United States Coast Guard

Marine Board Investigation

Commercial Fishing Vessel Destination Casualty

FORMAL HEARING

Henry M. Jackson Federal Building
915 Second Avenue

Seattle, Washington 98174

August 7, 2017 -- August 17, 2017

REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

VOLUME VII of IX

DATE TAKEN: Tuesday, August 15, 2017

TIME: 0900-1540

REPORTED BY: Sally Sybert Gessner Official Court Reporter Administrative Law Judge Office Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD MARINE BOARD OF INVESTIGATION PANEL MEMBERS

COMMANDER SCOTT W. MULLER, CHAIRMAN
Fifth Coast Guard District
Inspections and Investigations Branch (dpi)
431 Crawford Street
Portsmouth, Virginia 23704

MR. JAMES J. GILLETTE, MARINE BOARD MEMBER Investigations NCOE 1615 Poydras Street, STE 1030 New Orleans, LA 70112

LCDR PEDRO L. MENDOZA, MARINE BOARD RECORDER COMDT, CG-INV-1 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, SE Stop 7501 Washington, DC 20593-7501

TECHNICAL ADVISORS:

COMMANDER TAMARA S. WALLEN, MARINE BOARD LEGAL ADVISOR Coast Guard Island Building 51-6 Alameda, CA 94501-5100

MR. SCOTT J. GIARD Rescue Coordination Center District 13 Command Center Henry M. Jackson Building 915 $2^{\rm nd}$ Avenue Seattle, WA 98174

LCDR Randy L. Preston Investigations NCOE 161 5 Poydras Street, Ste 1030 New Orleans, LA 70112-1254

YN1 Caitlin K. Calvert Seventeenth Coast Guard District Legal Office P.O. Box 25517 Juneau, AK 99801-5517

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD MARINE BOARD INVESTIGATION PANEL MEMBERS

MR. MICHAEL KARR, INVESTIGATOR-IN-CHARGE Office of Marine Safety 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, SW Washington, DC 20594-2000

MR. PAUL SUFFREN
SENIOR METEOROLOGIST
490 L'Enfant Plaza East, SW
Washington, DC 20594-2000

APPEARANCES ON BEHALF OF PARTIES IN INTEREST

ON BEHALF OF OWNER DAVID L. WILSON

Svetlana P. Spivak, Esquire Law Offices of Holmes, Weddle & Barcott 999 Third Avenue, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98104

Daniel P. Barcott, Esquire Law Offices of Holmes, Weddle & Barcott 999 Third Avenue, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98104

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPENING STATEMENT	PAGE
Commander Scott Muller	VII-6
WITNESS	
RICKY ALLEN FEHST/CAPTAIN APRIL LANE	VII-10
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VII-11,53
Examined by CDR Muller	VII-25,50
Examined by Mr. Karr	VII-33
Examined by Mr. Suffern	VII-46
JEFFREY MICHAEL OSIENSKY/	VII-60
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE	
DEPUTY CHIEF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIFIC SERVICE	ES DIVISION
Examined by Mr. Suffern	VII-61,81
Examined by CDR Muller	VII-74
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VII-78
Examined by Mr. Karr	VII-79
JACK KEMERER/CHIEF FISHING VESSEL DIVISION	VII-84
OFFICE OF COMMERCIAL VESSEL COMPLIANCE/Coast	Guard-CVC-13
Examined by CDR Muller	VII-85
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VII-128
Examined by Mr. Karr	VII-130
ANTHONY SCOTT WILWERT	VII-139
DISTRICT 17 COMMERCIAL FISHING VESSEL SAFETY	PROGRAM COORDINATOR
Examined by CDR Muller	VII-140
Examined by Mr. Gillette	VII-158
Examined by Mr. Karr	VII-164
DANIEL ELMER HARDIN	VII-167
DISTRICT 13/FISHING VESSEL SAFETY COORDINATOR	3
Examined by CDR Muller	VII-167

PROCEEDINGS

2 Start Time 0900

OPENING STATEMENT

BY CDR MULLER:

Good morning. This hearing will come to order. Today is August 15, 2017, the time is 0900. We are continuing at the U.S. Coast Guard Thirteenth District, Seattle, Washington.

