United States Coast Guard

Marine Board Investigation

Commercial Fishing Vessel Destination Casualty

FORMAL HEARING

Henry M. Jackson Federal Building
915 Second Avenue

Seattle, Washington 98174

August 7, 2017 -- August 17, 2017

REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

VOLUME VIII of IX

DATE TAKEN: Wednesday, August 16, 2017

TIME: 0900-1640

REPORTED BY: Sally Sybert Gessner Official Court Reporter Administrative Law Judge Office Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022

## UNITED STATES COAST GUARD MARINE BOARD OF INVESTIGATION PANEL MEMBERS

COMMANDER SCOTT W. MULLER, CHAIRMAN
Fifth Coast Guard District
Inspections and Investigations Branch (dpi)
431 Crawford Street
Portsmouth, Virginia 23704

MR. JAMES J. GILLETTE, MARINE BOARD MEMBER Investigations NCOE 1615 Poydras Street, STE 1030 New Orleans, LA 70112

LCDR PEDRO L. MENDOZA, MARINE BOARD RECORDER COMDT, CG-INV-1 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, SE Stop 7501 Washington, DC 20593-7501

#### TECHNICAL ADVISORS:

COMMANDER TAMARA S. WALLEN, MARINE BOARD LEGAL ADVISOR Coast Guard Island Building 51-6 Alameda, CA 94501-5100

MR. SCOTT J. GIARD Rescue Coordination Center District 13 Command Center Henry M. Jackson Building 915  $2^{\rm nd}$  Avenue Seattle, WA 98174

LCDR Randy L. Preston Investigations NCOE 161 5 Poydras Street, Ste 1030 New Orleans, LA 70112-1254

YN1 Caitlin K. Calvert Seventeenth Coast Guard District Legal Office P.O. Box 25517 Juneau, AK 99801-5517

# NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD MARINE BOARD INVESTIGATION PANEL MEMBERS

MR. MICHAEL KARR, INVESTIGATOR-IN-CHARGE Office of Marine Safety 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, SW Washington, DC 20594-2000

MR. PAUL SUFFREN
SENIOR METEOROLOGIST
490 L'Enfant Plaza East, SW
Washington, DC 20594-2000

## APPEARANCES ON BEHALF OF PARTIES IN INTEREST

ON BEHALF OF OWNER DAVID L. WILSON

Svetlana P. Spivak, Esquire Law Offices of Holmes, Weddle & Barcott 999 Third Avenue, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98104

Daniel P. Barcott, Esquire Law Offices of Holmes, Weddle & Barcott 999 Third Avenue, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98104

VIII-5

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPENING STATEMENT PAGE				
Commander Scott Muller	VIII-6			
WITNESS:				
RUSSELL HAZLETT/USCG	VIII-11			
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VIII-12			
Examined by CDR Muller	VIII-25			
Examined by Mr. Karr	VIII-31			
Dramined by III. Rail	VIII JI			
MS. WESTPHAL/ADF&G	VIII-38			
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VIII-40			
Examined by CDR Muller	VIII-52			
Examined by Mr. Karr	VIII-56			
SIMON DANIEL PRENNACE/				
DOCKSIDE SAMPLER ADF&G	VIII-61			
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VIII-62			
Examined by CDR Muller	VIII-76			
Examined by Mr. Karr	VIII-78			
4				
TRACY BUCK/NFMS	VIII-87			
Examined by CDR Muller	VIII-88			
Examined by Mr. Karr	VIII-95			
_				
ERLINE EMMANUEL JACOBSEN/	VIII-97			
CO-OP EXCHANGE				
Examined by CDR Muller	VIII-99,114,124			
Examined by Mr. Karr	VIII-107,122,126			
PRESENTATION	VIII-108			
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VIII-121			
DOCTOR LINCOLN/NATIONAL INSTITUTE	VIII-132			
FOR SAFETY AND HEALTH, NIOSH				
CHRISTOPHER WOODLEY/GROUND FISH FORUM				
PRESENTATION MR. WOODLEY	VIII-133			
Examined by CDR Muller	VIII-160			
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VIII-164			
PRESENTATION DOCTOR LINCOLN	VIII-165			
Examined by CDR Muller	VIII-183			
Examined by Mr. Karr	VIII-185			
KAREN CONRAD/NPFVA	VIII-189			
MIKE DZUGAN/AMSEA	·			
Examined by CDR Muller	VIII-191			
Examined by Mr. Karr	VIII-211			

### PROCEEDINGS

Start Time 0902

#### OPENING STATEMENT

CDR MULLER: Good morning. This hearing will come to order. Today is August 16, 2017, the time is 0902. We are continuing at the U.S. Coast Guard Thirteenth District, Seattle, Washington.

I am Commander Scott Muller, of the United
States Coast Guard, Chief of the Inspections and
Investigations Branch, Fifth Coast Guard District,
Portsmouth, Virginia. I am the Chairman of the Coast
Guard Marine Board of Investigation and the presiding
Officer over these proceedings.

The Commandant of the Coast Guard has convened this Board under the authority of Title 46, United States Code, Section 6301 and the Title 46, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 4, to investigate the circumstances surrounding the sinking of the fishing vessel Destination, with the loss of six lives on February 11, 2017 approximately three nautical miles north of St. George Island, Alaska.

This investigation will determine as closely as possible the factors that contributed to the incident in order to develop recommendations aimed at preventing similar casualties.

Whether there is evidence that any act of misconduct, inattention to duty, negligence, or willful violation of the law, on the part of any licensed, or certificated person contributed to the casualty. And whether there is evidence that any Coast Guard personnel or any representative or employee of any other government agency or any other person caused or contributed to the casualty.

This Marine Board has planned for at least one hearing session. The purpose of this hearing is to collect factual information. The Marine Board will use the factual information when developing its report of findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

I have previously determined that the following individual is a Party-in-Interest to this investigation. Mr. David Wilson represented by Ms. Spivak of Holmes, Weddle & Barcott. This party has a direct interest in the investigation, and has demonstrated the potential for contributing significantly, or otherwise enhancing the safety of life and property at sea, through participation as a Party-in-Interest.

All Parties-in-Interest have a statutory right to employ counsel to represent them, to cross-examine witnesses, and to have witnesses called on

their behalf.

I will examine all witnesses at this formal hearing under oath or affirmation and witnesses will be subject to federal laws and penalties governing false official statements. Witnesses who are not Parties-in-Interest may be advised by their counsel concerning their rights, however, such counsel may not examine or cross-examine other witnesses or otherwise participate.

These proceedings are open to the public, and to the media. I ask for the cooperation of all persons present to minimize any disruptive influence on the proceedings in general, and on the witnesses in particular. Please turn your cell phones or other electronic devices off, or to silent, or vibrate mode. Please do not enter or depart the hearing room except during periods of recess.

Flash photography will be permitted during this opening statement and during recess periods. The members of the press are, of course, welcome. An area has been set aside for your use during the proceedings. The news media may question witnesses concerning the testimony they provided here, but only after I have released them from these proceedings. I ask that any such interviews be conducted outside this room.

Since the date of the casualty the NTSB and

the Coast Guard have conducted substantial evidence

collection activities. And some of that previously

collected evidence will be considered during these

hearings. Should any person have or believe he or she

has information not brought forward but which might be

of direct significance, that person is urged to bring

that information to my attention by emailing

FVDestination@USCG.mil.

- The Coast Guard relies on strong partnerships to execute its missions, and this Mariner Board of Investigation is no exception. The National Transportation Safety Board provided a representative for this hearing, Mr. Michael Karr seated to my left is the Investigator-in-Charge of the NTSB Investigation.

  Mr. Karr, would you like to make a brief statement?
- MR. KARR: Good morning, I am Michael Karr
  Investigator-in-Charge for the National Transportation
  Safety Board for the investigation of this accident.
  The NTSB has joined this hearing to avoid duplicating
  the development of facts. I do wish to point out that
  this does not preclude the NTSB from developing
  additional information separately from this proceeding
  if that becomes necessary.

At the conclusion of this hearing, the NTSB will analyze the facts of this accident, will determine

```
1 probable cause independent of the Coast Guard, will
```

- 2 | issue a report of the NTSB findings, and if
- appropriate, the NTSB will issue recommendations to
- 4 | correct safety problems discovered during this
- 5 investigation.
- 6 CDR MULLER: Thank you. We will now call our
- 7 | first witness of the day, Mr. Hazlett who will be
- 8 | joining us telephonically. Mr. Hazlett are you there?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 10 CDR MULLER: Good, this is CDR Muller.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Good morning.
- 12 CDR MULLER: Good to speak with you again.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 14 CDR MULLER: So before we get started with
- 15 | calling you and administering the oath. I just want to
- 16 give you a quick rundown of who we have here, present
- 17 | in the room, at this testimony. So we are calling you
- 18 | from Seattle, Washington. As you know we are
- 19 | conducting a Marine Board of Investigation into the
- 20 | sinking of the fishing vessel Destination.
- In the room we have members of the Board,
- 22 | myself as chair, Mr. Jim Gillette, and LCDR Mendoza.
- 23 And of course, NTSB, Mr. Karr, and also representatives
- 24 of the Parties-in-Interest. This is a public hearing,
- 25 and it is being recorded. Also we have a number of

1	people here from the public present, including members
2	of the family and friends of the fishing vessel
3	Destination.
4	You counsel LCDR Burby is present. Please
5	let us know if you would like to consult with him at
6	any time. I understand that you are calling from
7	Anchorage, Alaska, is that correct?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	CDR MULLER: Are you in a place where you can
10	answer our questions?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes sir.
12	CDR MULLER: Very well, thank you. LCDR
13	Mendoza, if you would, please administer the oath.
14	LCDR MENDOZA: Please stand and raise your
15	right hand.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	WITNESS
18	MR. RUSSELL HAZLETT
19	UNITED STATES COAST GUARD FISHING VESSEL EXAMINER
20	A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
21	was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and
22	testified as follows:
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I do.
24	LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Sir, could
25	

- 1 for the record.
- THE WITNESS: Russell Hazlett H-A-Z-L-E-T-T.
- 3 LCDR MENDOZA: Could you state your current
- 4 employment and position title.
- 5 THE WITNESS: U.S. Coast Guard Commercial
- 6 Fishing Vessel Examiner.
- 7 LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional
- 8 licenses or certificates?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I have a 100-ton
- 10 master's license.
- 11 LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you, Sir.
- 12 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Hazlett, Commander
- 13 Muller here. So, I'm going to hand you over now to Mr.
- 14 James Gillette who will start off with the questions
- 15 that we have for you this morning.
- 16 EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. GILLETTE:
- Q. Good morning Mr. Hazlett, my name is James
- 19 | Gillette with the United States Coast Guard. Do you
- 20 hear me well over that phone?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. I also hear you very clearly. If at any time
- 23 | you don't understand a question that is asked, just
- 24 request that the question be readdressed or rephrased.
- 25 If at any time you need to take a recess

- 1 please ask. Also remember, to speak up loud and clear
- 2 to assist the court reporter to transcribe the hearing.
- 3 With that said, I would like to start asking questions.
- 4 Mr. Hazlett, I would like to get a Coast Guard
- 5 | Fishing Examiner's overview of the work that is
- 6 performed at Sector Anchorage. But before we get into
- 7 | that, I would like to get a little more background
- 8 information from you. Can you start by telling us how
- 9 long you have been working with the Coast Guard as a
- 10 | Commercial Fishing Examiner?
- 11 A. In this same position, I started in 2014.
- 12 Q. Did you have any prior service in the Coast
- 13 Guard or other maritime employment, and if so can you
- 14 | share that with us.
- 15 A. Yes sir, I have twenty-seven years active duty
- 16 in the Coast Guard. Also served as a commercial
- 17 | fishing vessel examiner at Marine Safety Office,
- 18 | Savannah, Georgia, probably 2001 to 2003. I also was
- 19 at the North Pacific Regional Fisheries Training Center
- 20 as an instructor in 2013 and 2014.
- 21 Q. Do you hold any qualifications in relation to
- 22 | marine safety, and if so, can you tell us what they
- 23 | are?
- 24 A. I had several. I was Port State Control,
- 25 | performed (inaudible word) vessel exams, pollution

- investigator, explosives loading supervisor, facilities inspector, and also container inspections.
  - Q. Have you ever had any training on stability and if so can you elaborate about that?
  - A. Nothing official other than probably the best practices guide to fishing vessel stability that we hand out. And the video that Mr. Dan Hardin did.
  - Q. Where is that video located?
    - A. Fish Safe.info

- Q. Okay, thank you Mr. Hazlett. Can you describe to us the commercial fishing vessel examiner's job and responsibilities at Sector Anchorage?
- A. We basically go out, and inspect vessels, or examine vessels when they call in requesting exams.

  Our area of responsibility is kind of hard compared to other ports due to the fact that we are dealing with the remote locations that the vessels are in. Most of the areas that we go to, we have to time it at a certain point to where the captains and the masters —give them time to get to the ports, get the vessels ready, and then do the examinations before they get under way to go fish.
- Q. Do you work for a Coast Guard District Office?

  If so, which one?
  - A. Say that one more time, please?

- Q. Do you work for a Coast Guard District Office?
  And if so, which one?
- A. I work with Sector Anchorage, and we fall under D17.

7

8

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Can you describe to us your working relations with District 17 and share with us what they provide to Sector Anchorage to allow you to do your job?
- A. Mostly what they provide is support for
  whatever we request. They provide some training,
  advice, guidance. They also provide funding for many
  of the trips we go out on.
  - Q. Can you talk to us about how many fishing vessels you are responsible for as well as how many of them are Bering Sea crab boats?
  - A. That would be a hard one to really put a pinpoint on. We have about nine hundred, I believe in our AOR. But a lot of the ones that we also visit are also vessels down in D13 as well.
  - Q. Out of those nine hundred, how many of those, do you think are Bering Sea crab boats?
  - A. I would say, I think the last count on our list that we tracked was somewhere around 70 to 90.
  - Q. Okay, I would now like to shift our focus specifically to those Bering Sea crab boats. Can you

talk to us about how many exams you have completed on
crab boats in an average year?

A. Me personally or the unit?

- Q. How about the office, and then you personally?
- A. Our office mostly deals with the smaller vessels throughout the rest of the state. I would say our office probably would do maybe twenty boats that were crab boats. Me personally on average I may do 3 or 4.
  - Q. Can you share with us how you schedule exams, and what type of exams that you schedule with those crab boats?
  - A. Basically, when we schedule an exam it is due to the master or the captain calling us and requesting one.
    - Q. Does Sector Anchorage also perform non-scheduled exams? And if so, can you share a little about that with us?
  - A. What we mostly do out of this office, if we are working out of this office dealing with a local port like Seward or Whittier, we will go on the master's request.

Other than that, when we go out to one of the outlying areas that we conduct the exams in, we will call ahead, speak with the harbor master. We will also

- 1 talk with the, like tribal people, or fishing
- 2 associations, or seafood plant managers, let them know
- 3 when we are coming, try to get the word out.
- And then we will get to the town, and usually
- 5 like the harbor master will have a list for us. Or
- 6 people will start calling on the phone requesting us to
- 7 | come to their vessel.
- 8 Q. After you complete the exam, can you talk to
- 9 us a little about the administrative details it takes
- 10 to complete the exam, itself?
- 11 A. Say that one more time, please?
- 12 Q. When you are finished with the exam itself,
- 13 can you talk about whatever administrative details it
- 14 takes to get a full completion of the exam?
- 15 A. As far as entering the case into MISLE?
- O. Yes, can you elaborate a little about that?
- 17 A. Basically, you complete the exam form on the
- 18 paper, pen and paper, come back to the office and then
- 19 go transcribe the information that you have written
- 20 down into the MISLE application. And fill that out,
- 21 and scan a copy, that's your pen and ink paper into the
- 22 | computer. That's pretty much it.
- Q. Do you send any of those MISLE exams, or any
- 24 | reports, or notifications to anyone? And if so, can
- 25 | you elaborate?

1 Α. No sir.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Does District 17 close your exams, or PACAREA, 2 or do you close your own exams? 3
  - Α. We close our own.
- Q. Okay. Are there any difficulties in getting 5 funding to attend fishing exams? And if so, could you 6 elaborate on that? 7
- There are times where our budget, that is kind 8 Α. of tight, is depending on, you know, the national budget, you know, if we have funding or not.
  - Have you ever had to postpone or cancel exams Ο. due to weather or logistics? And if so, can you share with us an example?
  - Yes, weather is always a factor. There is many times we've not been able to get to certain places. I believe last year we sat in the airport for a week waiting to get out to Sand Point.
  - Could you talk to us about how Sector Q. Anchorage works with Marine Safety Detachment Dutch Harbor to facilitate exams aboard crab boats?
- When we are getting our new people in, as you 21 Α. 22 know Dutch Harbor, they transfer out annually. every year when the new people come through our office, 23 they check in first with Sector Anchorage, introduce myself to those folks; let them know that I'm here to 25

- 1 support them.
- They pretty much work on their own throughout
- 3 | the year. When we have surge ops such as the crab
- 4 fishery or whatever, we offer our assistance to go out
- 5 and help them the best we can.
- 6 Q. Can you talk to us about what is performed in
- 7 | a Safety & Stability Compliance check also referred to
- 8 as SCC or spot check?
- 9 A. Say that one more time, please? You are kind
- 10 of echoing.
- 11 Q. Yes. Can you talk to us about what is
- 12 performed in a safety and stability compliance check,
- 13 also referred to as a SCC, or spot check?
- A. And your question, you would like to know what
- is done when we do one of those?
- 16 Q. That is correct.
- 17 A. Basically, we will get a phone call from the
- 18 master requesting us to come down and do an inspection
- 19 on his vessel. And we will go down to the vessel,
- 20 basically when we first get down there, we walk around
- 21 | the vessel, take a general overview of what's going on
- 22 for safety.
- 23 And a lot of times they are loading the crab
- 24 pots and stuff at that time, getting the vessel ready
- 25 | to go. So we will be watching, you know, for any kind

1 of safety issues.

Usually we will look at that loadline of the vessel, where, how the vessel is sitting in the water, and trying to see how much freeboard they have, insuring that it's proper. Take a look at the vessel's lights, anchor, you know, general condition of the vessel.

Once we have gotten the picture we are trying to get, we will usually have 1 or 2 people with us.

One person will start counting, trying to count the pots to get a good count in how many pots and the way they are stacked.

We will go on board the vessel, introduce ourselves to the captain and crew. Start looking at paperwork and documentation. We will pull out the stability book, make sure that it's been approved, or I shouldn't say approved, but it has all the proper paperwork with it.

We will also start checking immersion suits, EPIRB, some safety gear. Then we will go over how the vessel is loaded, how many pots are on board, what the tankage is, what the hold, what conditions the holds are, and we will try to verify it through the stability book, with how they are loaded and make sure that they are following the stability book.

Q. I would like to focus a little on the
stability book and the size and weight of crab pots.

So, can you explain to us how you ensure that the size
and the weight of the crab pots are in accordance with
the size and weight that is allowed in the stability
book?

- A. Basically, we will ask the captain what size crab pots he is using, whether it is  $6 \times 6$ ,  $7 \times 7$ , ask him the weight. And then we will look in the book, and figure out what the book was figured with. Many a time they will have, let's just say a  $7 \times 7$  that is figured 700, 750. He might have a  $6 \times 6$ , and then, you can use that math to generally try to figure out if he is above or below the weight.
- Q. The stability books that you have seen, do you know if they add the weight of the shots of line and buoys that are --
- A. I have never really seen an actual weight calculation on that. Most of the time, if you look in the book, it will say the crab pot is  $7 \times 7$  with the line and stuff in it weighing "X" amount of pounds.
- Q. Okay. Is there any consideration regarding how many pots that could be loaded in summer or winter or icing and if so can you elaborate?
  - A. I couldn't tell you if there was really summer

- or winter. I know they usually have in the book,
- 2 | something that will say something during icing
- 3 | conditions deduct "X" amount of pots. And if you ever
- 4 | go into the negative, then you are overloaded.
- 5 | Something to that effect.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Can you explain what you mean by going into the negative?
- A. If you, let's just say the vessel had thirty

  pots on there, and he said that, or they were going out

  in icing conditions, and the book said deduct forty-
  - Q. How do you consider icing condition?

five, then he would be in the negative.

- A. Icing conditions, generally, I would consider those what the NOAA broadcast, marine broadcast was broadcasting, and the local weather reports, that, the weather conditions that they were experiencing at the time, or where they may be going.
- Q. Prior to your visits to crab boats, do you look into the weather updates before doing your exams?
- A. Yeah. Generally in the morning we will listen to the weather reports, the NOAA broadcasts, or look them up on the computer.
- Q. Can you give us an example, or have you ever had an example of a scenario where you've had to use the icing part of the stability book?

VIII-23

A. I have never had to do it to my knowledge, no.

- 2 | Every time I've been out there it's been October, it's
- 3 been usually in the forties.
- 4 Q. Have you ever witnessed the weighing of crab
- 5 pots?
- 6 A. Yes sir. We have weighed crab pots in the
- 7 past.
- 8 Q. Can you kind of describe how that's done?
- 9 A. When we had them weighed, we were usually at a
- 10 | facility, such as like Trident Seafood, or something
- 11 | like that, and they had the scales, and the cranes and
- 12 all to do so for us.
- 13 Q. When you have done the crab pots, was the gear
- 14 inside?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q. When you weighed it, did it match the
- 17 | stability books?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. What did you do after that if it didn't?
- 20 A. We recounted all the -- using the new
- 21 | calculated weight, we counted the crab pots, and they
- 22 | were still under the calculated weight.
- 23 | Q. Can you explain a little more into that?
- A. Yeah, let's say the crab pot was supposed to
- 25 | weigh seven hundred pounds and it weight seven-fifty,

we took the number of pots on board, times that by the new weight, and it was still under the calculated weight that they were, their stability book allowed.

- Q. Were the exams where you actually measured the weight, were those  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$ 
  - A. Say that again?

- Q. Yes. When you did the weight tests, did you generally start, when you came to the vessel did you normally say hey, let's do a weight test? Or did the captain of the vessel say let's do a weight test?
- A. We were asking. We were asking the captains if they were, if they had the ability to, or the facility had the ability to weigh some.
  - Q. How often do you guys ask that?
  - A. Mostly we will ask that if we have the opportunity to weigh them. We will try to get some weights just to verify. It's pretty random, it's not an every time thing. A lot of times it's after we have asked questions, are these the same pots that you had when you had the stability book done up. If they are not, have you weighed them, and you know -- and we have the ability to weigh them right now, if we do, could we? And the captain is like, sure.
  - Q. How was the response from the captain when you said they have to carry less pots?

1	A. I do not believe I was on board the vessel		
2	when I was out on the pier. So we went out and		
3	talked to them, the, one of the other petty officers		
4	was on board, they were doing the calculations. And we		
5	figured that they were within.		
6	Q. Have you ever had to write a Captain of the		
7	Port Order for a vessel because of pot weight?		
8	A. No, I have not.		
9	Q. Okay. Thank you Mr. Hazlett that completes		
10	all my questions. Please stay on the line, there are		
11	other people here that are going to ask questions to		
12	you. Thank you for your time.		
13	A. Yes sir.		
14	MR. GILLETTE: Commander, I am done with the		
15	questions.		
16	CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Gillette. Mr.		
17	Hazlett, this is Commander Muller again.		
18	THE WITNESS: How are you doing, Sir.		
19	CDR MULLER: Good, good, I have a few more		
20	questions.		
21	EXAMINATION		
22	BY CDR MULLER:		
23	Q. Just have to organize my notes, here. The,		
24	what we term spot checks, the safety and stability		

compliance checks, are those -- you said you initiate

- 1 them because, essentially you received a phone call
- 2 | from a vessel master, is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir. Or we will make contact while walking the docks.
  - Q. Okay. So you are doing dock walks, and those dock walks, is it a concerted effort? Back in the day we would call them pulse ops, or surge ops.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Okay. And when you do those, pulse ops, surge ops, spot checks, dock walks, is it only in the month of October?
  - A. As far as our office is concerned, that's the only time that we've, since I've been there, that we have gone over to help Dutch, and we go to King Cove as well. And that's the only time of year that we do. I believe in the past Dutch Harbor has continually done those checks whenever requested throughout the year.
- Q. Is there a reason why you go in October? And this is where I'm going with this.
  - A. Ah --
- Q. Well, just so you know where I'm coming from,
  to be fair, when you go in October, it doesn't
  necessarily afford the opportunity to test, or discuss,
  or bring up the issue of icing necessarily. So that is
  why I'm wondering, you know why not, have you

- considered going in the colder months, whatever months that may be?
- I believe, this is my theory as far as why it was always, we always went over in October. Was that was the start of the crab fleet's fishing. Everyone started at one time, and it overwhelms the Dutch Harbor office, to the point, you know, at times depending on how many people they had qualified and that window that you have to get those vessels done. Like I said, you have to wait 'til the captains get the vessels loaded, so that you can see them in that loaded condition. And you only have "X" amount of days, let's say three days, to try to do as many boats as you can.

After that crab boats come in and go at a pace, you know it's not the start like it is on the  $15^{\rm th}$ of October. So your vessels can come in and come and go and it is a manageable pace for the Dutch Harbor crew to handle on their own. Is that kind of what you were looking for, sir?

- Yes, thank you. Apologize for my pause. Q.
- No, that's --Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm thinking, and just writing notes down. Regarding the spot checks, that's typical good practice 23 24 for an examiner or a marine inspector as you approach the vessel to get your overall impression of vessel, 25

- and then you take a look at the water line.
- So, you mentioned, you look at the freeboard.
- 3 What constitutes in that process for you and your team
- 4 any kind of concern regarding the freeboard?
- 5 A. Generally, you are going to have a water line
- 6 | painted on the vessel and that's probably our biggest
- 7 | indicator that we look at when we are coming up, is
- 8 | where that water line, painting stripe is and or
- 9 (inaudible word) rail. And then also freeing ports are
- 10 | probably another area you would look to try to gauge
- 11 how the vessel is sitting in the water.
- 12 Q. Right. Okay. So what is your reference
- 13 | point? The main deck? The freeing ports? And are you
- 14 looking at some kind of freeboard, you know?
- 15 A. Generally, all three, you would probably look
- 16 | at all three, main deck, (inaudible word) rail, painted
- 17 | water line. You know, every vessel is a little
- 18 different, so...
- 19 Q. So, I guess what I'm getting at is, is there a
- 20 distance, or the amount of freeboard that may be
- 21 | alarming, or initiate a conversation with the master.
- 22 | I mean, is that three feet, two feet, six inches?
- 23 A. Generally, I would say six inches of freeboard
- 24 | around your water line marking, your (inaudible word)
- 25 | rail area, or, you know, whatever it would be a

- standard load. Distance of possibly the stability book
- 2 | may tell you exactly what you are looking for in that,
- 3 | right height.
- 4 Q. Are those spot checks over the years that
- 5 | you've been there, is it -- are they conducted in
- 6 | conjunction with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game?
- 7 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 8 Q. I apologize if I, in the beginning, you
- 9 mentioned some of the quals you held, did it include t-
- 10 | boats small passenger vessel?
- 11 A. No sir.
- 12 Q. By any chance, because you are in the
- 13 | Inspections Department at your Sector, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And how many years have you been at the unit?
- 16 A. Three.
- 17 Q. Okay. By any chance, I just want to -- do you
- 18 | recall about five years now, maybe six years now, there
- 19 was the small passenger vessel stability review. It
- 20 essentially involved the Reg change to update the
- 21 assumed passenger weight? Were you ever involved in
- 22 any of those projects in the past?
- 23 A. No sir.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever personally done a
- 25 | commercial fishing vessel exam on the Destination?

- A. I could not say for sure. I was on the trip

  out to Sand Point when Petty Officer Brinkley did the

  exam in 2014. I very well could have been on the

  vessel with her, but I cannot recall for sure.
  - Q. Did she work for you, was she part of your staff, or was she part of a different staff?
    - A. Yes, she worked with me as my assistant.
  - Q. Who did the MISLE activity work?
    - A. I believe she did on that vessel.
  - Q. Do you recall if anybody reviewed it and closed it other than her?
- 12 A. I do not recall. No.

- Q. What is the practice in your office in terms of reviewing and closing, activities, in terms of review? How many tiers of review?
- A. With her being my assistant, she pretty much opened and closed her own cases. She was a very thorough person, and she helped a lot with the training of the people here at our office. If we get a new person onboard, they would do the MISLE and then it would come to either her or I, whoever was available to review the case, and then we would close the case after we reviewed it.
- Q. Understood, thank you. One final question, I want to get a little bit more understanding about this

- 1 process regarding the weighing of the pots. In those
- 2 occasions when you had an opportunity to weigh them,
- 3 | when was the last time you weighed them?
- A. That was probably, me personally, it was 2014,
- 5 | I believe, maybe 2015.
- 6 Q. Okay, so a few years ago?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. I have no further questions, thank you.
- 9 CDR MULLER: Mr. Karr, NTSB, do you have any
- 10 questions?

### 11 EXAMINATION

- 12 BY MR. KARR:
- Q. Mr. Hazlett, have you served under your
- 14 license as a 100-ton master?
- 15 A. No sir.
- Q. Have you sent Sector Anchorage or Dutch Harbor
- 17 | personnel to Trident Seafoods to do spot checks and
- 18 examine vessels? That's Trident Seafoods on St. Paul?
- 19 A. Can you say that again? You are echoing.
- 20 Q. Have you -- we are going to move the
- 21 | speakerphone down to me to make for better
- 22 | communication. Have you sent fishing vessel examiners
- 23 to the Trident Plant in St. Paul to conduct spot checks
- 24 or dockside exams?
- 25 A. I've been out to St. Paul myself to do exams

- but most of them were done in the summertime, in May.
- I -- I don't believe any of our examiners have gone out
  to St. Paul for the crab fleet, no.
- Q. Based on what you've heard or what you've

  experienced, personally, when you speak with the crab

  boat captains or crew, what is the most common

  knowledge gap that your office has experienced when

  examining the crab vessels?

