

# INVESTIGATION OF SWIVEL ASSEMBLY FAILURE, P/N 7438-4, S/N 0748

## ATS JOB # D166449 Rev. 1\*

## PURCHASE ORDER # TBD

Prepared for

## MR. BRANKO STROPNIK MR. JOSE VECIANA GULFSTREAM AEROSPACE CORPORATION 500 GULFSTREAM ROAD SAVANNAH, GA 31408

Prepared by\_\_\_\_\_

Burak Akyuz, Senior Metallurgist

Approved by\_

Joseph Maciejewski, P.E., Group Manager

\*See Appendix D for customer requested modifications

This Report May Not Be Reproduced Except In Full This report represents interpretation of the results obtained from the test specimen and is not to be construed as a Guaranty or Warranty of the condition of the entire material lot.

Professional Engineers and Scientists Design • Consulting • Testing and Inspection Members in AAFS, ACS, ASM, ASME, ASNT, ASQC, ASTM, AWS, FSCT, IAAI, NACE, NCSL, NFPA, SAFS, TAPPI GEORGIA SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, NATIONAL SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS



 1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

 CERTIFIED TEST REPORT

 Ref. D166449 Rev. 1
 Date: December 8, 2011
 Page 1
 of
 61

### Purchase Order # TBD

Mr. Branko Stropnik Mr. Jose Veciana Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation 500 Gulfstream Road Savannah, GA 31408

### Subject

Investigation of Swivel Assembly Failure, P/N 7438-4, S/N 0748 removed from A/C 5305

### Material

Forward and Center Spools, Center and Aft Housings: 6061-T651 Aft Spool and Forward Housing: 7050-T74 Connecting Tubes: 6061-T6

### **Objective and Background**

Components from a failed swivel assembly (P/N 7438-4, S/N 0748) were submitted to Applied Technical Services (ATS) for failure analysis. The assembly that failed during landing was used in a hydraulic landing gear circuit. The failure resulted in a hydraulic fluid leak from the swivel assembly. The aircraft had accumulated 10.4 flight hours and 5 landings at the time of failure. A similar assembly from another aircraft exhibited similar a failure; however, it was not available for analysis. The assembly included spools, housings, and connecting tubes, which were reportedly aluminum alloys 6061-T651, 6061-T6, and 7050-T74.

The analysis was conducted at ATS in Marietta, Georgia and the majority of the testing was witnessed by NTSB, FAA, Gulfstream, Pneudraulics, and Goodrich personnel on April 26-28, 2011. See Appendix A for the list of the attendees. A combination of the work scope submitted by Gulfstream (Appendix C) and tests suggested by ATS personnel and the attendees during the investigation were used. All destructive testing was conducted with the approval of the attendees.

The purpose of this analysis was to determine if the failure of the swivel assembly was related to any material aspect by using fractographic, metallurgical, and chemical techniques. Some additional testing was conducted (i.e. dimensional analysis) as requested by the attendees; however, the results were not interpreted by ATS personnel and were only supplementary.



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415				
CERTIFIED TEST REPORT				
<b>Ref.</b> D166449 Rev. 1	<b>Date:</b> December 8, 2011	Page 2	of	61

### **Test Procedure and Results**

A sealed box (Figure 1) containing the sample was opened under supervision of the attendees. The samples were documented in the as-received condition (Figures 2 and 3). The spool and housing samples, the t-seals and backing rings that were removed from the center spool, and the vials containing the residue that was removed during the disassembly of the components were submitted for analysis.

### Dimensional Analysis:

The housing and spool samples were analyzed per the customer's instructions and supplied drawings. See Appendix B for the dimensional analysis data. It should be noted that the dimensional analysis was conducted on the failed/used parts.

### Analyses of the Connecting Tube:

The inboard connecting tube between the center and the aft housing was fractured near the braze joint to the center housing end (Figure 4). Only a small area around the circumference of the tube remained intact (Figure 5). The adjacent tube exhibited multiple parallel paint cracks near the braze joint at the center housing end, showing a distinct angle approximately 30°-45° from the tube axis, a possible indication of torsional stress (Figure 6). Similar paint cracks were also observed on the fractured tube (Figure 7).

The crack on the connecting tube was opened to expose the fracture surface. In order to open the fracture surface, the center-to-aft housing assembly was sectioned as shown in Figure 8. See Figures 9 and 10 for the overall views of the fracture surface. The fracture surface exhibited a thin area of flat, shiny faceted appearance near the outer diameter around the circumference including the lab induced overload area, consistent with brittle fracture. The rest of the fracture surface was angled and exhibited a dull appearance.

The fracture surfaces were examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). See Figures 11 through 15 for SEM images from the fracture surface. The tube exhibited a thin area of interdendritic fracture at the OD, followed by a thin area of intergranular fracture appearance zones, consistent with a brittle fracture of the braze and alloyed tube areas. The rest (majority) of the fracture surface exhibited microvoid dimples, indicating ductile overload. The lab induced overload fracture surface exhibited the same zones and characteristics. No evidence of progressive cracking (i.e. fatigue) was observed.

The fracture surface was chemically analyzed by energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) per ASTM E1508-98 (2008), *Standard Guide for Quantitative Analysis by Energy-Dispersive Spectroscopy*. See Figure 16 for the EDS spectra. The ductile area of the fracture surface was consistent with reported 6061 aluminum alloy. The interdendritic areas near the OD surface exhibited higher concentrations of silicon, which is consistent with braze material per AMS 4185 as reported in the supplied certification.



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

 Ref.
 D166449 Rev. 1
 Date:
 December 8, 2011
 Page 3
 of
 61

The fractured and adjacent connecting tubes were sectioned longitudinally and metallographically prepared per ASTM E 3-01(07), *Standard Practice for Preparation of Metallographic Specimens*. See Figures 17 through 22 for the photomicrographs. The failed tube fractured at the braze fillet exhibiting interdendritic/intergranular features and the crack progressed as ductile overload into the original tube material. No microstructural abnormalities were observed. Some alloying of the braze material with the tube material was observed; however, no maximum alloying depth requirement was specified in MIL-B-7883B brazing specification. Both tubes exhibited some areas with no braze coverage; however, these areas were not near the fracture location. The adjacent tube sample exhibited cracks in the coating. Some shallow cracks were observed in the braze fillet area, which aligned with the paint cracks.

Hardness of the tube base materials and alloyed zones were measured per ASTM E 384-10, *Standard Test Method for Knoop and Vickers Hardness of Materials*, on the Vickers 1 kgf scale and converted to the approximate Rockwell values per ASTM E 140-07, *Standard Hardness Conversion Tables*. See Table I for the results. Both tube samples met 6061-T6 requirement of 47 HRB minimum per AMS 2658B. No significant hardness difference was observed between the core and alloyed zones.