I am Commander Scott Muller, of the United
States Coast Guard, Chief of the Inspections and
Investigations Branch, Fifth Coast Guard District,
Portsmouth, Virginia. I am the Chairman of the Coast
Guard Marine Board of Investigation and the presiding
Officer over these proceedings.

The Commandant of the Coast Guard has convened this board under the authority of Title 46, United States Code, § 6301 and the Title 46, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 4, to investigate the circumstances surrounding the sinking of the fishing vessel Destination, with the loss of six lives on (SEPTEMBER) February 11, 2017 approximately three nautical miles north of St. George Island, Alaska.

This investigation will determine as closely as possible the factors that contributed to the incident in order to develop recommendations aimed at

preventing similar casualties.

Whether there is evidence that any act of misconduct, inattention to duty, negligence, or willful violation of the law, on the part of any licensed, or certificated person contributed to the casualty. And whether there is evidence that any Coast Guard personnel or any representative or employee of any other government agency or any other person caused or contributed to the casualty.

This Marine Board has planned for at least one hearing session. The purpose of this hearing is to collect factual information. The Marine Board will use the factual information when developing its report of findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

I have previously determined that the following individual is a Party-in-Interest to this investigation. Mr. David Wilson represented by Ms. Spivak of Holmes, Weddle and Barcott. This party has a direct interest in the investigation, and has demonstrated the potential for contributing significantly to complete the investigation or otherwise enhancing the safety of life and property at sea, through the participation as a Party-in-Interest.

All Parties-in-Interest have a statutory right to employ counsel to represent them, to cross-

examine witnesses, and to have witnesses called at their behalf.

I will examine all witnesses at this formal hearing under oath or affirmation and witnesses will be subject to federal laws and penalties governing false official statements. Witnesses who are not Parties-in-Interest may be advised by their counsel concerning their rights. However, such counsel may not examine or cross-examine other witnesses or otherwise participate.

These proceedings are open to the public, and to the media. I ask for the cooperation of all persons present to minimize any disruptive influence on the proceedings in general, and on the witnesses in particular. Please turn your cell phones or other electronic devices off or to silent or vibrate mode. Please do not enter or depart the hearing room except during periods of recess.

Flash photography will be permitted during this opening statement and during recess periods. The members of the press are, of course, welcome. An area has been set aside for your use during the proceedings. The news media may question witnesses concerning the testimony they have provided here but only after I have released them from these proceedings. I ask that any such interviews be conducted outside this room.

Since the date of the casualty the NTSB and the Coast Guard have conducted substantial evidence collection activities. And some of that previously collected evidence will be considered during these hearings. Should any person have, or believe he or she has information not brought, but which might be of direct significance, that person is urged to bring that information to my attention emailing FVDestination@ USCG.mil.

The Coast Guard relies on strong partnerships to execute its missions, and this Marine Board of Investigation is no exception. The National Transportation Safety Board provided a representative for this hearing, Mr. Michael Karr, seated to my left is the Investigator-in-Charge, for the NTSB investigation. Mr. Karr, would you like to make a brief statement?

MR. KARR: Good morning. I am Michael Karr, Investigator-in-Charge for the National Transportation Safety Board for the investigation of this accident.

The NTSB has joined this hearing to avoid the duplicating the development of the facts. I do wish to point out that this does not preclude the NTSB from developing additional information separately from this proceeding if that becomes necessary.

