHRIS ABELL, Representative Bay Diesel

ERIC DENLEY, Counsel City Cruises

JOHN K. SPILLER, Representative for Donjon-SMIT Clark Hill PLC

JACK KENNETH EDGAR, Senior Salvage Manager/Engineer Donjon-SMIT

I N D E X

ITEM	PAGE
Interview of Jack Kenneth Edgar:	
By LCDR	6
By LCDR	8
By CDR Roy	53
By Mr. Karr	57
By Mr. Denley	62
By LCDR	69
By CDR Roy	70
By Mr. Karr	74

INTERVIEW

(11:05 a.m.)

CDR WADDINGTON: The time is 11:05. The hearing is now in session.

MR. ABEL: Commander, before we start with Mr. Edgar, I have one matter I'd like to just bring to the Board's attention if I may, sir?

CDR WADDINGTON: And what's the nature of your comment?

MR. ABEL: I would -- on behalf of Bay Diesel, we are asking the Board to strike from the record of the proceeding Exhibit 82, the ATF written report. We've had testimony under oath from SA Vaughn, its author, and I would ask the Board to rely on that and not the written report.

The testimony that we had yesterday from -- or, actually, Tuesday from Mr. Vaughn confirmed the report is a number of factual errors and false assumptions. Leaving it in the public record, misleads the public. ATF's testimony will still be there and we know the testimony, frankly, was they still don't know how the fire started. Its cause is unknown.

And despite what the report says, you know, or infers, ATF can't say that the main diesel engine, for example, had anything to do with the fire. In fact, after all of the evidence that we've heard to-date, there's been absolutely no evidence of any mechanical failure in the port main diesel engine or in any of its components and thus no evidence that my client, Bay Diesel, did

anything wrong. Unfortunately, Exhibit 82 improperly suggests 1 2 It's unreliable and -otherwise. 3 CDR WADDINGTON: Are you testifying or can you make it 4 concise? 5 MR. ABEL: No, sir. I'm explain the reasons --6 CDR WADDINGTON: Your objection is noted for the record. 7 MR. ABEL: -- it is unreliable and unnecessary given the live 8 testimony that we've had from SA Vaughn. It unfairly --9 CDR WADDINGTON: Mr. Abel (ph.) --10 MR. ABEL: It unfairly prejudices my client, Bay Diesel --11 CDR WADDINGTON: Mr. Abel --12 MR. ABEL: -- and so I ask that it be stricken from the 13 record and the testimony --14 CDR WADDINGTON: Mr. Abel --15 MR. ABEL: -- be relied upon --16 CDR WADDINGTON: Mr. Abel --17 MR. ABEL: Yes, sir. 18 CDR WADDINGTON: Your objection is noted and -- for the 19 record and will be considered when analyzing the weight and 20 credibility of the evidence. MR. ABEL: Yes, sir. I ask that it be struck from the record 21 22 and it not be considered at all, and certainly not available to 23 the public because of its misleading nature, sir.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

noted for the record. We will move on now. Thank you.

As I previously stated, your objection is

24

25

CDR WADDINGTON:

MR. ABEL: Thank you, Commander. 1 2 CDR WADDINGTON: At this time, I call Mr. Ken Edgar. Spirit 3 of Norfolk Salvage Representative LT will administer your 4 oath and ask you some preliminary questions. Thank you. 5 Mr. Edgar, please stand and raise your right 6 hand. A false statement given to an agency of the United States 7 is punishable by fine and or imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. 1001. 8 Knowing this, do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 9 10 the truth, so help you God? 11 So help me God, sir. MR. EDGAR: 12 Please be seated. Please state your full name LCDR 13 and spell your last name. 14 MR. EDGAR: Jack Kenneth Edgar, E-d-q-a-r. Please identify counsel or representative 15 16 present and have them state and spell their last name as well as 17 your firm or company relationship. MR. SPILLER: John Spiller, Spiller, Clark Hill in Houston on 18 19 behalf of Mr. Edgar and Donjon-SMIT. 20 INTERVIEW OF JACK KENNETH EDGAR 21 BY LCDR 22 Please tell us what is your current employment and position? 23 I am self-employed. I am a senior salvage master and salvage 24 engineer.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

What are your general responsibilities in that job?

25

- A. As such, I respond to casualties of all sorts in the nature of fires, groundings, collisions. Also do work for -- in the way of heavy lifts, moorings, and other like applications in the marine industry.
- Q. Can you very briefly tell us your relevant work history?

A. I joined the Navy in 1965. I attended the United States
Naval Academy, received a Bachelor of Science degree in naval
architecture and marine engineering. Had a couple tours of duty,
attended as an engineer. Attended graduate school, received a
master's degree in naval -- in marine engineering and a master's
degree in nuclear engineering from MIT.

Continued on in doing several tours of duty as in salvage and diving. I first attended diving school, I think, in 1973. I left active duty in 1978, entered the commercial sector in 1978, and worked for a small company here in the harbor called American Marine Salvage. We did light salvage and ship husbandry, including the ship husbandry for El Paso Marine. A portion of our company was acquired by Trake War Marine (ph.) and I went to work for Trake War Marine under an employment contract for a period of approximately two years managing the OCIMF facility for NAVFAC and installing the moorings over a year's period in Diego Garcia.

Upon return from Diego Garcia, in, I want to say it was, 1980/'81, a couple of us decided to go out on our own and we've been on our own ever since. At which time, my first job with Donjon-SMIT occurred in December of 1981 and I've been working for

them ever since. My first job for SMIT was sometime in the early 2000s/late 1990s. Been working for them ever since and I've been working for Donjon-SMIT since the joint venture stood up in 2004.

- Q. Do you hold any professional licenses or certificates related to your position?
- 6 I am an EITF PE licensure in the Commonwealth of Virginia. 7 am in my certificate in several items. I'm a NREMT paramedic 8 certified at both ALS and hazmat. I'm a firefighter II. Let's 9 see. I've attended Incident Command Instruction over a series of 10 course -- years to the level of 400. I'm -- both in HAZWOPER and 11 in hazmat technician and supervisor. And then there's probably a 12 couple other items in there, Chief. Or, excuse me, did I promote 13 you?
 - Q. Thank you. LCDR will now have follow-up questions for you.
- 16 BY LCDR

1

2

3

4

5

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 17 0. Good morning, Mr. Edgar. Thank you for being here.
- 18 A. Good morning, ma'am.
 - Q. And as we ask you questions today, if you need to break at any time, just let us -- all of my questions are related to the period up to June 7th, 2022, unless I specifically ask you about a later date. There's an exhibit binder in front of you. The recorder, LT will also put up exhibits on the displays throughout the room for you as well. And to just -- the marine industry, I know you're aware that they use many acronyms, so

please try to refrain from using acronyms. And if you need to, please just describe for us what they are. We're going to break your testimony into two main parts. The first one being your role in general as the salvage expert and then we'll talk about your activities related to the *Spirit of Norfolk*.

Mr. Edgar, can you talk to us about how many maritime fire casualties that you have been a part of or in charge of as a salver engineer, or salve master? An estimate's fine as well, too.

- A. Over the years, I've fought probably in the neighborhood of 35 to 40 major marine salvage fires and several lesser fires.
- Q. For the salvage operations and the fires you've mentioned, have there ever been any similar to the small passenger vessel, Spirit of Norfolk?
 - A. From the period of the late '80s through early '90s, I worked for Boots & Coots/Williams Firefighting and then Williams Fire & Environmental. Participated in taught classes at their fire academies and supported them in multiple marine fires and non-marine fires. We've fought fires that included bulkers, car carriers, colliers, tankers, tank barges, fish processing vessels, but never a cruise ship or -- and this is probably the smallest of the lot.
- Q. Can you talk about the instances where you have been working under the Incident Command System or the Unified Command?
- 25 A. Virtually, every operation we do in the United States and its

- territories we do underneath an incident or unified command, and that's into the hundreds. We operate globally, if you wish, and including all the United States and its territories.
- Q. In a salvage situation where fire and stability are affected, what tools do you use to determine if there is a danger of loss of stability leading to the possible capsizing of a vessel?
- A. There's multiple. Some of it's staged. First is visual when you're first on-scene and then you can look at drafts, free boards, period of roll, reaction to the vessel to external stimulation. And then you can, obviously, do detailed calculations, which would, in our case now, include computer modelization.
- Q. Is there a rule of thumb or simple means of determining dangerous stability for a vessel like the *Spirit of Norfolk*?
- 15 A. Yes.

- Q. We're going to shift to the events of June 7th, 2022. Can you, for us in your own words, describe what you did, what you saw, who you interacted with after receiving word -- right around the time you received word that you were being activated to respond to the *Spirit of Norfolk* on June 7th, 2022? And you can take a second to collect your thoughts.
- A. I had returned to my residence from an operation in Gulf Court (ph.) either the week before that weekend. The day of the fire, I was spending most of the afternoon outside and in my shop, taking care of some things locally that needed to be taken care of

there. I received both an email and a phone call approximately, I want to say, 1537, but I'd have to go back and look at my notes for my testimony. That call came in from Mr. Tim Williamson, who is the vice president of Donjon Marine. He's the vice president of Salvage for Donjon Marine. And you said that the *Spirit of Norfolk* was on fire.

I went into the office, pulled up WAVY TV. They were reporting on it. I pulled up the link to WAVY TV and I sent that to Donjon-SMIT headquarters and several of the Donjon-SMIT and Donjon personnel. And about the time I hit send, Mr. Guillermo Hernandez, the general manager of Donjon-SMIT, called me up and said I should proceed to Pier 4 off of naval. I grabbed my jump bag, my computer, got in the truck and started driving.

En route, I made several phone calls. Quite a few back to Mr. Hernandez knowing that he keeps a very detailed log in events of this case, that, you know, hey, I'm on the road. Also, here's my -- what I intend to do. We were being tasked solely to dewater the vessel after the fire event, that I was going to reach out to Chesapeake Bay Diving, arrange for personnel, pumps, and, you know, might as well get the dive boat set and headed that way. From experience, we know we might need it eventually.

Also, while enroute, I asked him to source a tank barge, so that we could put the -- pump the fluid to. And as we proceeded up the road, I was getting calls back from Chesapeake Bay Diving, confirming that they were mobilizing their pumps. I tried to

reach the principal at Chesapeake Bay Diving and he was busy cutting a line out of one of the propellers of one of the vessels that had responded to the *Spirit of Norfolk*, so he was well aware of it and he was going to -- when he finished that, he was going to move that dive asset over to Pier 4.

En route, spoke a couple of times to Mr. Williamson. He was working on mobilization of assets out of our facility in New Jersey and he expanded that to include some additional summer firefighting equipment because we realized we'd probably have to make entry to get the pumps in and whatnot, and the environment was not going to be probably suitable for, you know, entering without being in SCBAs or other breathing apparatus.

The feedback from Mr. Hernandez said that he was having trouble sourcing a barge. I recommended he try Vane Brothers, which he had not yet done, and he was in hot pursuit of one from CNM (ph.), which is I think Earl Edwards' (ph.) is firm. And I also asked him what the status of the OSRO was. He indicated that he was going to check with the owner and I asked him who the RP was going to be and he was going to feedback information to me on that.