## Analyses of Housings and Spools:

The visual examination of the housing and spool samples revealed some wear/galling on the inner diameters of the housings and the matching spool samples (Figures 23 through 29). The center housing and the spool exhibited severe galling around the circumference on the matching surfaces at the outboard and inboard side of the swivel assembly. The other housings and spools also exhibited some damage in similar areas; however, they were more localized and less severe.

The housing and spool samples were sectioned to expose worn/galled areas for further examination. See Figures 30 through 32 for photomacrographs. The center housing sample exhibited a circumferential wear pattern at the inner diameter at the outboard location. Also, other wear marks that were perpendicular to the circumferential wear were observed approximately every 90°. The matching area of the center spool showed a similar pattern. Pneudralics personnel reported that these longitudinal marks may have been due to post-incident disassembly. The aft and forward components exhibited partial wear and were less severe.

The worn and unaffected surfaces of the housing and the spool samples were chemically analyzed by EDS). See Figures 33 through 39 for the EDS spectra. No evidence of a foreign object that may have caused the damage was detected on worn areas. All samples exhibited elements that were consistent with the reported aluminum alloys. The spool samples exhibited significant levels of oxygen and sulfur, consistent with the reported anodizing process. The housing samples did not exhibit any evidence of anodizing on the undamaged surfaces adjacent to the worn areas. Only worn areas exhibited some concentrations of oxygen and sulfur, consistent with transfer of the anodizing from the spool samples at the contact points. Recessed areas of the housing samples exhibited anodizing (Figure 40).

The housing and spool samples were metallographically prepared through the worn areas. The worn areas of all samples appeared to be due to mechanical damage. The housing samples did not exhibit anodized



 1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

 CERTIFIED TEST REPORT

 Ref. D166449 Rev. 1
 Date: December 8, 2011
 Page 4
 of
 61

layers on the lands (closest to spool contact) but did exhibit anodizing in the recessed grooves. The spool samples exhibited anodized layers. No microstructural abnormalities were observed in the samples. See Figures 41 through 46 for the photomicrographs.

The hardness of the housings and spools were tested per ASTM E 18-08, *Standard Test Method for Rockwell Hardness and Rockwell Superficial Hardness of Metallic Materials*, and the electrical conductivity was measured per ASTM E 1004-10, *Standard Test Method for Electromagnetic (Eddy-Current) Measurements of Electrical Conductivity*. The tubes were too small for this type of testing. The results are presented in Tables II and III. The forward-center spools, and center-aft housings met 6061 –T6 requirements per AMS 2658B. The aft spool and forward housing exhibited slightly lower hardnesses than the AMS 2658B requirements.

The anodizing layer thicknesses on the spools and groove areas of the housings were measured per ASTM B 487-85(07), *Standard Test Method for Measurement of Metal and Oxide Coating Thickness by Microscopical Examinations of a Cross Section*. The thickness results are shown in Table IV. The samples had thicker coatings and did not meet the customer reported requirements of 0.0001"-0.0002".

Surface roughness of the housing and spool samples were measured at relatively undamaged areas near the damaged areas using ANSI B46.1 as a guide. See Table V for the results. The samples met the drawing requirements of 16  $\mu$ in for the housings and 32  $\mu$ in for the spools.

## Analysis of Debris:

Debris on one of the submitted vials (Figure 47) were chemically analyzed by EDS. See Figures 48 through 50 for representative EDS spectra and SEM images. The debris samples were collected by the customer during disassembly of the swivel. No evidence of foreign metallic materials was found. All particles were consistent with aluminum, paint, and Teflon.

## Analysis of T-seals and Backing Rings:

Hardness of the t-seal and backing rings that were removed from the spool samples (Figure 51) were measured using ASTM D 2240 - 05(2010), *Standard Test Method for Rubber Property—Durometer Hardness*, as a guide. See Tables VI and VII for the results. All t-seal samples met the minimum customer supplied requirement of 75 Shore A. No requirements were supplied for the backing ring samples.

Materials of the t-seal and the backing ring samples from the center spool were verified using FT-IR techniques. The materials of the t-seals were consistent with known ethylene propylene rubber (EPR), such as EPDM (Figure 52). The materials of backing rings were consistent with known PTFE (Figure 53).



# CERTIFIED TEST REPORT Ref. D166449 Rev. 1 Date: December 8, 2011 Page 5 of 61

### Conclusions

The connecting tube sample from the swivel assembly most likely fractured due to a single overload event. The angled paint cracking in the failed and adjacent intact tubes suggests that the component experienced a torsional/twisting type of stress. The customer reported that under normal conditions, the connecting tubes should not be subjected to these types of stresses. No evidence of progressive cracking (i.e. fatigue or corrosion) was observed. The failed tube met the hardness requirement of the specified heat treatment condition requirements and no microstructural abnormalities were observed. Even though lack of braze coverage was observed in some areas of the failed and intact tube joints, it is not believed to have contributed to the failure since the tube was fractured in the braze fillet which was an area with full braze coverage.

The spool and housing components exhibited wear/galling at the matching surfaces that reportedly should not have been in contact. The center spool and housing at the outboard locations exhibited the most severe damage. No evidence of a foreign abrasive material contamination that may have caused this damage was observed. The spool and housing samples did not exhibit any microstructural abnormalities and mostly met the heat treatment requirements with the exception of the aft spool and center housing having slightly lower hardnesses than AMS 2658B requirements. The housing samples did not exhibit anodized layers on the spool contact surfaces. The rest of the areas of the spool and housing samples exhibited thicker anodized layers than the customer supplied requirements. Possible contributions, if any, of the lack of anodizing at the housing side of the contact surfaces and thicker than the required anodizing at the spool side of contact surfaces are unknown at this time. The t-seals and backing rings from the spools exhibited normal hardness and material properties.

Given the above observations, the swivel assembly failure was most likely caused by overloading of the connecting tube due to seizure of the spool/housing assembly. The seizure may have been caused by dimensional and/or assembly (i.e. misalignment) issues, which could not be fully assessed from the supplied components, already disassembled. The root cause of the housing/spool seizure should be investigated by the involved parties using the supplied and/or additional analyses, including but not limited to dimensional, stress, and evaluation of the assembly procedures/practices.