1	At the conclusion of this hearing, the NTSB
2	will analyze the facts of this accident, and determine
3	the probable cause, independent of the Coast Guard. We
4	will issue a report of the NTSB's findings, and if
5	appropriate the NTSB will issue recommendations to
6	correct safety problems discovered during this
7	investigation. And also participating in this
8	investigation from the NTSB to my right is Mr. Paul
9	Suffern, a Meteorologist with the National
10	Transportation Safety Board.
11	CDR MULLER: Thank you. We will now call our
12	first witness of the day, Mr. Fehst. Mr. Fehst, if you
13	would please come forward to the witness table and LCDR
14	Mendoza will administer your oath and ask you some
15	preliminary questions.
16	LCDR MENDOZA: Please raise your right hand.
17	WITNESS
18	RICKY ALLEN FEHST
19	A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
20	was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and
21	testified as follows:
22	THE WITNESS: I swear.
23	LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Please state
24	your full name and spell your last name.
25	THE WITNESS: My full name is Ricky Allen

- 1 Fehst, and that is spelled, F-E-H-S-T. LCDR MENDOZA: Could you state your current 2 3 employment and position title. 4 THE WITNESS: I am currently a Captain 5 onboard the fishing vessel April Lane. LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional 6 licenses or certificates? 7 THE WITNESS: I hold 1,600 ton master 8 9 inspected. LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you sir. 10 CDR MULLER: Good morning Mr. Fehst. 11 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 12 13 CDR MULLER: Again welcome. Thank you for your participation at this hearing. I will now hand the 14 questions to Mr. Paul Suffern of the NTSB, our subject 15 matter expert regarding meteorology. 16 17 (Brief pause.) CDR MULLER: Excuse me, I'm flipping ahead on 18 19 the schedule. Actually Mr. James Gillette will initiate 20 the questions. Thank you. **EXAMINATION** 21 BY MR. GILLETTE: 22
- Q. Good morning Mr. Fehst. 23
- A. Good morning. 24
- 25 Q. My name is James Gillette of the United States

- Coast Guard. If at any time you don't understand a question that is asked, just request that the question be readdressed or rephrased.
- If at any time you need to take a recess

 please ask. Also remember, to speak up loud and clear

 to assist the court reporter to transcribe the hearing.

 With that said, I would like to start asking questions.
- I would like to start by getting a little

 background information on you. Could you start by

 speaking to us about how long you have been working as a

 commercial fisherman, and what type of boats have you

 worked on?

- A. I started my career in 1984. I started as a crab fisherman on deck. I've been in the crab industry since that time. Actually, I retired from crab industry in 2013 and switched to just cod fishing. It is still a pot fishery, but I have thirty-some years in the crab industry. In all those years, I never missed a single Snow crab fishery, and also participated in the Brown King crab fishery for about twenty-five years. So I have, you could say, vast experience in the crab fishing industry.
- I obtained my Coast Guard license, my first one, as a 200 ton mate, I got that in 1989. The insurance pool at that time asked the owner of the

- 1 | vessel, or demanded or asked that I acquire a mate's
- 2 license, so I did. And it was probably one of the best
- 3 things I ever did in my life, was getting myself
- 4 | licensed. And through the years, up until the current
- 5 | time, I still hold, this license is current. Upgraded
- 6 | all through the years. And it definitely has benefitted
- 7 | my ability in decision-making, when it comes to dealing
- 8 with the Bering Sea.
- I mean, my uninspected -- even prior to that.
- 10 Prior to that was a 1600 ton fishing, and stability was
- 11 a big part of the license program. And not just
- 12 stability, but also bridge resource management. So,
- 13 I've had a fairly safe, career. Minimal damage as far
- 14 as any bodily injuries, on my crews. So, that's about
- 15 me history there.
- Q. You mentioned stability training, where did
- 17 | you get your stability training and when?
- 18 A. It started with the mate's license. It was,
- 19 at that time, in the 80's it was basic, I mean, we
- 20 | learned something about, you know, GM and all that
- 21 | stuff. It definitely got stiffer as I increased in
- 22 licenses. The inspected license was pretty, they hit us
- 23 pretty hard with stability during that upgrade.
- But it was extremely helpful, and gave me, you
- 25 know, a lot more awareness of, you know, checking my

