NTSB Investigation of Merrimack Valley, MA, Fires & Explosions NTSB Investigation PLD18MR003 Page 1 of 2 DeRoxas, Louie Company & Department Columbia Gas, Engineering Title Associate Field Engineer Date of Interview September 17, 2018

I have reviewed the transcript of my NTSB interview from the referenced investigation. I have answered questions to the best of my ability, but without the opportunity to review documents to refresh my recollection. Some of what I remember may be based on what others told me. My requested corrections and clarifications are as noted below.

Page	Line	Current	Request	Reason
8	1-3	If third-party utilities are doing work near our cast iron main, then we would want to replace that by state law.	If third-party utilities are doing work near our cast iron main, then state law may require the replacement of the cast iron main.	Clarification
8	9	new water and sewer in the	new water in the	Clarification
9	14	I would not. No.	That would depend upon the project.	Clarification
11	2	graphical interface.	geographical information	Clarification
11	22 – 25	So it has to be – if we do peer revision or – not peer revision. Peer review with other engineers, as well as a constructability review with the construction supervisor.	Depending upon the size of the project, there may be peer review, a constructability review and possibly also managerial review and approval.	Clarification
14	3-7	So any work done in the area previously, it would be mapped on our system and we're going off of our GIS map, our scope maps. So if there was work done previously in that area, then it would show up on our maps and then we would carry that over into, like, our permanent maps and whatnot.	Field and as-built drawings from the construction coordinator are input into the GIS system by the Capital Closeout Department.	Clarification.
15	13	Yeah.	Yeah. Both depend upon the size of the project.	Clarification

NTSB Investigation of Merrimack Valley, MA, Fires & Explosions NTSB Investigation PLD18MR003 Page 2 of 2 DeRoxas, Louie Company & Department Columbia Gas, Engineering Title Associate Field Engineer Date of Interview September 17, 2018

I have reviewed the transcript of my NTSB interview from the referenced investigation. I have answered questions to the best of my ability, but without the opportunity to review documents to refresh my recollection. Some of what I remember may be based on what others told me. My requested corrections and clarifications are as noted below.

Page	Line	Current	Request	Reason
15	18	Um-hum.	Um-hum, assuming the project is large enough to require a construction review.	Clarification
15	21	Exactly.	Exactly (once again if it is large enough).	Clarification
16	20 & 22	time	tie-in	Transcription Error
18	5	a pertinence	appurtenances	Transcription Error
18	25	I don't know.	Yes.	Clarification
20	19	I don't know.	[remove]	Clarification
23	2	interaction	intersection	Transcription Error
24	17 & 18	wouldn't be on the project.	wouldn't be the project driver.	Clarification