- A. That's a hard to answer, I mean, every captain is different, every knowledge base is different. They are very knowledgeable. I would say most of them are very professional and have a great knowledge of their field of employment.
- Q. When you have spoken to the crab boat captains or crew, or your, or what you've heard from your folks that work for you, do you have a sense of what your perception of the urgency and the stress faced by the opilio crabbers?
- A. I wouldn't, no we really don't get to deal with them during the opilio crab season, as far as myself, or my office. Like I said, most of the time that we are interacting with them is at the start of red King crab season.
- Q. When you refer to a dock walk, what is the mission of the dock walk?

- A. Basically to get out, gain some visibility,

  make sure that we let the captains know that we are in

  town, and we are coming out any time they want us on

  the vessel to do an examination or a spot check. Try

  to get on as many vessels as we can.
  - Q. And can you describe what you do when you and your staff are on vessels?
    - A. Say that one more time, please?

- Q. Can you describe what you and your staff do when you are on vessels? Is your sole purpose and focus to complete the exam and leave? Or do you promote any other safety initiatives?
- A. Yes sir, we, a lot of times we will take out a notice, NAVICs, safety bulletins, hand out, we all the time are handing out different items that we are trying to get out as far as information, such as flyers information bulletins, sometimes we hand out books with stuff that we feel it pertinent to get the information out to the captains and the crew.
- Q. And my last question is, you know, what your job -- here's the question, in addition to the dockside exams and spot checks, do your fishing vessel work tasks involve any other contacts or meetings with fishermen or the boat owners?
- A. We do regular commercial fishing vessel safety

exams if that's what you are saying.

- Q. Are there any other meetings you attend?
- A. Not so much on that trip there, to Dutch
  Harbor, we don't really attend any meetings there. But
  in other places, in other fisheries, yes we normally do
  attend, if they have a fisherman's meeting we will
  attend that. I'm sure Dutch Harbor probably attends
  meetings with the crab fisherman's associations. But I
  do not believe or recall that we have ever attended one
  out at Dutch or King Cove. Mostly because we are
  probably not there when that meeting is happening.
  - Q. Well, I'm just talking about in general to promote fishing vessel safety. Are there any type of meetings where, specifically, have you been invited to attend and speak on fishing vessel safety?
  - A. Yes, sir. I've gone to some here in Anchorage. I go to the Northern Pacific Regional Fishery Show occasionally.
  - Q. And what's the message that you, you know, can you specifically tell me what points you want to get across to those people that you speak or meet with?
  - A. It varies from time to time. Usually it is a broad spectrum depending on what's going on with our field. Say a couple years ago when the mandatory exams were coming out, and all the new changes to the

- 1 regulations, we were promoting those.
- 2 We were working with NIOSH several times,
- 3 | trying to promote the wearing of PFD's, Personal
- 4 Floatation Devices. If we are working with the salmon
- fisheries, then we are usually dealing with things that
- 6 | pertain to them, if we are up in (inaudible word) we
- 7 | are dealing with the open skiffs, and trying to get
- 8 | those guys in compliance with the regulations that they
- 9 are supposed to meet.
- 10 Q. Thank you Mr. Hazlett. I have no more
- 11 questions.
- 12 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak
- 13 do you have any further questions?
- MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
- 15 CDR MULLER: Let me check in --
- MR. GILLETTE: No questions.
- 17 CDR MULLER: Okay. Mr. Hazlett, this
- 18 | concludes the question that we have for you this
- 19 morning. Before I release you as a witness, is there
- 20 any information or issues that you think the Marine
- 21 | Board should consider? Any topics that, perhaps were
- 22 | not otherwise raised this morning?
- 23 | THE WITNESS: No sir, not at this time.
- 24 | CDR MULLER: Very well Mr. Hazlett, thank you
- 25 | for your time. I will now release you as a witness. I

```
1
    just need to read the statement. You are now released
    as a witness at this Marine Board of Investigation,
2
    thank you for your testimony and cooperation. If I
3
    later determine that this Board needs additional
4
5
    information from you, we will contact you.
              If you have any questions about this
6
7
    investigation, you may contact the Marine Board
    Recorder, LCDR Mendoza. Thank you again.
8
9
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
              CDR MULLER: Good day.
10
11
              THE WITNESS: All right.
              CDR MULLER: Okay, we are going to recess for
12
    ten minutes, thank you.
13
         (Whereupon a ten minute recess was taken.)
14
              CDR MULLER: Good morning again. This hearing
15
    will come to order. We will now hear testimony from
16
    Ms. Westphal. Ms. Westphal are you there,
17
    telephonically?
18
              THE WITNESS: Yes, this is Miranda.
19
```

20 CDR MULLER: Yes, good morning Miranda.

21 THE WITNESS: Good morning.

22 CDR MULLER: Commander Scott Muller here.

23 Pleasure to speak with you again.

24 THE WITNESS: Nice to speak with you too,

25 Commander Muller.

```
1
              CDR MULLER: So before we get stated with
    administering the oath, I just want to give you a little
2
    awareness of, because you are on a conference call here,
3
4
    speakerphone and I just want to give you some awareness
    of who we have in the room here.
5
              So, as you know, we are calling from Seattle,
6
7
    Washington. We are conducting the Marine Board
8
    Investigation into the sinking of the fishing vessel
    Destination. In the room we have members of the Board
9
    who, including myself as chair, Mr. James Gillette and
10
    Lieutenant Commander Mendoza. We also have, from the
11
12
    National Transportation Safety Board, Mr. Mike Karr. We
    have a representative from the party-in-interest, which
13
    is the vessel's owner.
              This is a public hearing and it is being
15
    recorded. Also be aware that we have members also
16
    participating from the public, including family and
17
    friends of the crew of the fishing vessel Destination.
18
              I understand you, I think you are calling from
19
    -- well, where are you calling from, ma'am?
20
              THE WITNESS: I am calling from Dutch Harbor,
21
    Alaska.
22
              CDR MULLER: You are, okay, I knew one of you
23
24
    was perhaps not in Dutch Harbor today. So you are
```

calling from Dutch Harbor. Are you in a place where you

```
1
    can answer our questions?
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2
3
              CDR MULLER: All right, thank you. LCDR
   Mendoza will now administer the oath.
4
5
              LCDR MENDOZA: Ma'am, could you please stand
6
    and raise your right hand.
7
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
8
                            WITNESS
9
                        MIRANDA WESTPHAL
              A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
10
    was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and
11
    testified as follows:
12
              THE WITNESS: I swear.
13
              LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated.
14
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15
              LCDR MENDOZA: Would you please state your
16
    full name and spell your last name, for the record?
17
              THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Miranda
18
19
    Westphal. My last name is spelled, W-E-S-T-P-H-A-L.
              LCDR MENDOZA: Could you state your current
20
    employment and position title?
21
              THE WITNESS: I work for the Alaska
22
23
    Department of Fish & Game in the fisheries biologistry
    that acts as the area management biologist for Bering
    Sea and Aleutian Islands.
25
```

```
1
              LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional
    licenses or certificates?
2
3
              THE WITNESS: I am Peace Officer for the State
4
    of Alaska.
5
              LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you, ma'am.
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
6
              CDR MULLER: Okay, good morning again, Ms.
7
    Westphal, Commander Muller here.
8
9
              THE WITNESS: Good morning.
              CDR MULLER: So we are now going to hand you
10
    over to Mr. Jim Gillette who will start off with some
11
    questions that we have for you this morning.
12
              THE WITNESS: All right, sounds good.
13
              CDR MULLER: Mr. Gillette?
14
              MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Commander.
15
                          EXAMINATION
16
   BY MR. GILLETTE:
17
         Q. Good morning Ms. Westphal my name is James
18
    Gillette, with the United States Coast Guard. Do you
19
   hear me well over the phone?
20
         A. You are a little faint, but I can hear you Mr.
21
22
    Gillette.
         Q. Okay, we are moving the phone over a little
23
    closer to me. How do I sound now?
```

25

Α.

Sorry, try again?

```
Q. Do I sound clear?
```

- 2 A. Yes, yes, I can hear you loud and clear.
- Q. Okay, thank you. You also sound clear.
- A. Good.

- Q. If at any time you don't understand a question that is asked, just request that the question be readdressed or rephrased.
- If at any time you need to take a recess

  please ask. Also remember, to speak up loud and clear

  to assist the court reporter to transcribe the hearing.

  With that said, I would like to start asking questions.
- Can you start by telling us where your main office is located? Where your --
- A. My main office, my duty station is Dutch
  Harbor, Alaska.
- Q. Can you share with us how many people you have working with you?
- A. We have five full-time staff, year round staff. And then about fifteen seasonal staff that come in for the crab season.
- Q. Can you share, or can you talk to us about
  what the Alaska Fish & Game Division of commercial
  fisheries do, and what areas are you responsible for?
- A. Sure. The Alaska Department of Fish & Game
  Division of Commercial Fishery is responsible for, there

- 1 are two facets to the Division of Commercial Fisheries, one is research, and the other is management. 2
- I work on the management side of the Division 3 4 of Commercial Fisheries, where we are responsible for managing any commercial fishing effort that happens in 5 the state waters of Alaska, which is 0 to 3 nautical 6 miles of the shoreline of Alaska.

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 8 My Division, the area of management (inaudible word) is for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, is 9 responsible for the commercial fishing that happens 10 inside the state waters of Alaska. 11
  - In addition to my job duties regarding state waters, I'm also responsible for managing the Federal Crab Fisheries, which is Bering Sea Snow Crab, Bering Sea Tanner Crab, Bristol Bay Red King Crab, and Aleutian Island (inaudible word) King Crab. So that is a mandate from the Federal Government that the state manages the fisheries on their behalf.
  - Thank you. Does the Alaska Department of Fish Q. & Game have any work relations with the Coast Guard? And if so, could you talk about what those are?
- We don't, we don't have daily interaction with 22 23 the Coast Guard. We do we have a Marine Safety Detail 24 here in town, in Dutch Harbor, that interacts with the same fleets that we do. So we have some, especially for 25

- 1 our crab fleet, which are our federal fisheries that we
- 2 manage, we have some regulations with regard to
- 3 | contacting the Coast Guard.
- But on a day to day basis, we don't have much
- 5 | interaction with the Coast Guard. Although we know that
- 6 they do interact with the same vessels that we do.
- 7 Q. I am now going to show Exhibit No. 241, page
- 8 | seven. This is an Alaska Department of Fish and Game
- 9 General Provisions. Do you have this Exhibit in front
- 10 of you?
- 11 A. I do, yes sir.
- 12 Q. Okay. Can you talk to us about what these
- 13 provisions are?
- 14 A. Sure. These provisions actually start on the
- 15 page prior to this; it is Alaska Administrative Code
- 16 | 539.760, which is the Bering Sea Aleutian Islands
- 17 | individual fishing quota, IFQ Fisheries Management Plan.
- So these are the regulations that outline how
- 19 | the state is to manage and how -- and run the Federal
- 20 IFQ Crab Fishery.
- 21 Q. Can you --
- 22 A. So -- yes?
- Q. Go ahead, please?
- 24 A. No, no, no, I was pretty much done.
- 25 Q. If you needed to add anything on there, please

- 1 add it.
- 2 A. Okay. I was just going to say, so these are
- 3 the rules that we follow for the Federal IFQ Crab
- 4 Fishery.
- 5 Q. Okay, thank you. Could you read out loud
- 6 | number seven, that's on the right hand side of the page?
- 7 A. Um-hmm. It says, "An operator of a vessel
- 8 participating in an IFQ, CDQ, or ADAC community
- 9 | allocation crab fishery in the Bering Sea, Aleutian
- 10 Islands area must notify the United States Coast Guard
- 11 at least twenty-four hours before departing port, when
- 12 carrying crab pot gear."
- Q. Can you first define what IFQ and CDQ are for
- 14 us?
- 15 A. Yes. IFO is Individual Fishing Quota. And
- 16 CDQ is Community Development Quota. They are different
- 17 allocations that are given, that are determined by the
- 18 | state through the stock assessment which -- so the
- 19 Federal Stock Assessment go through a state harvest
- 20 | strategy, which determined the quotas that are given
- 21 out. Either to individuals, so the IFQ, or to CDQ
- 22 groups, which is Community Development Groups over all
- 23 Alaska corporations.
- Q. Thank you. In reference to number seven, can
- 25 you elaborate a little more in detail of what provision

- seven is about? What are the expectations for the notification of the Coast Guard?
- A. I'm sorry Mr. Gillette, I didn't hear the question.
- Q. Yes. Can you elaborate a little more in detail of what provision seven is about, and what are the expectations of the Coast Guard?
- A. So, this is a regulation that we have, we
  meaning, here in Dutch Harbor our Marine Safety
  Detachment that is here, they switch out annually. So
  annually meet with the Coast Guard, whoever is in charge
  for that year, to determine how they want us to handle
  this regulation.
  - Generally our expectation for the vessels, when we are on the vessels, inspecting them and registering them, both for their, you know, allowed to go out fishing. We let them know that if they are carrying gear they need to contact the Coast Guard.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My presumption with this regulation was it was so that the Coast Guard could ensure that they have an approved stability plan in place. Or if not, that they would have an opportunity to visit the boat to make sure that all their paperwork with the Coast Guard is in order.

Q. Does the Alaska Department of Fish & Game

- 1 track or verify that the vessel participates, actually
- 2 notify the Coast Guard? And if so, can you elaborate
- 3 about that?
- A. Yes. We don't verify it. Our personnel that
- 5 | go out, directed to the boat, they have a checklist of
- 6 things that they need to make sure either -- they have
- 7 | items where the boat has to (inaudible word) them, prior
- 8 to registering, or whether they are just reminder items
- 9 for the vessel. And this is one of those items that's a
- 10 reminder item, if you have gear on board, please contact
- 11 the Coast Guard twenty-four hours before you plan to
- 12 leave. Is how we (inaudible word) that regulation.
- Q. Are you aware if the Coast Guard keeps records
- 14 | when operators notify them according to the Alaska
- 15 requirement?
- 16 A. I'm not --
- 17 Q. Go ahead.
- 18 A. No, I'm sorry, I'm not aware if they keep
- 19 records or not.
- Q. Okay. Can you repeat again, like when you
- 21 | meet with the Marine Safety Detachment? Is that a
- 22 | specific time of year? Or do you guys have a --
- 23 A. It's generally sometime in August or September
- 24 | it's not a specific time of year. When the, you know,
- 25 they switch over their personnel sometime in August,

- 1 | it's kind of staggered.
- 2 So when we, when, you know, we -- they are
- 3 | just downstairs from our office. You know, and we find
- 4 that there is a new person in charge down there, then we
- 5 | will arrange for a meeting.
- 6 For this season we just had a meeting last
- 7 | week, last Friday. But it can vary. But we do meet
- 8 | with them before October, before the beginning of our
- 9 crab season.
- 10 Q. What items are discussed in the meeting with
- 11 the Marine Safety Detachment?
- 12 A. Well, it is an informal meeting. We usually
- 13 go downstairs to their office and sit down with them.
- 14 Generally, you know, we explain how -- what we do, and
- 15 how our registration process works. We let them know
- 16 that they will probably be getting contacted by the
- 17 vessels.
- We explain to them our Regs, the ones that
- 19 | concern the Coast Guard, and how we handle them. And
- 20 | see if there is any adjustments they'd like us to make,
- 21 or anything additional they would like us to talk to the
- 22 | boats about. Just sort of getting on the same page for
- 23 the season.
- Q. In reference to the crab boats, can you talk a
- 25 little about your vessel inspection on board, and kind

- of elaborate in detail what are covered by those inspections?
- 3 A. Sure. So with, for our regulations we are
- 4 mainly concerned that they have, their gear is in
- 5 | compliance, and that the vessel has their paperwork in
- 6 order, essentially. So before the season opener we
- 7 | register the boats twenty-four hours before the fishery
- 8 opens. But the week prior to that, we do vessel
- 9 inspections for the vessels if they'd like.
- 10 So with those vessel inspections, we will
- 11 check to make sure, we will check a certain number of
- 12 pots to make sure they are in compliance with the
- 13 fishery that they are going to be registering for. If
- 14 | not we make suggestions, and we need to see that their
- 15 pots or their gear is in compliance before they are
- 16 allowed to register.
- 17 We make sure there are no other vessel's gear
- on board. So, the vessels are allowed to share gear.
- 19 But at the beginning of the season we like to make sure
- 20 everybody has their gear.
- 21 We check to make sure that their CFEC, tribal
- 22 (inaudible few word), the CFEC is the Commercial
- 23 Fisheries Entry Commission, the limited entry fisheries.
- 24 | So we make sure their tribal vessel sticker is intact,
- 25 and that they have the appropriate cards for the

- 1 fisheries they are going to be prosecuting.
- 2 And then we make sure that they have their VMS
- on board and it is on. And that the U.S. Coast Guard's
- 4 | vessel safety decal is current. And then we give them
- 5 | the reminder to contact the Coast Guard twenty-four
- 6 hours before departing port.
- 7 Q. You mentioned VMS, what is that?
- 8 A. Yeah, their Vessel Monitoring System. It is a
- 9 | federal monitoring system that all the vessels
- 10 participating in the crab fisheries are required to
- 11 have.
- 12 Q. Can you elaborate a little on detail of what,
- 13 exactly, that is?
- 14 A. Um-hmm. So the Vessel Monitoring System, or
- 15 the VMS is mandated by the Federal Government. So this
- 16 is a system that is just generally only on the boats
- 17 that fish in federal fisheries. It sends out pings at
- 18 certain time intervals that can be tracked online. So
- 19 | we can monitor where that boat is fishing.
- Q. Are you aware if the Destination had that on
- 21 | their vessel?
- 22 A. Yes, I am aware they had that on their vessel.
- Q. Okay. When you do inspections on board, do
- 24 you guys also check the hold tanks as well?
- 25 A. We do not for the rationalized fisheries. We

- check the tanks for the non-rationalized fisheries, for our state water fisheries only.
- Q. So a vessel like the Destination, you wouldn't be checking their hold tanks?
- 5 A. No sir.
- 6 Q. Okay. How are these inspections scheduled?
- 7 A. They are scheduled, the -- generally, the
  8 captain or the person responsible for the vessel will
  9 contact our office and schedule a (inaudible word)
  10 inspection, prior to the fishing season.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- A. So they will usually to call and schedule, you know, different vessels at different docks for during the day, and then we send personnel out to inspect that boat at the different docks.
- Q. You mentioned some certificates. Does the vessel get any type of certificate or permit from your office after receiving the inspection?
- 19 A. They do. They get, and it is a -- what do we 20 call it -- a pre-registration inspection certificate.
- 21 And they turn that in to us when they register.
- Q. Okay. Are your inspectors trained -- go ahead.
- 24 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Oh, I was just going to say it 25 is not required though. The vessels, this is just an

- 1 optional pre-fishery inspection. We can wait until the
- 2 | time of registration, and then they don't receive their
- 3 | certificate if we are just registering them at that
- 4 time. This is just sort of to get all the vessels
- 5 inspected before we need to register them for the
- 6 fishery.
- 7 We extend that as a courtesy so the vessels
- 8 | don't have to wait around as long on the day of
- 9 registration. But if we inspect them at time of
- 10 registration, then they don't receive a certificate. So
- 11 | it just sort of depends on how the vessel wants to --
- 12 Q. What's the gain of getting that certificate?
- 13 A. That -- so we can't register the vessel, by
- 14 law, until twenty-four hours before the fishery opens.
- 15 So if they get inspected beforehand, it saves them time
- on registration day, we can register them, and let them
- 17 | go. Then they can start traveling to the grounds
- 18 earlier in the day if they get inspected beforehand.
- 19 Q. Do you know if the Destination was pre-
- 20 registered?
- 21 A. I don't believe they were.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Let me flip through those, so I can tell you.
- 24 Just a second. It appears to me that they were
- 25 inspected at the time of registration, for the Red King

- 1 Crab. For yeah, it looks like both times they were
- 2 inspected at the time of registration, so they would not
- 3 | have received a certificate.
- Q. When you said both times, you said, one Red
- 5 King Crab, what's the other time?
- A. Um-hmm, when they registered for Snow Crab.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. (Inaudible word) Snow Crab.
- 9 Q. Okay, thank you. Are your inspectors trained
- 10 to evaluate vessel stability or lifesaving and machinery
- 11 equipment? And if so, can you elaborate about that?
- 12 A. No sir.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. No sir.
- Q. Do you guys have any type of enforcement -- if
- 16 you guys find any problems on board that, any type of
- 17 | mechanism to keep the vessel from departing?
- 18 A. Yes, so we, if they have, if they don't have a
- 19 CFEC vessel license, vessel triangle sticker is the
- 20 | vessel license. If they don't have (inaudible word),
- 21 | the CFEC card, if their vessel is not compliant, or if
- 22 | they don't have a valid and current U.S. Coast Guard
- 23 | safety decal we will not register them for the fishery.
- So they will need to bring those things into
- 25 | compliance before we are able to register them.

1 Q. Okay. Thank you Ms. Westphal. These are all the questions I have for you. Please stay on the line, 2 3 others are going to ask you questions. 4 Α. All right. 5 MR. GILLETTE: Commander, those are all the questions I have for Ms. Westphal. 6 7 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Gillette. Ms. Westphal, Commander Muller here again? Can you hear me? 8 THE WITNESS: I can, yes Commander Muller. 9 CDR MULLER: Okay. 10 11 EXAMINATION BY CDR MULLER: 12 I just have two follow-up questions regarding 13 the twenty-four hour notification. 14 Α. Sure. 15 Should I call it a rule or Reg? 16 Q. Α. Regulation. 17 Okay. So that's an Alaska regulation. Is it 18 Q. specifically Alaska Department of Fish & Game 19 regulation? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 I'm trying to appreciate or get a grasp of the expected number of calls. When reading this regulation 23

that the Coast Guard may receive throughout the season,

the crabbing season throughout the winter. As I read it

24

- 1 | the operators must call the Coast Guard at least twenty-
- 2 | four hours before departing port when carrying crab pot
- 3 | gear. That must mean, you know, across so many fishery
- 4 harvest seasons.
- 5 A. Um-hmm.
- 6 Q. I got, I would imagine that crabbers may
- 7 | arrive and depart port on several occasions within a
- 8 season. Not all the time, but with crab pots on board.
- 9 A. Um-hmm.
- 10 Q. So, I mean, did -- can you give me an estimate
- 11 | let's say of how many times a boat would, in executing
- 12 this Reg would have to call the Coast Guard?
- A. Sure. I can speak in generalities, I can't
- 14 put --
- 15 Q. That would be fine.
- 16 A. -- any vessel. So generally we have one fleet
- of vessels, of about seventy vessels that fishes each of
- 18 the crab fisheries. And they fish them in order. So
- 19 generally, when the boats are ready to leave for Red
- 20 King Crab, on October 14<sup>th</sup> that is generally the first
- 21 | fishery that gets prosecuted due to marketing.
- 22 So when all the boats come in, I would say
- 23 | ninety-eight percent of them are registering for Red
- 24 King Crab, and they, all have their gear on board, since
- 25 | that's the first fishery of the season.

Once they are done fishing Red King Crab, if
there is a Tanner Crab season, they will generally
progress to Tanner Crab fishing, then Snow Crab fishing
after that. Generally, most vessels don't bring their
gear back in until they are all done fishing for the

So when they are transitioning between fisheries, the gear is generally left on the grounds in a storage condition. Which means the doors are open and it is unbaited.

And then they'll come in to town, offload, reregister, and go back out with no gear on board. So, it is -- I wouldn't say it is unusual, but it is not common for a boat to come in, in between fisheries with their gear on board. It is generally left in the water.

- Q. Okay, thank you that was very helpful.
- 17 A. Sure.

year.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Does the ADF&G, your office, is there a way to
  enforce that Reg? And have you had occasion or
  possibility, has it ever been done before? Is there a
  penalty associated with it, in other words?
- 22 A. For the Coast Guard notification?
- Q. Right.
- A. No. So my understanding from, so I've been out here for three years, you know, not in my current

- position, but working in this office with the crab fleet
- 2 for about three years now. It is my understanding that
- 3 the Coast Guard has no companion regulations to our item
- 4 number seven in this regulation.
- 5 So generally, you know, we don't -- we work at
- 6 the advice of the Coast Guard, seeing (inaudible few
- 7 | words). So we generally see how they want to handle it.
- 8 Most, I would say all of the personnel in charge that I
- 9 have dealt with my time out here, have requested that we
- 10 really push for the vessels to call in during Red King
- 11 Crab, but then not so much afterwards. Just because,
- 12 generally, the vessels don't have gear on board.
- 13 Although we still remind the vessels if we see
- 14 | gear on board that they need to contact the Coast Guard.
- 15 But it is not a gear that -- or it is not a regulation
- 16 that we actively enforce. So we don't follow-up to see
- 17 | if they actually have contacted the Coast Guard. We
- 18 just use it as a reminder opportunity.
- 19 We do though, you know, the regulations that
- 20 | follows that, number eighty. We do enforce that one.
- 21 | So we will not let a vessel go out fishing if they don't
- 22 have a current safety decal from the Coast Guard.
- Q. Okay, thank you.
- A. That one will get enforced by us. Sure.
- Q. Right, right. Okay thank you. I have no

1 further questions.

2 CDR MULLER: Mr. Karr, NTSB?

3 EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. KARR:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- 5 Q. During the opilio crab season can you describe 6 how you operate? Do you have a 24/7 operation?
- A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the question, what do you mean as far as operation?
  - Q. You have five regular employees plus fifteen part-time employees during the crab season, so I'd like to get a feel for what the work day is like. And if you have like an operations center that is --
    - A. Oh sure, sure I can go over that. So, in our office we have two different kinds of sampling that goes on, biological sampling that happens. One are at sea observers. Our observers are all contractors, so I didn't count them in the total of how many employees we have, they are not -- they are contracted by the state.

We have (inaudible word), though, that are state employees that go over their data, and track their work. And then we also have dockside samplers that sample, every (inaudible word) vessel may pull in. We have dockside samplers that are stationed in King Cove, Akutan, Dutch Harbor and St. Paul, which is where the main processing plants are. Dockside samplers will

- 1 sample around the clock. So no matter when a boat comes
- 2 in, if it is a boat that needs to be sampled, regardless
- 3 of the time, they will go sample it.
- In general, here in Dutch Harbor, our office
- 5 hours are 8 to 4:30, Alaska Time. But if we need to
- 6 | work outside of that, we do during the season. So we
- 7 | always have telephones that are, that can be contacted,
- 8 | we have a contact number on our answering machine. So
- 9 | we don't -- aren't in the office 24/7, but are available
- 10 24/7 should we be needed. Does that answer your
- 11 question?
- 12 Q. It does, thank you.
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. Now you, as the manager for the Federal Crab
- 15 Fishery, can you describe how safety plays a role in
- 16 managing the crab quotas?
- 17 A. Sure. So these, in 2005 these fisheries were
- 18 rationalized. Prior to that it was a derby style
- 19 fishery. Which, you know, I believe was unsafe because
- 20 | it encourages people to go out -- you know, fisherman to
- 21 go out and fish as quickly as they can, and get as much
- 22 as they can before the quota runs out.
- So in 2005 it has gone to a quota system. So
- 24 | vessel are free to fish -- for Red King Crab they can
- 25 fish anywhere from October 15th through January 15<sup>th</sup>.

1 Snow Crabs can be fished, October 15th through May 31st.

- 2 So the vessels, you know, can fish their quota anytime
- 3 | they'd like during the season. There's no restrictions
- 4 or requirements from our behalf.
- As far as Fish & Game is concerned, you know,
- 6 our main directive is to protect the stock, and manage
- 7 | for the benefit of the stock, and the people that rely
- 8 on that stock for their livelihood, the people of
- 9 Alaska. We, you know, as far as vessel safety, as a
- 10 | concern, that is not really Fish & Game's role in
- 11 managing these fisheries.
- We do our best with the Regs that we have to
- 13 rely on other agencies for safety of the vessels. But
- 14 as far as we are concerned, we are more concerned with
- 15 the biological aspects of harvesting.
- Q. Thank you. Have you heard concerns from
- 17 captains or boat owners, with regard to working within
- 18 | the current crab management regulations?
- A. No, sir not that's been expressed to me.
- Q. Thanks. I have no more questions.
- 21 CDR MULLER: Than you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak?
- MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
- 23 CDR MULLER: So Ms. Westphal that concludes
- 24 | the questions that we have for you this morning. Before
- 25 | I release you as a witness, is there any information

```
1 that you would like to provide for consideration of the
```

- 2 | Board? Perhaps elements that were not discussed this
- 3 morning?
- 4 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, sorry. I
- 5 | feel like actually it was pretty thorough as to what our
- 6 | role is, and what we do. I'd be happy if there are
- 7 questions later, if needed.
- 8 CDR MULLER: Okay, thank you. So you are now
- 9 | released as a witness at this Marine Board
- 10 Investigation. Thank you for your testimony and
- 11 | cooperation. If I later determine that this Board needs
- 12 additional information we will contact you. If you have
- 13 any questions about this investigation, you may contact
- 14 the Marine Board Recorder, LCDR Pedro Mendoza. Thank
- 15 | you again.
- 16 THE WITNESS: You are welcome. Thank you
- 17 Commander Muller.
- CDR MULLER: All right, take care. We will
- 19 take a five minute recess to prep for our next
- 20 telephonic witness, thank you.
- 21 (Whereupon a five minute recess was taken.)
- 22 CDR MULLER: Good morning, this hearing will
- 23 | come to order. We would like to now hear from our next
- 24 | witness Mr. Prennace. Mr. Prennace are you there?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes sir, I am.

CDR MULLER: Yeah hi, good morning, this is Commander Scott Muller.

THE WITNESS: Good morning Commander Muller.

4 CDR MULLER: Yeah. Good to speak with you

5 again.

6 THE WITNESS: You as well.

CDR MULLER: So before we get rolling with the questions and administer the oath, I just want to give you some awareness of the environment, who we have in this room because we have you on speakerphone.