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **Page** 6

61

of

Table I: Hardness Results for the Tube Samples				
	Sample	Base	Near Braze	
	Failed	60 HRB (114 HV 1kgf)	62 HRB (120 HV 1kgf)	
	Intact	60 HRB (115 HV 1kgf)	60 HRB (114 HV 1kgf)	
	6061 T6 Requirements <sup>(1)</sup>	47 HRB minimum		

(1)AMS 2658B

**Table II:** Hardness and Conductivity Measurements for 6061-T651 Materials

Identification	Hardness (HRB W)	Conductivity (%IACS)
Center Housing	61	43.4
Aft Housing	63	43.4
Center Spool	65	43.0
Forward Spool	65	44.2
6061-T6 <sup>(2)</sup>	47 HRB min.	40.0-50.0

(2) AMS 2658B

Table III: Hardness and Conductivity Measurements for 7050-T74 Materials

Identification	Hardness (HRB W)	Conductivity (%IACS)
Forward Housing	79	42.4
Aft Spool	80	41.0
7050-T74 <sup>(3)</sup>	82 HRB min.	40.0-44.0

(3) AMS 2658B

**Table IV:** Coating Thickness Results

Tuble IV. Couling Thekness Results			
Identification	Thickness		
Center Housing	0.0003"		
Aft Housing	0.0003"		
Forward Housing	0.0003"		
Center Spool	0.0004"		
Forward Spool	0.0004"		
Aft Spool	0.0004"		

APPLIED TECHNICAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED

 Integrate Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Pax (770) 424-6413

 CERTIFIED TEST REPORT

 Ref.
 D166449 Rev. 1
 Date: December 8, 2011
 Page 7
 of
 61

 Table V: Surface Roughness Results

 Identification
 Surface Roughness (µin)
 Octorer Housing
 11.8

Aft Housing

Forward Housing

Center Spool

Forward Spool

Aft Spool

6.2

5.2

25.2

31.5

31.5

1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

### Table VI: Durometer Hardness Results for T-Seals

Identification		Hardness (Shore A)
Forward Spool	Center	81
	Inboard	83
	Outboard	81
Center Spool	Center	83
	Inboard	81
	Outboard	82
Aft Spool	Center	82
	Inboard	81
	Outboard	83



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

61

 Ref.
 D166449 Rev. 1
 Date:
 December 8, 2011
 Page 8
 of

## **Table VII:** Durometer Hardness Results for Backing Rings

Identification		Hardness (Shore D)	
Forward Spool	Center	55	
	Inboard	56	
	Outboard	55	
Center Spool	Center	55	
	Inboard	55	
	Outboard	56	
Aft Spool	Center	55	
	Inboard	55	
	Outboard	55	





Figure 1: Photographs of the box that contained the samples



**CERTIFIED TEST REPORT** Date: December 8, 2011 of **Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Page** 10 61 Center Fractured Housing Tube Aft Housing Forward Housing Aft Spool Forward Spool Center Spool

Figure 2: Photograph of the as-received components





**Figure 3:** Photographs of the as received residue (top) and seal (bottom) samples







Figure 4: Photograph of the fractured tube





Magnification: 10X

**Figure 5:** Photomacrograph of the fractured tube showing the intact area between two red lines





Figure 6: Photograph of the adjacent tube with paint cracks (arrows)



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **Page** 15



of



Magnification: 25X

Photomacrograph of the fractured tube with paint cracks Figure 7: (arrows)







**Figure 8:** Photograph showing sectioned locations to open the fracture surface (arrows)

Red lines indicate the sectioning locations for microstructural exam.



## CERTIFIED TEST REPORT

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **Page** 

**Page** 17

of

61



Magnification: 8X

**Figure 9:** Photomacrograph of the tube fracture surface showing shiny faceted appearance near the OD (arrows)



# CERTIFIED TEST REPORT

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **Page** 18

**e** 18 **of** 





Magnification: 8X

Figure 10: Photomacrograph of the tube fracture surface







Magnification: 50X

**Figure 11:** SEM image of the fracture surface exhibiting interdendritic (red arrow), intergranular (white arrow), and ductile (yellow arrow) areas





Figure 12: SEM image of the fracture surface exhibiting interdendritic

(red arrow), intergranular (white arrow), and ductile (yellow

500um

Magnification: 100X

20.0kV 13.0mm x100 SE

arrow) areas





Magnification: 250X

Figure 13: SEM image of the fracture surface exhibiting interdendritic (red arrow) and intergranular (white arrow) areas



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011

Page 22

61

of



Magnification: 500X

Figure 14: SEM image of the fracture surface exhibiting ductile area



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Page 23 **Date:** December 8, 2011

61

of



Magnification: 42X

Figure 15: SEM image of the lab induced overload fracture surface showing similar features to the service fracture surface

Measured area shows the interdendritic/intergranular area.





ull Scale 2099 cts Cursor: -0.130 (0 cts)

Si



keV Spectrum 1

Figure 16: EDS spectra of the ductile (top) and the interdendritic (bottom) areas of the fracture surface

The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), aluminum (Al), and silicon (Si).



# **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **P** 

Page 25

61

of



As polished

Magnification: 50X

**Figure 17:** Photomicrograph of the failed tube sample's section showing the fracture origin at the braze fillet (red arrows) and the ductile fracture of the original tube material (white arrow)







Keller's Reagent Etch

Magnification: 100X

Figure 18: Photomicrograph of the failed tube sample's section showing microstructure







Figure 19: Photomicrograph of the intact tube sample's section showing microstructure



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT** 61

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Page** 28 **Date:** December 8, 2011



of



As polished

Magnification: 10X

Figure 20: Photomicrograph of the failed tube sample's section showing the areas with lack of braze coverage (arrows)







As polished

Magnification: 10X

Figure 21: Photomicrograph of the intact tube sample's section showing the areas with lack of braze coverage (arrows)



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415 **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT Date:** December 8, 2011 of **Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Page** 30 61 0.00500 in As polished Magnification: 50X 0.01000 in As polished Magnification: 100X

Figure 22: Photomicrographs of the intact tube sample's section showing the paint cracking (top, arrows) and some cracks in the braze fillet, aligned with paint cracking (bottom, arrows)





Figure 23: Photographs of the center housing outboard side showing wear (arrows)





Figure 24: Photographs of the center housing inboard side showing wear (arrows)





Figure 25: Photographs of the aft housing outboard side showing wear (arrow)



**CERTIFIED TEST REPORT Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Page 34 of **Date:** December 8, 2011 61 Outboard Inboard

**Figure 26:** Photographs of the center housing sectioned for analyses





Figure 27:Photographs of the aft (top) and forward (bottom)<br/>housings sectioned for analyses


### **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **Pa** 

Page 36

61

of



1 inch per grid

**Figure 28:** Photograph of the sectioned center (left) and the forward (right) spools showing wear (arrows)



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **Page** 37



of



Figure 29: Photograph of the sectioned aft spool showing wear (arrow)





**Figure 30:** Photomacrographs (4X magnification) of the center housing (top) and the spool (bottom) showing severe wear (arrows)





**Figure 31:** Photomacrographs (4X magnification) of the forward housing (top) and the spool (bottom) showing wear (arrows)



 1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

 CERTIFIED TEST REPORT

 Ref. D166449 Rev. 1
 Date: December 8, 2011
 Page 40

61



Figure 32: Photomacrographs (4X magnification) of the aft housing (top) and the spool (bottom) showing wear (arrows)







Figure 33: EDS spectra of the center housing worn (top) and the undamaged (bottom) areas

The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al).