Subsequent before I arrived at Pier 4, Mr. Hernandez

was -- got back to me and indicated that Ms. Price was going to be

the RP, representative. I'd find her out on the dock, that she

was someplace in the vicinity of the Spirit of Norfolk. I arrived

at the head of the dock about 1715. I could see when I pulled

into the parking space -- I can see the ship. I could see that it had a little bit of port heel. The smoke was more intense than when I had seen it on the WAVY video and it was a little darker in color. I proceeded to walk down the pier and RRSA, Mr. Ken Hendricks, was just then arriving at the head of the pier, so we walked down the pier together.

Part of the way down the pier, we ran into one of the Norfolk City fire officers. He was recognizable because he was wearing a white helmet. Spoke briefly to him. He kind of gave us, yes, the fire had taken a little bit of a turn. They had made an entry and the door between the galley and the engine room had been opened, and the vessel -- that's why we're seeing some -- perhaps why we were seeing some heel on the vessel.

I tasked Mr. Hendricks, who was a marine surveyor, just to -- because I was going to try to find somebody from owners and -- to meet me wherever the command post was. So, I continued on down the pier and, on the north side of the pier, I identified him as Captain Ryan at the time because he had his pin on, but the Spirit of Norfolk's master was sitting on the north side of the pier. I spent a few minutes, approximately 15 minutes, talking to him.

He explained kind of the nature of the casualty initially, that it was the engine room. When I had seen the smoke coming out on the video, I thought it might have been the galley that was on fire because the vents are kind of close together there. He

corrected me and it was duly noted. I asked him about some information about his liquid load and he was -- he really wasn't in a condition at that point, in my medical opinion, to be pushed on a lot of questions. I said, fine, I got some other things I need to do but, when you get a chance, get back to me and so we can talk liquid load. He acknowledged that.

And then I crossed the pier headed towards the Spirit of

Norfolk and I saw the Spirit of Norfolk for a few minutes. Got an

idea of free boards. Looked at the period of roll. I did not

time it at that point, but the vessel was not lolling. She had a

reasonably long period of roll.

I went over to the identifiable CP, command post, at that time and that turned out to be the naval base firefighter's CP, where they were having a discussion. I kind of peeked over people's shoulders. One of the fire officers saw me and I could identify them that they were naval base firefighters because they had -- were wearing white polo shirts with that written blazingly along -- on their chest. They were wearing vests and I did not know at that time who was the incident commander, but he quickly identified himself as the chief and he was in the process of discussing a second attempt. I never initiated that discussion. I just entered into it and with -- I'm here from -- we're from the salver, you know, what can I do to help you? And that was -- began my involvement.

I did not have much to offer up at the time. I offered to

arrange for the divers to bring over their boat and act as a safety boat, and they even have people who could do -- offer to be used as rescue swimmers if he felt that necessary. I did carry a marine radio. He did not have communications directly with the pilots and I said I'd be more than happy to interface if you want me to. And I will be monitoring drafts, free boards, and I'm going to be measuring the period of roll of the vessel.

And he went down in meticulous detail, which I recorded in my notes, what his general plan was. Put two teams on board: one to carry a 2.5 inch line with a cellar nozzle on it with the intent of putting it into the escape trunk. That way they can control the amount of flow from the dock and keep everybody off the vessel. Plus, it would get a great expansion. All right.

He explained, you know, what he thought the route was and they had the fire plan laying out there. And at that time, I did not notice any annotations. I reached out with my pencil and put two half circles around the escape trunk, pointed out to him, and he asks, where is it? We're close to the vessel. I said, it's behind that window over there. He talked through his route and agreed that going through the aft door forward and upward to starboard was the shortest route, and a few other details which I have annotated within my notes.

At that point in time, Captain Stockwell came up and was hearing the conversation and indicated that that the salvage plan -- or, the firefighting plan, excuse me, it was a

firefighting plan, had to be written and that she wanted her staff to review it. I showed her my notebook and she -- no, no, no, I want something more than that, which was fine. And evidently that got her focus on me as opposed to the fire chief from the base. And she started asking me 20 questions and every other word seemed to be stability. I tried to explain that the period of roll was long, that there was over 2.5 ft of free board at that time on the port quarter, that there -- in my opinion, there was not an impending stability problem. But if the fire was going to be extinguished, it needed to be extinguished pretty soon.

We broke away from that conversation. I talked to somebody else on the pier and she said she wanted to re-huddle at the command post and get some other people involved. I was still trying to identify Ms. Thompson, but I attended the next meeting, which include CPT Stevens and several other people. All right. Again, the discussion was basically, I'm worried about stability and the safety of the people, which everybody understood, but it was also brought forward that, you know, the fire was not going to get better, that there was perhaps an opportunity to get it down and get it down now. And she's, no, we're not going to do it and we're going to meet again at 2000.

In one of those conversations. She indicated that the vessel was already a CTL and I -- which I am sometimes prone to do -- made a snide remark that she -- asked her if she was representing the underwriters, P&I club. And those of you who are

married, you know what the look is, and I got the look. At which time I said, well, fine, then I go over and I will see you with the 2000 meeting, and I needed to follow up on the barge and mobilization and find Ms. Thompson. And I also wanted to go over and sit down and measure the period of the roll of the vessel.

I accomplished most of that before the 2000 meeting, which was actually held at 2020, but I never found Ms. Thompson until we entered the meeting. She was in the meeting with Captain Ryan.

And at that point in time, the meeting occurred. I silenced my -- oh, I did do one other thing.

At 1831, I put in a phone call to the SERT duty officer. She did not answer. I left her a message to call back. And SERT is the Salvage Emergency Response Team and that's what the -- works out of the Coast Guard headquarters, the Marine Safety Office, and is comprised of young junior officers, most of them who are fresh out of graduate school. They're bright, they're great technicians in their own right, and it's headed by Andy Lawrence. I did not call Andy. I have his home phone number and cell number, but I did not call him. I wanted to maintain the chain of command and go through the duty officer.

At the 20:00 meeting, I had muted my phone, listened to the discussion. We're going to stand up the unified command.

Questions about going back and making extinguishments were by that by that time becoming moot because the command post, which had just opened up, was the Port of Norfolk command post as opposed to

the Suburbans that were on the dock earlier. It is outfitted with a FLIR system and we were able to watch the conflagration increase. And by the end of the meeting, the vessel was nearly fully engulfed, and by the time we walked down to the dock, it was fully engulfed.

In the meeting, the discussion of stability come -- came back up. I mentioned that I had timed the period of roll and the GM was in excess of four feet, but peoples' focusing seemed to be going elsewhere. And at no time while I was on the dock did I represent myself as a representative of the responsible party. I did not state that and I certainly did not intend to imply it if I did. I represented myself as a salvage representative from Donjon-SMIT.

- Q. Thank you. I have follow-up questions. Just a few things. Do you mind putting your mic down just a little bit more? Thank you. And you had mentioned two acronyms during that. You said RSA. Can you explain what RSA --
- A. Response situation assessor. That's part of the Open 90 Response Network. And we have several here in Hampton Roads. We at Donjon-SMIT have several here in Hampton Roads. One of them is Aaron Addison from Chesapeake Bay Diving. But as I indicated earlier, he was busy cutting a line out of a wheel. And Mr. Hernandez mobilized Mr. Hendricks. Mr. Hendricks who'd served us as the RSA in the past on the Dawn Hey (ph.) grounding off the base, so he's a known entity to us and we've worked with him in

the past.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Sorry. Can you move your mic just a little more closer?
 We're having a hard time -- thank you. Appreciate it.
- $4 \parallel A$. My apologies.
- 5 0. And then you mentioned CTL?
- 6 A. Constructive total loss.
 - with LT when he was swearing you in and we talked about your naval architect and your education and background. Can you kind of give us a background into your firefighting background?

 A. Yes, ma'am. Upon graduation from the Academy, I was sent on board an aircraft carrier as the auxiliaries officer. I was on board for approximately two years. And during that period of

time, I also was -- attended firefighting school, damage control

school, numerous ref-trays, refresher trainings. All right.

Thank you. You had gone into some background information

And that continued throughout both my active and reserve period of time. Every time I was on board a ship, we ended in ref-tray, or refresher training, of some sort. Throughout my naval career I've been an engineer, primarily an operating engineer, on board vessels for an engineering staff. I did have command of a mobile diving and salvage unit in which time we did firefighting training. I was the Atlantic Fleet salvage officer, in which time we did salvage and firefighting training.

Upon entering the commercial sector, in 1981, I provided engineering support and attended and participated in the Williams

School, including, like I said, as an instructor and I instructed in things such as boom application rates, fire -- different fire types, fuels, POLs, chemical, grain, coal, whatever. Material strength, strength of materials, you know, impact of fire on those. Vapor air explosions, oxygen start fires, technical aspects.

I was mentored by Mr. Les Williams of Williams Firefighting.

And when he became of the age where he could no longer be out on the fires, I took his place. And during that period of time -- and these are all small six-to-eight-man teams, everybody fights a fire. And we come back home. In my residence, I live in a very rural area. If we didn't have volunteer firefighters and medics, we wouldn't have. I spent 25 years in the volunteer force.

- Q. Going back to your testimony, what you just told us, I'll just have some follow-up questions. Can you tell us how does Donjon-SMIT determine who gets activated for events such as the Spirit in Norfolk? How they activate you. How they determine that.
- A. It's situational and availability. In this case, I wasn't -- Mr. Hernandez reached out to Mr. Addison to be the RSA very quickly. Not to me first and -- I'm about 2.5 hours away. And he quickly called up -- when they said they were going to be wanting de-watering, he called me and says, hey, you can go -- get it going, because he knew I was available. He called

Mr. Williamson, or Mr. Williamson was made aware, and
Mr. Williamson turned on people from New Jersey. That is our next
largest school of assets. We do have assets pre-staged down here
with Chesapeake Bay and we do have network assets here in the
Hampton Roads area, including foam, pumps, frac tanks, and those
are in -- west of Hampton Roads, some of them in Petersburg. But
the who gets chosen, like I said, is the nature of the casualty
and who happens to be available.

- Q. Prior to arriving to Pier 4, can you explain if you decided if you needed any additional help at the scene? And why did you request those people or those agencies?
- A. Having experience with de-watering, even if it's not after a fire, you need pumps. But this being a marine casualty, fire casualty, knew we're going to need some submersible pumps. I knew that the Chesapeake Bay had a couple immediately available. Turns out that the four-inch submersible pump was on the dock before I arrived. The three-inch was staged in Portsmouth, ready to be mobilized over.

I was informed of the approximate make-out of the mobilization coming down from New Jersey. And in that group, I think, where six additional Submersible pumps with hoses and power units. All right. I also know that we need to put -- someplace to put water. And given that it was a fire, I knew that the water would be contaminated with both the AFFF, which we now know is a carcinogenic; fuel; and the products of combustion. The fire is

going to produce its own waste streams. Yeah, so that's where -- the reason I was requesting a tank barge.