- 1 load, checking my stability book versus the load. You
- 2 know, prior to 2005 it was -- before we rationalized,
- 3 and there was any type of twenty-four hour notification
- 4 | as part of the discretionary demands placed -- added
- 5 (inaudible word) at that time. I just became more aware
- 6 of what I was doing in the vessel, in relation to the
- 7 | loading of the gear and stuff.
- 8 Q. You mentioned something about a twenty-four
- 9 hour notification. Can you elaborate what you are
- 10 | speaking about?
- 11 A. In 2005 there was a bunch of different things
- 12 that we were supposed to do. And you know, the twenty-
- 13 | four hour notification was one of those things. And
- 14 | what it was, or is, is, I believe it is still
- 15 discretionary, not mandatory. And what it is, is we
- 16 give the Coast Guard a call in Dutch Harbor prior to
- 17 | starting our season.
- 18 And it is asking them to come down to the
- 19 vessel and check our load versus our stability book.
- 20 And also, check our load against weather conditions at
- 21 | that time, whether it be icing or non-icing conditions,
- 22 | with the forecast. And give us a recommendation
- 23 | whether, you know, should we take some gear off and
- 24 | comply with -- or icing conditions, if we were loaded
- 25 | with a non-icing condition load.

You know, in my mind I thought that was a very good idea, and still is today.

- Q. Do you still use that? I heard that you stopped crab fishing in 2013, however you still use pots to fish now. Are you still using that twenty-four hour notice when you are in Dutch Harbor? And if so, could you elaborate about that?
- Yes I am still using it, even four years later after not crab fishing anymore. With the April Lane, it is a new vessel in the pot fishery this last winter. And we made modifications to the vessel. We changed the deck design, we added equipment, a crane, stuff like this. So it altered the original stability book and how we were loading gear on there. Before it was just nets and a boom, and we changed all the configuration of the mechanics of the vessel.

So, when I arrived from Petersburg, I treated the April Lane as I would have with at crab vessel and made the twenty-four hour notification to the Coast Guard. I asked them to come down and check my vessel. We had gear onboard, they came down and checked my stability book.

And at that time, we still hadn't updated the stability book for pot configuration. As a licensed master I take it very seriously. Before I leave the

- dock that my vessel is in the best shape possible,
- 2 | configuration for load limits. You know, I take the
- 3 | safety of my crew, I have the utmost respect for the
- 4 | safety of the vessel. And so it was, I was just making
- 5 | sure that I was in compliance.
- And the Coast Guard did come down and check my
- 7 | load and check my stability book. And they refrained me
- 8 | from leaving Dutch Harbor until I had my stability book
- 9 upgraded, to reflect the current condition the vessel
- 10 was now in, in the pot fishing industry.
- 11 And we waited. We waited two days. I got a
- 12 temporary from the architectural marine surveyor,
- 13 whoever writes those stability books. I got a
- 14 temporary, and then we got the full book a couple weeks
- 15 later. But I was in compliance with the stability book.
- 16 The Coast Guard then came back down and looked again at
- 17 | the new, upgraded, revised stability book versus April
- 18 Lane, and this new fishery, and they gave me the green
- 19 light and we went fishing.
- 20 Q. Are you using the same size and weight of pots
- 21 | that you were using prior when you were crab fishing on
- 22 | the Bering?
- 23 A. Currently I'm using 6.5 by's, their weight is
- 24 | near 700 pounds. I have used 7 by's, that weighed 750
- 25 | in the crab industry. I have used 7 by 8's that weigh

1 850, so very similar, very close.

- Q. In reference to your stability book do you also count in the weight of the gear that's inside the pots, your shots, line, your buoys? Do you include those in, when you are assessing your stability book?
 - A. Absolutely. In the stability book it give us a number for accessories, a number for pounds of bait, also tank configurations for fuel, water, oil, any type of that storage. So all of those are taken into account as far as the load goes. I, personally, am one of those Captain's that even though the stability book says I can carry forty-two of these pots on my vessel, I usually always factor in less pots just to give myself a little more breathing room, you know, when it comes to the freeboard. So, even though it says forty-two, I still carry about 32, 35.
 - Q. You mentioned a little about training. Do you train your crew on stability? And if so, how do you do that?
- A. I do. I am one of those Captains that, with
 all of the training I've had all through my years, Coast
 Guard training, that I like to pass down this knowledge
 to my crews. I like them to be as knowledgeable as
 possible. Not only in the wheelhouse, but on load
 lines, and stability, and you know, just taking

everything into account, bridge resource management.