4

ŝ

3

2 ull Scale 5093 cts Cursor: -0.025 (258 cts)

> The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), phosphorus (P), sulfur (S), chlorine (Cl), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al).

6

7

8

9

10

ke∀



**CERTIFIED TEST REPORT Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Date: December 8, 2011 Page 43 of 61 7050 Housing worn AI Ζn Cu<sub>\_Mg</sub> Cu Ζг 5 6 ź 8 10 2 З 1 ull Scale 7467 cts Cursor: -0.040 (236 cts) ke'v 7050 Housing undamaged Zn Cu Mg Cu Zn 8 10 3 5 6 7 1 2 4 Scale 7113 cts Cursor: -0.100 (0 cts) keV



The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), sulfur (S), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al).



**CERTIFIED TEST REPORT Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Page 44 Date: December 8, 2011 of 61 6061 #1 worn Mgj 6 ź 8 10 5 9 2 ull Scale 9438 cts Cursor: 0.004 (881 cts) ke∀ 6061 #1 undamaged CL ci 8 9 10 4 5 2 3 6 1 Full Scale 4023 cts Cursor: 0.004 (1110 cts) ke∨

Figure 36: EDS spectra of the center spool worn (top) and the undamaged (bottom) areas

The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), silicon (Si), sulfur (S), chlorine (Cl), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al).



**CERTIFIED TEST REPORT Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Page 45 Date: December 8, 2011 of 61 6061 #2 worn С Ma CI Na CI ŝ 6 8 10 з 9 ull Scale 7426 cts Cursor: -0.011 (944 cts) ke'v 6061 #2 undamaged 8 ġ 10 5 6 7 2 3 4 1 ull Scale 7216 cts Cursor: -0.025 (884 cts) ke∨

1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

Figure 37: EDS spectra of the forward spool worn (top) and the undamaged (bottom) areas

The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), silicon (Si), sulfur (S), chlorine (Cl), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al).



**CERTIFIED TEST REPORT Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Page 46 Date: December 8, 2011 of 61 7050 dark worn 2 Zn CI Cu Mg ci Cu ZΠ 5 6 ź 8 10 З 1 2 ull Scale 8373 cts Cursor: -0.040 (414 cts) ke∀ 7050 unaffected surface ΔI Zn Cu Mg Cu Ζn 8 10 ġ 1 2 3 Scale 6047 cts Cursor: -0.040 (367 cts) keV

1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415



The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), sulfur (S), chlorine (Cl), phosphorus (P), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al).







Figure 39: Representative EDS spectrum of a housing recessed area

The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), sodium (Na), sulfur (S), magnesium (Mg), silicon (Si), and aluminum (Al).



## **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **Page** 

Page 48

61

of



1 inch per grid

**Figure 40:** Photograph of a representative sectioned housing sample section showing the areas with anodizing (red arrows) and with no anodizing (black arrows) areas



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415 **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT** of **Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 Page 49 61 0.00500 in Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 100X 0.00100 in







**Figure 42:** Photomicrographs of the aft housing sample's section showing the damaged (top, arrows) and unaffected (bottom) areas



**CERTIFIED TEST REPORT** of **Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 Page 51 61 0.00100 in Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 500X 0.00100 in

Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 500X **Figure 43:** Photomicrographs of the forward housing sample's section showing the damaged (top, arrows) and unaffected (bottom) areas



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415 **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT** of **Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Page 52 **Date:** December 8, 2011 61 0.00500 in Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 200X 0.00100 in

> Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 500X **Figure 44:** Photomicrographs of the center spool sample's section showing the damaged (top, arrows) and unaffected (bottom) areas Note the anodizing in the unaffected areas (bottom, arrows)



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415 **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT** of **Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Page 53 **Date:** December 8, 2011 61 0.00500 in Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 200X 0.00100 in

> Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 500X **Figure 45:** Photomicrographs of the forward spool sample's section showing the damaged (top, arrows) and unaffected (bottom) areas Note the anodizing in the unaffected areas (bottom, arrows)



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415 **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT** of **Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 Page 54 **Date:** December 8, 2011 61 0.00500 in Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 200X 0.00100 in

> Keller's Reagent Etch Magnification: 500X **Figure 46:** Photomicrographs of the aft spool sample's section showing the damaged (top, arrows) and unaffected (bottom) areas Note the anodizing in the unaffected areas (bottom, arrows)



# **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 Page 55

of

61



Figure 47: Photograph of the container with the analyzed particles





**Figure 48:** Back-scattered electron image (top) and EDS spectrum (bottom) of a particle

The spectra indicate oxygen (O), sulfur (S), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al).





Figure 49: Back-scattered electron image (top) and EDS spectrum (bottom) of a particle

The spectra indicate carbon (C), fluorine (F), and aluminum (Al), consistent with Teflon.





Figure 50: Back-scattered electron image (top) and EDS spectrum (bottom) of a particle The spectra indicate carbon (C), oxygen (O), iron (Fe), sulfur (S), silicon (Si), phosphorus (P), chlorine (Cl), potassium (K), titanium (Ti), chromium (Cr), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al), consistent with paint and base material.



### **CERTIFIED TEST REPORT**

**Ref.** D166449 Rev. 1 **Date:** December 8, 2011 **Page** 59

ige 59 of

61



**Figure 51:** Photograph showing the removed t-seals (black) and backing rings (white)





Figure 52: FT-IR spectra of t-seals of the center spool





Figure 53: FT-IR spectra of backing rings of the center spool



## APPENDIX A

# SIGN-IN SHEET (ATTENDEE LIST)

### SIGN-IN SHEET

Date: <u>4-26-2011</u>

ATS Ref. No. 0166449

Name	Employer	Associated Law Firm	Represented Party
Walter Young II	Gulfstrean Acropaco		
BRANKO STROANIK	GULFSMEAN AGOME		
Jose VECIANA	GULFSTREAM		
ED MAZINOWS	NTSB		·
Craig lates	FAA		
GERRY LOFTIS	PNEW DRAMLICS		
Ben Evans	Goodrich		
Gary Wechsler	FAA		



## APPENDIX B

# DIMENSIONAL DATA AND DRAWINGS



#### **APPLIED TECHNICAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED**

1049 Triad Court , Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400

### **CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION**

<b>Ref</b> . DM16649	Date April 27, 2011	Page 1	<b>of</b> 1	

Purchase Order: TBA

Gulfstream 500 Gulfstream Road Savannah, GA 31408

#### Subject

Dimensional Inspection of sub-assemblies; 7438, 72738, 72737, 72749, 73123, 72740 & 72741 per highlighted drawings.

#### **Results**

Results are reported on the following pages.

Inspection performed in accordance with ASME Y14.5M-1994. Inspection data is "as found" unless otherwise noted. Applied Technical Services, Inc., certifies that the above named parts have been inspected by comparison to laboratory standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology. All measurements are based on the International Inch (1.00 Inch = 25.4 mm) and are performed at a measuring temperature of  $68^{\circ}F \pm 5^{\circ}$  (20°C) and 10% to 65% relative humidity.