- Q. Were there any other Donjon-SMIT employees or marine salvage engineers deployed for the *Spirit of Norfolk* incident?
- A. Oh, yes. Mr. Williamson joined us either at the end of the 2020 unified command meeting -- and again, he's the vice president of salvage for Donjon. And we had Mr. Paul Faran (ph.) come up from Jacksonville after the 2020 meeting. As they were standing up the unified Command that was identified that they needed -- or, that Captain Stockwell wanted an op section chief.

Mr. Williamson was on the phone during the meeting and he volunteered to take that position, which he's held in the past, and identified Captain Faran, who has been a section chief, planning chief in the past. He's stationed in Jacksonville. And had him get on an airplane and get up the following morning. We had a five-man salvage team enroute shortly after I was, coming down from New Jersey.

We mobilized a -- or, Mr. Hernandez mobilized Bill
Sugerros (ph.). He's with marine firefighting, MFF. He was in
Newport News. He got on the dock sometime 1800 and 1830, or
around there. And his principal role we brought him on was delays
with the firefighters on there, because we were not fighting the
fire. We were there solely to de-water the vessel. And then
later, after the 2020 meeting, we realized it was going to go into
the night for a longer period of time, we mobilized several people

out of Houston and additional people from MFF.

- Q. Can you kind of go a little more into how those roles were divided amongst all those people? I know they came at different times, but how was it divided up for who did what?
- A. Since I was the first Donjon-SMIT person on-scene, I became the lead man, or lead person, for Donjon-SMIT. So, basically, gathering information and, as my function as the engineer, looking at the vessel, ascertaining how the vessel was sitting.
- 9 Mr. Williamson, upon his arrival, he became the lead Donjon-SMIT
 10 individual as well as the operational section chief.

Mr. Hendricks continued in his capacity as the survey and I utilized him as his eyes on the vessel. He took most of the draft readings on the 7th and the night of the 7th. Mr. Hendricks was the liaison with the fire department. And then as we staffed up, of course, we have a dive team from Chesapeake Bay and they were able to provide 24/7 coverage for us. So, we had three or four people from Chesapeake Bay, including either Mr. Addison or Mr. Dorn, who is also a principle of Chesapeake Bay, on-hand at all times to move pumps, set up pumps, get ready to de-water.

- Q. Can you kind of talk about -- I know you mentioned yourself since you were there first and then someone else that was a lead. Who was in charge? Can you kind of talk about who was in charge for Donjon-SMIT?
- 24 | A. When?

 \mathbb{Q} . At the -- June 7?

- 1 A. Well, initially, I was and I'm, you know, literally a one-man
- 2 band with the RSA and Mr. Saugus and the Chesapeake Bay guy
- 3 divers. But as soon as Mr. Williamson arrived, he became in
- $4 \parallel$ charge of the Donjon-SMIT activities on-scene.
- $5 \parallel Q$. We know pre-planning is important in these types of
- 6 situations. Was there a pre-arrival documentation or plans that
- 7 Donjon-SMIT already had for the Spirit of Norfolk?
- $8 \mid A$. We had -- we held the fire plan as required by regulation,
- 9 but we did not hold all the plans for the vessel. I asked for
- 10 those early on while I was enroute and Mr. Hernandez worked with
- 11 the owners of the vessel and they reached out as early as 1630, I
- 12 | believe, to get some of the plans from the designer and builder of
- 13 | the vessel.
- 14 Q. I'm going to ask LT to display Coast Guard Exhibit
- 15 | 068, tack 1. That's a -- this is the vessel fire plan that was on
- 16 | the vessel. Mr. Edgar, can you kind of talk about that and what
- 17 | we're looking at for the benefit of the public? And is this the
- 18 | fire plan you had?
- 19 A. This is not the fire plan that we actually had.
- 20 Q. Okay. Is it similar, or?
- 21 A. I would say it's similar and it's been annotated with
- 22 different colors and labels and whatnot. The plan that was on the
- 23 dock was not so annotated.
- $24 \parallel Q$. The plan that was on the doc, did it have the colors
- 25 | as -- like that of the red, the yellow, and the green?

- A. Negative.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

8

10

12

 $3 \mid A$. Not that I was aware of. The plan had been rolled back.

4 What I saw was basically the plan view and the upper corner view

5 where it shows emergency escape trump. The plan was a drawing, if

6 you wish, quite large and they had rolled back the upper decks of

7 | it, so they weren't visible. And I think they even tucked in some

of the sides so it would fit on the tailgate where they were

9 working.

LCDR You can take that down, thank you.

11 BY LCDR

Q. In your prelim preliminary interview, you had stated that

13 Donjon-SMIT has varying amounts of documentation pre-stored for

14 certain vessels in their database. Some vessels have more. Some

15 vessels had less, such as the Spirit of Norfolk. In this

16 instance, as you mentioned, you only had the fire plan. Do you

17 know why that is? Why some vessels have more and some have less?

18 A. And in part, DDS. Some of our newer vessels actually have

19 less. Ship designers and shipbuilders are becoming more

20 | secretive, if you wish, about releasing documentation,

21 particularly things like lines, drawings, and things that we would

22 | really need. So, you almost have to wait till the casualty to pry

23 those loose. Other vessels owners, one company in particular I'll

24 | name is Stolt Tankers. They are -- they flush their database and

25 we have direct access to just about every drawing on the

ships -- all of their ships. And some people/owners don't and some owners it varies from ship to ship.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- So, do you know who determines, then, the plans and schematics that go into the database for each vessel? Donjon or is it the vessel's owner and operator that puts those documents in your database?
- I know that Mr. Hernandez, who's the general manager for 8 Donjon-SMIT, encourages the owners to put as much as possible into 9 The database is quite large for -- as the Open 90 providers.
- 10 Donjon-SMIT is the largest. We have probably 60 percent of the 11 vessels that call in the United States and its territories. 12 the database is significant. I personally don't go into the 13 database very often unless there's a drill or a casualty to review
- 15 And prior to and after arriving at Pier 4, did you request 16 any documents from City Cruises or Hornblower to assist in your 17 work?

to see what is there. But I do have access to it.

Yes, I did. I requested that Mr. Hernandez acquired those while I was under way. And as I indicated in just a few seconds ago, I do know that as early as 1630 that the owners had reached out to the ship designer to get that information. And they work -- I know that the owners worked very hard because when we finished up, some of that information was coming into my email before going into the 2000 meeting.

And when we got out of the 2000 meeting, it was pretty flush.

There was a lot of information that I was able to download, including a ship model, which was very, very nice to have, that the owner -- the designer, excuse me, had developed. And all that information, all those references, one of the first things I did after speaking with SERT -- evidently, LT Karen, I can't remember her last name, the duty officer had called me back while I was in the 2000 unified command meeting.

When I got out, I looked and I had -- obviously had a string of phone calls that I had missed and I started working. And she called back and I discussed the issue with her, what we had down here. She had yet to hear from the sector. And glad for her heads up. And our traditional relationship is with them, if we get information, we share it with them. If they get references and information, they share back. It's a very good working relationship.

We do not go outside the Coast Guard chain of command. Her boss is aware that we're talking and liaisoning. And I always let the IC or the UC know, oh, by the way, I'm over here talking to them, rather than them getting involved in nuts and bolts and stuff that the engineers get involved in. They want to see the finished product and the finished product is generally from SERT down to the sector and concurrently from us up to the sector.

And what I did is I said, I got all this reference material coming in and I saw an SAG (ph.) model in there. I'm going to send you this all raw. And once I get sorted through usual

- 1 arrangement, I'll share my model, I'll share my run files.
- 2 I do work in general hydrostatics, GHS. So do they. And she was
- 3 obviously at home at that late in the evening and says, all right,
- $4 \mid \mid I'|$ I'll check it tonight and see what I got tonight, and then I'll
- 5 | talk to you first thing in the morning.
- 6 Q. So, you just mentioned that you received most of the
- 7 documents for that 2000 meeting --
- 8 A. They were coming in while I was going to the meeting, while I
- 9 was in the meeting, and later after there's a few documents that
- 10 we kind of wanted, structural documents that we wanted, and we
- 11 | specifically asked for. And as I said, Hornblower was on it. We
- 12 got it and, within a half hour or so, those -- that drawing
- 13 appeared.
- 14 Q. Prior to and after arriving at Pier 4, did you request any
- 15 documents from the local Coast Guard unit?
- 16 A. Prior to arriving at Pier 4 at the navy base, I had no
- 17 discussions whatsoever with the Coast Guard.
- 18 | Q. And after arriving to Pier 4, did you request any documents
- 19 | from the local unit? Not from the salvage.
- 20 | A. Not until we got into the unified command meeting. I was
- 21 expecting to see a ICS 2000/2002 form. I didn't see it and they
- 22 | indicated they hadn't done it yet, and I said okay.
- 23 | Q. Did you ever receive those forms?
- 24 A. They came out the following day and Mr. Williamson, by that
- 25 | time, was on-point with that and he was following it.

Q. So, you -- I know you had gotten and talked about your relationship and the interactions you had with the Salvage Emergency Response Team. Was there -- was the assistance you received from them timely and accurate? I know you had mentioned that you had got a phone call later because you had left a voicemail, but in your opinion.

A. Commander, I don't characterize our relationship with SERT as getting assistance from them. It's a working together relationship. We each have our own chain of command. But down at that level, if you wish, we liaise very well together. They're very encouraging. The people whose staff SERT, like I said, are junior officers, lieutenants, maybe a lieutenant commander, mostly out of graduate school it seems. You'll have to ask the Coast Guard for more detail on that.

But these are bright young folks and -- but they don't have experience, you know? We kind of encourage them to get out and train with us or come out on an operation with us. And occasionally they do. We had one up on the Ever Forward when we refloated her. He got to spend some time not with me but with the -- one of our other salvage engineers, Alex (ph.). So, yes, it's not -- we don't go to them for assistance other than we do share documentation.

Q. We had mentioned several folks that aided in your efforts and that you worked with. Did we miss anyone that supported your efforts on June 7th that we haven't discussed?

A. I know that Mr. Hernandez had a lot of things going on and he was having a lot of discussions regarding the tank barge situation. And I know he was interacting with the owner when the rest of us couldn't. For example, I did call him back when I was on the dock. I can't find Ms. Thompson. You know anything? He says, she's supposed to be on the dock. Let me call you right -- oh, hang on. He got on another line and called, say -- they called her.

I didn't -- evidently, when I called her cell number, she didn't recognize the number and didn't take my call. Right? And so, as soon as we broke the ice, she's indicated she was on her way up to the command post that was just established, the truck that the Port Authority brought over. So, I started heading that direction and met her there.

- Q. And just to clarify for our timeline purposes, can you go over again how long it took you once you were activated to get to the scene and what time it was that you arrived on-scene?
- A. I think I was notified at 1537. I probably left -- got underway within 15 minutes. I keep a jump bag packed. All I had to do was DC my computer from its docking station and grab the jump bag, throw it in the truck, and head north. I got to the dock approximately -- the head of the pier -- the parking lot at 1715. I got to the head of the pier approximately 1730 where I met Mr. Hendricks. And it took us probably 15 minutes to walk the length of that pier, including the time we talked to the fire

officer.