As you are aware, we are calling from Seattle, Washington. And we are conducting a Marine Board Investigation into the sinking of the fishing vessel Destination. In the room we have members of the Marine Board, including myself as chair, Mr. Jim Gillette, and LCDR Mendoza. The National Transportation Safety Board is also here, represented by Mr. Mike Karr. We also have a representative here from the Party-in-Interest, the vessel's owner is the Party-in-Interest.

This is a public hearing and it is being recorded. Also be aware that we have a number of persons here from the public including family and friends of crew of the fishing vessel Destination. Where are you calling from, sir?

THE WITNESS: I am in, currently in Seldovia,

1	Alaska.
2	CDR MULLER: Okay. Are you in a place where
3	you can answer our questions?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
5	CDR MULLER: Okay, thank you. LCDR Mendoza if
6	you could administer the oath.
7	LCDR MENDOZA: Please stand and raise your
8	right hand.
9	WITNESS
10	SIMON DANIEL PRENNACE
11	SAMPLER ALASKA DEPARTMENT of FISH & GAME
12	A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
13	was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and
14	testified as follows:
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
16	LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Would you
17	please state your full name and spell your last name for
18	the record.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Simon Daniel
20	Prennace, my last name is spelled, P-R-E-N-N-A-C-E.
21	LCDR MENDOZA: Please state your current
22	employment and position title?
23	THE WITNESS: My current employment and
24	position title is Dockside Sampler for the Alaska
25	Department of Fish & Game, which is a Fish and Wildlife

- 1 Technician III position.
- 2 LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional
- 3 licenses or certificates?
- 4 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
- 5 LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you, Sir.
- 6 CDR MULLER: Okay, thank you. So Mr.
- 7 Prennace, I will now hand the mic over to Mr. Jim
- 8 | Gillette who will initiate some of the questions we have
- 9 for you this morning.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 11 CDR MULLER: Mr. Gillette.
- MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Commander.
- 13 EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. GILLETTE:
- 15 Q. Good morning Mr. Prennace, my name is James
- 16 | Gillette of the United States Coast Guard. Can you hear
- 17 | me clearly over the phone?
- 18 A. Yes, I do. Good morning Mr. Gillette.
- 19 Q. Good morning, and I hear you clearly as well.
- 20 | If at any time you don't understand a question that is
- 21 asked, just request that the question be readdressed or
- 22 rephrased.
- 23 If at any time you need to take a recess
- 24 | please ask. Also remember, to speak up loud and clear
- 25 to assist the court reporter to transcribe the hearing.

- 1 With that said, I would like to start asking questions.
- 2 Mr. Prennace can you share with us how long
- 3 | you have worked for the Alaska Department of Fish & Game
- 4 and tell us exactly what it is that your position -- you
- 5 hold?
- A. Yes. I have worked for the Alaska Department
- 7 of Fish & Game since 1998. The position that I hold
- 8 | currently, I have done several jobs with the Alaska
- 9 Department of Fish & Game. But currently I work as a
- 10 crab dockside sampler, which basically entails
- 11 registering vessels prior to the crab fishing season.
- 12 And then collecting that data for the actual fishery
- 13 which includes statistical information to be used to
- 14 manage the fishery.
- 15 Q. Where is your main office located?
- A. My main office is located in Dutch Harbor,
- 17 Alaska.
- Q. Can you also share with us the other islands
- 19 | that you may go to to perform your duties?
- 20 A. Yes. I am often called to work in remote
- 21 ports, which are located in: King Cove, Alaska; Akutan,
- 22 Alaska; and St. Paul, Alaska.
- Q. Okay, thank you. We provided you with some
- 24 exhibits, do you have those in front of you?
- 25 A. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. The first one I'm going to show is

- 2 Exhibit 241, Page 1. This is an Alaska Department of
- 3 | Fish & Game, General Provisions Shell Fish Vessel
- 4 Registration. Do you have that in front of you?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can you talk to us about what the purpose is
- 7 behind this registration?
- 8 A. The purpose for the registration is basically
- 9 | for the Alaska Department of Fish & Game to maintain a
- 10 current list of which vessels are registered for each
- 11 fishery throughout the season. And that information is
- 12 called upon by various entities and agencies to
- determine what the current fishing effort is throughout
- 14 a season.
- Q. Can you share with us what items are listed on
- 16 | the registration?
- A. Yes. Generally the items listed on the
- 18 registration are the permit holder's name, and address,
- 19 and contact information, phone numbers for both the
- 20 | vessel and dockside telephone numbers. The vessel's
- 21 | length, overall length, the fishery in which, fishery,
- 22 | crab species which will be harvested, as well as the
- 23 | location in which it will be, they will be conducting
- 24 | the fishing.
- 25 And then at the bottom of the registration is

- certification of the date that the vessel was registered
- 2 for the fishery, signed by myself as well as the permit
- 3 holder.
- Q. On page one, can you tell us which vessel is
- 5 listed on this registration?
- A. On page one, the vessel is the Destination.
- 7 Q. Okay. And can you also tell us which
- 8 effective date and end dates are listed?
- 9 A. Okay, the date, sorry, I believe this is the
- 10 Red King Crab one that we are referring to, is that
- 11 correct? In Exhibit 241?
- 12 Q. That is correct. If you could just tell us
- 13 the dates that are listed, and also share with us how
- 14 many pots are listed on there. If you could just talk
- 15 to us a little about the specific one that you are
- 16 looking at.
- 17 A. Okay. So the date that the registration
- 18 occurred was 10-14 of 2016 and the total number of pots
- 19 is two hundred pots. And ten of those are indicated as
- 20 fishing for bait fish, cod fish pots.
- Q. Okay. And then also, can you kind of explain
- 22 where it talks about the total of pots, can you talk
- 23 about that row. And then also, what it is referring to
- 24 as far as ground fish pots, can you kind of describe to
- 25 us what that exactly means?

1 Α. Sure. The overall number of pots is listed as what the vessel intends to actually fish throughout the 2 It isn't necessarily the amount of pots carried 3 4 on board at the time that it is being registered. It is what the vessel plans on using for the entire fishery. 5 So, some of those may be taken out in one trip, and then 6 7 they may return and add more pots. But the overall 8 amount that they plan to use for the fishery is listed

in first line.

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

And then, we are trying to keep an accurate amount of which pots are actually being used to target crab versus, they are allowed to keep bait in these fisheries. So some of their pots can be used as ground fish pots, to target cod that they can then use as bait for the fishery. So that number that, the ground fish pots is inclusive in the two hundred total pots, it is not extra to that.

- Q. How many pots are listed on this?
- 19 A. It shows two hundred total pots, ten of which 20 are ground fish pots.
  - Q. Is there any difference in the pots, themselves as far as what's inside the pots?
  - A. Yes, there is differences. Typically with ground fish pots, they are configured, they are the same type of pot, but they are configured with triggers that

- prevent the fish from escaping. Whereas, with crab pots
  they just, they are open tunnels without any fingers
- 3 that prevent the fish from escaping.

effective dates are.

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Okay, thank you. Now I'd like you to go to

  Exhibit 241, Page 3 this is an Alaska Department of Fish

  Game General Provisions Shell Fish Vessel Registration

  as well. I'd like if you could just tell us what is

  actually listed on the form that you are seeing. The

  same thing you just talked about before, which vessel

  signed, is this for, and how many pots, and what the
- 12 A. Okay. So this is the opilio crab fishing
  13 registration. It is for the fishing vessel Destination.
  14 And the pots listed are two hundred total pots. With
  15 ten of them being configured as ground fish pots.
  - Q. On that top section, there is a date that says, "Effective date", and then and "End date", can you tell me what those dates are?
  - A. The "Effective date", is 2-8 of 2017. And I,

    I have to apologize, that form is not in front of me.

    But that's the -- the "ending date", is typically the

    ending date of the fishery, which would be 5-31 of 2017.
- Q. Okay, thank you. I would like to now go to
  Exhibit No. 241, Page 4. This is an Alaska Department
  of Fish & Game Co-op Registration, do you have that in

- 1 front of you?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to kind of get an
- 4 understanding of what is the purpose behind a co-op
- 5 registration? And then also if you could kind of lead
- 6 into page four, what is listed on it. So, if you
- 7 | wouldn't mind, kind of tell us what is the co-op
- 8 registration?
- 9 A. So, okay what this co-op form is used for is
- 10 | it authorizes other vessels to haul the operating
- 11 vessel's pots for them. So, in the case of the
- 12 Destination Gear Cooperation Form, this authorizes
- 13 another vessel, or any vessel that is listed on that to
- 14 | haul their pots for them.
- O. Can you tell us what vessel is listed?
- 16 O. The vessel that is listed is the Aleutian
- 17 Lady.
- 18 Q. Okay. Also, right above that there is an
- 19 approximate fishing date. Can you tell us what that is?
- 20 And then also next to that there it talks about pots,
- 21 | can you kind of talk about that line?
- 22 A. Yes. Can you stand by one second. I am kind
- 23 of using a cell phone for these forms as well.
- Q. Sure, no problem, take your time.
- 25 A. Okay, I apologize, I can't access that right

now.

- Q. Okay, can you read that line?
- 3 A. No, I can't.
- 4 Q. Okay. So line says, "Approximate fishing date
- $5 \mid 2-8-17 \text{ to } 3-10-17"$ . And then it says, "Number of pots,
- 6 200, 10 are Cod". Can you tell us, when they are citing
- 7 | the dates, which are 2-8-17 to 3-10-17, can you tell us
- 8 what that exactly means?
- 9 A. That basically, the dates are the projected
- 10 dates that the fishing vessel plans on harvesting crab
- 11 | for that fishery. That's always subject to change based
- 12 on weather and what, you know, how quickly they bring
- 13 | that crab in. But that was, those were the dates that
- 14 Jeff Hathaway told me that he thought that he would be
- 15 fishing for that season.
- Q. Okay, thank you. I'd like to now go to the
- 17 Exhibit No. 241, page five. This is an Alaska
- 18 Department of Fish & Game Vessel Inspection Registration
- 19 Summary. Do you have that in front of you?
- 20 A. Again, I have, I have this on my phone, but it
- 21 is hard to access at the moment.
- Q. Okay. On the form itself, there is columns
- 23 and rows, and on row two, there is a section that says,
- 24 "The Destination", first says, "Inspection 2-8-17", and
- 25 then next to it it says, "Q017". Can you tell us what

1 Q017 is?

- 2 A. Q017 would be the year, 2017.
- Q. Yes, it says the letter "Q".
- A. Oh, I apologize. So QO17 is the fishery code
- 5 | that we use, within the Fish and Game, to describe the
- 6 | fishery. "Q", being the letter indicating the location
- 7 | that they are fishing, which is the Bering Sea. "O",
- 8 being the species, which is opilio crab. And 17 being
- 9 | the year that the fishery is (inaudible word).
- 10 Q. The next column, is under "Dock", and it says,
- 11 Peter Pan", can you tell us what that is?
- 12 A. Yes. So the Peter Pan is located in, the
- 13 Peter Pan processing facility is located in King Cove,
- 14 Alaska.
- 15 Q. Okay, thank you. You also have a row, one
- 16 that is listed as "CFEC Card", what does that stand for?
- 17 A. The CFEC Card number is every permit holder is
- 18 assigned a permit card number. And that's what that is
- 19 referring to on their permit card that the vessel
- 20 operator had.
- 21 Q. Okay, thank you. Mr. Prennace did you perform
- 22 | an inspection on board the fishing vessel Destination on
- 23 February 8, 2017 in Akutan, Alaska? And if so can you
- 24 elaborate in detail what you did, and what you observed?
- 25 A. It actually occurred at King Cove, Alaska, not

```
Akutan, Alaska. But yes, it was on 2-8 of 2017. At
```

- 2 approximately nine o'clock at night. I was called
- 3 | earlier in the day by Ron Wickstrom, the fleet manager
- 4 | for Peter Pan Alaska that the Destination would be
- 5 | coming in, in the evening to get registered for Snow
- 6 Crab.
- 7 I then was called on VHF approximately at 2100
- 8 | that evening by Jeff Hathaway, the vessel operator, and
- 9 asked to come down to register the vessel. I went down,
- 10 | the vessel was just coming in to port, and it was just
- 11 after sunset and the vessel came into the fuel dock, and
- 12 docked up.
- I boarded the vessel noting that it was full
- 14 of pots, which they had just come from Sand Point,
- 15 Alaska. And boarded the vessel. I looked at
- 16 approximately five pots at the time to make sure that
- 17 they were legally configured for the opilio crab
- 18 fishery. And noting that they were, I then went into
- 19 the wheelhouse of the vessel and met with Jeff Hathaway,
- 20 the vessel captain.
- 21 We then began working on the registration
- 22 paperwork, and the gear co-op paperwork. And I checked
- 23 to make sure that the vessel had a current Coast Guard
- 24 decal sticker, indicating that it did, it had met the
- 25 current standards by the Coast Guard for fishing.

I checked to make sure that the vessel was

- 2 equipped with a Vessel Monitoring System, VMS as we
- 3 | refer to it. And which allows the National Marine
- 4 Fisheries to track the vessel throughout the season.
- 5 And it was currently operational and on. And then we
- 6 | conducted the paperwork for them to start fishing.
- 7 Q. Did you climb on top of the pots while you
- 8 | were there?
- 9 A. Yes, I did. And the pots were approximately
- 10 level with the top of the dock. So it would, I
- 11 basically, stepped across from the dock to the top of
- 12 stack, and then climbed on to all the pots in order to
- get to the wheelhouse.
- Q. While on top of the pots, did you observe
- 15 anything else? Was there anything on top of the pots?
- 16 A. The vessel was in the process of ordering some
- 17 bait to take with them for fishing. And so, at the same
- 18 time that the Peter Pan employees were moving pallets of
- 19 bait to the vessel so that they were conducting that as
- 20 well.
- 21 Q. When you see the vessel coming in, did you see
- 22 any pallets or anything on deck prior to the vessel
- 23 pulling in?
- 24 A. I don't recall seeing -- you know, the vessels
- 25 have quite a bit of gear, sorting tables and such. So

- 1 those were on the vessel. And you know, various things
- 2 used in fishing operations, buoys and such. But, I
- 3 | don't specifically remember seeing any pallets on the
- 4 boat.
- 5 Q. Did you witness where the employees were
- 6 placing the bait?
- 7 A. The bait that I saw -- and this was after the
- 8 registration had occurred -- was being taken out by
- 9 forklift by the plant employees of Peter Pan. So it
- 10 | wasn't actually on the boat at the time that I was on
- 11 the boat. It was being staged on the dock to be craned
- 12 over to the boat.
- Q. Do you remember how many pallets, or can you
- 14 kind of talk to us about what you actually saw?
- 15 A. I believe I saw two pallets of bait as I was
- 16 leaving it.
- 17 Q. Do you have an estimate on how high those
- 18 pallets were loaded?
- 19 A. The pallets were probably, roughly the height
- 20 of a human being, probably about five feet or so tall
- 21 with bait.
- Q. Okay. When you were on board, do you guys,
- 23 does the Alaska Fish & Game check tanks, like hold
- 24 tanks?
- 25 A. We no longer check the tanks. And the reason

- 1 for that was that the crab fishery was rationalized.
- 2 And prior to the rationalization we used to inspect the
- 3 tanks to make sure that there were no crab in those
- 4 holding tanks before the fishery started. There was
- 5 more of an emphasis on trying to make sure that
- 6 everybody started with no crab aboard before.
- 7 But these days we no longer check inside the
- 8 tanks. Just check to make sure that the pots are
- 9 legally configured.
- 10 Q. Prior to leaving the Destination, did you
- 11 notice anything in the vicinity of the life raft?
- 12 A. I did not really look that closely in the area
- of the life raft. Typically the life rafts are above
- 14 | the vessel's wheelhouse. And, you know, that's not
- 15 something that we are required to check as part of our
- 16 duties. So, I didn't notice that.
- 17 Q. You didn't notice a life raft, or you didn't
- 18 notice anything near the life raft?
- 19 A. I didn't notice either of those things,
- 20 because it is on the actual house of the vessel, it was
- 21 kind of where I typically would look. I am more
- 22 | concentrated at looking at the actual pots, themselves.
- 23 And the life raft is in a different location on the
- 24 vessel.
- 25 Q. When you had a conversation with Captain

1 Hathaway, was there any discussion on what his plans

- were, what his voyage plans were?
- A. He really didn't talk much. Basically, it was
- 4 | planned to head to the opilio grounds, as I understood
- 5 | it. I had found out later that he had actually made a
- 6 | trip to Dutch Harbor, but that was unbeknownst to me. I
- 7 | thought he was heading directly to the fishing grounds,
- 8 themselves, up near St. Paul.
- 9 Q. Did you request that he contact the Coast
- 10 | Guard prior to departure? And if so could you elaborate
- 11 on that?
- 12 A. Yes, I did. As part of our Standard Operating
- 13 Procedure, we inform each captain as we are registering
- 14 | the vessel that they are required to notify the Coast
- 15 Guard prior to leaving port. And that's so that they
- 16 have a current idea of who is participating in each
- 17 fishery.
- 18 Q. All right. Thank you Mr. Prennace, these are
- 19 all the questions I have for you. Please stay on the
- 20 line, others are going to ask you questions.
- 21 MR. GILLETTE: Commander, I done with all the
- 22 questions for Mr. Prennace.
- CDR MULLER: Than you, Mr. Gillette. Mr.
- 24 | Prennace I have a few follow-up questions.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.

## EXAMINATION

2 BY CDR MULLER:

- Q. Referring to that form that Mr. Gillette just
- 4 mentioned, that was Exhibit 241, page 5 of 10. I
- 5 understand you may not have that in front of you,
- 6 because it is embedded in your phone somewhere, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. So when you filled out this form, and
- 9 you, in the process of your, conducting your
- 10 certification exam, when you -- do you ask, how is the
- 11 question asked of the vessel master regarding
- 12 notifications to the Coast Guard? Is it that they have
- 13 | contacted the Coast Guard? Or is that you reminded them
- 14 to call the Coast Guard?
- 15 A. It is the second of the two. Basically, we
- 16 remind them that they are required to call the Coast
- 17 Guard twenty-four hours prior to leaving port.
- I don't, -- I am never fully aware of whether
- 19 they do that or not. But, we indicate that they need to
- 20 do that.
- 21 Q. Okay. So when you were on board the
- 22 Destination, did you have an opportunity to be, to walk
- 23 on the main deck?
- 24 A. No, I didn't. And the reason I wasn't able to
- 25 was it was completely full of the crab pots that it had.

- 1 I basically walked on the crab pots themselves, which
- were probably about 5 or 6 pots high. I wasn't able to
- 3 actually walk on the deck of the vessel.
- 4 Q. Did you happen to notice a tunnel?
- A. I didn't notice a tunnel. However, I believe
- 6 | there was one. They typically do that to access the pot
- 7 | launcher and pots. Basically, I checked the -- the pots
- 8 | that I did check were on the top of the stack, as
- 9 opposed to going down lower. And then I was able to
- 10 access the vessel wheelhouse without actually going down
- 11 to where that tunnel would have been.
- 12 Q. Did you happen to notice any tank overflow
- 13 discharges on deck?
- 14 A. I believe, as the vessel was coming in to the
- dock, I could see that it was spilling water as any
- 16 normal vessel coming in would have overflow from those
- 17 live tanks.
- 18 Q. That overflow, was it cascading overboard,
- 19 most likely through a freeing port in one location? Or
- 20 was it disbursed across the deck?
- 21 A. I -- well we are talking six months back. But
- 22 | I don't remember, specifically. It -- nothing seemed
- 23 out of the ordinary as far as the discharge of the water
- 24 coming off the deck, out of the ports.
- 25 Q. So you saw a discharge. I understand this is

- 1 | six months ago. Can you give, do you recall if that
- 2 discharge was concentrated in one location?
- 3 A. I don't remember -- basically it seemed like
- 4 | all of the ports that would have water coming out of
- 5 | them had water coming out of them. I don't recall
- 6 | seeing, you know, one not, or one discharging all of the
- 7 water.
- 8 Q. Did you see more than one discharge, say more
- 9 than one cascade of water?
- 10 A. I believe so, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay, thank you.
- 12 CDR MULLER: I have no further questions.
- 13 Mr. Karr, NTSB?

## 14 EXAMINATION

- 15 BY MR. KARR:
- O. This is Michael Karr with the NTSB.
- 17 A. Yes, good morning Mr. Karr.
- Q. Good morning. Sir, what time did you leave
- 19 the Destination that evening?
- 20 A. I think it would have been approximately a
- 21 half an hour that I was on the vessel, I believe I
- 22 arrived about 2100, so I would have left about 2130.
- 23 Q. Did you happen to notice if the vessel was
- 24 | fueling while in King Cove?
- 25 A. I know that they were getting bait. I am very

- 1 | sure of that. But where they were getting bait was also
- 2 | the fuel dock. So it wouldn't surprise me if they were
- 3 | also getting fuel. I don't recall them doing that, but...
- 4 Q. Can you recall how many crew you saw
- 5 undertaking activities aboard the Destination when you
- 6 were on board?
- 7 A. Yeah, I saw at least three people, three, I'm
- 8 | sorry, deckhands in addition to the captain. And they
- 9 | were making, you know, when they were tying up the
- 10 | vessel I believe there was a whole crew of five
- 11 deckhands or so with the captain.
- 12 Q. After the vessel tied, did you see them again?
- 13 A. They were working on getting, you know,
- 14 | getting area, or, you know, clearing out places to put
- 15 | bait and doing vessel maintenance. But I don't -- they
- 16 were on the vessel doing various tasks at the time.
- 17 Q. And where did you see them doing that work, to
- 18 clear room for the bait?
- 19 A. They were on the stack of the vessel, the crab
- 20 | pot stack, and they were in the bait freezer, I believe,
- 21 down below. And then on the dock, itself, you know
- 22 getting ready to load the bait on.
- Q. Did you, by chance, look in the bait freezer?
- 24 A. I didn't, no. That was below the area that I
- 25 was in.

Q. What's the purpose of counting -- what's the purpose of the ADFG counting the number of pots, and listing the number of pots on the permit, is there a restriction?

A. There currently isn't a restriction on how many pots a vessel can fish in any fishery. I should say, with the exception of how many bait pots they are allowed. And for Snow Crab they are allowed a total of twenty pots to harvest bait fish.

But the main purpose, my understanding is so that we have a general idea of what the effort, meaning the total number of pots, for the entire fleet is being used to harvest crab at the time.

- Q. Can you describe the paperwork that Captain Hathaway filled out for you?
- A. The -- he filled out several forms while I was on the boat. The first one was the vessel registration, and then he and I filled out the gear co-op paperwork allowing another vessel to haul his pots if needed.
- Q. Did you have any, did you engage in any small talk with Captain Hathaway?
- A. Not a whole lot, it was, because it was kind
  of late in the evening, and the vessel, I think was
  ready to get out and start fishing, and he had quite a
  bit of things going on with getting bait and possibly

- 1 | fuel. It was a pretty short registration process, I
- 2 | don't recall talking to him much. I wished him good
- 3 | luck on the season and which is often the case, and
- 4 hoped he did well.

16

18

19

20

21

- Q. Did he make any comment with regard to the upcoming opilio season?
- 7 Not that I recall. We, it had been kind of a tough season for boats. We may have talked about it 8 just seemed kind of a challenging season overall for --9 the Destination was a little late on the scene for the 10 11 season. And that was due to the fact that they had been fishing cod prior to switching over to crab. So we had 12 a small conversation about that, about him, fishing cod 13 fish prior, and then you know, that it would be a tough 14 season finding crab this year. 15
  - Q. Can you tell me more about that conversation and your thoughts on that too?
  - A. I don't know that it was any different than any other conversation I've ever had with, you know a captain. Basically just hoping that the season went well for the crew and captain and yeah, beyond that there wasn't any notable thing outside of that.
- Q. Any specific comments from him? Or your thoughts on fishing the cod -- on the cod, fishing the cod season such that they were late?

- 1 Α. No. It, they, you know, I think this year being a low opilio crab season, many of the vessels 2 were, had opted to fish a longer cod season to try to 3 4 make up for the money lost, that they would have had with the reduced quota. But I don't, I don't recall, 5 you know, I don't really recall anything specific that 6 we had talked about. 7
- Q. Did Captain Hathaway say anything specificabout that reduced cod quota or reduced crab quota?
  - A. Not that I recall, no.

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

- Q. So tell me, how much more was it reduced this year?
- A. It was approximately reduced by half from the previous season, which was approximately half of the season before. So in two seasons time span it was reduced to around about a hundred million pound quota down to about twenty-five million pound quota.
- Q. What are your thoughts on how that affects the crab boat captains?
- A. Well, it obviously is tough on them. I, often
  times the price comes up as a result of the reduced
  quota. But I think, obviously it is stressful for them.
  And it is also harder for them to find crab when there
  is less of them out there, that's been my observations
  over the years, that with the reduced quota it gets

1 harder to find crabs.

2

3

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Would this be the first year that captains would talk about it being harder to find crab?
- A. No. I would say the past several seasons,
  that's been the word that I have been hearing from the
  fleet overall, is that it is, they are becoming harder
  to find.
- 8 (Brief pause.)
- Q. Can you describe how Captain Hathaway appeared to you when you met with him that night, with regard to, it was nine o'clock at night, how'd he look? How did he talk?
  - A. He looked, as I have seen him over the last fourteen years working with him, he looked capable and ready to start the season. I don't recall anything different in the way he looked that night than any other time I've registered him for any other fishery. I know he was excited to start fishing crab, and start making headway on the quota that they had ahead of them.
    - Q. Did he make any comments about -- well let me ask you this, did he yawn, can you recall if he yawned at all when you were with him?
      - A. No, I don't recall him yawning at all.
- Q. Did he comment on how he felt?
- A. Ah, no he didn't.

- 1 Q. And any --
- A. He looked energetic, and ready to start the
- 3 season.
- 4 Q. Did he make any comments about crew, with
- 5 regard to how the cod season was on them?
- A. No, he didn't.
- 7 Q. Did he make any comments about the condition
- 8 of the boat? Any comments about the mechanical
- 9 condition?
- 10 A. No, he didn't.
- 11 Q. And you commented that you thought he was
- 12 going directly to the fishing grounds, can you tell me
- why you may have thought that?
- A. Well, this is largely an assumption on my part
- 15 that they -- the crew had worked on switching the pot
- 16 configuration in the town of Sand Point. I thought I
- 17 was going to be the last port that they were going to be
- 18 in prior to starting the actual fishing of the season.
- 19 I didn't understand that they were headed to Dutch
- 20 Harbor next.
- 21 Q. Well thank you Mr. Prennace. Hold on. I have
- 22 no more questions.
- 23 A. Okay, thank you Mr. Karr.
- 24 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak
- 25 do you have any questions?

```
1
              MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
              CDR MULLER: Mr. Gillette?
2
3
              MR. GILLETTE: No questions.
4
              CDR MULLER: Okay. Mr. Prennace before I
5
    release you as a witness, is there any other information
    that you believe the Board should consider that may not
6
7
    have been discussed today?
8
              THE WITNESS: No, I don't have anything else.
              CDR MULLER: Thank you. So you are now
9
    released as a witness at this Marine Board
10
11
    Investigation, thank you for your testimony and
    cooperation. If I later determine that the Board needs
12
    additional information we will contact you.
13
              If you have any questions about the
14
    investigation, you may contact the Marine Board
15
    Recorder, LCDR Mendoza. Thank you again for your time
16
    and assistance. Good day.
17
              THE WITNESS: Thank you as well. And I'd like
18
19
    to wish my condolences to all the family and friends of
    the crew of this vessel. I worked with them for
20
    fourteen years now, and have got to know them
21
```

personally. And it is a tragic thing. So I, you know,

my heart goes out to the families and friends.

24 CDR MULLER: Thank you.

22

23

25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

```
CDR MULLER: Okay, we are going to try to keep
1
    on moving along. We still have two more witnesses that
2
    were scheduled for the morning. I'm not sure if we can
3
    get them all in. But we are going to take a ten minute
    recess to prep for the next telephonic witness, thank
5
    you.
6
7
         (Whereupon a 10 minute recess was taken.)
8
              CDR MULLER: Good morning again, this hearing
    will come to order. We would like to call our next
9
10
    witness, Ms. Buck. Ms. Buck are you on the line there?
11
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
              CDR MULLER: So you can hear me?
12
              THE WITNESS: I can.
13
              CDR MULLER: This is Commander Scott Muller,
14
    pleasure to speak with you again.
15
              THE WITNESS: Good to speak with you too.
16
              CDR MULLER: So, before we get started with
17
    some of the questions, and we have to administer the
18
    oath, I just want to give you some awareness. You are
19
    on speakerphone, and you are in, we are in a conference
20
    room, so I just want to mention to you who is in the
21
22
    conference room.
              We are calling from Seattle, Washington, and
23
    as you may be aware, we are conducting a Marine Board
24
```

Investigation into the sinking of the fishing vessel

1	Destination. In the room we have members of the Board,
2	including myself as chair, Mr. Jim Gillette, and LCDR
3	Mendoza. We also have a representative here from NTSB
4	Mr. Karr. The Party-in-Interest, the vessel owner, also
5	has a representative present.
6	This is a public hearing and it is being
7	recorded. Also be aware that we have members of the
8	public present in the room, including members of the
9	family and friends of the crew of the fishing vessel
10	Destination.
11	I believe you are calling from Juneau, is that
12	correct?
13	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
14	CDR MULLER: Okay. Are you in a place where
15	you can answer our questions?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
17	CDR MULLER: All right, thank you. LCDR
18	Mendoza, if you could administer the oath.
19	LCDR MENDOZA: Please stand and raise your
20	right hand.
21	WITNESS
22	TRACY BUCK
23	NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
24	A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
25	was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and

```
1
    testified as follows:
2
              THE WITNESS: I do.
              LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Would you
3
4
    please state your full name, and spell your last name
5
    for the record?
6
              THE WITNESS: My name is Tracy Buck, B-U-C-K.
7
              LCDR MENDOZA: Please state your current
8
    employment and position title.
              THE WITNESS: I am a Supervisory Permit
9
    Specialist with the National Marine Fisheries Service,
10
11
    which is a part of the U.S. Department of Commerce,
    National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
12
              LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional
13
    licenses or certificates?
14
              THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
15
              LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you, ma'am.
16
              CDR MULLER: Okay, thank you. This is
17
    Commander Muller again. So I will be leading off some
18
19
    of the questions that we have for you.
                           EXAMINATION
20
21
    BY CDR MULLER:
22
         Q.
              To start off with, if you can just further
    explain your role and position, and in particular the
23
    functions that you carry out with the NOAA, National
```

Mariner Fishery Service.