#### **Equipment Used**

ATS-0509 CMM

Calibration Due: 09-08-2011

Prepared by Tommy Mills

**Tommy Mills** 

This certificate shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Applied Technical Services, Inc.

	PART NAME :	72738B_72737C		April 27, 2011	09:17
	REV NUMBER :	B/C	SER NUMBER :	STATS COUNT :	1

REFERENCE DRAWING 72738 FOR LOCATION OF THE FOLLOWING

SHAFT # 1 DATA

<del>4</del>	IN	ITEM 1A - CIRA	ITEM 1A - CIRA							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1756	0.0001	0.0000			

<del>4</del>	IN	ITEM 1B - CIRB	ITEM 1B - CIRB							
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1753	-0.0002	0.0000			

<b>+</b>	IN	ITEM 1C - CIRC	ITEM 1C - CIRC							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1754	-0.0001	0.0000			

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 1D - CIRD	ITEM 1D - CIRD							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1756	0.0001	0.0000			

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 1E - CIRE	ITEM 1E - CIRE							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1754	-0.0001	0.0000			

<b>+</b>	IN	ITME 1F - CIRF	ITME 1F - CIRF							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1752	-0.0003	0.0000			

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2A - CIR2A	ITEM 2A - CIR2A							
AX	N	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	0	.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9341	0.0001	0.0000			

<b></b>	IN	ITME 2B - CIR2B	ITME 2B - CIR2B							
AX	N	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	0	.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9344	0.0004	0.0000			

<b></b>	IN	ITEM 2C - CIR2C	.TEM 2C - CIR2C							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	0.	.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9343	0.0003	0.0000			

Ô	IN	ITEM 3A - CIR2A	ITEM 3A - CIR2A TO CYL1							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0.	0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0006	0.0006	0.0000			

Ô	IN	ITEM 3B - CIR2B TO CYL1								
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0.	0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0007	0.0007	0.0000			

Ô	IN	ITEM 3C - CIR2C TO CYL1								
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0.	0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0006	0.0006	0.0000			

$ \longleftrightarrow $	IN	ITEM 4 A-B - PNT6 TO PNT5 (ZAXIS)								
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
м	0.	.2400	0.0050	0.0050	0.2382	-0.0018	0.0000			

↔	IN	ITEM 4 C-D - PNT4 TO PNT3 (ZAXIS)							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	0.	.2400	0.0050	0.0050	0.2388	-0.0012	0.0000		

↔	IN	ITEM 4 E-F - PNT2 TO PNT1 (ZAXIS)							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	0.	.2400	0.0050	0.0050	0.2384	-0.0016	0.0000		

SHAFT # 2 DATA

₽	IN	ITEM_1A - (	CIR_A				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1757	0.0002	0.0000
<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM_1B - (	CIR_B				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1751	-0.0004	0.0000
⊕	IN	ITEM_1C - (	CIR_C				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1751	-0.0004	0.0000
⊕	IN	ITEM_1D -	CIR_D				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1754	-0.0001	0.0000
⊕	IN	ITEM_1E - (	CIR_E				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1755	0.0000	0.0000
⊕	IN	ITEM_1F - (	CIR_F				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1753	-0.0002	0.0000
⊕	IN	ITEM_2A - (	CIR_2A				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		0.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9344	0.0004	0.0000
⊕	IN	ITEM_2B - (	CIR_2B				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		0.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9346	0.0006	0.0000

<b></b>	IN	ITEM_2C - CIR_2C							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
D	0.	.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9345	0.0005	0.0000		

Ô	IN	ITEM_3A - CIR_2A TO CYL2							
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	0.	0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0008	0.0008	0.0000		

Ô	IN	ITEM_3B - CIR_2B TO CYL2								
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0.	.0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0011	0.0011	0.0000			

Ô	IN	ITEM_3C - CIR_2C TO CYL2								
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0.	0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0008	0.0008	0.0000			

$ \longleftrightarrow $	IN	ITEM_4A - PNT13 TO PNT12 (ZAXIS)								
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
м	0.	0.2400 0.0050 0.0050 0.2383 -0.0017 0.0000								

↔	IN	ITE_4B - PNT11 TO PNT10 (ZAXIS)							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	0.	.2400	0.0050	0.0050	0.2389	-0.0011	0.0000		

↔	IN	ITEM_4C - PNT9	ITEM_4C - PNT9 TO PNT8 (ZAXIS)								
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL				
М	0.	.2400	0.0050	0.0050	0.2388	-0.0012	0.0000				

$\leftrightarrow$	IN	ITEM 5_A - CIR_/	ITEM 5_A - CIR_A TO CIRA (YAXIS)								
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL				
М	3.	3750	0.0200	0.0200	3.3737	-0.0013	0.0000				

$\leftrightarrow$	IN	ITEM 5_B - CIR_E	ITEM 5_B - CIR_B TO CIRB (YAXIS)							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	3.	.3750	0.0200	0.0200	3.3768	0.0018	0.0000			

$ \longleftrightarrow $	IN	ITEM 5_C - CIR_C TO CIRC (YAXIS)							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	3.	.3750	0.0200	0.0200	3.3806	0.0056	0.0000		

$ \longleftrightarrow $	IN	ITEM 5_D - CIR_	ITEM 5_D - CIR_D TO CIRD (YAXIS)							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	3.	.3750	0.0200	0.0200	3.3838	0.0088	0.0000			

$\leftrightarrow$	IN	ITEM 5_E - CIR_E TO CIRE (YAXIS)								
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	3.	3750	0.0200	0.0200	3.3872	0.0122	0.0000			

$ \longleftrightarrow $	IN	ITEM 5_F - CIR_F	ITEM 5_F - CIR_F TO CIRF (YAXIS)								
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL				
М	3.	.3750	0.0200	0.0200	3.3897	0.0147	0.0000				

	IN	ITEM 6 - CYL2 TO CYL1								
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0		0.0400	0	0.0165	0.0165	0.0000			

PART NAME :	72741D / 72740F			November 30, 2011	11:20
REV NUMBER :	D (ATS-2)	SER NUMBER :	0748	STATS COUNT :	1

BARSTOCK HOUSING 72741 WITH LABEL

$\bot$	IN	ITEM 1 - PLN3 TO CYL1							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	0		0.0050	0	0.0004	0.0004	0.0000		

$\perp$	IN	ITEM 2 - PLN2 TO CYL1							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	0		0.0050	0	0.0011	0.0011	0.0000		

↔	IN	ITEM 3 - PNT1 TO PNT2 (XAXIS)								
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	2.	.4450	0.0000	0.0050	2.4421	-0.0029	0.0000			