Exhibit 042, tack 1, which is a picture of -- some pictures on-scene that day on the pier of the command post. Page 2. Okay. Thank you.

BY LCDR

- Q. When you arrived at Pier 4, were you directed to some sort of command post? And is that the command post when you arrived that you saw?
- A. When I arrived, I wasn't directed. I was in search of. I walk the dock and I saw that Suburban, but it was not -- it did not have its tailgate up at the time. The tailgate that was up with the CP flag flying was closer to the end of the pier on the south side of the pier after the stern of the Spirit of Norfolk.
- O. And this --

16 LCDR Keep it up for one second LT

17 BY LCDR

- Q. There are two people there that you can see wearing an incident commander vest. Was that the case when you arrived? There's one in the orange and one in the yellow there.
- A. I did not see them. The people I saw wearing vests were the firefighting department from the base and they were wearing white vest. And I did not see what was on the back of them because I approached them -- we're approaching face-on, like I said, when I peeked my head over the crowd.

Did you have an interaction with them any time after, with the two incident commanders there?

1

2

3

4

5

16

- I believe around 1845, 1850, the Norfolk Fire Department chief may have been in attendance with the huddle at the Norfolk Base Fire Department CP.
- 6 Do you remember who -- the first person you reported to when you arrived on-scene at Pier 4 on June 7th? 7
- 8 In addition to questioning the fire officer, I reported to 9 Captain Ryan, who was sitting on the north side of the pier at the 10 end.
- 11 And upon your arrival at Pier 4, who did you believe was in 12 charge of the incident? Or were you told who was in charge of the 13 incident?
- 14 I was not told who was in charge. But at the scene of a 15 fire, generally, it's a fire chief. I sought out a fire chief.
- You had mentioned earlier and in your preliminary interview 17 that you briefly spoke with Captain Nadeau when you arrived on 18 Pier 4. In your opinion -- and I'm paraphrasing, but he was not 19 good to give a good indication of some of the information you were 20 looking for. Can you kind of talk about what he told you and why 21 you thought that?
- 22 He was sitting there by himself on the curb, if you wish, 23 when I walked up to him and -- I'm not an expert, just a medic. 24 When I looked at him, he kind of had that 100-yard stare look. 25 I'm sure he was thinking about the events of the day. He told me

about the casualty and, you know, I just said, dang, you know, that was a hell of a great job, you know, to get all those people off. And I was kind of interested in more in the details of the vessel and not, you know -- other than it was an engine room fire and whatnot.

And like I said, he was probably taking a deep breath at that point and I said, let it ride, you know, but please come back and talk to me, because he gave me two different fuel amounts and he didn't -- it wasn't what I was looking for. I was looking for something a little more concrete and I don't think he wasn't the state of mind at that point in time to pony it up. But he did seek me out during and after the 2000 meeting, and he was clear and conversant and had the information that I wanted.

- Q. What was the information that you had wanted in your first meeting with him that you didn't get from him?
- 16 A. Liquid load.

- Q. When you finally did meet Ms. Price Thompson after the meeting or at the meeting, can you kind of talk about your interaction with her and the assistance she provided?
- A. When I got to talk to her, I think she had been talking with her office and they were talking about sending an individual from Michigan down to be the QI, if you wish. And she had -- obviously had a lot on her plate. And by that time, Mr. Williamson was about to walk in the door, so we did not have a long conversation other than she was going to be available. And if I needed

anything that I wasn't getting, to let her know. And, you know, where are you going to be? And she says, I will not go very far. The command post was getting a little bit crowded and I was looking for a flat spot. She says, I would not be very far. It'd either be inside here with you or I will be outside the door. But if you need anything, don't hesitate to reach out to her. By that time, she recognized my cellphone number, so if I called her, she was going to respond.

- Q. Earlier, you had briefly mentioned that -- about your interactions with Captain Stockwell, at the time, the deputy sector commander. Can you kind of talk about your interactions with her?
- A. My first interaction occurred after I was listening -- had been listening to the conversation with the fire chief from the navy base and he was discussing his plan to make a second entry. And she walked up to that group and, at some point in time, she overheard the conversation and indicated that there was an issue of stability. I probably preempted the fire chief and I said, I don't think so. You know, I'm comfortable right now that we've got stability and, she pretty much focused on me and not the fire chief.

Unfortunately, I think if she had had a little longer discussion with the fire chief, he may have instilled some confidence in her and some -- that he had a practical -- perhaps had a practical solution to the series of ongoing events. But she

was -- she pretty much focused on me and was arguing and discussing stability and wanting a written fire plan. And I pointed to the fire chief and he -- it was his plan to write. And I had pulled up my tablet and pointed to the bullets that I had written down there and this is not going to fly. She says, no, I want my staff to review it.

And it was those words that made me a mental note to reach out the SERT soon as I got off -- got an opportunity. And it was at that time, I believe that she said, the vessel's already a CTL and I'm worried about stability and I do not want to endanger any of the firefighters. And I concurred. We're not trying to endanger firefighters, but I do believe the vessel is stable.

And then she said, well -- she was -- the assistant captain of the port or the captain of the port, and then we said, we weren't going to make another attempt, which I says, okay, you know? I wasn't in the position of being in charge of the salvage nor the fire. There was somebody else already filling that position. I didn't have a qualm with that, but I think she was frustrated and I was a good source to vent on, which I'm fine. I got shoulders. I can handle that. I've been there before.

- Q. I just want to clarify because you said, second attempt. Was that after the firefighting mayday this discussion happened?
- A. The meeting that there was ongoing at that time was initiated, being managed, or moderated by the Norfolk base fire chief. And it was -- I would akin it to one of our toolbox talks.

1 There was a lot of give and take amongst the other fire officers.

 $2 \mid \mid$ The docking pilots were hovering around. Several of the folks,

3 the support people were hovering around. Chesapeake Bay was not

there. I made a note in my book to reach out to Aaron to make

5 sure that he knew what was going on as a result of that meeting.

6 If they did make another attempt, I wanted his small boat in the

7 | vicinity. And there was just -- something was brought up about

radios and I said, I have a marine radio, so I can liaise with the

9 docking pilots to help you control the tugs.

- Q. What was your plan? Did you propose a plan to Captain
 Stockwell regarding the firefighting efforts or salvage efforts?
- 12 A. I never proposed a plan about the fire efforts. Never once.
- 13 Q. Can you kind of go a little more into detail about the

14 concerns expressed by Captain Stockwell, what she brought up to

15 | you?

4

8

10

11

21

22

25

16 A. She and later several other people kept using the term,

17 | stability. All right. The stability of the Spirit of Norfolk,

18 she was not lolling. She had 2.5 ft of free board. When I did

19 the back envelope calculation, if you wish, using the period of

20 | roll, the GM, which is a measure of stability, was in excess of

four feet. To the north, the navy destroyers sitting across the

 \parallel pier, when they're dressed out and they got their antennas covered

23 | in they're doing maintenance work, their GM is close to one foot.

24 So, stability is a relative issue.

Also, in the other measure of stability, which when I did do

the formal calculation, it turns out that the GM was in larger than the estimated GM, was upwards of five feet. But the righting energy, in other words, the energy to keep the ship upright or to re-right it after it rolls was almost 10-foot degrees, which by Coast Guard regulation is the minimum requirement for a crane barge, so the vessel was quite stable. And all this was sent to

- barge, so the vessel was quite stable. And all this was sent to SERT and SERT agreed with it.
 - Q. So then, would you agree that you had a plan of action based on your naval architect field calculations that you performed?
- 10 A. Plan of action for what, ma'am?

- 11 Q. Just for how to alleviate the concerns from Captain 12 Stockwell.
- A. I don't really think she understood. We got a GM in excess of four feet. That's very good. By that time, we were in the 2020 incident command briefing. Everybody else was in there. And at that time, she did go around the room, do we continue firefighting? And you can look on the floor and see the vessel was fully -- it was burning more intensely and everybody agreed, nope. That moment has passed.
 - Q. So, prior to the conversation with Captain Stockwell, had you received yet or were you able to review any stability diagrams or reference drawings or set-up diagrams in connection with the Salvage Emergency Response Team or any other source at that time?

 A. My first encounter with Captain Stockwell was an hour after I was on the dock -- less than an hour after I was on the dock. I

had not even meaningful the time the period of roll but looking at 1 2 it, like I said, it was -- no calculations were provided. know, SERT wasn't involved. They hadn't even been informed. 3 4 were the first people to notify them. So, I don't know where I 5 would've gone other than request what I did while I was under way 6 enroute the pier that we get the rest of the documents, 7 specifically the Trim & Stability Booklet. 8 I believe we're going to --LCDR 9 MR. EDGAR: Ma'am? 10 LCDR Yeah? 11 MR. EDGAR: And I provided you -- or, during previous

MR. EDGAR: And I provided you -- or, during previous testimony, all of my emails and other -- there's email trains within that documentation that addresses the request for vessel documentation and the times it was requested.

LCDR Thank you, sir. We're, I think, going to go into a break real quick.

CDR WADDINGTON: Yeah. The time is 1208. We'll take a recess until 1220. Thank you.

19 (Off the record at 12:08 p.m.)

(On the record at 12:20 p.m.)

CDR WADDINGTON: The time is 12:20. This hearing is back in session. LCDR please continue.

BY LCDR

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Edgar, I just want to go back for one second just to revisit the timeline to ensure we're getting the accurate time of

- 1 events. 715-ish you pulled up. That's when you arrived. 730,
- $2 \mid \mid$ you were actually near the incident scene at the pier from the
- 3 time it took you to get to the pier. 1750. When was it that you
- 4 | had these conversations that we've been discussing with Captain
- 5 | Stockwell?
- 6 A. Somewhere in the neighborhood of about 1830 to 1850.
- 7 Q. Okay. So, those conversations you had had with her were
- 8 | after the firefighting mayday and after the watertight door had
- 9 been opened.
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to ask LT to pull up Coast Guard
- 12 Exhibit 048, which are Mr. Edgar's field notes, and turn to
- 13 page 4. I'll give you a second to look at those on page 4. Under
- 14 the redaction there, it looks like there's several entries. They
- 15 | look like they're referencing the captain of the port and the
- 16 assistant captain of the port. It's abbreviated. Is that
- 17 | correct? My assumption.
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. Can you kind of take us through those notes and
- 20 explain these entries?
- 21 A. Where it says COP/ACOP, I've got Nav fire chief plan a no-go.
- 22 Q. Yes, sir. And can you put your mic a little closer? Sorry.
- 23 Yes, sir. Kind of take us through those and what that means.
- 24 A. All right. The discussion with Captain Stockwell, at that
- 25 point, the naval base fire chief, the plan he had put together and

Ι

1 outlined, she said it wasn't going to be a go. It was not going

- 2 \parallel to be executed. So, I just wrote a note to myself, a no-go.
- 3 Below that, she wanted it in writing. My arrow over to it says,
- $4 \mid \mid$ no tablet. She wanted a staff review. I made a note to myself,
- 5 where is the staff? All right. Stability. I made a note to
- 6 myself, look, no lolling, free board. And off to the right is do
- 7 BOE, back of the envelope. Below that, per COP/ACOP, SON, Spirit
- 8 of Norfolk, is a CTL, constructive total lost. Must rep P&I,
- 9 referring to the P&I club, underwriters. I made a note to myself,
- 10 | now miffed. All right. Somebody say something? All right.
- 11 Q. I'm sorry. Continue.
- 12 A. All right. Captain of the port, safer to let burn and maybe
- 13 sink than fight the fire. Below that is a note to myself, 1831,
- 14 | call SERT.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- 16 LCDR Can you turn to page 1, LT and we'll go back a few.
- 18 BY LCDR
- 19 Q. It looks like these are several calculations. Would that be
- 20 | a fair statement? Can you kind of tell us about what these
- 21 | calculations were?
- 22 A. I need to go explain the way I keep notes. It's kind of the
- 23 chaos theory. All right. I had made a note entry departure
- 24 condition, you know, so I need to find a departure condition.
- 25 was trying to find that initially from Captain Nadeau, and

ultimately I got that. And the numbers you see there came out of the inclining experiment, so these were the genesis of my calculations. But I'll go back when I make a note. I need to do something, sometimes I'll go back and make a subsequent note in that placeholder, because these notes were never intended to be previewed (indiscernible). They're my notes, my mind, my way of thinking, you know? I hope that clears that up.