- A. Sure. So, I am a program manager, for the
- 2 Restricted Access Management Program within the Alaska
- 3 region of the National Marine Fisheries Service. And my
- 4 office's role is to issue, annually, the quota permits
- 5 | for the Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands, Crab
- 6 Rationalization Fishery. Our office also manages any
- 7 | transfers of quota share amongst the participants, and
- 8 | that is, that is our office's role in regard to the
- 9 Bering Sea Fishery.
- 10 Q. Okay. I believe you should have in front of
- 11 you Exhibit 156.
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. So this is a print-out from RAM, R-A-M
- 14 Database. This was printed out March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2017. There
- 15 is a few pages here. Can you describe what is RAM
- 16 | database? What information it contains?
- 17 A. So, that is the Restricted Access Management
- 18 Database that contains the quota share holdings of each
- 19 harvesting and processing participant in the Crab
- 20 Rationalization fishery. Our database also contains
- 21 any permits issued to vessels for the crab fishery, such
- 22 | as the license limitation, crab licenses, ground fish
- 23 licenses, and Federal Crab Vessel Permit Licenses. And
- 24 | we also have in our database landing information from
- 25 | the crab fisheries.

- Q. Okay. If we can go to the second page, it is page five of Exhibit No. 156.
- 3 A. Okay.

that, thank you.

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So if -- I see a lot of numbers and columns
  here. If you would, if you can give us a quick tour,
  especially of the top table. What does this capture?
  And specifically does it capture any information for the
  last crabbing season, the opilio? And, I'll leave it at
- 10 A. So, essentially what this print-out shows is
  11 the quota shareholdings of the Company, Destination,
  12 Inc., as of March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2017, for each of the crab
  13 fisheries listed in the first column. Bristol Bay Red
  14 King crab, Bering Sea Snow King Crab, Eastern Bering
  15 Tanner Crab, Pribilof Island Red and Blue King Crab, St.
  16 Matthew's Blue Crab, and Western Bering Sea Tanner Crab.

The second column indicates the quota type that the company holds. CVO represents Catcher Vessel Owner Quota Share, so that is the type of quota share that this Company holds.

The Region is regional designation for each fishery. It indicates the region in which the historical landings show that the basis for this quota share was, were made. And it also indicates the region in which any IFQ landings must be made for each fishery.

```
The next two columns are the start and end
```

- 2 | serial numbers. And those numbers are unique
- 3 | identifiers for this quota share. So if it is
- 4 | transferred we can, we know exactly which quota share is
- 5 | held by each person, and in a transfer those shares will
- 6 transfer to another person.
- 7 The next column is the number of units that --
- 8 of quota share that is held by the company. You can
- 9 kind of think of that as like a stock, this is the quota
- 10 | share of stock that the company holds in each fishery.
- And the last column is, of course, the company
- 12 name.
- Q. Okay, a few questions on that. What does the
- 14 "U" stand for in the Region column?
- 15 A. "U" means that is undesignated, it does not
- have to be delivered to any specific region.
- Q. Okay. In the fishery column, WBT, that's
- 18 Tanner, right?
- 19 A. Right. That's Western Bering Sea Tanner Crab.
- Q. And in other words, otherwise known as Snow
- 21 Crab, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And I believe -- okay. So was -- all
- 24 | right, and the last column, The Holder Name,
- 25 Destination, Inc., is there a way to determine, I mean,

- 1 that's the Company, but that Company may have one or
- 2 more vessels associated with it, would that be correct?
- A. That could be correct, yes.
- Q. All right. If you could just hold for one second.
- 6 (Brief pause.)
- Q. Okay, so getting back to that table. The BSS in the Fishery column, what does that stand for again?
- 9 A. That is Bering Sea Snow Crab, that's opilio 10 crab.
- Q. Okay. And then there are two columns for, and Iz I take it is split across two different regions, right?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. The first one of that species is North, and the second one is South, I take it, for and "N" and an "S"?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. If we go to the next page, page six of
  Exhibit No. 156. Is there any information that you
  think would be helpful for us to understand on that
  page? Or is this just more administrative information?
- A. It's basically more administrative
  information. It shows that the Destination, Inc. holds
  two license limitation program licenses. One is ground
  fish license, number #3327. And one is a crab license,

- 1 | 3328. The license limitation crab license is no longer
- 2 required for participation in the rationalized
- 3 | fisheries, which are the fisheries that are identified
- 4 | on page five. Those are quota fisheries under the Crab
- 5 Rationalization Program. And so you don't need a
- 6 | license limitation program license to harvest those
- 7 | fisheries. But this license would authorize the boat to
- 8 | fish in other crab fisheries that are not licensed under
- 9 the Rationalization Program.
- 10 Q. Okay. Does your office capture Quota Share
- 11 per vessel?
- 12 A. We do not capture Quota Share per vessel.
- Q. So what's next in the process? Who does?
- A. So, well let's just take a step back. So each
- 15 harvester is issued, was issued Quota Share, or they
- 16 purchased Quota Share, and then annually the National
- 17 Marine Fishery Service is given the total allowable
- 18 catch from Department, State of Alaska Department of
- 19 Fish & Game. And we enter that data into a computer
- 20 program that then allocates an Individual Fishing Quota
- 21 to each harvester.
- 22 And many of the harvesters form crab
- 23 | harvesting co-operatives, where they, basically pool
- 24 | their Individual Fishing Quota and how that is harvested
- 25 | is managed by the cooperative manager and the members of

1 the cooperative.

So at that point, once the annual Quota, IFQ
is issued, the co-op managers, and the co-op members
will decide which vessels are going to harvest the crab.
Those vessels have to have a Federal Crab Vessel Permit,
which is issued by our office. And then they have to
register with the State of Alaska and do some pre-season
checks there, and do whatever the State of Alaska might
require of them.

When the crab, after the crab is harvested, it is then, generally delivered to a processing facility.

And that processing facility will enter the landing data into an electronic landing system, called eLanding, which is a joint statement, Federal electronic landing database. And at that point in time the vessel is, the vessel that is landing the crab is recorded into that eLanding database.

Which is then, that data is transmitted to our Alaska Region RAM Database so that we can account for the quota that was issued to the harvesters, and ensure that they are not over harvesting what was allocated to them.

- Q. Does your RAM database capture these pools, where the IFQ's are pooled together?
  - A. Yes, we do. The co-operative's themselves,

1 are required to submit an annual application and tell us who their coop members are, and who is managing the co-2 op. And that each harvester, themselves, must also 3 4 submit an application and confirm that they are a member 5 of a specific co-op. Is that co-op identified in this paperwork in 6 front of you today? Or is that elsewhere? 7 I do not see it identified in this, no. 8 Α. Do you happen to know who the Destination, 9 Inc. which co-op they belong to? 10 So during the 2016/2017 crab fishing year, 11 Α. they belonged to the Inter-Cooperative Exchange, also 12 known as ICE. That's one of the larger crab harvesting 13 cooperatives. 14 Okay, thank you. I have no further questions. 15 Q. CDR MULLER: Mr. Gillette? 16 MR. GILLETTE: I have no follow-up questions, 17 Commander. 18 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Captain Karr, NTSB? 19 **EXAMINATION** 20 BY MR. KARR: 21 Q. Yeah, this is Michael Karr of the NTSB. What 22 is the QS unit? How is that related to pound of crab? 23 24 So, it's a mathematical formula. It's the

number of Quota Share units, for example in Exhibit No.

1 | 5, the first row, the Bristol Bay Crab, the Bristol Bay

- 2 Red King Crab Fishery, South Region, Destination, Inc.
- 3 | hold 2,899,375 Quota Share units. That is then divided
- 4 by the entire pool of Bering Sea Crab Quota that is
- 5 issued to all harvesters in the fishery. And then that
- 6 is divided by, or that is multiplied by the total
- 7 | allowable catch each year. So there is a ratio between
- 8 the number of Quota Shares units, and the TAC. And then
- 9 that equates to a number of pounds.
- 10 Q. Thank you, I have no more questions.
- 11 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak?
- MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
- 13 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Thank you Ms. Buck
- 14 that concludes the questions that we had for you this
- morning. Before we release you as a witness, is there
- 16 any information that you believe the Board should
- 17 | consider relevant to this investigation that we, perhaps
- 18 may not have covered today?
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't have any more
- 20 information for the Board. But on behalf of National
- 21 Marine Fisheries Services I would like to express our
- 22 | condolences to the family and friends of the captain and
- 23 crew of the Destination.
- 24 CDR MULLER: Thank you. And as you are aware,
- 25 | they are present in this room, and some are perhaps,

1	even watching via Livestream. And I am very sure that
2	they appreciate your words.
3	With that, you are now released as a witness
4	at this Marine Board of Investigation, thank you for
5	your testimony and cooperation.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	CDR MULLER: If I later determine that this
8	Board needs additional information from you, we will
9	contact you. If you have any questions regarding this
10	investigation please contact LCDR Pedro Mendoza.
11	THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
12	CDR MULLER: Thank you. Good day.
13	THE WITNESS: Bye.
14	CDR MULLER: Okay, given that testimony, it
15	has a direct link to our next witness. So we would like
16	to keep on moving. So, I believe yesterday we broke
17	early for lunch. Today were are going to keep moving
18	through. So, I'd like to call our next witness Mr.
19	Jacobsen. LCDR Mendoza if you would, if you could
20	please administer the oath.
21	LCDR MENDOZA: Sir, please stand and raise
22	your right hand.
23	WITNESS
24	ERLING JACOBSEN

A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard

1 was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and

- 2 testified as follows:
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- 4 LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Sir could
- 5 | you please state your full name, and spell your last
- 6 name for the record?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Erling Emanuel Jacobsen, J-A-C-
- 8 O-B-S-E-N.
- 9 LCDR MENDOZA: Would you please state your
- 10 | current employment and position title?
- 11 THE WITNESS: I have a number of positions. I
- 12 am executive director of Inter-Cooperative Exchange. I
- 13 am executive director of the Bering Sea Arbitration
- 14 Organization. I am principle marine surveyor, at
- 15 | Fishermen's Maritime Services, so I am a credentialed
- 16 marine surveyor, and third-party fishing vessel
- 17 examiner. And that's, those are my current employment
- 18 positions.
- 19 LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional
- 20 licenses or certificates?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Relating to marine surveying,
- 22 yes. I also have an expired 1600-ton inspected master
- 23 license.
- LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you, sir.
- 25 CDR MULLER: Good morning Mr. Jacobsen.

1 THE WITNESS: Good morning.

2 CDR MULLER: Welcome, thank you for your

3 participation here this hearing.

## EXAMINATION

5 BY CDR MULLER:

- 6 Q. Over the course of the last several months,
- 7 | the Board has, of course, been conducting the
- 8 investigation particular to the fishing vessel
- 9 Destination. But we also have come to learn and
- 10 appreciate, or trying to further appreciate this quota
- 11 system. So, I understand that you have a presentation
- 12 for us?
- 13 A. I do.
- Q. Okay, so if you would like to roll through
- 15 that. And what we are particularly interested in, if at
- 16 the appropriate time, inform us in particular where the
- 17 Destination is particularly involved.
- 18 A. Yeah, thank you. I guess I should note
- 19 additionally to the employment positions I have, I am
- 20 also, I've been a member of the Commercial Fishing
- 21 | Vessel Safety Advisory Committee to the Coast Guard
- 22 | since 2006. And I'm a current member, a member of the
- 23 | Pacific Northwest Crab Industry Advisory Committee, a
- 24 | standard, a standing committee of the North Pacific
- 25 | Fisheries Management Council. I am chairman of the

```
1 Alaska Seafood Marking Institute, Shellfish Committee.
```

- I served in the past as chairman of the North
- 3 Pacific Fisheries Management Council Arbitration
- 4 | Committee during construction of the Rationalization
- 5 Program for crab. I also served as chairman of the
- 6 North Pacific Fisheries Management Council Crab
- 7 | Committee. I was executive director of the Alaskan
- 8 Marketing Association from 1994 to 2005, doing the price
- 9 negotiations for the fishing fleet. And I have been a
- 10 commercial fisherman since about 1966.
- I first started crab fishing in 1971. And
- 12 then gave it up in 1998 to pursue a career in marine
- 13 | surveying.
- So I put together a little PowerPoint
- 15 presentation to explain what is the most complex
- 16 fisheries management system known to man. And the role
- 17 of Inter-Cooperative Exchange, and the history of the
- 18 Inter-Cooperative Exchange Cooperative.
- We were organized under the Fisherman's
- 20 | Collective Marketing Act of 1934. Currently, I believe
- 21 | we are the only cooperative that is organized and active
- 22 | under the Fisherman's Collective Marketing Act. And
- 23 | that is an antitrust exemption, which allows us to
- 24 | collectively share and negotiate prices.
- 25 The Crab Rationalization Program was

- 1 | implemented in 2005, and it created rights for
- 2 harvesters, processors, and communities. So the crab
- 3 | fisheries subject on the Rationalization Program or
- 4 | noted on the next slide, Tracy Buck went over some of
- 5 those. There is the Bristol Bay Red King Crab Fishery,
- 6 Bering Sea Snow Crab Fishery, Eastern and Western Bering
- 7 | Sea Tanners, the Golden King Crab Fisheries, and a few
- 8 other smaller fisheries that may or may not have quotas.
- 9 The harvesters were issued Quota Share based
- 10 | on their catch history during a historical period. And
- 11 IFQ, or individual Fishing Quota is issued based on the
- 12 total allowable catch and the quota shares held by the
- 13 quota share holder, as Ms. Buck explained.
- The processors were also issued a type of
- 15 quota share called a processing quota share. Again, it
- 16 was based on their historical participation during the
- 17 fisheries. And it results in issuance of a number of
- 18 pounds based on the total allowable catch, and their
- 19 processor quota share holdings.
- The communities were protected through this
- 21 process by regionalization. The Regionalization Program
- 22 | was to preserve the offload, the delivery locations, the
- 23 | -- it was also based on crab fishing history. And so if
- 24 | a community had deliveries during the historical period,
- 25 which is during the decade of the '90's, they, there was

1 a certain amount that was, has to be landed in that regionalized area. 2

- So, for example Ms. Buck noted that there was
- 4 a North and South Region to the Bering Sea Snow Crab
- Fishery. This does not refer to regions of fishing, it 5
- refers to regions of delivery. So the North Region of 6
- the Bering Sea Snow Crab Fishery includes the islands of 7
- 8 St. Paul and St. George. The southern region is the,
- anything south of, along the Aleutian Islands. 9
- So in 2005, when Rationalization was 10
- implemented, inter-cooperative exchange was formed as an 11
- inter-cooperative with twelve member cooperatives. Each 12
- of these twelve cooperatives functioned as an 13
- independent cooperative, but they shared price 14
- information through the inter-cooperative. Price and 15
- delivery term information and they also were qualified 16
- under the Fishermen's Collective Marketing Act to do 17
- that through the Inter-cooperative Exchange. So they 18
- were independent, but shared information. 19
- In 2009 ICE reorganized as a super-20
- cooperative, and it became one cooperative. And so each 21
- 22 of the twelve cooperatives that came together to form
- 23 ICE were, became known as voting districts of ICE. And
- 24 they still have managerial authority over the IFQ that
- was, that is brought into ICE by the voting district 25

1 members.

And -- I'm sorry, I had a repeat slide there.

So the next one, see the same thing. But today, ICE

currently has a 183 member entities, residing in ten

voting districts, and we harvest around seventy percent

of the IFQ for each of the rationalized crab fisheries.

In the program, there are a total of 481 Quota Shareholders and 29 Processing Quota Shareholders as of the 2016/2017 fishing season.

So where does the Destination fit in this Program?

Vessels are not members of cooperatives. The IFQ holding entities are members of cooperatives, and vessels are hired through the cooperative to fish the quota. And so the Destination was a member of Alaska Fisherman's voting district, a voting district of ICE. And they are typically, or there is six vessels related to the Alaska Fisherman's Voting District.

The top three vessels, Aleutian Lady, American Lady, and Constellation are affiliated with Shelford Fisheries, which is one of the primary components of the Alaska Fisherman's Voting District. The bottom three are the Destination, the Determined, and the Akita, are vessel that are associated with David Wilson, and he is the other half of that voting district.

Some of the voting districts in ICE have managers that manage their voting districts. Some of them are, have, will have 30 or 40 vessels in their voting district, and so they hire a manager that is not me, I manage ICE at the executive level. And so the voting district manager will arrange for deliveries and transfers of IFQ between other ICE cooperatives, and outside of ICE, and do other administrative work related to the operation of the co-op. 

But in the Alaska Fisherman's Voting District, the top three boats and the bottom three boats are generally managed by their owners. So, they are kind of a, they don't have a specific voting district manager.

The Destination harvested Bristol Bay Red King Crab for ICE, and these are the statistics from that harvest. And so listed on the slide in very small print that can't be seen by anyone else unless they are right next to the projector lists all the types of quota, the amounts that were delivered by the Destination during the Bering Sea Red Crab season, and how much they initially -- well it doesn't say how much they had to start with, but it lists their deliveries.

So the next slide shows the quota holdings of their voting district within ICE. Part of the program involves a matching process where pounds of Class A, IFQ

are matched with IPQ. So there is kind of a one to one correspondence between IFQ and IPQ. And there is a website that we use as a utility for matching. And that website is called Sharematch.com. And it is, has been described as the Match.com of the fishing industry. The fisherman will propose matches to the IPQ holders, and they will either accept them or not. And then there's a certain period where they have to accept them. 

So for the Alaska Fisherman's Voting District, and each voting district within ICE has the ability to match their own designated IFQ with an IPQ holder. And so for the opilio season they matched their North IFQ with Peter Pan and Trident. And they matched their South IFQ with Trident and Westward. And the amounts that they had that were delivered are in the Totals column.

The next slide shows the Destination's IFQ account at a management software program that we use, it is a utility online app that has been designed specifically for managing cooperatives. And so it shows their Bristol Bay Red King crab quota. And this has a lot of the same information that you have seen before. But that's a screenshot of what my management program looks like.

The next slide shows the Alaska Fishermen's'

```
1
    Voting District status on February 11<sup>th</sup> of 2017. Their
    initial assignment of opilio quota was a little over a
2
    million pounds. They transferred in an additional
3
4
    47,000 pounds, and transferred out almost 131,000
5
    pounds.
              On 2-11 they had a total between the two boats
6
7
    that were fishing at the time of 914,118 pounds. They
8
    hadn't delivered any of that crab prior to the
    Destination sinking. I mentioned there are two vessels
9
    that fished, or that were delegated IFQ to fish during
10
    2016/2017 Bering Sea Snow Crab season. And those were
11
    the Destination and the Aleutian Lady. After February
12
    11<sup>th</sup>, the voting district transferred out 234,000
13
    pounds. And the remainder were caught and delivered by
14
    the Aleutian Lady, and that was 774,293 pounds.
15
              Feel free to stop me if you have questions
16
    along the way. Or if I am going too fast, tell me to
17
    slow down.
18
              CDR MULLER: Okay. Mr. Karr?
19
              MR. KARR: Yeah.
20
              CDR MULLER: We have a question from Mr. Karr.
21
22
                           EXAMINATION
    BY MR. KARR:
```

23

24 So going back to page seventeen, and Mr. Jacobsen if the answer will be in a future slide just 25

- 1 let me know that, and I'll wait for that slide. So the
- 2 bullet that says, "Pounds transferred out after 2-11",
- 3 | what does that mean? And what is the significance to
- 4 | the quota holder?
- 5 A. The IFQ is fairly fluid in the Rationalization
- 6 Program. So cooperatives can transfer IFQ to other
- 7 | cooperatives. Or within ICE we can transfer IFQ within
- 8 | the ICE voting district through a utility that we have.
- 9 If we are going to transfer between cooperatives we go
- 10 through the RAM division that Tracy Buck administers.
- 11 So they transferred out quota because -- well
- 12 I don't know all the reasons, but most likely the
- 13 Aleutian Lady didn't think they could catch that much
- 14 | crab in the time they had allotted to catch it. So they
- 15 transferred it to other vessels, other cooperatives or
- 16 voting districts, just to reduce the number of pounds
- 17 that they had to catch to make sure that they got it all
- 18 in by the end of the season.
- 19 Q. Is there any cost to the Destination, Inc. for
- 20 doing that transfer? Do they lose revenue? Does it
- 21 | cost them to transfer it?
- 22 A. There is no cost for the transfer process.
- 23 But there is an opportunity cost for -- in that they
- 24 | won't be able to catch the crab. They can lease the
- 25 crab. And most of these transfers are accompanied with

- some kind of financial arrangement. But it would not be
- 2 as much money as if they caught the crab themselves. So
- 3 they would say, I'll lease you this crab. And you pay
- 4 | me sixty-five percent of its value.
- 5 Q. All right. Does the -- that, in that opp --
- 6 | in that arrangement does the captain or crew benefit
- 7 from any of that?
- 8 A. The captain and the crew would benefit from
- 9 transfers in, they would not benefit from transfers out.
- 10 Q. So when it comes to captain and crew, it's all
- 11 | -- their pay is just based on the amount of crab that
- 12 they have hauled on board and sold.
- 13 A. Yeah. Their pay is based on their catch. And
- 14 how much of it was leased, and how much of it they --
- 15 may have been owned by the boat, itself. And so there's
- 16 a lot of different arrangements. The financial dealings
- 17 | are fairly complex.
- Q. Well what you just mentioned there, so if --
- 19 | well, this is a good example on that pounds transferred
- 20 out for the Aleutian Lady crewmembers, how would they
- 21 | receive any pay from that 234,000 pound transfer out?
- 22 A. I don't know that they would. It just depends
- 23 on what arrangements are made in their contracts.
- 24 O. And how about the owner of the boat?
- 25 A. The owner of the boat may or may not be the

- owner of the IFQ that's caught. The owner of the IFQ
- 2 | would receive a lease payment.
- Q. And do you know how that lease payment is calculated?
- 5 A. The lease payment -- that's a real complex 6 issue too.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- A. The, and I'm not saying you wouldn't

  understand it, you would. But the typical lease payment

  for opilio crab is fifty percent. There are some

  arrangements where it is more or less than that,

  depending on certain circumstances. But it is usually
  - Q. So, the boat that catches -- or the boat that actually catches that 243,000 dollars (sic) would get, keep half the price, or half of what they got for the crab that they sold. And then the leaseholder would get the other half.
- 19 A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 Q. All right. Thanks.

around fifty percent.

21 THE WITNESS/MR. JACOBSEN: Okay, so the next
22 slide we were on was that one, "Bar Chart". This shows
23 the number of pounds delivered by the Destination since
24 2009, when ICE became a co-op. And the 2015/2016
25 fishing year is the last bar there. So that would be

- the year before they sank, and that was 269,000 pounds,
- 2 the amount of crab they caught.
- But what, probably, is a better example of how
- 4 | much they catch is the next slide, which shows the
- 5 | percentage of the TAC, because the TAC can vary widely
- 6 from year to year. And so this slide shows that the
- 7 Destination typically caught between 1.2 %, and 1.8 % of
- 8 the TAC.
- 9 So, in the 2016/2017 fishing year, the TAC
- 10 was, for IFQ was a little over nineteen million pounds.
- 11 And if we take 1.8 percent of that, it's around 350,000
- 12 pounds, that would be an estimate of what the
- 13 Destination would have been expected to catch during the
- 14 | 2016/2017 Snow Crab season.
- So the last slide shows a legal document that
- 16 | we negotiate with IPO holders. And this is called a
- 17 | Share Match and Lengthy Season Arbitration Agreement.
- 18 Price and delivery terms under this agreement are
- 19 subject to arbitration.
- 20 And so if a vessel has a delivery date that is
- 21 | incompatible with their operations, or can't be met --
- 22 and we try to work with processors as much as we can --
- 23 | but if there are delivery terms, or pricing terms that
- 24 | we don't agree with, we have recourse to negotiation and
- 25 | arbitration. And so the fishing year, actually, for

1 Bering Sea Snow Crab, ends on the last day of May.

And so there is actually a lot of time for the

Destination to deliver its crab. But some boats have

tendering obligations, or other fisheries that they'd

like to be involved in, and so they have their own dates

where they want to be done by.

Trident Seafoods operates the only crab processing facility in the North Region for Bering Sea Snow Crab. And so they like to get that crab in and done. And so it is a common practice for ICE members to trade North for South Quota if they don't think they will be able to make all their deliveries to the North by the time the plant wants to close.

A review of my emails with Ray Nomura suggests that they close their plant by February 20<sup>th</sup>. And the actual last delivery was made there by an ICE boat on February 23<sup>rd</sup>. But, they would have had to stay open longer if we hadn't been able to deliver all their, all the crab. We try to work with them as much as we can to get the crab into St. Paul, so we can close their plant there.

And there is a number of reasons for this.

One is that the later you get into the year, into the winter months, especially in late February and early

March there are icing issues in the harbor, and

- 1 | sometimes even in January. But the harbor will ice up
- 2 and it will make passage very difficult if not
- 3 impossible. Sometimes the harbor is closed because of
- 4 lice.
- And so we try to get, concentrate our North
- 6 | IFQ deliveries. In 2014 we started -- there was a
- 7 | regulatory change, which allowed us kind of a safety
- 8 | valve for deliveries to the North, and it is called a
- 9 regional, it's a Regional Landing Exemption. So we can
- 10 apply for an exemption to landing crab in the north if
- 11 | weather conditions, or any other conditions make it
- 12 impossible, or very difficult, or hazardous to land crab
- 13 there.
- So, if, for example, the harbor in St. Paul
- 15 | iced up on the 15<sup>th</sup> of February, and it didn't look like
- 16 | it was going to be clear any time soon, we would
- 17 | activate our Regional Landing Exemption, and we would
- 18 land that crab in the South. And then there would be
- 19 some compensatory landings later on, like the next
- 20 | fishing season, or the next couple of fishing seasons,
- 21 | whatever we negotiate with the processors and the
- 22 | communities. So, there are options for landing. A boat
- 23 | doesn't have to be -- strand quota, or if he can't enter
- 24 the harbor, there are options available.
- 25 The landing exemption is complex. We have

- 1 | never done it, we don't want to it, we don't want to do
- 2 | it just because it is so complicated, and so many
- 3 different aspects to whole program, that we, hopefully
- 4 | we never have to use it. But it is a tool that's
- 5 available if we can't land it in the North.
- 6 One example of what we do to avoid having to
- 7 | use the Regional Landing Exemption, and to get all the
- 8 | crab delivered into St. Paul as fast as we can is
- 9 transfers. So we trade North and South. There is a
- 10 vessel in the pacific sound area that was in Seattle,
- 11 delayed in the shipyard, and they were going to be late
- 12 for their Snow Crab season, and so they traded all their
- 13 North for South Region IFQ with other boats. And so
- 14 they actually made their last crab delivery on April the
- 15 17<sup>th.</sup> But it was all Southern, delivered in the South
- 16 because St. Paul was closed at the time.
- So we make those arrangements, and we are very
- 18 good about helping other co-ops and each other, and
- 19 making sure we can deliver everything into St. Paul in a
- 20 | timely manner and get, let them shut the plant down and
- 21 be able to get all our quota in all together.
- So, it is a cooperate experience. We do have
- 23 | a lot of options for boats that have problems, either in
- 24 | the North or South. We are very willing to work with
- 25 | boats, and other co-ops, and voting districts within ICE

- 1 to make sure everybody has an opportunity to lands their
- 2 crab.
- 3 So that concludes my presentation. So if you
- 4 have questions I would be happy to give an answer.
- 5 CDR MULLER: Well thank you, that was very
- 6 informative. I hope you are not going to issue a test
- 7 | now. Earlier, you mentioned you were a third-party
- 8 examiner.
- 9 THE WITNESS/MR. JACOBSEN: Yes.
- 10 CDR MUELLER: I would like to bank that, and
- 11 get to that eventually. So this first round of
- 12 questions to the Board, do you have any questions that
- 13 focus on the Quota topic?
- 14 (No audible response.)
- 15 CDR MULLER: So I have a few follow-up
- 16 questions, mainly for my own understanding.

## 17 **EXAMINATION**

- 18 BU CDR MULLER:
- 19 Q. You mentioned earlier on in the presentation
- 20 | that prices are negotiated. Is it possible that the
- 21 profit, or the market value of a product may fluctuate
- 22 during the season? And would that be cause for a vessel
- 23 to deliver their catch by, or at a certain point in the
- 24 | season?
- 25 A. So the answer is, to your last question is no.