ITEM 4A,4B,4C REMOVED FROM THIS REPORT AT CUSTOMER REQUEST

Ô	IN	ITEM 5 - CYL1 TO	ITEM 5 - CYL1 TO CIR8								
AX	I	NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL				
М		0.0000	0.0150	0.0000	0.0016	0.0016	0.0000				
BARSTOCK HOUSING 72741 WITHOUT LABEL

$\bot$	IN	ITEM_1 - PLN6	ITEM_1 - PLN6 TO CYL2							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
м	0		0.0050	0	0.0008	0.0008	0.0000			

$\perp$	IN	ITEM_2 - PLN5	ITEM_2 - PLN5 TO CYL2							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0		0.0050	0	0.0004	0.0004	0.0000			

$ \longleftrightarrow $	IN	ITEM_3 - PNT3 T	ITEM_3 - PNT3 TO PNT4 (XAXIS)							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
м	2.	.4450	0.0000	0.0050	2.4424	-0.0026	0.0000			

ITEM 4A,4B,4C REMOVED FROM THIS REPORT AT CUSTOMER REQUEST

Ô	IN	ITEM _5 - CYL2 T	ITEM _5 - CYL2 TO CIR9							
AX	Ν	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	C	0.0000	0.0150	0.0000	0.0010	0.0010	0.0000			

REFERENCE HOUSING ASSEMBLY DRAWING 72740

↔	IN	ITEM_1 AT A - CIR2 TO CIR5 (YAXIS)							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	3.	2500	0.0200	0.0200	3.2638	0.0138	0.0000		

↔	IN	ITEM_1 AT B - CIR3 TO CIR6 (YAXIS)							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	3.	.2500	0.0200	0.0200	3.2737	0.0237	0.0037		

$\leftrightarrow$	IN	ITEM_1 AT C - CIR7 TO CIR4 (YAXIS)							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	3.	2500	0.0200	0.0200	3.2852	0.0352	0.0152		

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2A RIGHT - CIR4							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
D	1.	1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1795	0.0005	0.0000		

<del>4</del>	IN	ITEM 2B RIGHT -	ITEM 2B RIGHT - CIR3							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1795	0.0005	0.0000			

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2C RIGHT	ITEM 2C RIGHT - CIR2							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1	.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1796	0.0006	0.0000			

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2A LEFT - CIR5							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
D	1.	.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1794	0.0004	0.0000		

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2B LEFT - (	ITEM 2B LEFT - CIR6							
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1794	0.0004	0.0000			

⊕	IN	ITEM 2C LEFT - C	ITEM 2C LEFT - CIR7						
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
D	1.	.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1794	0.0004	0.0000		

	IN	ITEM3 - CYL	TEM3 - CYL1 TO CYL2							
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0		0.0400	0	0.0356	0.0356	0.0000			

PART NAME :	72749		April 26, 2011	11:47
REV NUMBER :	E	SER NUMBER : 1	STATS COUNT :	1

$\perp$	IN	ITEM 1 - PLN4 <sup>-</sup>	TEM 1 - PLN4 TO CYL1							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
м	0		0.0050	0	0.0003	0.0003	0.0000			

$\perp$	IN ITEM 2 - F	TEM 2 - PLN2 TO CYL1							
AX	NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0	0.0050	0	0.0016	0.0016	0.0000			

$ \leftrightarrow $	IN	ITEM 3 - PNT1 TO	ITEM 3 - PNT1 TO PNT2 (XAXIS)							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
м	2.	.4450	0.0000	0.0050	2.4445	-0.0005	0.0000			

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 4 - PLN3	TEM 4 - PLN3							
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
х	1.	0950	0.0050	0.0050	1.1028	0.0078	0.0028			

<b>+</b>	IN	ITEM 5A - CIR4	ITEM 5A - CIR4							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1.	.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1799	0.0009	0.0000			

<b>+</b>	IN	ITEM 5B - CIR3	TEM 5B - CIR3							
AX	N	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1	.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1800	0.0010	0.0000			

<b>+</b>	IN	ITEM 5C - CYL1	TEM 5C - CYL1							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
D	1	.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1799	0.0009	0.0000			

Ô	IN	ITEM 6 - CYL1 TC	ITEM 6 - CYL1 TO CIR5							
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0.	.0000	0.0150	0.0000	0.0093	0.0093	0.0000			

PART NAME :	73123		April 27, 2011	12:53
REV NUMBER :	В	SER NUMBER :	STATS COUNT :	1

REFERENCE DRAWING 73123 FOR LOCATION OF THE FOLLOWING...

<del>4</del>	IN	ITEM 1A - CIRA					
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D	1.	1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1765	0.0010	0.0005

<b>+</b>	IN	ITEM 1B - CIRB					
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D	1.	1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1763	0.0008	0.0003

<b>+</b>	IN	ITEM 1C - CIRC					
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D	1.	1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1762	0.0007	0.0002

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 1D - CIRD					
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D	1.	.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1762	0.0007	0.0002

<b>+</b>	IN	ITEM 1E - CIRE					
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D	1.	.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1762	0.0007	0.0002

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 1F - CIRF					
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D	1.	.1755	0.0005	0.0005	1.1763	0.0008	0.0003

<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2A - CIR_A					
AX	N	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D	0	.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9325	-0.0015	0.0005

<b></b>	IN	ITEM 2B - CIR_B					
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D	0	.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9333	-0.0007	0.0000

₽	IN	ITEM 2C - C	IR_C				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		0.9340	0.0010	0.0010	0.9342	0.0002	0.0000
0	IN	ITEM 3A - C	IR_A TO CYL1				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
м		0.0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0006	0.0006	0.0000
Ô	IN	ITEM 3B - C	IR_B TO CYL1				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
М		0.0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0003	0.0003	0.0000
Ô	IN	ITEM 3C - C	IR_C TO CYL1				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
М		0.0000	0.0020	0.0000	0.0003	0.0003	0.0000
$\leftrightarrow$	IN	ITEM 4 A-B	- PNT5 TO PNT6 (Z	AXIS)			
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
м		0.2400	0.0050	0.0050	0.2384	-0.0016	0.0000
$\leftrightarrow$	IN	ITEM 4 C-D	- PNT3 TO PNT4 (Z	AXIS)			
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
м		0.2400	0.0050	0.0050	0.2387	-0.0013	0.0000
<b>↔</b>	IN	ITEM 4 E-F	- PNT1 TO PNT2 (Z	AXIS)			
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
М		0.2400	0.0050	0.0050	0.2386	-0.0014	0.0000