- Q. Were any of these calculations in your notes -- and could be on another page as well. Were they in reference to Captain Stockwell's stability concerns? Did you ever show her these notes? Did you ever show her any calculations or how you came up with them? Did she ask for them?
- A. I did not show her my notes. I did not show her any calculations that I did it within here because they're notes. One of the other things I do when I -- is I use my notebook as doodle paper sometimes. So, you'll see lots of cross outs of this, that, and the other thing going on. Again, they were my notes for my purposes. But there is one specific calculation, if I can find it. Yeah. On page -- the bottom page 3. Under the meeting from the fire chief's -- the bullets on there from the fire chief's meeting. I had made a note to myself, monitored drafts/periods and that's where I did my back of the envelope calculation for calculating the GM based on the period of roll.
- Q. And did you ever explain that to Captain Stockwell or have a conversation about that?

- A. No. I just indicated in the 2000 meeting what the GM was.
- Q. You had mentioned earlier and in your preliminary interview
- 3 | that you stated that when you arrived at Pier 4, you noticed that
- 4 the Spirit of Norfolk had a port list down at the stern of the
- 5 vessel. Do you know why the Spirit of Norfolk had a port list at
- 6 the stern of the vessel?

1

- 7 A. She had a short list throughout the length of the vessel, not
- 8 | just at the stern. But I do not -- why she had a port list,
- 9 ships, when they're built and operated, the transfer center of
- 10 gravity is never 0/0. It's never in the down the center line of
- 11 | the vessel. It's always off one way or the other. It may not be
- 12 | much, but it's off. In the case of Spirit of Norfolk, she did not
- 13 have very many tanks to begin with and a couple of them were port
- 14 and starboard, so a little bit of fuel out of the starboard fuel
- 15 tank and more than the port fuel tank, and she would have a little
- 16 tendency to heel one way or the other.
- 17 And once the water was on board, it's going to move to that
- 18 | side and create that heel. And as time goes on both in a flooding
- 19 | situation and certainly within a fire situation, heels and trims
- 20 tend to get worse because things tend to fall and gyrate or flow
- 21 to the lowest corner side, aft, whatever they're allowed to flow
- 22 to.
- 23 \mathbb{Q} . So, if a vessel is listing more to one side than the other,
- 24 what can that tell you about a vessel?
- 25 A. It has an offset center of gravity, obviously, to the port

- side. In this case, the vast majority of that was probably caused by the fire water that was contained with inside the hull.
- Q. So, were you concerned about the vessel's stability and potential for capsizing due to those firefighting efforts and the amount of water that was added to the inside of the vessel during the first day of the incident on June 7th?
- A. My concern was not stability, as I indicated, and proven that the vessel had good stability throughout the entire process. At no point in time was -- do I ever think that the stability was below -- the geometric height was below two feet, and the calculations support that. That was -- in my mind, that was not the issue. The issue was the water, the amount of water she's going to -- the possibility of down-flooding and losing the vessel because of the added water. And there was no way to get the water off other than pump it and we couldn't get a pump on until the fire was out one way or the other.
- Q. In your many years of experience, have you ever witnessed a vessel in less than stable conditions suddenly capsize?
- 19 A. Three incidences, yes, ma'am.

- Q. As a naval architect, do you know from a scientific standpoint the conditions that lead to a sudden loss of stability and vessel capsizing?
- A. Rapid weight movement change. Crane vessels, for example, is -- the classic is they become unstable because they pick too much weight too high. I witnessed one of those. In this case,

it -- actually, the failure mode was a structural failure of the -- of a guy and the weight shifted. Could be a weight shift loss of a bulkhead. Could be a weight shift as a result of additional ingress of water from outside the hull. But generally rapid motions of the ship are a result of something -- a rapid motion within the vessel or external to the vessel.

- Q. Can you talk about the recording of the *Spirit of Norfolk* draft marks and logging them periodically throughout the incident on the first day of June 7th?
- A. Yes, ma'am. Initially, I looked at the draft marks and did not write them down when I first walked by them because I had an objective to find Ms. Thompson and report in to her and to find the incident commander and report in to the incident commander.

 And then my intent was to go back and do a little more detailed survey, if you wish, of both draft marks and period of roll. So, I commenced taking draft reading sometime -- 2055 is when I took a set, but I also had Mr. Hendricks recording draft readings every 30 minutes from the time that he got on the dock.

Exhibit 048. Which again, I think you're there, but they're your field notes, and scroll to pages 7, 8, and 9.

BY LCDR

- Q. Is that correct to say that's kind of where your draft marks started, your draft recordings?
- A. Yes. And again, I also had them from Mr. Hendricks.

Q. Can you kind of explain why you record draft markings and why draft -- what draft markings can tell you about a vessel that's taking on water?

- A. The draft marks will give you trim, heel, overall displacement. Change in draft marks will give you change in heel, change in trim, change in displacement.
 - Q. We're going to kind of shift into the interactions with the firefighting agencies just also to get an accurate timeline. I know there were several there, so just to make sure we know who was there, who you had interactions with. So, what firefighting agencies or firefighting points of contact did you speak to specifically after arrival to Pier 4 on June 7th?
 - A. Walking down the pier, I spoke to one fire officer and I believe he was from the City of Norfolk. And then the next fire officer that I spoke to was the group of fire officers led by the Norfolk Base fire chief at the rear of their command post. And then at the 2000 meeting, I do believe that fire officers present were both the chiefs from both the City and the Base. And I did not have long conversations with either one of them during the meeting and did not have any conversations with them after the meeting.
 - Q. Can you discuss and detail any conversations you had with any firefighting agencies regarding the plan for firefighting re-entry after the engine room watertight door had been opened on the previous attempt?

A. Yes, ma'am. As I've indicated, I walked up to a meeting in progress, was allowed to enter that meeting after I had introduced myself. All right. And offered support. And I listened and recorded the principal features of what the Norfolk Base fire chief was planning to do and then, again, offered what limited support I could to assist him in any way I could. And that appears on page 3.

- Q. And that was the Navy Mid-Atlantic Region Fire Department?
- 9 A. I just knew him as the navy base fire chief.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get on the base.

- 10 Q. Can you kind of talk about your role in the unified command?
- 11 A. My role briefly at the 2000 meeting -- or, the 2020, was to,
- 12 A, attend, comment when ask. Mr. Williamson by that time was on
- 13 the phone. I listened to what was being requested of us, made
- 14 | notes. As I indicated, the command was looking for an operation
- 15 section chief. Mr. Williamson volunteered for that. They were
- 16 also looking for a planning chief. Came to mind that Paul Faran
- 17 | would be -- Captain Faran would be a good choice.

At the command post meeting, there was a general discussion about whether next meetings were going to be held. No water on the vessels, passengers off. We nailed down the amount of fuel that was on board. And you'll look -- there's a to-do with an underline, test fluid. One of the issues with obtaining a barge was just for us to pre-test the fluid to know exactly what we had. A note for me to get up with the base XO to get Tim clearance to

Sup Sal (ph.). Since we were working on the Navy base and we do hold the East Coast Navy Salvage contract, that's a courtesy call. A heads up here. Here we are. We're down here working just in case you get a call. Captain of the Port Order wanted to get -- attain the captain of the Port Order. The note on planning chief was to reach out to Mr. Faran and get him on an airplane as soon as possible headed north. And we made a note to my 2155 telephone conversation with SERT.

- Q. Can you help us understand, was it you, Norfolk Fire

 Department, Navy Mid-Atlantic Fire Department, who was it that was

 going to provide a written plan to the captain of the port before

 any firefighting efforts would continue on board?
- A. It wasn't going to be me or Donjon-SMIT. And it was my understanding that if a written plan was presented, it would've been presented and prepared by the Norfolk Base fire chief.
- 16 || Q. The Navy?
- 17 A. Navy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Okay. Do you know if that plan was ever submitted to your knowledge?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Did you at any time during those unified command meetings voice your opinions or concerns you had or anything on the topic specifically as your role as the salvage master?
- 24 | A. No, ma'am.

25

| Q. Were your decisions throughout the incident and the following

days made in conjunction with the unified command once it was formally established? And were there any suggestions that you made based on your expertise that were discounted?

A. First part of the question. Yes. We work with the UC.

We're comfortable working under a unified command once it's established. We know where to go within the UC to get things done, where to go to planning, go to operations. Logistics? We were pretty much on our own this time for logistics and there was no logistics chief associated with the unified command that I'm aware of.

Our plan submissions that -- we subsequently submitted a couple of plans. One was the placement of de-watering pumps. One was the diving plan to secure the hull opening, sea chests, rudders, propellers, and doing a complete hull inspection. The plan to overhaul the vessel after the fire was effectively extinguished, knocked down. That did fall to Donjon-SMIT and we were aided by the Norfolk Base Fire Department. They provided the backup for the Donjon-SMIT people to make entry and put out fires. But I wasn't on the dock when that was occurring, so any specific questions to that need to be directed to somebody else. And of course, the towing plan to move the vessel from Pier 4 to Colonna's Shipyard.

LCDR I'm going to ask LT to display Coast Guard Exhibit 067, which is a picture of the Spirit in Norfolk on June 9th.

MR. EDGAR: Yes, ma'am.

BY LCDR

- Q. At approximately 3:00 a.m. on June 9th, did you get consulted about the increased list and deck immersion on the *Spirit of Norfolk* as shown in that picture?
 - A. Yes, I did. I got a call 2:15 or so in the morning from Mr. Martin Dorn from Chesapeake Bay Diving, indicating -- excuse me, from Mr. Hendricks, indicating the vessel had taken on a significant yield to port and that the port deck aft was awash. I hadn't put my feet on the floor yet and sat up in bed and Mr. Dorn called me and said, hey, we had an increase in list and I'm pumping.