- 1 The answer to the first question is yes. Prices
- 2 | fluctuate throughout the season. And sometimes they can
- 3 | fluctuate quite a bit. At the start of the season, we
- 4 | negotiate what we call an advance price. And an advance
- 5 price is a dock price. It's, I generally try to make it
- 6 80 to 90 % of what I think the final price will be.
- 7 But the final price isn't negotiated until
- 8 | after all the crab is delivered. So when the crab is
- 9 delivered, and most of it is sold, then, I will initiate
- 10 | negotiations with the processors. And through
- 11 negotiation we will arrive at an agreed final price. If
- we can't arrive at a final price, we have recourse
- 13 through the arbitration system. And so we would have a
- 14 price arbitration.
- Okay. So a vessel master really wouldn't have
- 16 the details while he is involved, engaged in the fishing
- 17 season about the prices. Although there might be talk
- 18 about it, there is nothing he really can do about it.
- 19 It depends on what actually happens in the market at a
- 20 later time?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. I believe you explained it quite well.
- 23 But I want to just check in and make sure I understand
- 24 it. So there is this match share. So there is a, so
- 25 | the vessels, or the individual quota, and then there is

- the processor quota. So let's call it 100 units, a 100 %.
- So, like you said, the plant cannot close if
  they are waiting for somebody to deliver their share of
  the quota. So a plant cannot close if they are still
  waiting for somebody that is still fishing?
- 7 A. The plant can close. But if they did they 8 would -- there would be an arbitration.
- Q. Okay. And before it gets there, they are going to try to exchange shares to a different region, or with other vessels?
- A. Yeah, the plants can have other plants process
  for them as well. So, the fact that during the
  2016/2017 fishing season, the Trident plant in the
  North, in St. Paul, processed all of the North IPQ, all
  of the processing quota was Trident, from all of the
  other processors in the North was processed in St. Paul,
  at the Trident plant.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So, you saw there that, in one of the slides that some of the Alaska Fishermen's Voting District crab was matched with Peter Pan. Well Peter Pan has a plant in King Cove, they do not have a plant in St. Paul. So they pay a fee to Trident Seafoods to process that for them.
- 25 So there used to be more plants in St. Paul,

- 1 but through the consolidation of the fishery harvesters
- 2 and processors, the -- what we have now is one plant in
- 3 | St. Paul, and just a few in the South Region. There is,
- 4 | five operating plants. There is really only three
- 5 plants, three companies that own plants that process
- 6 crab now.
- 7 Q. So did the Destination ask to adjust the
- 8 delivery dates, or adjust the, any of their shares
- 9 because they didn't believe they would meet the delivery
- 10 date?
- 11 A. I don't know if they asked to have the
- 12 transfer done. There was no transfer or switch from
- 13 North to South prior to the Destination sinking.
- Q. At least for your company. They might have --
- 15 A. Right, they might have just adjusted it within
- 16 | their, within the Alaska Fishermen's Voting District. I
- 17 | wouldn't have knowledge of that.
- 18 Q. Is it fair to say vessel operators may just
- 19 | consult with the plant directly first about that date.
- 20 And then, perhaps through your company, or through the
- 21 exchange?
- 22 A. So you are talking about a delivery date?
- Q. Right.
- 24 A. Yeah. So it, for many of the voting districts
- 25 | within ICE, they have a manager who sets up the delivery

- date. But for the Alaska Fishermen's Voting District,
- 2 they kind of did it themselves. So, I'm thinking that
- 3 | Jeff Hathaway probably contacted Ray Nomura at Trident,
- 4 and set up date for offload. And he is perfectly within
- 5 his rights and responsibilities to do that.
- 6 Q. Okay, you mentioned a Regional Landing
- 7 Exemption, as a tool that was an application process.
- 8 | But you also mentioned -- it sounds like you haven't
- 9 | done, that hasn't been initiated. But you also
- 10 mentioned that's very complex, to the point where you
- 11 try to ignore it, or avoid it, rather, avoid it. Can
- 12 | you describe what makes it complex, or what are the
- 13 ramifications of, if you submitted it, and who do you
- 14 submit it to?
- 15 A. We submit it to the National Marine Fishery
- 16 Service. And there is an application process for
- 17 | getting the exemption. And it is actually filed, I
- 18 think, in September or October. We have to have a
- 19 framework agreement in place. We have a coordinating
- 20 | committee that meets together and talks about landing
- 21 dates, and plant openings and closings. And mitigation
- 22 | factors that we can use to avoid having to use the
- 23 Regional Landing Exemption.
- 24 The communities are heavily involved in these
- 25 talks. If we were to use the Regional Landing

VIII-119

```
1 Exemption, the most complex part of it, and that thing
```

- 2 that makes it something that we really don't want to
- 3 have to use, is compensation for crab that wasn't
- 4 delivered in the region.
- Because the community is going to suffer if
- 6 they don't have their, the crab delivered in that
- 7 | region. They won't be able to take, to collect taxes on
- 8 | the crab. They will have missed opportunity income from
- 9 sales of fuel and water, and stores. And so there are
- 10 some costs to the community if we were to employ the
- 11 Regional Landing Exemption. And they would expect some
- 12 kind of compensation. And so that would be a negotiated
- 13 thing at the time.
- And we are just kind of leery of wandering
- down that path without really a strong need to do so.
- 16 Just because there would be a lot of questions that we
- 17 just wouldn't be able to answer at the time we did it.
- 18 And we don't, I'm not sure what we could expect in the
- 19 way of compensation to the community. So there would be
- 20 | a cost in using the Regional Landing Exemption.
- 21 The one benefit that it has provided to the
- 22 | fleet is that we communicate a lot better now on
- 23 mitigating the need to use the Regional Landing
- 24 Exemption. And we are concentrating our initial
- 25 deliveries to the St. Paul plant, and getting them,

- getting all their, all the North IFQ delivered as soon as we can.
- There is still Southern deliveries that take
- 4 | place during that time. But we concentrate on the
- 5 North. And so we file a plan that is part of our
- 6 application process. And we state how we intend to
- 7 | mitigate the circumstances that might cause the need for
- 8 | a Regional Landing Exemption.
- 9 Q. Okay, final question from me. And I believe
- 10 you kind of already answered this. But conceivably,
- 11 when you have a pool of vessels in the voting district,
- 12 | in this case, six of them across, basically two
- 13 different operators. It sounds like arrangements could
- 14 | be made where you say, okay -- and just for
- demonstration purpose -- you can say, you three you
- 16 | concentrate on the North. You three, you deliver to the
- 17 | south. Now of course, the fishing grounds vary
- 18 throughout the year depending on the species. But
- 19 essentially that's one -- you could conceivably break it
- 20 down that way.
- 21 A. Yes, that is correct. Yeah, we have a lot of
- 22 options available to us through this system. So, the
- 23 Rationalization Program has been a huge boon to safety,
- 24 | fishing vessel safety and it's a, it really has been a
- 25 great blessing to the fleet from when I was fishing.

1 Which was the derby style fishery, where we just went out and worked as hard as we could for as long 2 we could until we dropped, and tried to get as much crab 3 4 as we could before anybody else caught it. Because we had a limit that we could catch to, and it was whoever 5 got the most in that limit. And so I worked for five 6 7 days without sleep. It was crazy. But we were driven. 8 But yeah, it's a much saner fishery now. I have a son that fishes crab, he's a deckhand on one of 9 the crab boats up there, and I am very jealous that he 10 gets to fish under the rationalized fishery, and I spent 11 decades doing it just, basically killing myself. 12 So it is a great thing, the Rationalization 13 Program is a great, the best thing that's ever happened 14 to the crab fishery, and for fishing vessel safety. But 15 it's, as we learned, we are still not immune from 16 17 tragedy. Q. Okay, thank you. 18 19 CDR MULLER: That concludes my questions regarding the quota system. Mr. Gillette? 20 MR. GILLETTE: Yes, thank you Commander. 21 22 **EXAMINATION** 23 BY MR. GILLETTE: 24 Hi, my name is James Gillette with the Coast

I just have one question. Just to make sure I

25

- 1 understood the PowerPoint. Was there a transfer of
- 2 quota share between the Destination and the Aleutian
- 3 Lady before February 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011(sic)(2017).
- 4 A. No, there, there are no transfers that occur
- 5 | within a voting district. Now, they can talk to each
- 6 other and say, hey can you catch this crab for me. But
- 7 | you wouldn't, they wouldn't need to initiate either an
- 8 inter-cooperative transfer or an intra-cooperative
- 9 transfer. There would be no need to transfer crab. It
- 10 | is all in their voting district. And so, whoever
- 11 catches it, catches it.
- 12 Q. Okay, thank you.
- 13 A. It's kind of a gentlemen's agreement, and a
- 14 hand shake. So, yeah, I'm going to catch this amount,
- 15 you are going to catch that amount. There is a utility
- 16 | for assigning IFQ to a vessel within a boating district
- 17 on our website. But the Alaska Fishermen's Voting
- 18 District didn't use that utility. It was more just, we
- 19 | are a small voting district, we've got two principal
- 20 owners here, and so we are going, you fish yours, and
- 21 I'll fish mine, and we will be happy.
- Q. Okay, thank you.
- MR. GILLETTE: No follow-on questions.
- 24 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Mr. Karr, NTSB?
- 25 **EXAMINATION**

## 1 BY MR. KARR:

- Q. Mr. Jacobsen, with regard to North A, and
  North B, is there any restriction as to where you can
- 4 | catch crab?
- A. No, there is not. And in fact, in North B,
- 6 crab can be delivered in any location, there is no
- 7 | landing requirement for any B Shares, or C Shares.
- 8 It's just, the landing requirement only applies to A
- 9 Shares.
- 10 Q. So what's the value of publishing the price
- 11 that varies during the season if you don't know what the
- 12 | final price is until when the season's over?
- 13 A. The advance price helps the boats pay their
- 14 bills. So there will be a payment made, usually within
- 15 two weeks after delivery, sometimes sooner. And so the
- 16 boats can issue draws to the deckhands. They can pay
- 17 for their bait, and fuel, and other expenses that they
- 18 have. And it's -- basically helps them to pay their
- 19 operating expenses during the season. Because some of
- 20 the seasons can be quite protracted.
- 21 Q. Were you the person that negotiated the
- 22 Trident plant staying open until the 23<sup>rd</sup>?
- 23 A. There was no negotiation necessary on that.
- 24 They just stayed open until all the North was delivered,
- 25 and that is their typical practice.

1	Q. Well, were you the person that called them and
2	said you need to stay open?
3	A. No. That was just done between the boats and
4	Ray Nomura.
5	Q. Okay. The community with these laws that are
6	passed to benefit the community, does that mean that all
7	of the employees at Trident are from St. Paul?
8	A. Not unless there is a city in Mexico names St.
9	Paul.
10	Q. All right, thank you.
11	MR. KARR: All right. That's all I have on
12	the quota questions.
13	CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak?
14	MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
15	CDR MULLER: Okay. We are going to take a one
16	minute huddle. So we are just going to recess for one
17	minute.
18	(Whereupon a brief recess was taken.)
19	CDR MULLER: Good morning again. Sorry for
20	the break. The hearing will come to order. Mr.

23 EXAMINATION

24 BY CDR MULLER:

21

22

25 Q. So earlier on in your testimony, you mentioned

Jacobsen we still have a few questions for you. I just

want to remind you that you are still under oath.

- 1 you have a background and certification with a third- $3^{rd}$
- party examiner??
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. As a third-party examiner.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Can I ask, how often do you conduct third-party exams, and when was the last time you have
- 8 conducted them on behalf of the Coast Guard?
- 9 A. Ah, let's see, the last time I conducted one
- 10 | was probably 2 or 3 weeks ago. I don't do a lot. I do
- 11 mostly the certificate of compliance examinations. I
- 12 try to have the Coast Guard do as many of the regular
- 13 fishing vessel exams that they can do. I try not to do
- 14 those. Sometimes I'll do them in conjunction with a
- 15 survey. But, usually what I do is the certificate of
- 16 compliance that is required by vessels that process.
- 17 Q. All right. So have you done a third-party
- 18 exam on a non-processor vessel, a vessel that doesn't
- 19 require a COI?
- 20 A. Oh yes, many, yeah.
- 21 Q. Okay. So, my basic question, and you also do,
- 22 | it sounded like you do surveys, is that for the
- 23 | insurance companies, or the banks, a condition
- 24 | assessment? Not Coast Guard exams, but --
- 25 A. Yes, that is correct.

- 1 Q. Okay, so my question is basically about
- 2 | stability. In the course of doing those surveys, both
- 3 | the condition assessments, and then the Coast Guard
- 4 | third-party exams, do you assess, do you verify that the
- 5 | vessel complies with Coast Guard stability requirements,
- 6 namely that it holds a valid or stability information
- 7 booklet?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. For both the insurance condition survey, and
- 10 | the Coast Guard?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. No further questions on that part,
- 13 thank you.
- 14 CDR MULLER: Mr. Karr?
- 15 EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. KARR:
- Q. Mr. Jacobsen have you been on board the
- 18 Destination recently?
- 19 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Were you -- could you tell me if you knew that
- 21 | the Destination was going to be late in arriving to the
- 22 crab fishery?
- 23 A. I -- well no, I didn't.
- Q. Or I should say, when did you become aware
- 25 | that the Destination was going to arrive to fish the

opilio season?

- A. I didn't regard their arrival as late. I mentioned earlier that the season doesn't get over until the end of May. So they, there was plenty of time. A lot of boats fish cod before they go to crab. So I --
- 6 Q. Was --
  - A. -- in my mind they weren't late.
  - Q. They weren't late. What -- what about the factor that the Trident Seafood was going to shut down on February 20<sup>th</sup>, how did that play into your thoughts?
  - A. Well so, we get these dates a lot from Trident Seafoods. They say we want to shut down this date. And they -- say well, let's hope so, or maybe not. There's not, there's nothing written in stone there. They stay open, usually, until everybody delivers their north. But sometimes towards the end, when they want to shut down, they are getting close to shutting down, we will transfer IFQ around, North for South, just to make that possible to help them do that.

So there are options within ICE, and transferring to even other co-ops outside of Ice to make that happen. And so some years, where you get pretty busy in transferring Quota around, and working with Ray and the others at Trident to try to get -- allow their plant to shutdown as soon as possible.

But, so depending on fishing conditions, you know, they just, they gauge their estimated shutdown date based on the TAC size and performance in other years. The problem is when the TAC is significantly lower, like it was this year, they are, the crab are generally harder to catch.

This year, there was a lot of crab around, but there were so many Bairdi Crab out on the grounds that it took a lot of time to sort through all the crab. So the fishing trips were a little longer than they might have been. And so the crab doesn't get quite delivered on schedule.

But they were close to it, and the  $23^{\rm rd}$  was the last day. If the Destination had had another trip coming in say on the  $25^{\rm th}$ , they would have stayed open and taken it. But you know, or they could have traded some quota with somebody else.

- Q. If they would have traded a quota with someone else, would that have cost the crew any income?
- A. Oh possibly, not a lot. There is -- if you are delivering to St. Paul, your fuel expenses are less. So there is a little savings there in time and fuel expense by delivering to St. Paul.

On the other hand, there is a lot of boats
that prefer to deliver South, because they might need

engine parts, they might need to get other supplies, or make some repairs. And so there is, typically, plenty of boats that are willing to trade North for South.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Well when I asked you that previous question about that slide with regard to the fact that they didn't deliver 234 and they had to lease that to someone else. Would that have occurred -- that's why I asked the question, if the crew was going to lose any money.
- Yeah, there is a different price paid for deliveries into St. Paul and deliveries South, so you get a little less money for delivering into St. Paul. But then you save some fuel. So, I don't know what the 12 exact calculation would be if there is, depending on the price of the crab, and price of the fuel. I quess it could be calculated. But, we pretty much consider it a 15 16 wash.

I don't know of any cases where somebody is saying, yeah, sure, I'll trade North for South but you have to pay me in additional monies.

Right. But what if the, what if the Trident didn't stay open? You know, for instance what happened here, with -- what happened in 2017 on page -- where it says that, so the Aleutian Lady and the Destination had to transfer out 234,000 pounds, because the -- I take is, because the Aleutian Lady was not able to catch all 1 that.

So, what if the Aleutian Lady and the 2 Destination had failed to catch everything that they 3 were going to catch. Would, you know, I'm looking, I 4 guess I'm just doing the -- I'm looking at this equation 5 as if the Destination had done the entire season. And 6 so, I'm looking at what that would have meant for their 7 crew if they didn't catch what they were supposed to 8 catch, would they have come home with less money in 9

- A. I don't know that there is an instance that we haven't caught all of the quota, or close to all of it.

  I mean, they, within a couple thousand pounds this year, we went around nine hundred pounds over our quota. But usually we are within a few thousand pounds of reaching our quota.
  - St. Paul has always stayed open until we got our North deliveries in. I can't think of any instance where they stranded quota.
- 20 Q. This slide seventeen, is this for all of the -21 - is for the North A and B?
- 22 A. Right, yeah.
- 23 (Brief pause.)

their pockets?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Thanks Mr. Jacobsen, I don't have any more questions.

VIII-131

```
1 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak?
```

- MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
- 3 CDR MULLER: Checking?
- 4 MR. GILLETTE: No questions.
- 5 CDR MULLER: Okay. I have no further
- 6 questions. Mr. Jacobsen, thank you for your
- 7 | participation. Before I release you as a witness, is
- 8 | there any information that you feel the Board should
- 9 consider that was not otherwise captured in our
- 10 discussion this morning?
- 11 THE WITNESS: No, I think you covered my
- 12 aspects of it. So thank you very much.
- CDR MULLER: Thank you. So, Mr. Jacobsen you
- 14 are now released as a witness at this Marine Board of
- 15 Investigation, thank you for your testimony and
- 16 cooperation. If we later determine that the Board needs
- 17 additional information we will contact you. If you have
- 18 any questions regarding the investigation please contact
- 19 LCDR Mendoza. Thank you.
- 20 THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
- 21 CDR MULLER: Now for all, thank you for your
- 22 patience, it's been a long morning, but certainly very
- 23 helpful from our witnesses. I have, the time is one
- 24 o'clock we will recess for one hour and return at two
- 25 o'clock, thank you.

1	(Whereupon a luncheon recess was taken.)
2	CDR MULLER: Good afternoon. This hearing
3	will come to order. We would like to call our next
4	witnesses, Captain Lincoln and Mr. Woodley. If you
5	would, if you can approach the witness table, and LCDR
6	Mendoza will administer the oath.
7	LCDR MENDOZA: Please raise your right hand.
8	WITNESSES
9	DR. LINCOLN and MR. WOODLEY
10	A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
11	was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and
12	testified as follows:
13	THE WITNESS: DR. LINCOLN: I do.
14	THE WITNESS: MR. WOODLEY: I do.
15	LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Sir, could
16	you please state your full name, and spell your last
17	name for the record?
18	THE WITNESS/MR. WOODLEY: My name is
19	Christopher J. Woodley, W-O-O-D-L-E-Y.
20	LCDR MENDOZA: Ma'am, could you please state
21	your full name, and spell your last name for the record?
22	THE WITNESS/DR. LINCOLN: Yes, my name is
23	Jennifer M. Lincoln, L-I-N-C-O-L-N.
24	LCDR MENDOZA: Sir, could state your current
25	employment and position title?

```
1
              THE WITNESS/MR. WOODLEY: Yes. I am currently
    the Executive Director of Ground Fish Forum.
2
              LCDR MENDOZA: Ma'am, could you state your
3
4
    current employment and position title?
5
              THE WITNESS/DR. LINCOLN: Yes. I am an
    officer in the U.S. Public Health Service. I am the
6
    Associate Director for Science for the Western States
7
8
    Division of the National Institute for Occupational
    Safety and Health.
9
              LCDR MENDOZA: Sir, do you hold any
10
    professional licenses or certificates?
11
              THE WITNESS/MR. WOODLEY: I do not.
12
              LCDR MENDOZA: Ma'am, do you hold any
13
    professional licenses or certificates?
              THE WITNESS/DR. LINCOLN: I am a CSP, a
15
    Certified Safety Professional.
16
              LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you both.
17
              CDR MULLER: Thank you. Captain, Mr. Woodley,
18
    thank you for your presence here today. Thank you for
19
    your contribution and support to this Marine Board of
20
    Investigation. I just wanted to mention Mr. Woodley,
21
22
    when we first started this investigation back in late
23
    February, I am still very appreciative for the early
24
    coaching, and counseling that you provided me, and I
```

think it is going to bode very well for this team as we

25

1 move forward. Captain Lincoln, I also am familiar with some 2 of your work. And we can probably examine, you know, 3 4 explore that shortly. But, with the NIOSH, with the many articles of research even before I was assigned to 5 my present position, at my previous station I worked 6 7 with NIOSH in the past, on a previous fishing vessel 8 casualty, and I thought it was very helpful to have that information available. So with that, it is my personal 9 pleasure and honor to have you both here with us today. 10 11 And before we go any further, we should also 12 mention that Ms. Brandy Vaugh, the NIOSH attorney to help represent Captain Lincoln is on speakerphone here, 13 14 advising. So, without any further adieu, I understand 15 you each have a presentation to provide. So, we look 16 forward to it, thank you. Whoever is first? 17 18 PRESENTATION THE WITNESS/MR. WOODLEY: 19 I'm going to go first, if the presentation is 20 up there. It is a PowerPoint Presentation. 21 (Presentation loading on the screen.) 22

25 CDR MULLER: All right, thank you.

All right, well I'll go ahead and get started

23

24

then.

## 1 BY THE WITNESS/MR. WOODLEY:

- 2 Commander Muller, members of the Marine Board
- 3 of Investigation, I thank you for inviting me to testify
- 4 as part of this investigation. My name is Chris
- 5 | Woodley, I am a retired Coast Guard Captain who served
- 6 | twenty-four years on active duty before retiring in
- 7 2014.
- 8 For most of my career I had a fairly unique
- 9 assignment history which allowed me to bounce back and
- 10 | forth between units in D17, and D13 for nineteen years
- 11 | in a row.
- 12 CDR MULLER: Excuse me, sir?
- 13 THE WITNESS/MR. WOODLEY: Yes.
- 14 CDR MULLER: Bring the microphone a little
- 15 closer.
- 16 THE WITNESS/MR. WOODLEY: Speaker a little bit
- 17 | closer, okay sorry about that.
- 18 CDR MULLER: They are very sensitive.
- 19 THE WITNESS/BY MR. WOODLEY:
- There was go, I'll try not to shout over it.
- 21 It was during that time that I developed a considerable
- 22 expertise in the Alaska Fishing Industry, fishing vessel
- 23 | safety, and the Bering Sea crab fishery, in particular.
- 24 From 1993 to 1997, I was assigned to Marine
- 25 | Safety Office Anchorage, and later I was a supervisor at

VIII-136

1 Marine Safety Detachment, in Alaska. I was a fishing

- 2 vessel examiner, where I was on hundreds of fishing
- 3 | vessels of all sizes and gear types throughout the
- 4 Bering Sea and Western Alaska.
- 5 I was also an investigating officer and
- 6 | conducted, or supervised over a half a dozen
- 7 | investigations involving the sinkings of Bering Sea crab
- 8 boats during the 1990's.
- 9 I followed that tour by serving as a District
- 10 | 13 Fishing Vessel Safety Coordinator from 1997 to 2001.
- 11 While at that assignment, I concurrently earned my
- 12 masters' degree at the University of Washington School
- of Marine Affairs, with my thesis being on developing
- 14 regional strategies for fishing vessel safety, focusing
- 15 | specifically on the Bering Sea crab fleet.
- In 2001 I went back to Anchorage as the Chief
- 17 of Port Operations. And while the Chief of Port
- 18 Operations usually doesn't spend much time on fishing
- 19 vessel safety, I continued to work extensively with the
- 20 Bering Sea crab fleet from 2000 to 2005. And I also led
- 21 | the Formal Investigation into the sinking of the fish
- 22 processing vessel. So the theme being as I, you know,
- 23 | continue stay very, very involved in the fishing
- 24 industry.
- 25 Following that tour, I transferred to D13

- 1 Marine Safety Staff, where I developed the Alternate
- 2 | Compliance and Safety Agreement for the non-Pollock
- 3 trawler, catcher processor fleet, and the freezer
- 4 longline fleet.
- 5 And then finally, at the end of that nineteen
- 6 | year run, I was a Prevention Chief, at Sector Puget
- 7 | Sound. I spent considerable less time in the field, but
- 8 | continued to be involved in the Alaska fishing vessel
- 9 | safety issues, working with the Coast Guard and the
- 10 | National Transportation Safety Board investigators on
- 11 the sinking of the Alaska Ranger, as well as the fishing
- 12 | vessel Cat Mine.
- I also began writing analysis documents with
- 14 Doctor Lincoln for the North Pacific Fishery Management
- 15 | Counsel's review of the Bering Sea Crab Rationalization
- 16 Program. The Vessel Replacement Program for the
- 17 Amendment 80 Fleet, and Vessel Replacement Program for
- 18 the Freezer Longline Fleet.
- 19 While I am no longer in the Coast Guard, I am
- 20 | currently a member of Coast Guard's Fishing Vessel
- 21 | Safety Advisory Committee, and continue to promote
- 22 | fishing vessel safety by advocating to the Coast Guard
- 23 | the need for practical and effective safety programs,
- 24 | policy, and regulations.
- 25 Again, thank you for this opportunity to

- discuss the historical perspective on safety issues
- 2 | within the Bering Sea Crab Fleet. All of what you will
- 3 hear today is based upon my professional experience as a
- 4 | Coast Guard officer, and all of which was documented and
- 5 | published in various Coast Guard publications, academic
- 6 journals, and North Pacific Fishery Management Council
- 7 documents over a ten year period.
- 8 So today what I hope to cover with the Board,
- 9 was to review the Bering Sea crab fishery casualty
- 10 history. I would then like to discuss the development
- of the at the dock stability and compliance checks for
- 12 the Bering Sea Crab Fleet.
- I want to specifically go, in talking about
- 14 | that program, talk about how the Coast Guard exercised
- 15 leadership in developing that Program. And where that -
- 16 how that may be adjusted today, given the changes that
- 17 have occurred over the last seventeen years since that
- 18 program was developed.
- 19 And then finally, I want to discuss the, just
- 20 | briefly the role of the changes in fishery management to
- 21 | the crab fishery, and how that played a role in
- 22 affecting safety. (Next slide please)
- 23 Fatalities, and vessel loss within the Bering
- 24 | Sea Crab Fleet played an important role in the
- 25 development of the National Fishing Vessel Safety

- 1 legislation and regulations. As featured in Patrick
- 2 Dylan's book, Lost at Sea the capsizing of the Anacortes
- 3 | based Amicus/Altair in February 1983, figured
- 4 | substantially in the development of vessel stability
- 5 provision of the Commercial Fishing Vessel Safety Act of
- 6 1988.
- 7 However, despite the importance that the
- 8 | capsizing of these vessels had in requiring stability
- 9 standards, capsizing and sinking events continued to
- 10 | plague the Bering Sea Crab Fleet throughout the 1980's,
- 11 and through the 1990's.
- 12 A full accounting of these accidents began in
- 13 | the early 1990's when the National Institute of Safety
- 14 and Health, an agency within the Center of Disease
- 15 | Control opened an office in Anchorage, Alaska, and was
- 16 tasked, among other things, with a mission to conduct
- 17 | epidemiological surveillance on this fishing fleet.
- 18 Their data capture combined with information
- 19 | compiled in the Coast Guard's Marine Safety Information
- 20 System, revealed a horrific safety record. (Next
- 21 slide.)
- 22 From 1990 to 1999, twenty-two Bering Sea crab
- 23 | vessels sank. I am going to briefly review those events
- 24 that led to the fatalities, and discuss early Coast
- 25 Guard initiative to address this issue.

1 In 1990 Pacific Palo sank with three fatalities. In 1991 the Barbarossa sank with six 2 fatalities. Also in 1991, the Harvey G sank with four 3 fatalities. 1992 the St. George sank with four 5 fatalities. 1993 the Massacre Bay sank with three 1993 again, the Netty H sank with five fatalities. 6 fatalities. In 1994 the St. Matthew sank with one 7 8 fatality. In January 15, 1995, the Northwest Mariner, which was a highline boat from Seattle sank with six 9 10 people on board. 11 After the Northwest Mariner sinking, the Coast 12 13

Guard began to assign two 378's to the Bering Sea during the fall and winter crab seasons, and to increase search and rescue capabilities within the region.

But however in the beginning of 1996, the Pacesetter, another Seattle based crab boat capsized with all seven hands on board, and this was the single worst fatality event since the sinking of the Americus and the Altair in 1983.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

The Coast Guard continued to add resources to the Bering Sea by beginning to pre-stage helos in Cold Bay and St. Paul prior to the start of the fall and winter crab seasons. By January 1999 the Coast Guard had never had so many resources in the Bering Sea for a single fishery. Two 378's, H60's in St. Paul and Cold

1 | Bay depending on the crab season.

Despite all these resources, tragedy struck

again in March 1999 when the Lynn J capsized, fully

loaded in icing conditions. The Coast Guard Cutter

Hamilton arrived on scene fifty minutes later after the

mayday, and despite the remarkably short response time,

found no survivors. (Next page.)

This is a graphic which shows the number of fatalities that occurred within the Bering Sea crab fishery during the 1990's. This includes fatalities caused by capsizing events, man overboards and industrial type accidents. During this period, there were seventy-five fatalities, from 1990 to 1999.

When the calculations were developed to further quantify fatalities by adjusting for annual variations in the population at risk which meant, days at sea, number of participating vessels, the fatality rate was determined to be 350 fatalities per 100,000 workers. This fatality rate was fifty times the national average, and three times the rate of the rest of the fishing industry. Even worse, the rate was rising.

By the end of the 1990's, the Bering Sea crab fishery was, without a doubt the deadliest catch. It was a problem that the Coast Guard struggled with. And

VIII-142

```
for the next part of this presentation, I will briefly
discuss how the Coast Guard began to address this
problem and how a solution was arrived at. (Next slide,
```

please.)

So the key things I'm going to talk about in
the development of the Coast Guard's working with the
fishing industry, was just kind of the key principles
that were followed. We had a clear objective, we were
trying to develop a solution for a very specific safety
problem for a very specific fleet. We had the issue of
on scene initiative, and that this was a locally

We had a unity of effort. This was a collaboration between the fishing industry, between the Coast Guard, between vessel safety organizations, naval architects, safety professionals. So it wasn't just a Coast Guard program. There was the element of effective presence, that we were, it was a kind of just in time delivery of a safety intervention during a specific part of the year, for a specific fleet.

developed, as opposed to a nationally developed program.

And then I'll talk a little bit about the concept of restraint, and again going to the collaboration and cooperation with the fleet as trying to be, as opposed to being a law enforcement agency.

(Next slide please.)

So the first component was a clear objective.