PART NAME: 7438 ASSY ANGLE	S	April 27, 2011	11:03
REV NUMBER :	SER NUMBER :	STATS COUNT :	1

THESE ARE ANGLES REQUESTED BY DOTS ON PART

REFERENCE SKETCH FOR LOCATION OF THE FOLLOWING ANGLES

#1

	DEG	ANGL1 - LIN1 TO LIN2
AX		MEAS
A		23.727

$\measuredangle$	DEG	ANGL2 - LIN1 TO LIN3
AX		MEAS
А		98.568

$\measuredangle$	DEG	ANGL3 - LIN1 TO LIN4
AX		MEAS
А		175.050

	DEG	ANGL4 - LIN1 TO LIN5
AX		MEAS
А		-45.540

#2

$\measuredangle$	DEG	ANGL5 - LIN1 TO LIN6
AX		MEAS
A		35.439

$\measuredangle$	DEG	ANGL6 - LIN1 TO LIN7
AX		MEAS
A		164.777

#З

$\measuredangle$	DEG	ANGL7 - LIN8 TO LIN9
AX		MEAS
A		3.946

	DEG	ANGL8 - LIN8 TO LIN10
AX		MEAS
A		93.030

#4

	DEG	ANGL9 - LIN8 TO LIN11
AX		MEAS
А		-14.359

$\measuredangle$	DEG	ANGL10 - LIN8 TO LIN12
AX		MEAS
А		175.497



REVISIO	NS					
DESCRIP	PTICN			DATE	APPROVED	
				8/1/95	USU	
				8/21/08	MS	
<u></u>						
λ.						D
						C
						-
						$\square$
						[m]
						B
				~		
				<u>/i</u> \		
ALY				$\Lambda$		
						1
PEC	TREAT			FINISH		
IST	l	L				1
						1
orauli	cs In	ис. <sub>R</sub>	ANCHO	CUCAM	ONGA, CA	
						1
						Λ
AFT	AS	SE	MΒ	LY		A
ant set of				4999		
NO						1
		70	70	7		
//		10	13	/		
WE	EIGHT	20 AL AL	SH	EET 1	OF 1	1
		-1				





		4	2	1		
_			REVISIONS			
	ZONE	LTR	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED	
		A	SEE DCN 13575	6/21/95	₩\$J	
		В	SEE DCN 15676	6/05/00	TRUJILLO	
	1	С	SEE DCN 15837	11/:3/00	TRUJILLO	
		D	SEE DCN 16376	3/4/02	TRUJILLO	

D

B

	the second se	F	ER DQ	-A-225/8		
c	DESCRIPTION OR NOMENCLATURE			MATERIAL/SPEC		FINISH
	LIST OF MAT	TERIALS	OR P	ARTS LIST		
RAWN	JEFFERSON	3/11/95	Pre	eu Drau	lics In	8575 HELMS AVENUE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA
ROJECT						
			-	HOUSI	NG-B	ARSTOCK
ONTRACT			1			
ESIGN CTIVITY PPROVAL	WHEELER	3/11/95	SIZE	CAGE CODE		72741
			SCALE	2/1	WEIGHT	SHEET 1 OF 1



2010-01-0				l I
REVISIONS		1		
DESCRIPTION		DATE	APPROVED	
		6702700	TRUJILLE	
		7/31/00	CW	
		5/23/01	CW TOULTUR	
		1-26-09	GL	
`				
			1	
				C
				$\cup$
				<b>-</b>
				<u> </u>
				Later
				4
				a
				IB
		Δ		
ALI		<u></u> ^1.\_		
ALY		1.		-
HEAT		FINISH		
TREAT		THIST		
IST				
and a		8575 HELMS A	VENUE	
raunce Pr	NC RAN	CHO CUCAM	ONGA, CA	
				A
ISTNG A	SSE	MRI	1	A
		المسلم المسلم ال		
CODE				
77	121	40		
		045-T	OF	
WEIGHT		SHEET 1	OF 1	



	what-12-1	REVISIONS		
ZONE	LTR	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED
	A	SEE DCN 13602	8/1/95	WSJ
	В	SEE DCN 13695	10/25/95	WSJ
[	С	SEE DCN 15659	5/24/00	TRUJILLO
	D	SEE DCN 16066	6/14/01	GL
	E	SEE DCN 19276	8/20/08	MS

7050-T74 AL AL Y PER AMS 4107  $\Lambda$ M/F 72748 FURGING DESCRIPTION OR NOMENCLATURE HEAT TREAT MATERIAL/SPEC FINISH LIST OF MATERIALS OR PARTS LIST JEFFERSON 3/24/95 Pneu Draulics Inc RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA HOUSING-MACHINED SIZE CAGE CODE C. WHEELER 4/10/95 72749 D 06177 SHEET 1 OF 1 SCALE WEIGHT 1/1

2







1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

### APPENDIX C

# INITIAL WORK SCOPE SUBMITTED BY GULFSTREAM

Heres the proposed itinerary, let me know hat additional information you may need

DAY 1

Dimensional analysis of all subassemblies before destructive testing.

 a) Document location, length of wear/damage features

#### DAY 2-3

- 2) Determine surface finish, presence of coatings, coating thickness on all parts.
- 3)Examine external spool surfaces with SEM/EDS to detect any presence of embedded/smeared FOD in surfaces. Evaluate the "galling/wear" characteristics of the surfaces.
  - a) Cross section and make a metallographic mounts of the area.
  - b) Conduct microhardness/microstructure evaluation

4)Examine internal housing surfaces with SEM/EDS to detect any presence of embedded/smeared FOD in surfaces. Evaluate the "galling/wear" characteristics of the surfaces.

- a) Cross section and make a metallographic mounts of the area .
- b) Conduct microhardness/microstructure evaluation
- 5) Section damaged tube and determine failure mode , fatigue, overload, tensile, compressive failure
  - a) Conduct hardness on the various detail parts of the assembly
  - b) Open up the fracture, and document the fracture surface with photographs
  - c) Cross section the failed tube and make a metallographic mounts of the area at or near the crack and away from the crack

d) Cross section and mount on of the other tubes for microstructure comparison

e) Conduct micro-hardness on the mounts to determine if there is any difference in hardness in the area of the crack versus away from the crack or in the cracked tube versus another tube

f) Examine the fracture surface under SEM and conduct EDS elemental scan to determine if alloy is 6061 and compare with other tube in assembly

Branko Stropnik Principal Engineer Service Engineering Gulfstream Aerospace MS SW7 Phone: 912-965-3620 Fax: 912-965-4725 Cell: 912-658-0645



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

# APPENDIX D

# **REVISION 1 MODIFICATIONS**



1049 Triad Court, Marietta, Georgia 30062 • (770) 423-1400 Fax (770) 424-6415

Section/Page	Location	Modification
1	1 <sup>st</sup> Paragraph	Fourth sentence revised to state "The aircraft had accumulated 10.4 flight
1		hours and 5 landings at the time of failure."
3	1 <sup>th</sup> Paragraph	Added a sentence "Pneudralics personnel reported that these longitudinal
5	4 Taragraph	marks may have been due to post-incident disassembly."
2	2nd Dorograph	Added a sentence "It should be noted that the dimensional analysis was
2	2 Falagiaph	conducted on the failed/used parts."
4	1 <sup>th</sup> Dorograph	Added a sentence "The samples met the drawing requirements of 16 µin for
4	4 Falagiapii	the housings and 32 µin for the spools."
48	_	Added Figure 40 to clarify anodizing verification results
A an an dia D	2 <sup>rd</sup> Daga	Removed some dimensional measurements. See next page for removed data
Appendix B	5 Page	and the drawing explaining the reasons for this change.