We're moving water and the vessels coming up. And I asked him, do you need either myself or Mr. Williamson to come in? And he said, no. Having worked with Mr. Dorn for numerous years in the past, I'm very comfortable. And he says he has it under control, he has it under control.

So, whoa, how is that going? And he says, it's going good.

- Q. So, from a layman's perspective, it looks pretty serious in that picture. Can you explain what might have caused those changes in the vessel's stability condition?
- A. During a fire, a lot of things are going to happen. We just had an intense fire. The vessel is basically burned out by this time. The vessel was fully engulfed and burned out. In the engine room, specifically, and other spaces where they were

impacted by the fire, different metals are going to react, different materials and construction are going to react to heat and temperature changes.

And one of the things that is going to occur in the fire is basically all the gaskets are going to burn out of piping, any flexible rubber connections are going to be destroyed. So, there is a good possibility that water was coming in through the engine room, perhaps through this stern, too. But there was water underneath that. But something like that is going to occur.

Also, gaskets in deck hatches and scuttles and whatnot are going to become compromised. Metal is going to take different shape in a fire. You know, get to 1,300/1,400 degrees, steel goes plastic. Yeah. So, we probably had temperatures well in excess of that during the -- when the vessel was fully engulfed.

All right. I did not analyze it, but we found -- later found water in both the lazarette and the steering gear, and I'm not going to offer an opinion or surmise, but the water had to get there from someplace. So, that would probably be someplace to look to see if it came into there.

- Q. Do you know if any water was pumped from the Spirit of Norfolk entered the waterway at any point in time?
- A. Absolutely no water that was pumped went into the waterway; however, runoff from the firefighting effort did go into the waterway. There were lots of foam clouds under the pier and around the vessel during -- when I got to -- initially got to the

pier. And later when they were cooling, there were still some foam and, of course, any water that's played on the side or the deck is going to run off.

- Q. At this point in time when they're pumping off, did you have confidence that the vessel would not capsize given that there was pumping/de-watering going on?
- A. Once they got the deck above the water, yes, very comfortable. And the barge arrived at midnight or right before. Mr. Dorn and his crew were on night shift. They had laid the hoses out and laid them up on deck like they were going to be connected to the cargo block on the barge ahead of time, waiting for the tankermen to arrive. So, it was just a quick matter for Mr. Dorn to open a expansion trunk, put a hose in it, start up his hydraulic power unit, and engage the pump, and start de-watering.

And that was all done ahead of time and was done by the Donjon-SMIT staff that was on hand. There was no ability for the tank barge to pump water off the *Spirit of Norfolk*. The pumps on tank barges are designed to pump material off the tank barge to some other recipient, so we couldn't use a pump on the tank barge if we wanted to.

- Q. So, did your de-watering plans go according to schedule? And were there any setbacks?
- A. Actually, moved forward faster than we originally thought.

 We made some changes in some of the discharge hoses. We had

 copious quantities of hard hose. Initially, we rigged the pumps

with soft house/collapsible hose and -- because of going around obstacles on the pier and everything like that, that we were getting losses in flow through those. So, periodically, we'd replace a section of hose with hard hose and it increased the rate of discharge.

- Q. So, this is -- this investigation is gathering facts and I'd like to offer you an opportunity to share any recommendations that you might give that could help prevent another tragedy like this fire and loss of the Spirit in Norfolk.
- A. First of all, I want to take my hat off to Captain Nadeau.

 He did a hell of a job. As far as what you could do different, I think that as far as the fire responses, people who don't regularly practice incident command need to be a little more aware. People who don't regularly deal with marine casualties need to be a little more attuned to the terminology it's using.

People have used the word, for example, stability, when it doesn't apply to stability. Stability's very -- is very specific. Draft are not stability. You know, they can be an indicator of stability. So, when the draft changes, does stability change?

Maybe, maybe not.

So, the level of knowledge in some of the responding activities needs to be -- perhaps be enhanced a little bit. Better training, more familiarization with the emergency responders and the things that they do.

LCDR Commander, that's all my questions.

CDR WADDINGTON: CDR Roy, do you have questions for this witness?

CDR ROY: I just have a couple, sir.

BY CDR ROY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Mr. Edgar, I just have a couple follow-on questions. Going to be jumping around, just kind of follow-up some of the things we've -- you've already talked about today.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In your discussions with the navy fire chief, did he ever mention that there was already two other attempts -- that they attempted twice and they boarded the vessel?
- A. He addressed one attempt, one prior other -- one prior attempt. Not two that I'm -- I don't recall him mentioning two.
- Q. Did he ever discuss the fact that they tried to locate the escape hatch either one or two times before that?
- A. He briefly discussed one attempt, in my memory, and that was the attempt that resulted in the watertight door between the galley and engine room being opened.
- Q. Were you ever aware that it was possible that the engine room doors open twice before the mayday call -- or, once before the mayday call, so twice?
- 22 | A. That the engine room door was open twice?
- 23 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. No, I had never heard that.
- 25 | Q. Was it ever discussed -- or, did the fire chief ever talk

about Navy -- excuse me, Norfolk Fire Department saying their members weren't going to go back on board that vessel? Was that ever a discussion that you remember hearing or having with anybody?

A. No.

- Q. You testified earlier about showing the Navy fire chief the escape hatch and bringing him over to the vessel and showing him on the plans. Did he ever say that he was shown that before, anybody prior to you had shown him where that was and brought him over and showed him the location, both in the fire plan and physically?
- A. He didn't say that, but I got the impression he knew that it was behind the window I was pointing at.
- Q. Did you describe, like, to him, like, what a hatch would look like, what the firefighters should be looking for? Did you kind of describe it in any way as far as, like, what they need to be looking for on the vessel?
 - A. Briefly, that it would be a circular hatch and it was properly flush deck, but it may be raised. And I didn't know if it had an internal T handle or not. That's not apparent from the drawing. I didn't know. But I -- we discussed it had an internal T handle, it would be in the center of the hatch itself. If not, it'd would be somewhere on the bulkhead -- mounted on the bulkhead to reach that. But he would -- even if he couldn't find that, you know, we could use a, you know, one-inch socket driver. They fit

those very well.

- Q. You mentioned earlier in your testimony about you fought a lot of fires. You've had a lot of experience. Would it have changed your description to the fire chief if you knew that there was a piece of carpet over that hatch that made it different as how you explained it to him?
- A. No. One of the things I did discuss is, because the vessel had been on fire, she was leaning to port, don't be surprised if there's debris and other things around it.
- Q. Again, part of your testimony, you mentioned seeing a lot of foam on the water. Did you ever see any sheen or sludge or any film from the firefighting efforts? Like, did you see sheen, sludge, emulsion, anything that would indicate pollution?
- 14 A. I saw particulate matter, but I did not see a sheen.
 - had agreed with your stability as far as the vessel was stable.

 How was that conveyed? Was that a phone call? Was it in writing?

 And who did you speak to that agreed with the fact that the vessel

You said earlier about your interaction with SERT and they

- 19 was stable?
 - Q. I only -- in this case, I was only speaking to the duty officer/duty officers. Once they started getting into it, a second officer came on board. He was a lieutenant commander, I believe. I did not speak to Andy Lawrence about it. Our conversations were email and phone call, primarily. And as I said before, you know, any reporting that SERT does back to the sector

- 1 is going to go through their chain of command, but the informal
- 2 | liaison continued at our level. So, when they said that our
- 3 calculations looked good, you know, if they wanted to pass that on
- 4 | to the sector, they would do it via the sector, not via me.
- $5 \parallel Q$. That was in writing or was it verbal conversation that
- 6 they --
- 7 A. That's verbal. So, our understanding -- and we've done -- we
- 8 do this on most jobs that we're on where SERT's involved. As I
- 9 | indicated, the relationship is good, and it's not broken and we
- 10 don't plan on fixing it.
- 11 Q. No, I understand. Thank you, sir. The Exhibit 67, which was
- 12 the exhibit that you saw, referenced the vessel actually when it
- 13 was leaning hard port. What was -- what would be your evaluation
- 14 of this vessel? Is it in danger of capsizing? Is it -- as far as
- 15 | stability goes? And what would your evaluation be if you saw this
- 16 | and in the dramatic shift it took during the night?
- 17 A. The shift is obviously added weight because the amount of
- 18 trim and heel, not a shift in weight. So, where did the added
- 19 weight come from? In order to make a true evaluation, I would've
- 20 loved to have been out on the dock and look at the period of roll
- 21 or do a real quick calculation. And the result is they had to do
- 22 | neither because, as I indicated, as soon as they engaged the
- 23 pumps, she started coming up. By the time I got in, in the
- 24 morning, she was way up.
- 25 Q. Based on your professional opinion, would you be concerned

- about this -- you know, looking at this picture. Was that a concern to you?
- A. Just looking at the picture? Yeah, a little bit of a concern. Knowing what the guys were doing out on board and knowing the vessel? Okay. Where did the water go to cause that? Where did it come from? And like I said, the first thing is, once they got the pumps engaged, she started coming up immediately. That tells you, you don't have a lot of ingress of water continuing. So, that's a relief. So, again, there did not appear to be any total loss of stability on the vessel. If it continued, left unattended, if the pumps hadn't have been turned on, that
- Q. All right. Thank you, sir.

would've been another story in itself.

- 14 CDR. ROY: That's all the questions I have.
 - CDR WADDINGTON: NTSB, do you have any questions of this witness?
- 17 MR. KARR: Thank you, Commander.
- 18 BY MR. KARR:

Q. Mr. Edgar, I'm Mike Karr with the NTSB. And, you know, as investigators, we're looking at the facts and we may come up with some recommendations. And so, it took a long time for the firefighting operations to begin after the stability was assessed. So, let me delve more into your -- to the period of roll formula that you were using. So, let me ask you some questions and then maybe that'll lead into a discussion of the process that you would

- use to assess, you know, the risk of the vessel capsizing. So, this period of role formula, did you use a calculator? Is it in
- 3 your head, in your notebook? Did you calculate it?

did this -- that happened during the event.

- 4 A. I carry a little cheap Texas Instrument calculator. It's 5 calculated in my notebook.
- Q. All right. And what's the key result that you look for to tell you that the vessel -- you know, the risk of capsizing? You know, I'm looking at the process, so nothing specific to what we
- A. You're looking at a measure of GM. So, (audio skips) we're familiar with GM. All right. So, a one-foot GM in the case of the *Spirit of Norfolk* wood probably end up in a roll period somewhere in the neighborhood about 15, 16, 17 seconds, something
- Q. But the -- so, your result of positive GM, the more positive the GM, the less the risk of capsizing or am I --
- 17 A. Yeah. The more stability you have.
- 18 | Q. -- expressing that correctly?

9

14

like that.

- A. There's always other factors but, yes, the higher the GM, the more stable the vessel is generally, yes.
- Q. All right. And as an event would unfold, how often would you calculate this period of roll formula? Can I just ask -- could you, for the public, talk about what GM means?
- A. It's a measure between the center of gravity of the vessel, the vertical center of gravity, and the metacentric height. And

if you wish, as a vessel heels and rolls back and forth, it's

actually on a radius, and the center of that radius of small

angles is the metacentric height, which is the M. The G is the

center of gravity. And these are generally always measured from

5 the baseline, or keel, of the vessel.