The problem was clear, we were losing an awful lot of

boats in the Bering Sea crab fishery. However, the

solution was not as clear. Fatalities and vessel losses

were occurring at an alarming rate, but there was

dueling approaches within the Coast Guard ranks as to

what to do.

And those approaches broke down along Coast
Guard programmatic lines. The operational, or response
side of the Coast Guard house was to continue adding
SAR resources to the region. However, it was becoming
clear that capsizing events often happen too quickly,
and as a result, unprotected people going into the water
had very little chance of survival. The Lynn J was a
graphic example of this, where Coast Guard assets were
on scene within less than an hour, but there were still
no survivors.

Solutions from the prevention side of the house weren't much better. Senior marine safety leadership had become convinced that only a nationally implemented vessel inspection and licensing program could solve the Bering Sea crab fishery safety problem. Many of the recommendations generated from casualty investigations surrounding this fleet had become recitals of these boats need to be inspected, they need

- 1 to be licensed, but there wasn't necessarily any supporting evidence to those policy positions.
- 2
- Furthermore, it was problematic that those 3
- 4 kinds of solutions would likely never gain industry or
- political support. And the example I used was, here was 5
- the 1999 Coast Guard study, living to fish dying to 6
- fish, where those kinds of solutions were proposed but 7
- 8 just, you know, honestly not very realistic. (Next
- slide.) 9
- During this time I was the 13<sup>th</sup> District 10
- safety vessel coordinator. I was going to graduate 11
- 12 school, and I was writing my master's thesis on
- developing regional strategies, and fishing vessel 13
- safety. As somebody who had been involved in doing 14
- these casualty investigations, who had been out in 15
- Dutch Harbor for a year, I felt that I had some good 16
- insights, into the fishing industry at that point. 17
- And that's when I got into the world of 18
- casualty data analysis. As I reviewed all of these, all 19
- 20 of these accidents, all of these cases, several patterns
- began to emerge. The boats were departing en masse from 21
- a very limited number of ports in western Alaska after 22
- 23 completing their tanks check with the Alaska Department
- of Fish & Game.

25

The boats in these casualties all had

- 1 stability letters. The boats were typically sinking on
- 2 the first day of the January opilio season, when they
- 3 | were fully loaded. And despite the establishment of the
- 4 | Coast Guard Marine Safety Detachment in Alaska 1992, the
- 5 fact was that the boats were overloaded from that port.
- 6 And while fishing vessel safety people were conducting
- 7 dockside exams, there was not much attention being paid
- 8 to the stability letters that were on the boats.
- 9 So while the Coast Guard was involved, there
- 10 | wasn't a real strong preventative aspect at this point.
- 11 | Based on my experience at MSO Anchorage, it seemed that,
- 12 and having been involved in these investigations, it
- 13 seemed that instead of trying to determine how many pots
- 14 | were being carried after the fact, that a better
- 15 approach might be to get on board the boats before they
- 16 left port, and make sure that they weren't overloaded by
- 17 | evaluating the stability reports. (Next slide.)
- So the next leadership component was the
- 19 concept of on scene initiative. In contrast to the
- 20 | national program for vessel inspection and licensing,
- 21 | this locally developed program was much narrower in
- 22 | focus, could be managed locally, and was addressed to --
- 23 or designed to address a specific safety hazard
- 24 associated with a specific fleet.

25

At the time, the Chief of Marine Safety in

D13, the Chief of Marine Safety in D17, and the Captain of the Port for Western Alaska were all very concerned with this problem, and were all willing to try out of

the box solutions to solve it.

- And so they were interested in developing this
  local kind of program. They trusted their fishing
  vessel safety experts, the district coordinators, the
  fishing vessel examiners to execute this program. They
  provided a top cover, and direction, but the reality was
  they, you know, they were very interested in this kind
  of ground-up approach. (Next slide please.)
  - Unity of effort. To make our vision work, we needed buy in from multiple stakeholders, working together with the crab industry leadership, individual owners and captains, local safety, vessel safety institutions like AMC, and North Pacific Fish, or North Pacific Fishing Vessel Owners Association, Alaska Department of Fish & Game, naval architects, and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health were all critical in developing what we, you know, ultimately would be a very simple program.

And the program was that the week prior to the start of the season, 15 October for Red King Crab, and 15 January for opilio crab. The crabbers would arrive in their respective ports in Western Alaska to load

gear, take on provisions and fuel, and conduct tank
checks with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game.

Prior to their departure from port, every boat in the fleet had an ADF&G representative come on board to ensure, at the time, that there were no crabs in the vessel's tanks, and that ADF&G would also examine crab pots to ensure the gear was legal, and the buoys, pot tags, et cetera were properly marked.

The idea of our safety intervention was to have Coast Guard personnel accompany the ADF&G personnel on tank checks. While the ADF&G personnel were checking tanks, Coast Guard personnel would climb on the boats, examine stability letters, and verify the pot loads met the loading requirements.

Going a little bit more into depth on how we looked at the stability letters, you know, you learn more over time. And we did this for a number of years, but the, you know, we would look at the number of pots that were onboard, whether or not they had pots in their tanks, some people put pots in their holds. Sometimes the pots were stacked higher than the tiers that were on the stability letter. Sometimes the pots were of different size. So these were all kinds of things that we would look at.

Although we were not, in ourselves, vessel

stability experts, we had reached out extensively to the naval architects, predominantly here in the Puget Sound Region who provided most of the stability reports of these boats. Talked to them about our initiative and made sure that they would be available when we were doing these at the dock stability checks. So if there was a question about how it was loaded that they could immediately get back, you know, get back to the Coast Guard, get back to their customer. And that way they could be, you know, as responsive as possible. And we could, you know, if there was any problems that arise, we could turn it around in fairly short order. (Next slide please.) 

To have an effective presence, we positioned our teams in Dutch in October of 1999, the first time we tried this we positioned our team in Dutch Harbor four days before the start of the season. Our teams were handpicked, and well qualified in fishing vessel safety and specifically trained in how to read crab vessel stability books.

For Dutch Harbor our goal was to get on board at least half of the 150 vessels that were departing from there for the October fishery. We didn't really know what to expect that first day, but we quickly learned a couple of lessons.

The first lesson that we learned is this is

very hard work, it is arduous. Climbing up and down

the 20 and 30 foot faces of rebar crab pots from one

boat to another when the boats were at the time rafted 6

to 7 deep at the dock, in windy, rainy, snowy and

sometimes dark conditions, that's -- it is tough.

It's not -- it's a -- and when it is dark out, and it -- or you know, it is kind of raining and you are looking out at that last boat you have to go out to it's like oh man, here we go, this -- it's hard work. So it is not something that -- it is not a quick compliance check. You know, you really have to kind of like work yourself up for it. You have to be trained for it, in my view.

The second point is, is that as difficult as the work was of climbing out on the boats, in no way compared to the excitement in the wheelhouse when we first detected an overloaded vessel. Captains get upset, very upset if they think they are going to have to remove gear. You could have, you know, a lot of yelling, language not suitable for children. If you have ever been at the receiving end of a blustering tirade from a Bering Sea crab captain, you won't forget it.

But, after working through that, though, you

- 1 know, we would get on the phone, call the naval
- 2 | architect, talk to the owner and say, okay this is what
- 3 | we have, is this a problem. Maybe it is, maybe it
- 4 | isn't.
- 5 The first day, on the first season, we found
- 6 two boats that were overloaded where the naval architect
- 7 | said, yeah, you are going to have to pull pots off, no
- 8 | way around it.
- 9 The third thing we learned is that word
- 10 travels fast. By the end of that first afternoon, we
- 11 | were seeing other boats in port removing pots before
- 12 We even got to them. (Next slide please.)
- Within three days we climbed on board fifty
- 14 percent -- and when I say we it was a half dozen people
- 15 | -- we had climbed on board fifty percent of the fleet in
- 16 Dutch Harbor, and reviewed seventy-five stability
- 17 letters with vessel masters. Problems detected were
- 18 overloading, they were all corrected in a timely matter,
- 19 and the fleet departed without delays.
- 20 A report was put together and made available
- 21 to the North Pacific Fishery Management Counsel's
- 22 December meeting in Anchorage. Leaders in the crab
- 23 | industry were extremely supportive, and praised the
- 24 | Coast Guard's effort on the dock presence because they
- 25 thought it significantly deterred overloading behavior.

Because we worked with the crab fleet

leadership beforehand and obtained their buy-in,

individual isolated complaints didn't register much

sympathy. Nobody could really make an argument that

their pots weighed only six hundred pounds when we could

easily weigh them and show that they weighed 750 or 800

pounds.

And furthermore, because the activity was occurring at the dock prior to the start of the season, vessels could correct deficiencies in a way that didn't impact their ultimate operations or deadlines. (Next slide please.)

Based on the success of the first attempt in October 1999, the Coast Guard continued the practice and expanded the activities. We went from just doing this in Dutch Harbor to expanding activities in Akutan, King Cove, and St. Paul. We also, when we were on board noted that there was a problem with sometimes how the rafts were installed, they may not be properly, or had not been properly installed, or similar problems with EPIRBs, and so we also spot checked that primary lifesaving equipment.

We expanded crew training opportunities at the local pool. And we -- and so it kind of started taking on a life of its own.

Another thing that happened in those first couple of years is we found that we couldn't keep up very well with ADF&G, their process was faster than ours was. So while, in the initial year of going out with Alaska Department of Fish & Game it became clear that we would just be out there together and see each other together, but trying to do each boat together was probably not going to work, it just wasn't a very efficient use of time. 

so for the first five years, from October 1999 until October 2004 the at the dock stability and compliance checks had remarkable results. You can see from this graphic the arrow in the center there, where the stability checks started, and we did not, in 2001, 2002, or sorry, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004 seasons were capsize and sinkings free despite the shortest, most competitive seasons on record for the opilio crab fishery. At the time, the five-year period was the longest run in the history of the crab fleet where a boat did not sink.

A strong partnership had been formed between the crab fleet and the Coast Guard and the pulse operation became a fixture of the crab season. As I said already, there was an increase in life raft and survival suit training, naval architects were holding

- 1 | seminars in Seattle on stability, at -- through NPFVOA,
- 2 | where, you know, different stability reports were being
- 3 | brought in and we could all learn, you know, how to
- 4 better read these things, and what did these stability
- 5 | reports actually mean, and you know improve the
- 6 operator's instructions.
- 7 Coast Guard started working with Alaska
- 8 | Department of Fish & Game to consider weather delays for
- 9 the season starts. There was a year where we had very,
- 10 very bad weather, and so the Coast Guard worked with
- 11 Department of Fish & Game to impose a weather delay for
- 12 the start of the season.
- 13 We also began working closer with the National
- 14 Weather Service, Bering Sea Ice Forecasting Desk. So
- 15 | cooperation and collaboration were very much the name of
- 16 the game at this point.
- 17 While all this was going on, by January 2005,
- 18 the North Pacific Fishery Management Council had cleared
- 19 the final hurdles to allow the Bering Sea crab fleet to
- 20 transition to a quota based fishery management system.
- 21 You've heard all about this from experts on this from
- 22 Alaska Department of Fish & Game, the National Marine
- 23 | Fishery Service, and Jake Jacobsen. I'm not going to go
- 24 | into any more detail on that.
- But at the start of 2005, in January of 2005,

- 1 | we still had one more open access season to get through.
- 2 It was going to be an insanely short opilio season, and
- 3 | so the Coast Guard was very invested in making sure a
- 4 lot of, you know, that we were doing as best we could
- 5 | with these at the dock stability checks in hopes of
- 6 having a casualty free season. But that, it didn't turn
- 7 out that way. (Next slide.)
- 8 On January 15<sup>th</sup>, the first day of the last
- 9 Olympic opilio crab season the Big Valley, a 92-foot
- 10 crab boat out of Kodiak sank west of St. Paul Island
- 11 with six people on board. A helo launched out of St.
- 12 Paul and arrived on scene, found a debris field, and
- 13 recovered a single crewmember in a survival suit from
- 14 the raft.
- 15 Given the facts that the boat had departed
- 16 loaded from Dutch Harbor for the start of the opilio
- 17 season, and given that there was no mayday, the Coast
- 18 Guard immediately suspected that the boat had capsized.
- 19 This chain of events was confirmed in an interview with
- 20 | the only survivor.
- 21 Following the loss of the vessel, a review of
- 22 | the Coast Guard's at the dock stability checks, and
- 23 | fishing vessel safety dockside exams from previous
- 24 | years, had revealed two Coast Guard interactions with
- 25 | the Big Valley, related to vessel stability issues.

The first time the Coast Guard went on the Big Valley for a stability check, the stability letter on board lacked basic information and adequate instructions for the master. At that time, the Coast Guard wrote the owner a letter requiring, or directing the owner that he needed to get an updated stability letter with the required information, which the owner did. (Next slide please.)

After the new stability letter was issued, the owner then significantly altered the vessel by adding a salon after the wheelhouse. You can see it marked there in the red square. This addition to the vessel did not escape Coast Guard detection. The amount of weight associated with the addition invalidated the newly issued stability letter and he was again directed by the Coast Guard to get a new stability letter, which showed the actual configuration and construction of the boat. This was done in May of 2004.

With this new stability letter in hand, the boat was limited to load 31, 600 pound pots, and carry 2,000 pounds of bait. Despite the new stability letter, the boat departed Dutch Harbor with 56, 780 pound pots and 13,000 pounds of bait, more than double the deck load of what he was authorized. The boat capsized the next day, and it was the first sinking, again, in the

1 | crab fleet in five years. (Next slide please.)

In August of 2005 is when the Rationalization of the Bering Sea crab fishery began. The changes in fishery management, known as Crab Rationalization represented monumental changes. Instead of racing for a common pool of quota, owners, captains, and processing companies were allocated quota, which could be bought, sold, traded, or leased. And again, Mr. Jacobsen spelled this out in great detail, I won't go into any more.

Rationalization, a number of things happened. The fleet severely contracted in the first year, from 270 vessels in the Red King Crab fishery, to approximately 80 vessels. Less econ -- and Doctor Lincoln will go into this some more -- but the less economically efficient vessels, and smaller vessels dropped out of the fishery and because there was no longer a race to fish they could avoid poor weather. Because there was less emphasis on catching power, vessels were carrying fewer pots, and the overall pace of the fishery while still rigorous, was much slower than under open access.

The change to Rationalization also very much impacted how the Coast Guard looked at working with this fleet. Again, we had been working for several years in

VIII-157

- 1 | a row with a concept of a race start, where we could
- 2 bring in people just prior to the start of the season,
- 3 and have an intensive effort in Dutch Harbor and the
- 4 various ports.
- 5 Because it was now a rationalized fishery and
- 6 | people could start whenever they wanted to start, after
- 7 | a certain date, we thought it was going to be much more
- 8 difficult to keep, to have that interaction with the
- 9 fishing fleet.
- 10 As a result, MSO Anchorage moved their fishing
- 11 | vessel safety billet to Dutch Harbor in 2005. This
- 12 | would facilitate dockside exams for the fleet, as well
- 13 as ensure the fishing vessel safety persons would be
- 14 available to support the departure checks, and reporting
- 15 | requirements. (Next slide please.)
- So in conclusion, the combined effects of the
- 17 | -- when we look at the casualty data, I think there is
- 18 two phases here. The first phase from 1999 to 2005,
- 19 where the Rationalization of the fishery began, clearly
- 20 | the capsizing events stopped. With the outside, with
- 21 | the exception of the Big Valley, which, you know,
- 22 | something that was, you know, the Coast Guard
- 23 | specifically designed a program for that kind of issue.
- 24 And it just goes to show you can't catch everything.
- 25 But that that safety program clearly had an

- 1 | impact, and started improving, you know, overall safety
- within the fleet. With Crab Rationalization, and the
- 3 operational changes, in how that fishery was managed,
- 4 | that very much reinforced what the Coast Guard was
- 5 | already doing. Vessels didn't need to carry -- have as
- 6 | much catching power, they didn't need to carry as much
- 7 | gear, they did not have to leave, you know, in a -- with
- 8 a race start.
- 9 So, I see it as those two programs very much
- 10 dovetailing, and supporting each other. And maybe one
- 11 becomes more important over time than the other. But
- 12 clearly together, the combined effects of those programs
- were quite remarkable.
- 14 Ironically, while Rationalization
- 15 | significantly changed operations which allowed for a
- 16 | safer fishery, Rationalization also limited the impacts
- of the Coast Guard safety net that had been in place for
- 18 so many years. Again, without the race start it was
- 19 hard to do stability checks in an efficient manner.
- 20 | Because the seasons were longer, and D17 in 2013, they
- 21 discontinued pre-staging a helicopter in St. Paul.
- 22 And overall, due to the drop in vessel
- 23 | sinkings and fatalities, there was, and this is just my
- 24 opinion, there was less focus and emphasis on this
- 25 | fleet. And partially, as a result of that in 2012 the

fishing vessel safety examiner billet in Dutch Harbor
was moved back to Anchorage.

So overall the turnaround in safety

performance of the crab fleet is nothing short of

remarkable. And the improvements can be directly tied

to these two programs. And again, with the start with

the Coast Guard and NIOSH, and the collaboration with

the fishing industry, and later with rationalization

programs.

Speaking specifically to the Coast Guard programs, these efforts were far more than simple compliance programs. The safety programs were predicated on the Coast Guard working together in Seattle, Anchorage, and Dutch Harbor to actively engage with the fleet, and that included developing personal relationships with the fleet leadership, individual owners and operators, and taking the extra effort to both promote and execute this program.

And in order to continue to hold on to the safety gains brought about over the years, through the Coast Guard and through Rationalization, it is my view a strong Coast Guard engagement remains necessary. And that is all I have.

CDR MULLER: Okay. Thank you Mr. Woodley. I will open it up to just a few questions, you know for

- 1 the Board if you don't mind.
- THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 3 CDR MULLER: But I would just ask that you
- 4 keep it specific to the topic presented by Mr. Woodley,
- 5 | because we also look forward and want to save time for
- 6 | Captain Lincoln's presentation. So, just one or two
- 7 questions.

13

## 8 EXAMINATION

- 9 BY CDR MULLER:
- Q. So when you initiated the initial spot checks
  safety and stability compliance checks, so it was -- one
  of the objectives was to verify compliance or of the

number of pots to the stability book, correct?

- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Was there also -- did you examine the effects
  of icing, and also make sure they were compliant with
  icing at that time? And perhaps that was also when you
  did these in the early fall, so icing may not have been
  present.
- A. Right. Yeah, icing is not typically an issue in the Red King Crab Fishery in October. So the first time we, you know, we caught a little bit of a break in that we didn't really have to look at those icing loads, because that is just typically a lower number of pots.
- 25 When it came to the January opilio fishery

- 1 though, yes we did have to look at that. The challenge
- 2 | was then, and I don't know to what extent forecasting
- 3 has improved, but at the time it could, you know, it
- 4 | could be difficult to predict where you were going to
- 5 | have icing. The Bering Sea Ice Desk that I mentioned,
- 6 | we worked with them quite a bit to help, you know,
- 7 | further refine that. But it was something that we were
- 8 certainly aware of.
- And in terms of enforcement, though, I think,
- 10 | you know, we did have the one year where there was a
- 11 | weather delay because of severe weather and icing.
- 12 But honestly, I think those next couple of years were
- 13 pretty much ice free. I know that the opilio season for
- 14 January 2005 when the Big Valley went down, there was no
- 15 | icing. It was a very, very warm year there.
- 16 And again, the opilio fisheries in those years
- 17 | were very, very short, just couple of days. So it is,
- 18 you know, and so I think, I mean honestly we got lucky
- 19 probably those years, and we just didn't have those
- 20 kinds of icing conditions.
- Q. And that's something, I think, for the Board
- 22 | to consider. Maybe I will make a statement, and then
- 23 | you can see if you agree with it. I would think that
- 24 | icing, from that we gathered over the last week and a
- 25 half of testimony, it's not like it's applied when icing

- 1 conditions exist.
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. So then the next question is, when does it
- 4 exist?

10

- 5 A. Right.
- Q. Is it a particular moment in time when you step on board in Dutch Harbor, which can be thirty-five degrees out, constantly, for days on end, with -- or are we projecting situational awareness out to an

operational area that could be 3, 4 days away.

- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. Three hundred miles away, you know. So, I
  13 think what I am getting at, the discussion of icing as
  14 it applies to stability, imagining, I would imagine
  15 would involve a conversation, a dialogue, perhaps a
  16 little push and pull between the Coast Guard vessel
  17 examiner and the vessel master to say let's talk about
  18 this.
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. And that takes additional time.
- A. Right. You have hit on the issue exactly. I
  mean, and those were the issues that we struggled with
  there, what's the quality of the forecast, how many days
  out are we talking about, what area are you fishing,
  those are all things that, you know, you -- it's

- 1 important to have the conversation with the master, and
- 2 the master is aware of, you know, the potential for
- 3 | icing and aware of what the potential conditions could
- 4 be.
- I mean, I think -- and once they get out on
- 6 scene then maybe they -- they may have to get rid of
- 7 pots. And then, you know, certainly heard many
- 8 | anecdotal stories over the years about when, you know,
- 9 | icing would happen that you may have to dump pots, you
- 10 may have to turn around or any number of options.
- I think the key thing though, is just making
- 12 | sure that the stability letters are accurate and that
- 13 | the -- if there is an icing situation that the captain
- 14 knows, you know, how he needs to address that.
- 15 Yeah but to -- I mean, if you do a tank check
- 16 | in Sand Point and the boat is going to transit around
- 17 and the Coast Guard goes on board in Sand Point, you
- 18 | could have, you know, says before you get into icing
- 19 | conditions. So it doesn't make much sense to require it
- 20 | at that point. That is -- it's a tough issue, and I,
- 21 | it's, I don't think it is one that we ever really
- 22 resolved, when I was involved, anyways.
- Q. Okay, Thank you Mr. Woodley. I might have a
- 24 | few questions, but they may be more appropriate for the
- 25 | -- collectively, the both of you. So but I will

VIII-164

1 consider that later. Anybody else from the Board. Mr.

2 | Gillette?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- MR. GILLETTE: Yes Commander, thank you.
- 4 EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. GILLETTE:
- 6 Q. Good afternoon Mr. Woodley.
- 7 A. Afternoon.
- 8 Q. You mentioned a pattern of loaded vessels
  9 sinking and capsizing. The vessels that you mentioned,
  10 was this primarily during King Crab season or opilio
  11 season?
- 12 A. Opilio season. That's, if you look at the
  13 casualty data, that is where, typically the major
  14 capsizing events with fatalities occur.

They were all, back in the earlier 90's up through, I think 1996, there was also a November Tanner Crab Fishery that went on from, I want to say, the first week in November through around Thanksgiving. And that fishery tended to occur out west. So west of St. -- west of the Pribilofs. And I believe there was also some incidents there that happened in later November that were stability related, or loading related.

But for the most part, and again, that fishery stopped in 1996. But for the most part, it is an opilio crab January, February, and March issue.

```
1
         Q.
              Thank you Mr. Woodley. No more questions,
    Commander.
2
              CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Gillette. Mr.
3
4
    Karr, NTSB?
5
              MR. KARR: No Questions.
              CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak?
6
7
              MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
              CDR MULLER: Thank you. Okay, Captain
8
   Lincoln.
9
              THE WITNESS/DR. LINCOLN: I need to adjust
10
    this so I can sit up straight.
11
                          PRESENTATION
12
    BY DR. LINCOLN:
13
              Hi everyone, my name is Jennifer Lincoln. I
14
    am an injury epidemiologist. And what that means is
15
    that I study patterns of injuries in populations. I
16
    started working at NIOSH in 1992. And that was right
17
    after NIOSH established the office in Anchorage, Alaska
18
19
    to look at workplace fatalities among all worker in the
    State of Alaska, because of the high rate of fatalities
20
    that that State had.
21
22
              It was very quickly identified that the
23
    fishing industry was an industry that we needed to
24
    engage with, and understand more regarding hazards and
```

solutions to improve safety in that industry.

25

So we grew the fishing research project in Alaska during the 1990's. I met Chris in the mid-1990's, and feel like we kind of grew up in the -- I grew up learning about the fishing industry from going with the Coast Guard on dockside examination, sitting in wheelhouses, and listening to captains tell me about their concerns. And I realized that the way that I analyze data, the way that I collect information and analyze it was very powerful, and very informative to base decisions on. 

The NIOSH program in the 1990's, was -- we started by tailoring the -- this information by what types of hazards were specific for salmon, for the salmon fleet, or for the crab fleet.

In 2002 I was fortunate enough to pursue a PhD at Johns Hopkins University. I graduated in 2006, and my dissertation topic was evaluating the Commercial Fishing Vessel Safety Act, and showing how the emergency gear that the Coast Guard required saves lives. I also looked at how marine safety training effected whether or not, how likely someone was to survive an event whether or not they had marine safety training.

After returning from John's Hopkins, I went back to the Anchorage Office, and expanded the research program from a State specific program to a national

1 program.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

At this time, our research -- we have active research projects in every coast of the country. I have been asked to get involved in marine safety issues in Guam, in American Samoa, and also in Rome, Italy. NIOSH is recognized, both nationally and internationally as a 6 very important player in fishing safety research.

Today, what I would like to talk about is a few of the research projects that we have conducted with the Bering Sea crab fleet. And I think that we can go ahead and get started.

So although I wear a uniform, I don't work for an organization that has regulatory authority. NIOSH and OSHA were created at the same time, but NIOSH and OSHA are not the same organizations. NIOSH is under the Department of Health and Human Services. It is part of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the CDC and we conduct research, training, and we make training and prevention recommendations.

OSHA, on the other hand, is a regulatory and enforcement agency. And they are under the Department of Labor. We were created by the same Occupational Safety and Health Act, but we are not the same organization.

I also list the U.S. Coast Guard up here

- 1 because many times when people see my uniform they think
- 2 | that I'm in the Coast Guard, and I am in the Public
- 3 Health Service. When you start working at the
- 4 Department of Health and Human Services, you have a
- 5 | choice to be a civilian or to be an active duty officer.
- 6 And I chose to be an officer in the Public Health
- 7 Service.
- 8 | NIOSH doesn't have a mandate to do research in
- 9 commercial fishing, on the commercial fishing industry.
- 10 Our mission is to ensure a safe and healthy workplace
- 11 for all workers in the United States. The reason that I
- 12 | got involved in fishing vessel safety, is because of my
- 13 initial job for NIOSH was at the Alaska field office.
- 14 And as I stated earlier, it was obvious that we had to
- 15 engage the fishing industry to improve safety in that
- 16 State. (If we go to the next slide.)
- 17 So the NOISH Commercial Fishing Safety
- 18 Research and Design Program is a research, we do
- 19 | scientific research on safety problems in the fishing
- 20 | industry, and we work with industry to identify
- 21 | solutions for those hazards. Our information is high
- 22 quality, it is relevant information.
- 23 And I have a few of those documents, I think,
- 24 | that I have shared with -- whether it is a descriptive
- 25 epidemiology on all workplace fatalities for each region

- of the country, or something as specific as what we did
  for the Bering Sea crab fleet.
- 3 Our research findings are used by the fishing
- 4 | industry, government agencies, and marine safety
- 5 trainers to guide their programs and policies. (Next
- 6 | slide please.)
- 7 So unfortunately, when the PowerPoint was
- 8 | converted into a PDF, I lost the animation on the slide.
- 9 So what I typically do with this slide is I talk through
- 10 | these things one at a time. This slide illustrates the
- 11 number of lives lost in the fishery industry in Alaska
- 12 from 1990 to 2006. Now this is for any fishery in
- 13 Alaska, this is not just the crab fleet.
- 14 The first thing that NIOSH evaluated to see if
- 15 they -- it had an impact on safety was the Commercial
- 16 Fishing Industry Vessel Safety Act Regulations. So that
- 17 is the box, that's the bar that's at the beginning of
- 18 the 1990's. So at that time, that's when those
- 19 regulations were being implemented. And what NIOSH is
- 20 | showing is that there was a significant impact on
- 21 | fishing safety in the 1990's because of those
- 22 regulations.
- 23 The next boxes that I typically show are where
- 24 | the stability checks started in 1999, and ACSA, the
- 25 Alternate Compliance Safety Agreement, which started in

the mid-2000's. Those two programs are U.S. Coast Guard tailored interventions for specific fleets. NIOSH has evaluated both of these programs to show an improvement in safety, a reduction in risk for the fleets that those particular programs were developed for.

Other things that NIOSH has evaluated are changes in fishery management practices. So those three arrows show in 1995, in 1999, and in 2005 there were changes in the way that specific fisheries were managed. The first being the halibut, sable fish fleet in 1995, changed to a quota based management system. The American Fisheries Act was the change in 1999. And then of course with the Bering Sea, Aleutian Island Crab Rationalization Program in 2005. All three of these NIOSH has evaluated and shown a reduction in risk after those fisheries management policies were put into place.

Other things that have happened over this time period is that there has been an improvement and more marine safety training that takes place in Alaska and the Pacific Northwest. I was pleased to see that you had invited AMSEA and NPFVOA to be part of the panel next. But these two organizations continue to train fishermen in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska.

There is also corporate safety programs that were developed that -- and have been enhanced, and are

VIII-171

```
1 more robust over this time period. And I know that by,
```

- 2 that my experience listening to captains that they are -
- 3 |- and in talking to crew is that there has been an
- 4 improved, there has been a change in the safety culture.
- Now these things, as far as safety culture and
- 6 | corporate safety management programs, aren't something
- 7 | that we have evaluated. But it is something that can't
- 8 be ignored if you want to look at all of the things that
- 9 happened in the fishing fleet in Alaska over this 27
- 10 | year period to reduce risk in the fishing industry. (I
- 11 think if we go to the next slide. Oh, go to the next
- 12 slide.)
- 13 Still speaking in general and not about --
- 14 (Not that far yet.) Just still speaking about in
- 15 general, not about the Bering Sea crab fleet
- 16 specifically. I wanted to talk a little bit about quota
- 17 based management systems and safety.
- So, about ten years ago, I was at an
- 19 international conference where the issue of fisheries
- 20 | management and safety came up with the safety scientists
- 21 there. And it is -- and typically, when this comes up
- 22 | they, people say if -- everybody just needs to change to
- 23 | a quota based management system and everything will be
- 24 safer.
- 25 And I wanted to talk a little bit about the,

my thinking about this, and what I have published on this. Because it is not -- a quota based management system does provide risk reduction measures. But it is not a silver bullet. And I wanted to explain, just a little bit, about what that means. So whether we are talking about IFQ's, rationalizations, quota systems, it is when an allocation is given to a person, a vessel, or an entity.