PART NAME :	72741D / 72740F			April 27, 2011	13:00
REV NUMBER :	D	SER NUMBER :	0748	STATS COUNT :	1

BARSTOCK HOUSING 72741 WITH LABEL

$\perp$	IN	ITEM 1 - PLN3	ITEM 1 - PLN3 TO CYL1							
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL			
М	0		0.0050	0	0.0004	0.0004	0.0000			

$\perp$	IN	ITEM 2 - PLN2	TEM 2 - PLN2 TO CYL1								
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL				
М	0		0.0050	0	0.0011	0.0011	0.0000				

↔	IN	ITEM 3 - P	NT1 TO PNT2 (XAXIS)					
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DI	EV	OUTTOL
М		2.4450	0.0000	0.0050	The issue with th	nese	029	0.0000
					dimensions is the	at the		
⊕	IN	ITEM 4A -	¢IR4		bore was machir	ned to		
AX	_	NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	1.178-1.180 on r assv drawing 72	next 740. I	EV	OUTTOL
D		1.1720	0.0010	0.0010	think its best to c	delete	075	0.0065
					these and indica	te		
⊕	IN	ITEM 4B -	CIR3		that these are			
AX		NOMINAL	TOL	TOL	unoptaineable as	s they	EV	OUTTOL
D		1.1720	0.0010	0.0010	assembly dwg 7	2740.	075	0.0065
					The dimensions	were		
₽	IN	ITEM 4C -	CIR2		taken of the 727	40		
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	properly on the	naea	EV	OUTTOL
D		1.1720	0.0010	0.0010	following sheet 3	3 of 3	076	0.0066
Ô	IN	ITEM 5 - C	YL1 TO CIR8		1			
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DI	EV	OUTTOL
М		0.0000	0.0150	0.0000	0.0016	0.0	016	0.0000

BARSTOCK HOUSING 72741 WITHOUT LABEL

$\perp$	IN	ITEM_1 - PLN6	rem_1 - Pln6 to Cyl2					
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL	
М	0		0.0050	0	0.0008	0.0008	0.0000	

$\perp$	IN	ITEM_2 - PLN5 TO CYL2							
AX	NC	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL		
М	0	(	).0050	0	0.0004	0.0004	0.0000		

$\leftrightarrow$	IN	ITEM_3 - PN	T3 TO PNT4 (XA>	(IS)			
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
М		2.4450	0.0000	The issue	with these	-0.0026	0.0000
				dimension	is is that the		
<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM_4A - C	IR7	bore was	machined to		
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL		ing 72740	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1720	0.0010	think its be	est to delete	0.0074	0.0064
				these and	indicate		
<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM_4B - C	IR6	that these	are		
AX		NOMINAL	+TQ1	unobtaine	able as they	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1720	0.0010	assembly	dwg 72740.	0.0074	0.0064
				The dimer	nsions were		
<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM _4C - 0	CIR5	taken of th	ne 72740		
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL		n the	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1720	0.0010	following	sheet 3 of 3	0.0074	0.0064
_				Ŭ			
Ø	IN	ITEM _5 - CY	(L2 TO CIR9				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
М		0.0000	0.0150	0.0000	0.0010	0.0010	0.0000



		4	2	1	
_			REVISIONS		
	ZONE	LTR	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED
		A	SEE DCN 13575	6/21/95	₩\$J
		В	SEE DCN 15676	6/05/00	TRUJILLO
	1	С	SEE DCN 15837	11/:3/00	TRUJILLO
		D	SEE DCN 16376	3/4/02	TRUJILLO

R

	the second se	F	ER DQ	-A-225/8		
c	DESCRIPTION OR NOMENCLATURE		MATERIAL/SPEC		HEAT TREAT	FINISH
	LIST OF MAT	TERIALS	OR P	ARTS LIST		
RAWN	JEFFERSON	3/11/95	Pre	eu Drau	lics In	8575 HELMS AVENUE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA
ROJECT						
			-	HOUSI	NG-B	ARSTOCK
ONTRACT			1			
ESIGN CTIVITY PPROVAL	WHEELER	3/11/95		CAGE CODE		72741
			SCALE	2/1	WEIGHT	SHEET 1 OF 1



2010-01-0				l I
REVISIONS		1		
DESCRIPTION		DATE	APPROVED	
		6702700	TRUJILLE	
		7/31/00	CW	
		5/23/01	CW TOULTUR	
		1-26-09	GL	
`				
			1	
				C
				$\cup$
				<b>-</b>
				<u> </u>
				Later
				4
				a
				IB
		Δ		
ALI		<u></u> ^1.\_		
ALY		1.		-
HEAT		FINISH		
TREAT		THIST		
IST				
and a		8575 HELMS A	VENUE	
rannes Pr	NC RAN	CHO CUCAM	ONGA, CA	
				A
ISTNG A	SSE	MRI	1	A
		المسلم المسلم ال		
CODE				
77	121	40		
		045-T	OF	
WEIGHT		SHEET 1	OF 1	

REFERENCE HOUSING ASSEMBLY DRAWING 72740

↔	IN	ITEM_1 AT A - CIR2 TO CIR5 (YAXIS)						
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL	
М	3.	2500	0.0200	0.0200	3.2638	0.0138	0.0000	

↔	IN	ITEM_1 AT B - CIR3 TO CIR6 (YAXIS)						
AX	NO	MINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL	
М	3.	2500	0.0200	0.0200	3.2737	0.0237	0.0037	

$\leftrightarrow$	IN	ITEM_1 AT C - CIR7 TO CIR4 (YAXIS)						
AX	NC	OMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL	
М	3.	.2500	0.0200	0.0200	3.2852	0.0352	0.0152	

⊕	IN	ITEM 2A RI	GHT - CIR4				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1795	0.0005	0.0000
<del>0</del>	IN	ITEM 2B RI	GHT - CIR3				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1795	0.0005	0.0000
<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2C RI	GHT - CIR2				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1796	0.0006	0.0000
			<	T	hese are OK		
<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2A LE	FT - CIR5				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1794	0.0004	0.0000
<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2B LE	FT - CIR6				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1794	0.0004	0.0000
_							
<b>#</b>	IN	ITEM 2C LE	FT - CIR7				
AX		NOMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL
D		1.1790	0.0010	0.0010	1.1794	0.0004	0.0000

	IN	ITEM3 - CYL1 TO CYL2						
AX	NC	DMINAL	+TOL	-TOL	MEAS	DEV	OUTTOL	
М	0		0.0400	0	0.0356	0.0356	0.0000	