- Q. Thank you for that. Thank you for explaining that. So, is an event similar to this, the concern is the risk of the vessel capsizing and we want to add more -- you know, we may want to add more firefighting water. So, how would you, using this period of roll formula, continually provide feedback to the Coast Guard, to the firefighters and let them know that, okay, we can still put water on board the vessel?
- A. All right. The fact that we had a positive GM of such magnitude as -- what'd they calculate? 4.9 feet. And actually, it was more than that. That's a lot of GM, even for a normal sailing vessel. There's a lot of vessels out there that wish they had 4.9 feet of GM. So, that's one indication. How often would I do it? In this case, I only needed to do it once because --
- 19 Q. Well, here, let me --
- $20 \parallel A$. Go ahead.

Q. Let me rephrase the question. So, you know, let's say I'm the captain of the port. I'm worried about the risk of vessel capsizing. So, a half hour later, I know more water has been on board, obviously, so the conditions have changed. So, I'm going to look to you to tell me that -- you know, what's the risk of,

what's the risk of capsizing? And you would tell me?

generally between .35 and .4 of the beam.

A. If it changed, look at your drafts, look how much free board you got. And in our case here, we had -- generally had 2, 2.5, 3 feet of free board at the port corner. Time the period of roll. If you time, the period of roll, you can calculate or estimate very closely the GM of the vessel. All right. If it changes, do it again. Retime it. And one of the things -- and I hate to say this, use this word, but assumption. In order to do this, you have to assume a radius of gyration. And that is -- basically, can be modeled or calculated, but there's rules of thumb that relate the roll radius to the beam of the vessel. And it's

There's several papers on that. If you're familiar with the BMT Shipmo seakeeping product, they use that range. GHS and the new seakeeping, I'm not exactly sure what it is, but it's within that range. So, that's a pretty good industry standard. Someplace between 0.35 and 0.4 of the beam of the vessel will give you that roll rate. And once you have that, it's just a matter of going out and timing the period of roll. And you don't want to do it once, you want to do it several times, so that you got a reasonable average.

Q. All right. Well, Mr. Edgar, I did not want to get into all the details of the formula, but what I'm looking at is, you know, having that, you know, could we trust the formula to use it to regularly stay on top of how much -- you know, the condition of

the vessel, so that you -- someone, you know -- the salvage expert on scene could positively tell the captain of the port or other incident commanders that, yes, you know, here's my assessment of the risk of capsizing?

- A. I think that's safe that they could do that. And I would think that it could be checked by the marine inspectors because this formula is used by the marine inspectors in their -- when they look at an inclining experiment.
- Q. Is there -- kind of related. Is there a Coast Guard standard operating procedure that describes your role or the role of people in providing stability information, how that process works? You know, the interaction with the SERT?
- A. I don't think there's a Coast Guard standard. I think the major salvage companies in the United States realize that SERT is a very valuable tool for the Coast Guard and that those of us that are doing the engineering need to, you know, have a good relationship with them and liaise through them. Again, the young folks who are up there may not have a lot of field experience, but they're bright. They're brilliant.

And if the relationship is good, you know, hey, we got a question about X, Y, Z, which is a hint to you that you need to go back and take a look and see what X, Y, Z -- is going on with that. So, as long as the relationship is good, again, you know, let's not muck it up with a lot of administrative procedures.

Q. All right. Thank you, Mr. Edgar.

CDR WADDINGTON: Bay Diesel, do you have any questions of this witness?

MR. ABEL: No, sir.

CDR WADDINGTON: City Cruises? Do you have any questions of 5 this witness?

MR. DENLEY: I do, Commander. Just a couple.

BY MR. DENLEY:

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

18

19

- 8 Good afternoon, Mr. Edgar. It's nice to see you again and I 9 appreciate the opportunity to ask you a couple questions.
 - Last time I saw you, you were on my computer.
- 11 That's right. So, just kind of going back to the date of the 12 incident, when you arrived, from your perspective, who was in 13 charge?
- 14 I put it -- I was asked that question before and I said that 15 it was busy on the dock. Because I've got experience inside 16 incident command as a firefighter and as a salver, and this was a 17 fire event, I'm going to the most senior firefighter I can find.
 - Yeah. I guess that was going to be my next question. your mind, who should've been in charge and why?
- 20 Well, certainly the senior fire officer on the fire field 21 should be in charge of the fire. I can't see getting around that. 22 I think that's the way it should be. And I'm not a professional 23 witness and I am not going to become one, so -- but as a salver 24 and a firefighter, senior fire person, he's the heavy, he's the 25 guy that's in charge -- or, gal that's in charge.

- Q. Kind of going to the plan that was being developed by the two fire chiefs that you were maybe -- I don't know if you were a party to it, but you heard or you certainly were there when the plan was being discussed. Do you know what that plan was for that -- the plan was that that was being developed and discussed by the two fire chiefs?
- A. I don't think there was two fire chiefs there at the time.
- 0. Okay.

A. I think this was purely a Norfolk Naval Base planning event, toolbox talk, if you wish, skull session, and they were well into the discussion when I got there. They actually stepped back and repeated some things. And as I indicated before, from us that we used toolbox talks, it was a very good toolbox talk because there was a lot of interaction between, you know, the fire officers and some of the periphery of people. And there didn't seem to be any reluctance to question or input. It was a healthy effort that was ongoing at that time.

And for my benefit, they kind of repeated some of the things that they wanted to do. And then when I offered help and said, this is basically the only thing that I got here to help you with, they accepted that. The only question that they presented directly to me was the location of the escape trunk and a few details regarding the escape trunk.

- Q. And did you answer --
- $\mid A$. And one other thing. They wanted to know if I wanted our

pump installed at that time. We didn't want it to burn up. We'll just leave it where it is.

Q. So, what was the plan? What were the firefighters talking about?

A. I've outlined it -- excuse me -- I've outlined it on page 3 of my notes. They planned on going into the escape trunk with a cellar nozzle. And it was a 2.5-inch cellar nozzle, so that flows roughly 250 gallons a minute. And it's a great tool because it expands the foam well. Going through there, they talked about the location of the escape trunk and where it was.

The tugs are good to go. They were doing a good job and they continued a cooling stand by, and in the case of re-flash, they would be there to knock down anything. I'd offered up two swimmers on the boat. They thought that was good for safety wise. Asked about the stability. I said it's not lolling and there's free board. Good that they acknowledged that they were going to control the flow of the water going on the vessel with the foam from the pier and regressing a little bit.

The City of Chesapeake was present because they were the ones that had the foam system. He indicated he was going to use to two teams, two firefighting teams: one to back up the first one, one was just going to carry the 2.5 inch. The other one was going to be back up to it. They estimated at the time to do this whole evolution was going to be about 15 minutes. Asked me about the pumps. I told him not to put them in there. Please don't do

that. And he said, you know, they were going to minimize the water flow, make some foam for a few minutes, shut it off, see what the result was in the fire. And I said, great. Knowing cellar nozzles, I said, you're going to get a lot of foam in there. And Les Williams used to mentor me and cellar nozzles, as he would say, put foam into a space, you know, butt deep to a tall giraffe. And that's what they expected.

And again, controlling it from the pier and that he appreciated for me to monitor the drafts and the roll stability of the vessel. And if he needed coordination with the -- because we weren't going to be far apart located. If he needed coordination with the pilots and he couldn't raise him, that he'd yell at me to get the tugs on phone and get the pilots on the radio.

- Q. And about -- well, you said that they asked you some questions. Do you feel like you provided the information that the fire chief was requesting from you?
- A. I do. Again, like I said, this was a great toolbox. It was give and take and it was about to break up when the captain of the port arrived.
- Q. So, after this discussion is when you had the conversation with the captain of the port and she directed that the firefighting operations cease. Is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And you also indicated that she made a comment about the vessel being a total constructive loss. Is that in the same

conversation?

1

- 2 A. By that time, we had drifted a few feet away. I kind of
- 3 backed away from the back of the van a little bit. And, yes, we'd
- 4 moved maybe five, six feet away from the stern of the van. And it
- 5 was during that initial conversation, the first one.
- 6 Q. In your experience, is that the Coast Guard's job to direct
- 7 | firefighting operations?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. In your experience, is it the Coast Guard's job to make a
- 10 determination about whether a private commercial vessel's a total
- 11 | constructive loss?
- 12 A. No, that's not any of the purview of any of the responders,
- 13 | including the Coast Guard.
- 14 Q. In your experience, is it normal for firefighters to develop
- 15 written plans and forward those plans back to their staffs for
- 16 | review prior to taking firefighting action?
- 17 A. I've only been on board a couple fires and they've been cold
- 18 | ship fires, and you can't say that there are fires. They're
- 19 thermal events, if you wish, where there's been a
- 20 written -- written plans put forward. And very unique situations.
- 21 | Q. I believe you said you've been involved in was it
- 22 30 commercial fires?
- 23 A. Thirty-five or so, maybe 40.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And I believe you made a comment, too, that this was maybe
- 25 | the least complex or the --

- $1 \parallel A$. It was certainly the smallest vessel that we -- I've been on.
 - Q. In your opinion, when was the unified command established?
 - \blacksquare A. During the 2000/2022 meeting.
- $4 \parallel Q$. You also indicated that when you performed your stability
- 5 calculations and developed a GM, you indicated that it was a very
- 6 good GM, meaning the vessel had very -- well, the vessel had good
- 7 stability. Is that fair?

2

3

25

- 8 A. Yes, sir. If I remember correctly, in its undamaged free-
- 9 | floating condition, the GM was upwards of 10 feet. All right.
- 10 And during the back of the envelope calculation, we're at
- 11 4.9 feet. And during the formal calculations, based on the amount
- 12 of water that was in the vessel at the time of the -- right after
- 13 the 2000 UC meeting, an excess of five feet of GM. And again, the
- 14 | righting energy was almost 10-foot degrees.
- 15 Q. So, I believe you said that there were a lot of vessels sort
- 16 of navigating out there that wished they had a GM of 4.9 or 5. I
- 17 | that a fair assessment of your testimony?
- 18 A. Yes, sir. We've got a couple at Donjon.
- 19 Q. And so, it's also a fair assessment that before the fire and
- 20 | before -- so, when the Spirit of Norfolk was operating in its
- 21 normal, you know, operating environment, it had good stability.
- 22 A. Yes. And, of course, something as a cruise ship, that's
- 23 something you want. You don't want your folks out there on the
- 24 dance floor slipping and sliding.
 - ||Q. Finally, I'm just going to ask you a series of questions kind

of about the salvage operations. And so, is it safe to say that when you were performing your salvage calculations and performing calculations related to stability, you were doing that work in furtherance of salvaging the vessel and returning it to its owners in a port where they could safely take possession of it. Is that correct?