It usually consolidates the fleet, and the most efficient vessels are left harvesting. There is fewer overall number of crew fishing. And typically they are fishing for more days per year than they were prior. It lengthens the total period of time fish are caught, and it ends the race for fish. Which means that it ends the race to catch fish before somebody else does. But there are still choices that are made by the —— by people that participate in the fishery. And it doesn't end the drive for efficiency, there is still a drive to —— for vessels to be efficient and catch their seafood as fast as they can.

These quota based management systems allows for operators to choose to avoid bad weather and they improve economic stability within the fleet. So that they are, it allows for the investment in the vessel, the investment in the crew, and the overall operation of

1 the boat.

So there is a variety of reasons why quota based management systems can enhance safety, but there are still choices that go into these day in and day out activities of fishing. (If you go to the next slide.)

The next section at the top, talks about the safety impacts of the Bering Sea Aleutian Islands Crab

safety impacts of the Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands Crab Rationalization five-year review. So the -- any quota based system, and quota based management system has to be reviewed every five years. And this five-year review, Mr. Woodley and I wrote the safety section for, and then the, and then there is a, we did another -- we wrote the safety section for the ten-year review as well. And I will talk about that in a moment. (You go to the next slide.)

The first thing we did, was we looked at the fatalities -- if there had been any fatalities occurred in the first five years of the -- after rationalization. And what we found was that there was one fatal fall overboard that occurred in 2009 after Crab Rationalization.

So then we had to think about what other things can we try to measure to show that there is a reduction in risk, a quantitative reduction in risk.

(So we go to the next slide.)

We thought about these, this fishery still
takes place in the winter with cold temperatures and
icing, high winds and seas, poor weather. The vessels
prior to rationalization were, some were vessels that
were under 85 feet sometimes, and some were greater than
125 feet.

We knew that the season lengths were shrinking, and that there was a race to fish prior to rationalization. These vessels are crewed with 5 to 7 people and the pots weigh 750 to 850 pounds empty, and they are loaded 3 to 5 tiers high.

So looking at the situation that we had, we were trying to see what can be reduced, what can -- what information is collected that we could see and measure a reduction in risk. So a couple things that we identified -- go to the next slide -- this table shows two, shows two fisheries, the Bristol Bay Red King Crab and the Bering Sea opilio crab fishery.

And across the top you will see the vessels and the lengths. So vessels less than 85 feet, vessels 85 to 100, vessels 101 to 125 feet, and vessels great than 125 feet. After rationalization, the immediate thing that happens is the number of vessels that participate shrink. And what we showed is that the smaller the vessel, the more reduction happened after

- 1 rationalization. So if you look at vessels that are
- 2 less than 85 feet there was a 93 to 100 % reduction.
- 3 Those vessels just weren't fishing, they weren't
- 4 participating anymore.
- And vessels 85 to 100 feet, there was a 77 %
- 6 reduction, or a 71 % reduction. So we saw, so we could
- 7 show the smaller the vessels the higher the reduction,
- 8 they dropped out of the fishery after rationalization.
- 9 The other thing that we looked at -- if you go
- 10 to the next slide -- was looking at the average days
- 11 fished. So the season, this -- the 2001 to 2005 season
- 12 | leading up to rationalization you will see that for
- 13 Bristol Bay Red King Crab, they were fishing for just a
- 14 few days. And then for the last three years, for opilio
- 15 they were fishing for a, just a few days.
- 16 After rationalization those average days
- 17 | fished increased. And that now we are looking at -- at
- 18 that time we were looking at more like 2 to 3 to as many
- 19 as five weeks fishing depending on which fishery. So it
- 20 | changed dramatically. So number of vessels shrunk a
- 21 lot, number of days that the vessels that stayed in,
- 22 | that were fishing increased. (Next slide please.)
- 23 Another thing that is measured, that we looked
- 24 | at, was the average pot lifts per vessel day. What we
- 25 say was that for Red King Crab, there was a 32 %

- 1 reduction in the average pot lifts per vessel day. And
- 2 | a 17 % reduction for the opilio fishery. So if you
- 3 | allow that pot lift per vessel day indicates the pace at
- 4 | which a fishery is executed on deck, then we show that
- 5 | the pace decreased after rationalization. So they were
- 6 | fishing just a little bit slower. (Next slide.)
- 7 Other things, so as far as a delayed
- 8 departure, so a quota based management system allows you
- 9 to choose when you fish, and can avoid bad weather. I
- 10 | couldn't figure out, we couldn't figure out a way to
- 11 measure a delay in departure. We, but we know
- 12 anecdotally that operators did choose to do that.
- The other thing that we identified, and Jake
- 14 talked about quite extensively, was the vessel
- 15 cooperatives, and the way that they, that they are a
- 16 great tool to reduce risk.
- And then as far as fewer pots, the -- when the
- 18 | -- during the stability checks something that we noted
- 19 was that after rationalization you saw that the number
- 20 of overall pots that were being carried out to go fish
- 21 decreased. They didn't need the catching power that
- 22 | they needed when they were racing each other to catch
- 23 | fish. (You can go to the next slide, please.)
- Other things that we noted in the report, was
- 25 that there was still, there continued to be a desire to

- minimize the days at sea to reduce operational costs,
  even after rationalization.
- We also noted that it was a very complicated

  program, and it requires scheduling, and that schedules

  -- and then a schedule prevents everything, everyone

  from delivering at once. But these schedules are very

  important for this complicated management system. (Next

  slide, please.)

- In the report we made several recommendations.

  One of which, involved stability letters. And this was based on our discussions with the naval architects. So, we said that the stability letters that owners should evaluate stability letters every five years and update as necessary. And that incline tests should be conducted at intervals no longer than ten years. I can't find this written down anywhere, this was based on conversations with naval architects at the time. (Next slide.)
  - So this slide, this information I included because NIOSH has -- as I said before, NIOSH is not a regulatory agency. We have very few NIOSH policies that we -- most of our recommendations aren't at the level of a NIOSH policy, except when we publish a Current Intelligence Bulletin.
- So back in 1997 we published a Current

- 1 Intelligence Bulletin and made eleven recommendations on
- 2 how to improve fishing vessel safety in the State of
- 3 Alaska. And our number one recommendation was a
- 4 requirement for a periodic stability reassessment and
- 5 | vessel inspection for all vessels, and that that should
- 6 be seriously considered. And, because equipping and
- 7 | retrofitting can substantially affect the stability of
- 8 | the vessels. (we go to the next slide.)
- 9 So the -- to summarize this five-year review
- 10 | we found that the fishing season length increased, but
- 11 there were fewer smaller vessels participating in the
- 12 | crab fisheries. That the vessel cooperatives were an
- 13 effective way to reduce risk. That the number of pots
- 14 | that were carried, decreased, and there were -- and that
- 15 the pot lifts per day rate also decreased.
- So if we go to -- I think the next slide is a
- 17 | time -- the timeline again. So in 2015 NIOSH was asked
- 18 to write the safety section for the next review of the
- 19 Crab Rationalization Program. There were no fatalities
- 20 at the -- during this time interval. So this takes us
- 21 | through the 2014/2015 season.
- 22 So what -- Devon Lucas and Samantha Case, who
- 23 | I work with, what they did instead was to review the
- 24 | marine casualties that had been reported by the Bering
- 25 | Sea crab fleet to the U.S. Coast Guard. (So if you go

to the next slide.)

This is a picture of the document that we

published that discussed these marine casualties. So,

as you know, marine casualties can either be personnel

casualties, or something that happens to a vessel,

vessel casualties. The report contains information on

both. The report contains information on these non
fatal injuries that were reported to the Coast Guard

that were experienced by this fleet.

The second half of the document talks about the vessel casualties that were reported by the fleet.

And that's the only part that I summarize for this presentation. Everything else is in the document. (If you go to the next slide.)

I wanted to explain, just a little bit, about how NIOSH gets its information. So when a marine casualty is reported to the Coast Guard, and that's either a vessel casualty or a personnel casualty, the U.S. Coast Guard does the investigation.

But, what happens then, we have a Memorandum of Agreement with the Coast Guard, and we then read your investigation reports. And we read them and we code them for information, and enter them into our database, the Commercial Fishing Incident Database. So therefore, the information -- so we don't analyze Coast Guard data,

we read your investigations, we code them, and then we analyze the data that's in our database. (Next slide.)

So just to summarize, when I talk about a

vessel casualty, I'm talking about things like loss of

propulsion, grounding, collisions, loss of power,

flooding, fire, loss of steering. And when we, when we

read the reports, we also then categorized them by

severity.

So a disaster, what we would call a vessel disaster is something that resulted in the crew having to abandon the vessel. And so, in these eight seasons that we looked at, there were no events like that in the Bering Sea crab fleet.

A serious event, is when a casualty occurs and the vessel needs assistance getting back to port. And the problem is resolved at port. 26 % of the vessel casualties that occurred in the Bering Sea crab fleet were in this category. Moderate means that the vessel did have to go back to port to resolve the problem, but they did so under their own power. Minor, means that something happened at sea, but the crew was able to address it at sea. But still, there was a casualty that occurred that needed to be reported to the Coast Guard. Most of these vessel casualties that occurred in the Bering Sea crab fleet were due to the loss of

1 | propulsion. (next slide, please.)

We made several recommendations in this document and the ones that pertained to vessel casualties, we recommended that vessels continue to participate in the Coast Guard at the dock Stability and Safety Compliance Check Program, each year prior to the crab season. We suggested that periodically, that they periodically consult a naval architect to refresh knowledge of safe loading limits, and adhere to stability instructions.

We also made a recommendation to update and formalize maintenance procedure for propulsion, power, steering, and other critical systems, and closely follow the established schedule. And we also, the other recommendation we made is that all crewmembers should take am eight hour marine safety class at least every five years to maintain the skills needed in an emergency. (So if you go to the next slide.)

So in conclusion, the Bering Sea crab fleet has experienced a huge decline in fatalities, and in the rate of fatalities. And this had occurred since 1999. The development and implementation of the stability checks was challenging and a change from the fishery rationalized. The quota based management systems can reduce risk. This fishery, this Bering Sea crab fishery

- occurs in the winter with huge, vessels have huge
- 2 variations in loading conditions, and there is
- 3 definitely competing priorities out there in the fishing
- 4 grounds.
- 5 The evaluation of the Bering Sea, Aleutian
- 6 Islands Rationalization Program showed many risk
- 7 | reduction attributes. And the -- when we look at vessel
- 8 casualties that didn't result in a fatality, most of
- 9 them are moderate, and most of them were due to the loss
- 10 of propulsion.
- So if we look at the timeline with the
- 12 addition of the 2016/2017 season, we see now, that the -
- 13 there hasn't been a -- if we go to the next slide --
- 14 this is the first time that there has been a fatal event
- 15 in the Bering Sea crab fleet since 2009. The first time
- 16 that we've lost a vessel, and the first time a vessel
- 17 is, was lost with lives lost since 2005. (Next slide
- 18 please.)
- 19 So, you know, as a safety scientist, I can't
- 20 sit here and say that this is a safe way to make your
- 21 living. But we've described a variety of things like
- 22 stability checks, rationalization, weather reporting,
- 23 good mariner practice that the, helps reduce and
- 24 | contain, contain the risks. And I think that the
- 25 | Fishing Vessel Safety Act, the training to practice good

- 1 -- the training to know how to react to an emergency at
- 2 | sea, maintaining and following stability instructions,
- 3 participating in safety and stability checks,
- 4 participating in dockside exams that are now mandatory
- 5 | for this fleet, rationalization that exists that
- 6 provides for economic stability, options to avoid bad
- 7 | weather, and no more racing to fish, to catch fish
- 8 before the next guy, all these things combined help
- 9 | reduce the risk in the fishery overall. (Next slide.)
- 10 That's the end, so great, thank you.
- 11 CDR MULLER: Thank you Captain, that was
- 12 | certainly most informative, and enjoyable. Again, I
- 13 think the Board will very much benefit from that report.

## 14 EXAMINATION

- 15 BY CDR MULLER:
- 16 Q. I have just one follow-up question. And some
- 17 of it is a little bit self-serving because of my, at
- 18 some point segue to our next segment, which is regarding
- 19 AMSEA and North Pacific Fishing Vessel Owner's
- 20 Association, essentially training program possibilities.
- 21 A. Um-hmm.
- 22 Q. So with that in mind. I sort of gravitated to
- 23 | your presentation, you mentioned a few times, marine
- 24 safety training.
- 25 A. Yeah.

```
1 Q. And perhaps, I guess that is related to the
```

- 2 training to better enable crew and the vessel master to
- 3 | work together to react to emergency situations at sea.
- 4 | So, in your presentation you emphasized a few times, not
- 5 only the need to have it, encouraging that all
- 6 crewmembers have it. But the one, in particular was
- 7 | refresher training, or at least every five years.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Can you explain, perhaps through research, or
- 10 | why you, why NIOSH or your office came to that
- 11 | conclusion.
- 12 A. Sure, yes, I am happy to. I should also
- mention that I am a member of the AMSEA Board so I will
- 14 be listening to Jerry speak later, since I am his boss,
- 15 sort of.
- Q. Okay. So, and I look forward to your talk,
- 17 Jerry.
- 18 A. So, sure. So the first time -- my
- 19 dissertation was looking at victims and survivors of
- 20 vessel losses that occurred in Alaska over a ten-year
- 21 period. And so what I had, what I did is I looked at
- 22 | whether or not each person involved used an immersion
- 23 | suit, used a life raft, whether or not an EPIRB was
- 24 | involved, and whether or not the person had marine
- 25 safety training. I also looked at how recent that

- 1 training was.
- 2 And what I can show is that survivors were
- 3 | fifty percent more likely to have had a marine safety
- 4 training, a marine safety class, as long as they had
- 5 that class within five years. So -- I gotta say this
- 6 | succinctly -- survivors have a fifty percent chance, a
- 7 | fifty percent more chance of being a survivor if they
- 8 | had a marine safety class within the last five years.
- 9 CDR MULLER: Thank you.
- 10 THE WITNESS/DR. LINCOLN: Um-hm.
- 11 CDR MULLER: Other members of the Board? Mr.
- 12 | Gillette?
- MR. GILLETTE: I have no follow-up questions.
- 14 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Mr. Karr?
- 15 EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. KARR:
- Q. Captain Lincoln when you discussed the post
- 18 rationalization hazards or risk, you mentioned the word,
- 19 something about reduction of operational costs and
- 20 | scheduling. Can you tell me what data, conversations,
- 21 | you know, what information did you look at that made you
- 22 | come to those conclusions?
- 23 A. Right, right. So should we go to that so we
- 24 can show the --
- 25 Q. Sure.

If you could back up to -- I don't know what Α. the slide number is, but it is before this second This one. So to prepare for this presentation section. I went back to things that are already published. So I didn't, I needed to keep my comments and my presentations to things that NIOSH has previously published. This is part of the five-year review that Chris Woodley and I did for the North Pacific Fishery Management council. 

And in that report, there were different sections. And we had a section of -- I don't remember if we called it exactly, competing priorities and choices, but it was other issues that came up as we were talking to fishermen about rationalization. And then just, just observations. So this isn't a quantitative thing. I didn't measure anything. But it is -- talking to, talking to fisherman, listening to fishermen about rationalization, there -- it's obvious that there is a, there is a continued desire to minimize days at sea, to reduce operational costs. And this has come out before. Before even today, it's come up today.

As far as the, the scheduling, it's just to mention that it is a complicated program. And we did mention scheduling in that report -- not as a, oh my goodness, something has to be done about it. But as an

- observation. This is a very complicated program, and
- 2 | the scheduling prevents everyone from delivering all at
- 3 once.
- 4 Q. So the scheduling you are talking about is
- 5 arranging to get your --
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. -- crabs to the processing facility.
- 8 A. Right, um-hmm.
- 9 Q. That was a good explanation. Anything else
- 10 you'd like to add?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Thanks.
- CDR MULLER: Ms. Spivak, do you have any
- 14 questions?
- MS. SPIVAK: I don't have any questions, but
- 16 if I may, Doctor Lincoln, Mr. Woodley, I think your
- 17 | names are well known in the industry and I just want to
- 18 thank you for taking such personal interest in the
- 19 crabbing industry. And for all your efforts to improve
- 20 the safety.
- 21 You showed us so many numbers and statistics
- 22 on how many people have been lost at sea during this
- 23 past twenty-seven years. There is no numbers of how
- 24 | many have been saved because of your efforts, but I
- 25 | don't think there is any doubt that as a result of you

- 1 efforts many lives have been saved, thank you.
- 2 CDR MULLER: Thank you Ms. Spivak. And you
- 3 know, with that. I recommend we close, because that it
- 4 difficult to follow-up with, thank you.
- 5 So, I think we will wrap up the questions that
- 6 | the Board, or that we have for you. But before we wrap
- 7 | up and your presentations so we can make room for next
- 8 panel. Is there anything else that you would like to
- 9 add for the Board to consider, as we move forward with
- 10 our investigation, and/or some other factors that
- 11 perhaps were not address today?
- 12 THE WITNESS/MR. WOODLEY: I don't have
- 13 anything, no.
- 14 THE WITNESS/DR. LINCOLN: I don't either.
- 15 CDR MULLER: Okay, thank you. So with that,
- 16 thank you again. You are now released as a witness to
- 17 this Marine Board Investigation, thank you very much for
- 18 your testimony and cooperation. If I later determine
- 19 that this Board needs additional information from you we
- 20 | will contact you.
- 21 If you have any questions on this
- 22 investigation, please feel free to contact LCDR Mendoza,
- 23 | thank you again.
- 24 We will take a fifteen minute recess, thank
- 25 you.

1	(Whereupon a fifteen minute recess was taken.)
2	CDR MULLER: Good afternoon, this hearing will
3	come to order. We would now like to call our next
4	witnesses, Ms. Conrad and Mr. Dzugan. Please approach
5	the witness table, and LCDR Mendoza will administer the
6	oath.
7	LCDR MENDOZA: Please raise your right hand.
8	WITNESS
9	MS. KAREN CONRAD/NPFVA
10	MR. JERRY DZUGAN/AMSEA
11	A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
12	was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and
13	testified as follows:
14	THE WITNESS/MR. DZUGAN: I do.
15	THE WITNESS/MS. CONRAD: I do.
16	LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Sir, could
17	you please state your full name, and spell your last
18	name for the record?
19	THE WITNESS/MR. DZUGAN: My name is Jerry, J-
20	E-R-R-Y, Dzugen, D-Z-U-G-A-N, don't bother pronouncing
21	the "Z".
22	LCDR MENDOZA: Ma'am, if you can state your
23	full name and spell your last name for the record.
24	A. MS. CONRAD: My name is Karen Conrad, C-O-
25	N-R-A-D

```
1
              LCDR MENDOZA: Sir, can you state your current
    employment and position title?
2
              THE WITNESS/MR. DZUGAN: I am the Executive
3
4
    Director for the Alaska Marine Safety Education
5
    Association, or AMSEA, based in Sitka, Alaska.
              LCDR MENDOZA: Ma'am, could you state your
6
7
    current employment and position title?
8
              THE WITNESS/MS. CONRAD: I am the Executive
    Director for North Pacific Fishing Vessel Owners
9
    Association, here in Seattle, Washington.
10
              LCDR MENDOZA: Sir do you hold any
11
    professional licenses or certificates?
12
              THE WITNESS/MR. DZUGAN: For twenty years I
13
    had a OUPV license, expired now. I have a number of
14
    education certificates and licenses, I don't think you
15
    need to go through the whole list. Been an educator for
16
    forty years, both in public schools, and a number of
17
    specialty courses related to fishing vessel safety.
18
19
              LCDR MENDOZA: Ma'am, do you hold any
    professional certificates?
20
              THE WITNESS/MS. CONRAD: No, I don't.
21
              LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you very much.
22
23
              CDR MULLER: So good afternoon, again, Mr.
24
    Dzugan, Ms. Conrad. Thank you very much for your
```

participation and for your assistance to this Marine

- 1 Board. So with this panel, I thought we, it would be
- 2 appropriate to have industry representatives such as
- 3 yourselves, who are very much involved in support of the
- 4 fishing vessel fleet and the crewmembers, and the
- 5 operators.
- 6 So, I want to include that for the
- 7 | consideration of the Board as we move forward, as we
- 8 develop our report. So without further adieu, if --
- 9 I'll ask a question, and then you know, if you could
- 10 take turns answering it. And then, you know, work with
- 11 each other if there is some additional information you
- 12 | want to address. And then, I think we will find a
- 13 natural solution there.

## EXAMINATION

15 | CDR MULLER:

- Q. So my first question is, if you would, please
- 17 describe the association, or organization that you are
- 18 part of, generally, what its mission or purpose is, and
- 19 your role and capacity in that organization.
- 20 A. MS. CONRAD: Okay, so I am the Executive
- 21 Director for NPFVOA, the vessel safety program. NPFVOA
- 22 has been around since 1961, but it started out as a crab
- 23 | lobbyist type group, and work on government affairs.
- In 1984 it was created into a safety program.
- 25 We are non-profit and run by a board of directors, and

then, of course myself. We created it to be a member
based program, so then when the fishing boats would join
us they had ownership into the courses. Most of our
courses were volunteer at the time it started, there
were no required courses, and that has changed over
time.

We have created safety materials, we do safety DVD's, we sell placards, we do drill logs, all the materials that a fisherman may need to have his life be a little bit easier in complying with regulations. Our main goal is to promote safety for fisherman. And my goal is to try to create an environment where fishermen can come to us and they can get answers to regulations, or what kind of training they need, or where they can get a license, or how they should train their crew.

We have Coast Guard approved courses, we have STCW approved courses, we do OSHA training, we have created individual courses just for whatever the vessel needs are.

So let's see, my board of directors are a mixed group throughout the industry. I have marine architects, I have marine attorneys, I have marine adjusters, brokers, I have crab fisherman, I have crab fishing vessel owners, I have factory trial (sounds like) safety advisors.

And then we do a quarterly newsletter to keep
our members up on what new regulations are coming out,
new safety programs, new safety items, something that,
you know -- and we try to bring those items into our
organization so anyone can stop by and see what's new

Q. Thank you. Mr. Dzugan?

and improved in the safety field. Thank you.

A. MR. DZUGAN: Our founders started in the 1970's in Alaska, trying to address some of the safety issues of the Kodiak King Crab Fishery in that area which suffered a high fatality rate.

So two people, one from the Coast Guard and one from the Marine Advisor Program, with no money. The Coast Guard guy said well I have a helicopter, I can fly around to rural ports. And the marine agent said, well I can talk.

So they went around and did a road show to remote fishing villages in Alaska, and developed some seminal materials from the Coast Guard rescue, well, what became the rescue swimmer school in Kodiak, Alaska. They had a number of meetings, until around 1984/1985 when we actually, officially, for our name, Alaska Marine Safety Education Association and became a regular non-profit.

Really quickly after that, we still keep

- 1 Alaska in our name, but we are really a national program
- 2 | now. About sixty-six percent of our training is outside
- 3 of Alaska on the East Coast, Gulf of Mexico, and West
- 4 Coast.
- But the basic, you could say business plan is
- 6 the same, which is to develop a network of qualified
- 7 | marine safety instructors that are port based, so they
- 8 | can provide training in their own port communities. And
- 9 where there weren't instructors, to be able to send
- 10 instructors to the next nearest, from the next nearest
- 11 port to go to those needed areas.
- 12 And in order to create that instructor
- 13 | network, we developed a marine safety instructor
- 14 curriculum, we do train the trainer classes to teach
- 15 people the marine fishing vessel safety instructors. We
- 16 provide them with gear, to do hands-on training with:
- 17 DVD's, books, training materials that they can use in
- 18 their classes.
- 19 And we give out Coast Guard accepted and
- 20 approved certificates depending on the type of course.
- 21 The course that we are most known for is our drill
- 22 | conductor course, and our marine safety instructor
- 23 | training course, which teaches, it is a 40-hour, 44 hour
- 24 train the trainer course. But we also do stability
- 25 | courses, and many other courses, about 40 or 50 other

1 | courses, shorter workshops than that.

And our board is also made up of marine safety advocates, mostly from Alaska, but some from outside. From relevant agencies, who are interested in marine safety, like has been mentioned already by NIOSH, the Coast Guard, and by fishermen themselves, so it is a mixture of private and public members of the board of directors. I think that says -- that pretty much wraps it up.

- Q. So Mr. Dzugan, would you say for AMSEA is the core of training program the drill instructor?
- A. I would say the core of our program is that instructor training class, where we teach people to be, to train drill conductors, that's what drives the whole program, what's what expands it. To provide training infrastructure for parts of the country that don't have it.

Because we realize that if -- fishermen need the access to training, and if there is areas of the nation that don't have access to training, they are not going to be able to, number one, meet requirements, and number two they are not going to get the protective effects of training.

Q. Okay. So Ms. Conrad, does your association provide similar type qualified training to meet the

drill instructor requirements?

- 2 A. Yes, we do. We have a drill instructor
- 3 | course. And then our other course -- well we have a
- 4 | couple courses that were invented just for the fishing
- 5 | side of things: marine weather, we do a stability
- 6 | course, we do a navigation/collision avoidance course,
- 7 | first aid/CPR, and then we do a safety equipment and
- 8 survival procedures course.
- 9 Q. Okay, thank you. Ms. Conrad I will start this
- 10 one with you. Are there specific, or a key manual, or
- 11 document, or textbook that your organization implements
- 12 | in this training?
- A. MS. CONRAD: We worked with the Coast Guard
- 14 back in the 80's and developed a fishing vessel safety
- 15 manual, and it is on its fifth edition right now.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Dzugan do you have a similar type
- 17 document?
- 18 A. MR. DZUGAN: We have a book called, "Beating
- 19 the odds on Northern Waters", now it is just called
- 20 Beating the Odds". And we give this to every person
- 21 who has taken the drill conductor course. So that's
- 22 sort of the text book for that student.
- 23 For the instructor, we have a Marine Safety
- 24 | Instructor Training Manual which is a collection of
- 25 lesson plans with objectives, and outlines, and other

resources for the instructors to use. Plus, you know, a thumb drive with relevant video clips and lesson plans. 2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Ms. Conrad, I understand -- well I think for both of you, but checking, does your manual and your organization, I want to talk more stability. So does the manual in your organization, can you speak a little bit more about the stability training you provide?
- MS. CONRAD: Well, in our manual we do have a Α. section on stability. But we do have a Coast Guard approved stability course for fishermen, and it lasts six hours. And they come in, and I have an approved instructor, usually someone from Jensen Maritime, or Jensen Architects come in and teach the course.

And we try to make it, the first two hours is usually pretty much textbook. And after that, it is more hands on. We have a big tank of water, and we have a boat, and we load and unload and play around with it to make our points on stability.

