

Program Overview Residential Methane Detectors and Asset Lifecycle Tracking & Traceability

Northeast Gas Association's Executive Conference September 9, 2014 By: Paul Armstrong



GTI Overview

ESTABLISHED 1941

- Independent, not-for-profit company established by natural gas industry
- Providing natural gas research, development and technology deployment services to industry and government clients and gas consumers
- Performing contract research, program management, consulting, and training
- > Facilities
 - 18 acre laboratory near Chicago
 - 200,000 ft² with 28 labs
- > Staff of 250
- > Wellhead to the burner tip including energy conversion technologies





Energy & Environmental Technology Center

Residential Methane Detector Background Safety is Priority #1

- >Customer behavior suggests that odorant alone is not enough for customers to report leaks
- > Recent events have heightened the focus on how unreported leaks can result in tragic outcomes
- > The natural gas industry has an opportunity to augment existing safety programs and be more proactive in regards to the detection of our product in homes
- > Having an alert system such as a residential methane detector benefits both the customer and the utility

gt

Strategy for Adoption of Residential Methane Detectors



gt

Residential Methane Detectors are Commercially Available...

- > Can be purchased at home improvement stores
- > International products are also available
- > Prices range from \$40 \$100+
- > But product availability and price do not make a market
 - Concerns with performance
 - Framework doesn't exist to promote the installation of residential methane detectors
 - Lack of public awareness

Low customer adoption



Product Performance Issues

- > 2010 Testing OTD project performed baseline testing and evaluation of commercially available, domestic residential methane detectors
 - Concerns exist with performance <u>False positives to common</u> <u>household agents; level of detection;</u> humidity range, battery backup
- > Repeat baseline testing and evaluation of commercially available, domestic & international detectors (current OTD project)
- > Conduct a comprehensive pilot program for those most promising detectors to gain real-world experience in a controlled environment

> Technology development

- New sensors have promise to address false positives and lower sensitivity levels, but will take time to develop?
- Efforts underway with ARPA-E, GTI, NYSEARCH, PRCI

Action: Complete baseline testing, initiate pilot program, monitor sensor development efforts, validate new technology as it becomes available

Level of Detection Issue

- > What is the appropriate "fit for purpose" detection level?
 - Current detectors alarm at 25% LEL, but this is too high.
 Odorant detection code requirements are less (20% LEL federal; some states are lower NY 10%, MA 3%). Should there be alignment between odor detection and alarm points? What is appropriate for this application?
 - Instantaneous or Integrated? Initial alarm could be based on an integration of readings over a defined period of time (similar to CO) in the 5% LEL range with a steady alarm warning of 10% LEL. But this technology doesn't exist, yet
- > Evaluate the appropriateness of current UL standards (1434 and 1484)
 - 1434: Standard for Thermistor Type Devices (includes sensing devices)
 - 1484: Standard for Safety Residential Gas Detectors (25% LEL)
 - Develop and incorporate installation guidelines
 - Develop new "fit-for-purpose" UL standard with lower detection point

Action: Need consensus on "fit for purpose" detection level and strategy to address UL standards. "It all starts with an appropriate standard."

Consumer Behavior Issues

- Need to understand behavior why aren't gas odors being reported? In order to address issues appropriately for both odor and alarms
- > Public Awareness
 - Ensure messaging is clear that the public should call if they smell gas
 OR if an alarm goes off potential confusion and unintended consequences
 - Educate customers on why they should use these detectors, potential consequences and what to do if they alarm
- > Coordinate public awareness strategy and campaigns
 - Establish stakeholder groups (e.g., utilities, AGA, APGA, regional trade associations, safety officials, state and local governments)

Action: Expand market research data on consumer behavior, develop key points and concise messaging for public awareness campaigns and coordinate with all stakeholders

gt

Alarm Reporting Issues

- > Is an audible alarm sufficient? Precedent established with smoke and CO alarms
- > Do we want automated alarm reporting? Who would get notified and respond (e.g., utility, fire department, home monitoring service)? Is this appropriate for certain building types (multi-family)?
- > Are interconnected alarms for multi-unit buildings warranted?
- > Combination CO/Methane detectors are preferred? Do we need to distinguish which threat is present?

Action: Develop stakeholder group to reach industry consensus. "Start with the basics, let the market drive the bells & whistles."

gt

Market Channel Strategy

- > Assess pros/cons of various market channel approaches in achieving desired results
 - Building Codes should building codes be developed that mandates the use of residential methane detectors (similar to smoke alarms)?
 - Utilities Should utilities encourage the use of residential methane detectors? Should they provide financial incentives? Should there be targets established? And cost recovery?
 - One size fits all or should the strategy be dependent on the environment (urban, cast iron, multi-family, higher risk)?

Action: Develop deployment and distribution strategy to achieve desired customer adoption rate.

g

Economic and Market Issues

> Price Implications

- Existing detectors are in the \$40 \$100+ range
- Is this target price achievable given the desire to lower the detection limit?
- Is this target price achievable with remote reporting functionality?
- What is the consumer willing to pay and how will this impact adoption rates? Are subsidies warranted?
- > Should utilities pursue cost recovery mechanisms (similar to energy efficiency programs)?
- > What are the economics associated with advanced features?
- > Determine target adoption rate and projections under various scenarios; compare to adoption rates for CO and smoke detectors

Action: Develop scope for economic and market analysis

Pathways to Address Issues

- > Standards development define "fit-for-purpose" product performance criteria
- > Technology development and validation ensure reliable and effective products are readily available
- Stakeholder engagement ensure industry alignment on critical issues such as market channels, consumer behavior and public awareness to enable full market adoption

gt

Asset Lifecycle Tracking & Traceability





Mobile GIS for Mapping and T&T

> Objectives

 Develop mobile GIS technology that creates digital as-builts with complete tracking and traceability information of pipes, fittings, and fusions



- Eliminate back-office post-processing and GIS integration as well as traditional mapping functions
- Utilize recent advances in GIS, tablet computers, GPS, barcode scanning, and cloud computing to improve the quality and efficiency of data collection



GTI's Mobile GIS Technology

> Technology features:

- Tablet with mobile GIS data collection software
- High accuracy GPS receiver (connected via Bluetooth)
- Barcode scanner (connected via Bluetooth)
- Application to convert barcodes into asset attributes to auto populate the GIS
- Fusion tracking and traceability system that captures information directly from leading fusion machines
- Labels with 50 year life in underground conditions
- Disconnected editing capability





Supporting Implementation



- >GTI spinout, LocusView Solutions, created to provide implementation services for advanced geospatial technologies
- > Provides field tested, customer validated, commercial products
- > Turn-key implementation services including hardware, software, hosting, training, and IT support



Results in Action

- > Mobile GIS software with barcode scanning and high accuracy GPS commercially released in October 2013 and available through LocusView Solutions
- Integration with leading fusion equipment manufactures complete in 2014
- > Five pilot projects complete
 - Integrys, Avista, National Grid, NiSource, Dominion
- > Five new pilot projects in 2014
 - ConEd, Colorado Springs, MLGW, NSTAR, Piedmont
- > Production implementations in Q1 2015

g



Paul Armstrong Gas Technology Institute