- A. Yes, sir. The goal and objective and always was to de-water the vessel.
- Q. And then is it safe to say that when you were -- when you and your teams were involved with in supervising and conducting fire overhaul efforts, you were doing that in furtherance of your efforts to salvage the vessel and return it back to its owners and in a port where they can safely receive it?
- 14 A. Yes. That is true.

- Q. Similar question related to de-watering. So, all of the efforts that you and your teams did in relation to de-watering, including removing firefighting water from the vessel, placing it on barges, that was in furtherance of your role and salvaging the vessel and returning it to its owners in a port where the owners could safely receive it?
- A. Yes, sir. And, of course, we will add in the de-watering just like we saw in the evening on June 9th to save the vessel.

 If left unattended, that vessel probably would've sunk.
- Q. And then, finally, your role involved with the towing plan and dive operations were directly related and in furtherance of

your job to salvage the vessel and return it to its owners and a berth where they could safely receive it?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

7

 $4 \parallel Q$. Okay. I have no further questions. Thank you.

5 CDR WADDINGTON: LCDR do you have any follow-up 6 questions?

LCDR I just have a few, Commander.

8 BY LCDR

- 9 | Q. Mr. Edgar, can we clarify, you had mentioned fire chiefs.
- 10 You were speaking with the fire chiefs. Were you speaking with
- 11 fire chiefs or were you speaking with the fire department incident
- 12 | commanders?
- 13 A. I was speaking with the fire chief from Norfolk and I believe
- 14 | there was the -- Chesapeake had somebody there. I don't know if
- 15 he was a fire chief, but he was involved. And there was two other
- 16 fire officers that were in white polos that said Norfolk Fire Base
- 17 on them. Whether they were chiefs, captains, battalion leaders, I
- 18 don't know. But definitely the man who continued to represent
- 19 himself and had fire chief on his shirt was the principal point of
- 20 contact.
- 21 Q. So, they didn't have the incident commander vest that we had
- 22 | seen in the picture?
- 23 A. They had vests on, but they were lightweight vest that you
- 24 could very easily see their identification.
- 25 $\mid Q$. Okay. Would firefighting efforts that you had discussed the

- plan to go on board, firefighters in gear, foam, cooling from the tugs, could that have decreased the GM?
- A. Yes. When you add more water, it's going to change the center of gravity. And so, you got to change in gravity and metrocentric height is a function of the water plane of the vessel. So, as the water -- if she trims or heels, the water plane would change a little bit, so it could change the metacenter, but not significantly over a short period of time.
- 9 Q. And were you doing calculations to base that opinion on as well?
- 11 A. Say again?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Were you doing calculations to account for if firefighting efforts did happen how much that GM would change?
- 14 A. Never had the opportunity to, ma'am.
- Q. Was GM calculated on June 9th -- the picture we saw where the portside was in the water, was GM calculated that day as well?
 - A. Earlier in the day and then after the event. I was not physically on the dock or present to see what was going on nor to do the calculations. And in this situation, like I said, it was dynamic. The pumps went on and the vessel started coming up. So, Mr. Dorn was right and he took care of it.
 - LCDR That's all I have.
- 23 CDR WADDINGTON: CDR Roy, do you have any follow up?
- 24 CDR ROY: I just have a couple of quick questions, sir.

25 BY CDR ROY:

Q. You were discussing with Mr. Denley, who was asking some questions about the firefighting plan with the Navy Fire Department about going back on, this would've been a third time, and trying to find the hatch again, sticking a cellar nozzle down and applying foam. Was there any discussion about how that would've worked because the engine room door was open? Was that a discussion you had?

- A. To my knowledge, they only indicated that there was one other attempt, just to be clear. As far as the engine room door being open, there was no going down into the galley to go through the engineering door -- room door because the water had probably been about waist deep, so that that was out. There was obviously the discussion of, well, the door is open. But there was no discussion about going down that route. It was just all about going to the escape trunk, putting the cellar nozzles in the escape trunk.
- Q. At that time, do you remember -- recall if the fire was still in the engine room or had it spread to the galley at that point?

 A. I can only surmise that it had spread to the galley because the door was open. So, any fuel -- because we know there was
- diesel fuel, lube oil -- had flown out into the galley when the door was open. And certainly, if it was burning in the engine room, there would now -- that fuel source would continue to provide for the fire and that would now be spread out into the galley.

Q. I'm just trying to picture in my head. So, the door's open, fire, again, possibly could be in the galley. So, when an attempt to stick a cellar nozzle into the engine room, based on your training experience -- you know, you said you've got a lot of firefighting experience, especially on ships -- what would that have done to fight -- to combat the fire in the galley?

Q. Cellar nozzles are great for expanding foam. I don't know if you're familiar with a cellar nozzle, but it looks like a miniature one of these lights you used to see when you went roller skating with all the little reflectors on it, but it's got a multitude of nozzles.

So, when you put it in, it does two things. One, it's sending out the foam water solution through each one of these nozzles, which allows for expansion, but it also rotates.

So, it flings, if you wish, the foam water solution, so you get further expansion when it -- that foam water solution strikes things. So, as a result, you get a large amount of expansion.

And foam flows very well on top of water. So, if that door is open, that foam was going to get into the galley relatively quickly.

Q. And when the flow spreads, it covers low, correct? It doesn't help if there's, like, say, like, a fire in the ceiling?

A. No. It takes the fuel source out. But by that time -- so, you're not really knocking down. In this particular case, that fire in the overhead would've been a Class Alpha combustible

1 material as opposed to a bulk fuel floating on top of the water.

- Q. So, it'd be fair to say that the foam wouldn't have helped fight a fire if it was up in the ceiling?
- A. The cellar nozzle was not going to go down on the surface of water. It's going to just go a few inches below the overhead.
- 6 So, it's going to spray up and knock some of that down as well, 7 too.
- 8 Q. But if it's in the engine room, it wouldn't have any effect 9 on the galley, correct?
- A. It had minimal effect. But I'm certain if they got the fuel bed covered with foam that there had been a significant improvement in the fire situation.
- Q. And just one last question about your conversation with
 Captain Stockwell. Was it to cease firefighter operations that
 she was talking about, or was it to cease having people go back on
 to do an interior firefighting operation?
 - A. You'll have to ask her about that. I don't know. I understood it to be to cease putting people -- do not put people back on board. And at that point in time, I don't believe that they were putting foam again back down into the vents, which is a somewhat inefficient way of delivering foam. But I believe that she permitted the firefighters to put foam back in the starboard vents to the engine room in the galley.
- 24 Q. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 CDR WADDINGTON: NTSB?

BY MR. KARR:

- Q. Mike Karr with the NTSB. Captain Edgar (sic), do you recall
 what GM was for the -- 3:00 in the morning on the 8th, you
 mentioned that --
- 5 A. I never calculated it at 3:00 in the morning, sir.
- 6 Q. Did someone else do it?
 - A. No, sir.

1

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. All right. Thanks. And do you -- I'm wondering was there
 any penetrations of the hull while the vessel was still ward at

 Pier 4? Do you have any information indicates that water may have
 entered the hull?
 - A. Yes, sir. We found one. It was, if I'm not mistaken, the ports sea chest. There was a one-inch line coming off with a flexible fitting that a valve -- that flexible fitting had either burnt up, been removed. It was -- water was coming through that area. Probably burned out. All other fittings we did not see were leaking but, by the time we got the engine room completely dry, the divers had already sealed three sea chests, both rudders, both propellers, and the main engine over boards and a couple other small over boards.
- Q. All right. During the salvage operation, did you suspect that there was water coming in from outside?
- A. Yes. And it was -- I actually calculated it and we were making about 45 gallons a minute or something like that at one point. But it wasn't a lot.

- Q. All right. Thank you, sir.
- A. Yes, sir.

CDR WADDINGTON: Mr. Edgar, you are now released as a witness at this formal hearing. Thank you for your testimony and your cooperation. If I later determine that this team needs additional information from you, I will contact you. If you have any questions about this investigation, you may contact the investigation recorder, LT

At this time, I'd like to make one administrative remark regarding testimony opposed to Mr. Brown, Coast Guard standards and regulations on Tuesday, January 31st, 2023, in response to a question for Mr. Karr. Mr. Karr asked if either Mr. Brown or his office had viewed the 2020 Coast Guard Authorization Act prior to implementation.

After review of his files after his testimony, Mr. Brown has amended his response to include the following: Although he did not have the ability to view the potential authorization, neither he nor his office provided any comment prior to the enacting of that legislation. This change will be noted on the record. Thank you.

We will now move to brief closing comments from the NTSB and Coast Guard. NTSB, do you have any brief comments?

MR. KARR: Yeah, thank you, Commander. I'd like to thank

Commander Waddington and the Coast Guard for including us in these
hearings and for their cooperation throughout this investigation.

I would also like to thank the parties for their support during

the past eight months that we've been working on this investigation.

The NTSB is an independent federal agency. Were charged with investigating transportation accidents. We've joined in this hearing to avoid duplicating the development of facts, but we will analyze the facts of this accident and determine a probable cause in the independently of the Coast Guard. At a future date, a separate report of the NTSB findings will be issued, which will include our official determination of the probable cause of the accident. We will develop findings, will conduct analysis, and will issue recommendations based on all the facts developed throughout this investigation. Thanks.

CDR WADDINGTON: Thank you, Mr. Karr. Today, the Coast Guard and NTSB investigators will begin reviewing all of the facts of this hearing and transition into the analysis phase of the investigation. There is always a possibility that a short hearing session may be convened if any new relevant witness is identified. I believe we have gathered a significant amount of evidence to consider that will guide our analysis. The formal investigation team will continue to collect and review any further evidence that is discovered as appropriate.

I'd like to take this opportunity to sincerely thank the parties in interest, Captain Nadeau, Bay Diesel, and City Cruises. Each party has participated in the comprehensive and exhaustive process of this investigation. The commitment of their time and

resources has been instrumental in establishing relevant facts that will guide our ultimate goal of making sound conclusions and preventative recommendation.

I would also like to thank the City of Virginia Beach,
Virginia, for the use of this outstanding facility. Additionally,
other federal agencies, company representatives, and the local
maritime community have provided support to the monumental
endeavor that it takes to conduct this type of formal proceeding.
The collective expertise of those involved in this process has
been invaluable.

I am thankful that this incident was not more serious. It is never desirable outcome to lose a passenger vessel; however, due to the skilled and swift response of professional mariners, this incident did not result in any loss of life, which is too often the case. This type of incident provides the Coast Guard and NTSB a valuable moment in time to take lessons learned and develop preventative measures that may minimize the risk of future incidents like this one from occurring in the future. Thank you to everyone for attending these hearings, whether in -- person or virtually. The time is 1:30. The hearing is adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 1:30 p.m., the interview was concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceeding before the

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF: FIRE ONBOARD SPIRIT OF NORFOLK

NEAR NORFOLK NAVAL STATION, VIRGINIA

ON JUNE 7, 2022

Interview of Jack Kenneth Edgar

ACCIDENT NO.: DCA22FM022

PLACE: Virginia Beach, Virginia

was held according to the record, and that this is the original, complete, true and accurate transcript which has been transcribed to the best of my skill and ability.

Danielle C. Morgan

Transcriber