- Okay, Mr. Dzugan, does your organization have Q. a specific stability course?
- A. MR. DZUGAN: We do have a stability course as 21 well. It is about six hours in length, and there is a -22 23 - I think an important part of it is there is a hands on 24 component to it as well. It is not so much doing math formulas as it is emphasizing the basic principles of 25

- 1 stability, and operational considerations that a
- 2 crewmember or master can use. And then we have a number
- 3 of models to demonstrate a number of different things.
- 4 Matter of fact if District 17 is missing its stability
- 5 | vessel we have it in our inventory. So, you can always
- 6 | call me back for it.
- 7 Q. Well there is it, it is on record. Okay.
- 8 What are the key aspects discussed in those stability
- 9 | courses? And in particular, is icing a topic?
- 10 A. MS. CONRAD: We do cover icing, and we cover
- all the key elements of the stability -- I don't teach
- 12 | the course, so I can't get into exactly what they teach
- 13 but, yeah, we, there is a session on icing.
- Q. Mr. Dzugan?
- 15 A. MR. DZUGAN: The same, I'm the main instructor
- 16 for that course, so I know for a fact that we cover
- 17 | icing with a video that we produce on, specifically on
- 18 stability. And there is a session on this in the
- 19 Beating the Odds books that we give every drill
- 20 | conductor. And there is a session just on icing, and
- 21 another one on stability.
- 22 As a matter of fact, this is the Fifth Edition
- 23 | that was done in 2005, this is the book that was given
- 24 to the crew of the, we had several people from the
- 25 | fishing vessel Destination who went through the class,

- 1 | the drill conductor class, but they got this book.
- Q. Okay. Since you mentioned that, do you recall
- 3 | when they -- how many crewmembers, and when they last
- 4 | attended one of your drill conductor courses?
- 5 A. MR. DZUGAN: It was -- there were four
- 6 crewmembers, it was June 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2005.
- 7 Q. We actually have that as an Exhibit, Exhibit
- 8 No. 245, it is in your book there under table.
- 9 A. MR. DZUGAN: There is a correction on that if
- 10 | I might, I think I was a little careless with my yellow
- 11 marker, but the people who were in the class were the
- 12 master and the engineer, Jeff Hathaway and Lawrence
- 13 O'Grady. I accidentally highlighted Todd, the first
- 14 person there, but that -- the highlighter should have
- 15 been on Jeff Hathaway. Bad aim on my part.
- Q. Understood.
- 17 A. MR. DZUGAN: Yeah.
- 18 Q. So this is -- can you just quickly describe
- 19 this document? Is this a register, or course
- 20 completion?
- 21 A. MR. DZUGAN: This is our, the roster form that
- 22 | we use, or we used at that that time. So the first
- 23 | column is the card number -- every certificate, we have
- 24 | -- our certificates are in the form of our wallet sized
- 25 | card, like you might get a first aid card. But each one

- of those cards is individually numbered. And we did
- 2 | that to protect the integrity of the card, so it
- 3 | couldn't be counterfeited, and just spread around the
- 4 internet. So everybody gets a specific card number, and
- 5 then their name, and the fishing vessel, and all the
- 6 rest of the information you see in the headers.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do your records indicate there had been
- 8 any other Destination crewmembers took one of these
- 9 courses since 2005?
- 10 A. MR. DZUGAN: No, we haven't found any others.
- 11 Q. Okay. While we are here, if you could flip to
- 12 page 202 of Exhibit No. 245. This is the MC (sounds
- 13 like) Skills Checklist. So can you confirm that this is
- 14 associated with the same training 2005?
- 15 A. MR. DZUGAN: Exactly. That's the one that we
- 16 make every instructor fill out and sign.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- A. MR. DZUGAN: It's -- there is no written test
- 19 for drill classes in terms of an evaluation. They are
- 20 basically skill based courses. So, and that follows the
- 21 NAVIC instructions and the way most of the national
- 22 | standard model is set up also. The idea was not to test
- 23 | somebody's ability to take a test, or understand the
- 24 English language. But, can they do the skills that are
- 25 mostly found being incorrectly done during an

- 1 investigation in an emergency. And it is things like
- 2 doing a proper mayday, launching the life raft properly,
- 3 | things like that. It was focused on emergency
- 4 procedures and being able to do those.
- 5 Q. Okay. And that list there, the Skills
- 6 Checklist.
- 7 A. MR. DZUGAN: Yes.
- 8 Q. Is that, essentially, consistent with these,
- 9 | what is required for commercial fishing vessel in the...
- 10 A. MR. DZUGAN: The National Standard Model lists
- 11 fifteen skills. And our -- what we've sent to the Coast
- 12 | Guard for, is acceptance of the course is 16 out of 18
- 13 skills. If the vessel doesn't have, for example an SCVA
- or they are not likely to ever have one, because they
- 15 are a small vessel, we will usually delete that skill.
- As well as, sometimes it is impossible to go
- 17 | into the water, because if we do a class in Western
- 18 Alaska in the wintertime there is no water to be had,
- 19 to go into. So those are the two things that are
- 20 | sometimes left out. And that would be an impediment to
- 21 | the class being done if they were required, actually.
- 22 Because you can't travel by air, for example to get to
- 23 | Tulia, Alaska with an SCVA or any kind of contained gas,
- 24 or flares or things like that. Yeah, go ahead.
- 25 Q. Okay. Ms. Conrad on the same line of

- questioning regarding the Destination, other nexus to
- 2 this marine casualty investigation, Marine Board
- 3 | Investigation, do you recall if any crewmembers
- 4 participated in any of the training courses your
- 5 organization provided?
- A. MS. CONRAD: Yeah, none of the crewmembers on
- 7 | the Destination participated in any of our courses.
- 8 Q. Okay. Just a general sense, if you will, how
- 9 is the participation rate over the years regarding your
- 10 | stability course?
- 11 A. MS. CONRAD: We hold our stability course in
- 12 the fall, because the majority of the fishing fleet is
- 13 home. And we always put it on the calendar, and we are
- 14 lucky to get one maybe two people to come in. Once in a
- 15 | while we will get a whole boat come in, four people, and
- 16 they will bring their stability book in, and we will
- 17 | work with them, and work with their stability book.
- 18 But, normally we are lucky to get one, maybe two people
- 19 to do that course.
- 20 Q. Okay, Mr. Dzugan?
- 21 A. MR. DZUGAN: We have done twenty-seven courses
- 22 | in approximately ten years, so that's about, almost
- 23 | three a year. We could do a lot more with finding. But
- 24 | for us to put on a course, and not know if it is going
- 25 to go or not, and spend three thousand dollars, we want

- 1 to make sure there is a corpus there to be able to
- deliver the course to. And with the requirement for
- 3 drill conductors being immediate, we are definitely
- 4 spending our resources on getting drill conductor
- 5 training out to those who need it, because there are
- 6 still a lot of people who haven't had it, need it
- 7 refreshed.
- 8 Q. Okay. Apologize for the question, it can't be
- 9 the instructors or the people, but again, I just wanted
- 10 to make sure that the, for the record, for our
- 11 | consideration as we move forward that, indeed these
- 12 | courses are available.
- 13 A. MS. CONRAD: Yes.
- A. MR. DZUGAN: If I can add to that question.
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. MR. DZUGAN: Our bottom line is any -- and I
- 17 think you are the same -- but if there is any group of 6
- or 8 or more people who want it, we will do everything
- 19 | we can to deliver the course to them, if we get a call.
- 20 A. MS. CONRAD: And we will deliver it for just
- 21 one person, if somebody called and just one person, I'd
- 22 | hold the course. I will hold drill, stability, any of
- 23 that for just one person.
- Q. Okay. In that same kind of line query in
- 25 | terms of course participation, do perhaps, and this is -

- 1 and if you can provide an anecdotal answer that's fine
- 2 too -- but a general sense with the vessels that you
- 3 | interact and know of, what percentage of the crew have
- 4 participated in some of the courses that, either the
- 5 drill instructor, or the stability, and so on. Is it
- 6 | usually just one person per vessel?
- 7 A. MS. CONRAD: I would say it is normally two
- 8 | for the drill instructors. Only because we really
- 9 | iterate, that if the captain is not on board, and you
- 10 | have the relief on board, somebody has the
- 11 certification. And then also for medical first aid, CPR
- 12 | we iterate that should be two people. Because if the
- 13 person that has the training has the heart, you need
- 14 somebody to take over.
- 15 Another requirement is we do drug test
- 16 | collection, specimen collection that is required. And
- 17 that is required every five years and we, they don't
- 18 necessarily have to have two people, but we, here again,
- 19 suggest they have two people take the course, because if
- 20 one of them has to be tested, he can't test himself.
- 21 Q. Okay, Mr. Dzugan, in your experience, what's
- 22 | the percentage of crewmembers participating in these
- 23 | courses?
- A. MR. DZUGAN: We, most of our, the people that
- 25 | we teach are the small to midsized fleet, under 79 feet.

- 1 So if they are taking any training at all, it is at
- 2 | least one person. But it depends on the vessel, some of
- 3 | the masters will want all of their crewmembers to go
- 4 through it. So, it could be 2, 3, 4 people. Sometimes
- 5 | it is just a couple people. So it is all over the
- 6 place, it can be from one person to the whole crew.
- 7 Again, depending on the master.
- I know there are some captains who every year,
- 9 when they get a new crewmember, let's say for salmon
- 10 trolling, they will always have their new crewmember go
- 11 through the class, so that there is at least two drill
- 12 | conductors on the boat. And the captains have seen the
- 13 value of their green crewmember going through the course
- 14 as well, so he or she knows what to do in an emergency
- 15 to help the captain get out of the water.
- Q. I think the number five keeps on popping up.
- 17 And I am referring to recency, reassessment, or
- 18 refresher training, I just heard five --
- 19 A. MS. CONRAD: Five years.
- 20 Q. It is five years for drug testing collector.
- 21 A. MS. CONRAD: Um-hmm.
- 22 Q. Is there a five-year refresher training for
- 23 | drill instructor courses? Or is it just once in a
- 24 | career, and you make -- so is there a refresher training
- 25 requirements, per the Reg? And then, likewise, I'd like

- 1 to hear is that important to have, otherwise, and why?
- A. MS. CONRAD: So the drill instructor became
- 3 required in 1990's?
- 4 A. MR. DZUGAN: One.
- 5 A. MS. CONRAD: One?
- A. MR. DZUGAN: The requirement by September of
- 7 1994.
- 8 A. MS. CONRAD: 1994.
- 9 A. MR. DZUGAN: Yeah.
- 10 A. MS. CONRAD: And a lot of the fleet came in,
- 11 they took a drill instructor course, and it does not
- 12 expire. There is no regulation that makes it expire.
- 13 So we will not see those people again. And there is a
- 14 lot of changes that occurred between 1994 and 2017. We
- 15 strongly suggest that there is a five hour, or a five
- 16 | year expiration for the drill instructor card. It is
- 17 written in the 2010 Authorization Act, but it has not
- 18 been written into a regulation so we can't enforce it.
- 19 Q. Mr. Dzugan anything further on that? Or, I
- 20 mean, I would imagine refresher training is important
- 21 because retention of skills, otherwise, it dissipates.
- 22 A. MR. DZUGAN: I'm having a flashback. I have
- 23 been on the Commercial Fishing Advisory Committee off
- 24 and on since its origin in 1990. And I remember in the
- 25 | early 1990's when we were discussing drill conductor

training, and if it should even be required. I remember discussing refresher training. And industry and others who were sitting at the table at the time, felt that refresher training was not needed because, after all fishermen were going to be doing their drills once a month. Therefore the industry was going to self refresh themselves every month.

- Some of us had doubts about that. And thought that, and we knew technology changes and that for the person leading the drill, they should probably have that refresher training. And five years is a pretty accepted standard for a lot of refresher training courses. But that did not get recommended out of the committee because of opposition at the time.
  - In -- we did a study just before -- in 2009 with the University of Washington, some researchers there, and we were interested in the, what they call the skills decay time is. Once you learn a skill, how long does it take for you to get rusty in that skill. So we did a two-phase study. And we looked at a group of sixty fisherman at random.

And in the first group what we did -- in phase one, we trained them in seven skills that mostly are part of the skill checklist: how to do a mayday, how to put on a suit, following the correct steps, how to do it

- 1 in sixty seconds, how to launch a life raft I think was
- 2 in there. And we took seven of those skills, and we
- 3 trained these fishermen in those skills to the hundred
- 4 | percent level. We just trained them until they could
- 5 | show us that they could do them properly.
- And then we brought those fishermen back a
- 7 month later. And we had them do those skills again.
- 8 And we had a score card where we could objectively
- 9 measure those skills being done. And we found out that
- 10 after a month the skills, they scored 86 % on the skill
- 11 from their base of 100 %, of doing all the steps
- 12 | correctly.
- 13 And then we measured them again three months
- 14 later and found out that they scored about 87 %,
- 15 basically about the same. With a plus or minus of about
- 16 ten points in either direction. So within the first
- month there was already a skills decay about 15 %.
- So it was interesting to us in that study that
- 19 the skills decay was the same rate after, from one month
- 20 to three months. In other words, they had forgotten
- 21 some steps in the skill in a month, but they kept
- 22 repeating those same mistakes thereafter. In other
- 23 | words, if there was nobody there to correct them --
- 24 | there is a maximum in education that what you remember
- 25 | is the last thing you did. So even if it wasn't right

- originally. So that kind of proved that maximum.
- In phase two of the study, we looked at the
- 3 decay of skills from 1 1/2 to 2 years out. And we found
- 4 out that there was a 76 % score on skills at that point.
- 5 | So the skills had further degraded after that be about
- 6 another 10 %.
- 7 And this is the first time I know of that any
- 8 | study of skills decay in specific marine survival skills
- 9 had taken place. And that was done by a couple
- 10 epidemiologists who work for that -- or statisticians, I
- 11 should say, who worked for the University of Washington.
- 12 Q. You mentioned something just, something about
- 13 | the notion that we don't need to put a, there is no
- 14 reason for five-year refresher, because operationally
- 15 the crew will execute, I guess, is it a monthly drill?
- A. MR. DZUGAN: The monthly --
- 17 Q. So they are getting refresher.
- A. MR. DZUGAN: That was the view of some, yes.
- 19 Q. So do you believe that is not, exactly, the
- 20 case?
- 21 A. MR. DZUGAN: Well --
- 22 Q. Anecdotally, do you speak to your students and
- 23 | kind of verify or perhaps get a different view that
- 24 | those drills are actually being conducted?
- 25 A. MR. DZUGAN: One of the things we did in that

- same study is we asked those sixty fisherman who took
- 2 part in that study, how many of them had been doing
- 3 | monthly drills. And we had -- this was an interesting
- 4 thing to ask, because you are basically asking somebody
- 5 to self-incriminate themselves -- but fisherman, I have
- 6 | found, that despite their reputation can be pretty
- 7 | honest, brutally honest. And they will say if they do
- 8 them or not sometimes.
- And we had two people out of those sixty say
- 10 yes, they had monthly drills. Well, they had done
- 11 drills. When I examined further I found out that the
- 12 drills they were doing was at the beginning of the
- 13 season they put on a suit and that was it. So..
- Q. Did you said two of sixty?
- 15 A. MR. DZUGAN: Two of sixty. That was in this
- one study we did. And when, and again, that's self-
- 17 | reporting, but it is self-reporting, sort of
- 18 incrimination, you might say. So I think it was pretty
- 19 brutally honest.
- 20 And another study that was done and presented
- 21 | at the IFISH 2 Conference in New Bedford, a study that
- 22 | was done from fisheries observers, who are placed on
- 23 | some boats by NOAA. They reported 29% of fishing vessel
- 24 crews are being given the opportunity to practice
- 25 emergency drills. So that's another source. And I

- 1 believe I will turn it over to my partner here.
- A. MS. CONRAD: So, just over five years ago I
- 3 started keeping track of all of the people coming in to
- 4 | take drill instructor. And that's from all of our
- 5 | fleet. So I have gill netter, I have crab boats, I have
- 6 | the big factory trawlers and we ask them now, after the
- 7 first break, you know, do they run their drills on a
- 8 regular basis every thirty days. And we get about 80%
- 9 of the boats do not run their drills on a regular basis.
- 10 Q. I just have one final question, and then I
- will see if the Board has any questions. By any chance
- 12 | -- in earlier testimony, last week, and this week as
- 13 | well I heard terms like culture, Bridge Resource
- 14 Management, risk, GAR model, are those any kind of
- 15 topics of interest in the industry today, perhaps,
- 16 | within your organizations?
- 17 A. MR. DZUGAN: Yes, they are -- some of those
- 18 are integral, that is a long list of those, you
- 19 mentioned three things. We -- risk is a very important
- 20 part of our, inherent in our drill class. But it is
- 21 | not a separate topic of risk. By showing the videos of
- 22 | accidents, of casualties, by going through a drill with
- 23 a crew and making them understand through hands on, what
- 24 | happens if you don't have a well laid out plan, and
- 25 | who's doing what. How you increase risk by the lack of

- organization. Through what's called the seven steps of
- 2 | survival and recognition that was developed by the Coast
- 3 | Guard that we still use for your priority listing of
- 4 | what to do in an emergency. It is embedded in a lot of
- 5 things in that class, for the drill conductor class.
- 6 And like I said, we do separate workshops just
- 7 on risk. That we give at gatherings of fishermen, you
- 8 know, 1 to 2 hour workshops just on some of those
- 9 topics. The GAR model is inherent in one of those,
- 10 regarding risk. So yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Ms. Conrad?
- 12 A. MS. CONRAD: Same exact answer, he said it
- 13 perfectly.
- Q. Okay. Well thank you. That is all the
- 15 questions I have. Other Board members? Mr. Gillette?
- MR. GILLETTE: Commander I have no follow-up
- 17 questions.
- 18 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Mr. Karr, NTSB?
- 19 MR. KARR: I have two, and I will direct them
- 20 to Mr. Dzugan.
- 21 **EXAMINATION**
- 22 MR KARR:
- 23 Q. With regard to the training that occurred in
- 24 2005, that the, that Captain Hathaway and Mr. O'Grady
- 25 | would have taken, what, can you describe the, what they

- 1 | would have been taught, or what they would have been
- 2 | walked through with regard to the life raft
- 3 installation, and the hydrostatic release?
- A. MR. DZUGAN: Well, that's definitely one of
- 5 | the skills on the skills check-off list. So they would
- 6 have had a life raft -- usually, let me back up. Most
- 7 of the classes we do are in a classroom setting, and
- 8 then we go out and do some of the hands on things by the
- 9 | water or on a boat. Occasionally, about once or twice a
- 10 | year -- we do about a 125 drill classes a year -- and
- 11 usually 1 or 2 of them a year somebody will want it just
- 12 for their crew on their boat.
- Which, I look forward to that opportunity
- 14 because we can certainly focus the class in to that
- 15 environment that they work in. And we will use their
- 16 life raft, not to inflate, but to show them how the
- 17 hydrostatic release works. And then that, and everybody
- 18 has to go through that. They have to basically walk
- 19 through how to set it up, and then walk through how to
- 20 | launch it in teams of two. So then everybody gets
- 21 | checked off on that.
- 22 And they also, if we don't have a life raft to
- 23 | inflate, we -- they have to see a video of it inflating,
- 24 | so they can hear the excess CO2 being expelled from it,
- 25 and know that it is not a leak in the life raft, and how

- 1 long the painter line, and they have to actually see
- 2 | that if they can't at least do it. So that pretty much
- 3 covers what we would be covering there.
- 4 Q. Are they also instructed on examining it to
- 5 | make sure it is installed correctly?
- A. MR. DZUGAN: Exactly, yeah. Examining, you
- 7 | are saying the hydrostatic release itself?
- 8 Q. Correct looking at the life raft and the
- 9 cradle --
- 10 A. MR. DZUGAN: Yes, yeah.
- 11 Q. -- and the hydrostatic release installation?
- 12 A. MR. DZUGAN: That is a critical part of the
- 13 training. It is like, again, when I was saying, when
- 14 the, when we were doing that study and training
- 15 everybody to a 100% skill level, that's the same thing
- 16 in a drill class. That thing everybody, everybody has
- 17 to individually get checked off on, that they can
- 18 actually put that back together again.
- 19 Q. All right. Has the technology of the
- 20 hydrostatic releases changed since 2005?
- 21 A. MR. DZUGAN: No it hasn't. One company pretty
- 22 much has the market on this one. The only thing they've
- 23 | done to it, they've made some slight modifications to it
- 24 to make it easier. In the, you've seen this too in your
- 25 training, I'm sure Ms. Conrad.

- 1 A. MS. CONRAD: Um-hmm.
- A. MR. DZUGAN: In Kodiak in the late 1980's
- 3 | about 50% of the hydrostatic releases were set up wrong.
- 4 Now it is kind of color coded, yellow is the top, where
- 5 | the strap comes over sunny-side up, black at the bottom
- 6 like the color of the earth is the bottom, grommet, you
- 7 | might say. And the -- yeah, you still have to hook up
- 8 | the painter line to the red grommet, that's the same as
- 9 | it was. But essentially, it is a water pressure
- 10 | activated razor that cuts the line. Or now, a piece of
- 11 plastic.
- 12 Q. All right thanks.
- 13 A. MR. DZUGAN: Yeah.
- Q. My next question is regarding your efforts and
- 15 the efforts of other members of the Commercial Fishing
- 16 Industry, Vessel Safety Advisory Committee. Describe to
- 17 | me the efforts that you are working on with regard to
- 18 | crew competency, crew training, those initiatives. You
- 19 know, we have heard about the statute in 2010 that
- 20 charged the Coast Guard with coming -- developing a
- 21 program. So if you can tell me what's happened since
- 22 | 2010 through the eyes of the Advisory Committee.
- 23 A. MR. DZUGAN: Yeah, I will invite Karen for her
- 24 | feedback too, since she's on it as well. But --
- Q. Well good, both of you can answer then.

- 1 A. MR. DZUGAN: Yeah.
  - Q. Thanks, yes.

- A. MR. DZUGAN: We have been really busy in
- 4 developing objectives for that, and developing an
- 5 outline as the person who houses the records for that,
- 6 | Karen, I'll let you speak.
- 7 A. MS. CONRAD: So when I joined, the objectives
- 8 | were already done. And so we took that in the last year
- 9 and created syllabus' for each of those, and the reason
- 10 | I chose the syllabus instead of like a timeline or
- 11 whatever, is the syllabus broke down each individual
- 12 objective, and then put it in order.
- And then we made them into, the courses into
- 14 modules. So the whole eleven competency courses can be
- 15 done within five days. And -- or they could be taken
- 16 in, you know, like somebody could just come and just
- 17 take a day. And then, another day they would take like
- 18 damage control stability.
- 19 The eleven competency courses are fatigue,
- 20 stability, damage control, survival, fire, weather,
- 21 | navigation, collision avoidance drill, seamanship, rules
- 22 of the road, and medical, first aid, CPR. So all those
- 23 | courses, the syllabus' have been written and submitted
- 24 to the Coast Guard.
- 25 Q. Well what, what part of the Coast Guard? Is

- 1 | this part of a rulemaking?
- 2 A. MS. CONRAD: It --
- 3 A. MR. DZUGAN: Well, it was submitted to the
- 4 | Fishing Vessel Safety folks in D.C., that's who it was
- 5 | submitted to.
- 6 A. MS. CONRAD: Right.
- 7 A. MR. DZUGAN: That was our task. And so we
- 8 responded to that task with that work, and it is in the
- 9 hands of the Fishing Vessel Safety Division.
- 10 A. MS. CONRAD: And during our last meeting, last
- 11 September, in the minutes it is all, there is many
- 12 motions that were made on who needs to take the course,
- 13 how long each course is, what kind of certification they
- 14 get at the end, how often they have to refresh, what
- 15 needs to be involved in the refresher training, all of
- 16 that is in the minutes.
- 17 Q. Have you thought about, well is it possible
- 18 for the course to be put out there so that folks can
- 19 start taking it ahead of any requirement to take the
- 20 courses?
- 21 A. MS. CONRAD: Yes. We were just discussing that
- 22 | today, and I think Jerry and I are going to work on
- 23 writing the courses and submitting them.
- 24 A. MR. DZUGAN: If I can comment on that.
- 25 Historically, there has been a resistance from NMC to

- 1 | accept or approve courses that weren't required. And
- therefore, it is kind of like the cart and the horse.
- 3 We can, and we do, both put out courses that are not
- 4 required that we give to fisherman.
- 5 Q. Let me take a second. NMC is the National
- 6 Maritime Center of the Coast Guard?
- 7 A. MR. DZUGAN: Correct. Thank you for that
- 8 | clarification. And until -- so we would like to have
- 9 | that curriculum ready and approved by NMC before a
- 10 regulations comes out for it. So that, if a fisherman
- 11 goes through the course, they've got a certificate that
- 12 they can show that, they can show the Coast Guard that
- 13 they have met the training requirement for this
- 14 particular module.
- 15 And I wanted to address this a little bit
- 16 | later, hopefully, have an opportunity to, but we have
- 17 | not had that regulation come out in seven years. So ...
- A. MS. CONRAD: And it tends to be a little bit
- 19 difficult to get a fellow to come in and take one
- 20 required eight hour course. And now we are saying hey
- 21 | come and take this eleven course, five day, fisherman
- 22 | certification course. And they are saying, those are
- 23 | required. And we say, well it is a law, but there is no
- 24 regulation, so technically no.
- 25 A. MR. DZUGAN: There is a phenomenon that goes

```
on probably in a lot of industries of under voluntary
```

- 2 | safety training you tend to bring, you tend to draw out
- 3 the choir. And that was true with the drill conductor
- 4 | courses, and the early marine safety instructor courses.
- 5 And it wasn't always necessarily the target group that
- 6 was the most at risk.
- 7 And it is also hard to keep offering something
- 8 | when you get not so much interest in it, because there
- 9 | are higher interest courses that draw more people that
- 10 | you can do more good with. So it is a cart and a horse
- 11 kind of thing that has to, ideally, it would be
- 12 coordinated together so it was more seamless than that.
- 13 But, they effect each other.
- Because if you do have a requirement and you
- don't have the training out there and available, then it
- 16 puts fishermen in a horrible situation of not having
- 17 access.
- 18 Q. Thank you for your answers here, and your
- 19 comments.
- 20 A. MR. DZUGAN: Thank you.
- 21 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak?
- MS. SPIVAK: I have no questions. And at the
- 23 | risk of repeating myself, I would like to thank you both
- 24 | for offering, continuing to offer the training
- 25 opportunities for the crewmembers. I know that you are

- 1 facing a lot of challenges, funding is one of them, and
- 2 | it is an important challenge. And from my personnel
- 3 experience, being on may vessels from 200 crewmembers,
- 4 | factory trawlers, to 2 to 3 crewmembers, small
- 5 | captain/owner-run boats. I think most of them MPFOA's,
- 6 safety manual on board. I have one in my office. And
- 7 | they all carry the videos that NPFOA produces. And I
- 8 | think it is very helpful. I haven't sat through your
- 9 | stability course which you described, but I must say
- 10 | that donning the survival suit and man overboard are
- 11 very entertaining.
- 12 CDR MULLER: Absolutely concur. Thank you.
- 13 | Well that concludes the questions that we have for this
- 14 panel, and again, thank you for your time.
- Before we release you as a witness is there
- 16 anything that you would like to add that the Board
- 17 | should consider that was not otherwise captured?
- 18 A. MS. CONRAD: I'm fine.
- 19 A. MR. DZUGAN: Okay. First of all I want to give
- 20 my thanks and appreciation to the dedicated and
- 21 underfunded and undermanned Fishing Vessel Safety Office
- 22 | in D.C., as well as the Coast Guard fishing vessel
- 23 | safety coordinators and examiners from around the
- 24 | country. They are really the people, the men and women
- who are on the ground who make the connection between

1 the regulatory authorities and the real world.

And I think Karen would agree with me that since 1990 they have -- a lot of the progress has happened right with those people, and the relationships that they have developed. I think as Chris Woodley was talking about, it is all about the conversation that happens in the wheelhouse between the Coast Guard and the master. And that's where all the magic happens if it is good. Otherwise it is not good magic. And they have done an excellent job. 

I think also, it was interesting, I was reading the NTSB safety form, I think it was that, and it was mentioned in there that in 1971, the Coast Guard looked at developing a fishing vessel safety program.

They did a cost/benefit analysis. And in that cost/benefit analysis they figured that they could probably reduce fatalities by 71% if they had instituted a program back then.

But they didn't feel like the industry could bear the cost of it. And now, fast-forward to now. Since 1980, since the Fishing Vessel Safety Act was passed, it's been, the fishing vessel fatality rate has been reduced by, I think it's 76%. So that study was uncannily accurate in terms of its cost/benefit analysis back in 1971.

And I don't know if there is many industries, I haven't checked all the industries in the U.S., but I think that is a tremendous record of the fishing vessel industry, to have reduced that, their fatality rate that much. Because it wasn't done just with regulations, because safety really happens in the wheelhouse and on the deck of every vessel, because that's where the decisions are made. It was fishermen who made those decisions to reduce risk. 

So I want to congratulate both the fishing industry and the Coast Guard for your efforts in working together to make this happen. However, that's the happy part, I am deeply troubled that if we had 2-3 years to make this law, the 2010 law into regulations. And if we had given fishermen a five year window to get the training that was required in the 2010 Act that the crew of the fishing vessel Destination, would have probably gotten the protective benefit of that training.

There has -- since 2010, there's been approximately 175 fisherman in the United States who have still died. Some of those people would not have died, I believe, if that protective training had been available.

We know in looking at our own statistics of our own -- we have train 20,000 drill instructors since

- 1 1991 -- and of that group, there's been a 169 fishermen
  2 that have been in fatalities and survived. Those are
  3 the people I'm talking about. And many of those people
- 4 said the training was helpful in their survival.
- And one of the things I hope that you consider
  in your deliberations is what are the systematic
  obstacles within the administration that has made me
  make this statement for the last seven years, why we
  don't have anything more. And in fact, we know what has
- happened in the 2012, and the 2015 Authorization Acts, we've actually gone backwards in some regards.

- So again, my hope is that you will consider those systematic obstacles within the administration that prevents those benefits to be realized for this industry. Thank you.
- CDR MULLER: Okay. Thank you Mr. Dzugan.

  Thank you Ms. Conrad. Clearly your dedication to support the fishing vessel industry, the fleet, and in particular in education is just highly apparent. Thank you.
- So with that, you are now released as a witness to this Marine Board Investigation. And certainly, thank you for your testimony and cooperation.

  If I later determine that the Board needs additional information we will contact you. If you need additional

1 information from the Board, please contact LCDR Mendoza,

- 2 thank you.
- THE WITNESS/MR. DZUGAN: Thank you.
- 4 CDR MULLER: Before we close for today, just a
- 5 | few things. One is, thank you for allowing the Board to
- 6 | run a little bit later. But obviously we strive to work
- 7 | with our testimony, make sure we are capturing as much
- 8 factual information to allow us to move forward
- 9 effectively. And certainly, we did not want to rush
- 10 this important information.
- 11 With that in mind, we have, for the most part
- 12 pretty much wrapped up all of our testimony from outside
- 13 resources. We have had almost, over thirty-five
- 14 different witnesses, it's been quite a schedule. And I
- 15 think we have done a pretty good job in keeping on
- 16 schedule. And with that, with speed.
- 17 So tomorrow there are some presentations
- 18 regarding the vessels, AIS tracking, the NOAA with their
- 19 survey results, and the Coast Guard Cutter Healy, and
- 20 dive locker survey results as well, some imagery that
- 21 they were able to collect.
- 22 And then after that -- at this time I don't
- 23 | believe we have a reason to recall any of the witnesses.
- 24 That is always subject to change.
- 25 With that being said, I believe we be able to

```
wrap up, and have our closing remarks by tomorrow
1
2
   afternoon, if not before lunch but certainly right after
   lunch. So I do not anticipate holding the hearing on
3
   Friday, and that we will be complete by tomorrow
4
5
   afternoon.
             So with that, we will recess until tomorrow
6
   morning and resume at 0900. Thank you very much.
7
8
               (The proceeding then concluded.)
9
```

CERTIFICATION

This certificate is valid only for a transcript accompanied

by my original required signature on this page.

I hereby certify that the proceedings in the matter of Marine

Board Investigation of the F/V Destination, heard in the United

States Coast Guard Thirteenth District, Seattle Washington,

Wednesday August 16, 2017, were recorded by means of audiotape.

I further certify that, to the best of my knowledge and

belief, page numbers one to two hundred and twenty-five constitute

a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings as

transcribed by me.

I further certify that I am neither a relative to nor an

employee of any attorney or party herein, and that I have no

interest in the outcome of this case.

In witness whereof, I have affixed my signature this 19th day

of October, 2017.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Sally S. Gessner, Court Reporter