It goes a lot further than just being a drill instructor and doing safety, you know, stuff on, once a month on the deck or whatever. I want them trained. I want them to notice things. I want them to, you know, when they are behind the wheel and I'm down sleeping, I want them to feel like they are about as educated as I am driving that boat. Because, you know, everyone else's lives is in their hands when they are behind the wheel and everyone else is sleeping.

So, I do train them. And they like it, I mean, they like to know. They want to know. So we are always looking at load lines. We put a load on, I mean, I have them walk around and just look at the (inaudible word) rails, just look at the lines, how do we look. So, it's not just me looking at it, it is also them.

You know, I always train them for the scenario, what if I pass at sea? Do they have the ability to bring that vessel home? Do they have the ability to take care of the engine room? Do they have the ability to follow the rules, and the (Inaudible word), I mean, it is just -- I want them to be as knowledgeable, as close as I can. And -- before we leave the dock.

Q. Could you talk to us a little about weather,

and how you forecast the weather onboard the April Lane?

A. Well, that particular night, and that day, I got my forecasting from the VHF. The VHF, I think it was February 9th, you know, the forecast was for a broad area. And when I say that, it is from like most of the Bering Sea up through the Pribilofs, Dutch Harbor.

So yeah, it was VHF that night, and I upgraded April Lane to internet since that time. So, I now receive my weather through the internet using a Windyty, which is far more accurate than the VHF. The VHF, at times, will give you a broad area, from say Sarichef to Nikoski, and it can be doing several different things in that area. Where you just get a general forecast. So, it's not just listening to the VHF, but also what is it doing where I am at. How does it relate to what I'm hearing?

So I listen to a multiple of areas. I will listen to the south side of the chain, the north side, and further down the chain, so I get a better feel, exactly what's occurring, and what's coming my way.

Q. Can you talk about what the weather was forecasted on February 9th? Let me rephrase that. Let me start up a little more on here. On February 9th, can you tell us where you were located, and what your voyage plan was?

Α. On February 9th was the beginning of State waters season for cod fish. I was in Dutch Harbor. The season started at noon that day. I was still in Dutch Harbor. We were scheduled to get some pots off at the light cargo dock, out there by Kloosterboer, in that area. I was scheduled to get pots there at six o'clock, I wasn't in any hurry to leave, to make that opener that day. We had weather that was forecast for northeast to, as I say, crank up after midnight. So I wasn't in any hurry to get out there.

My voyage plan was to pick up these pots. And we picked them up around six, six-thirty, it might have been as late as seven when we left the dock that evening. And then proceeded out to Akutan, the north side of Akutan where I was going to set those pots, about a three-hour cruise from Dutch Harbor.

At that time, seven o'clock, the northeast winds were picking up, it was about 20, 25 knots. And I knew I had time to get to Akutan, rebait all the gear that was in the water, I was already in State Water grounds. And my plan was to get there around ten, tenthirty that night, and be done setting this gear back in the water with bait. And put those northeast winds on my stern and head back to Dutch is what we did.

We left the grounds about one-thirty in the

- 1 morning, north of Akutan. And we were about two and a
- 2 half miles off shore. So it was, I had a tailwind back
- 3 | into Dutch. I knew it was going to be a tailwind at
- 4 that time. And at two-thirty, three o'clock in the
- 5 | morning it was blowing pretty good. We had, oh I'd say,
- 6 | northeast 30, 35 by that time. And by the time we
- 7 | reached Alaska Bay I had a palling sea of probably close
- 8 to 15 to 20 foot northeast direction, and the seas
- 9 coming from the northeast.
- 10 So, my plan was to just get that gear baited
- 11 and to stay in Dutch the entire weekend. The forecast
- 12 | was to break Sunday. And so that was my plan not to be
- 13 out there the entire weekend.
- 14 Q. I would now like to slightly shift the focus
- 15 of when you saw the fishing vessel Destination on the
- 16 9th of February. I would like to show Exhibit No. 5,
- 17 this is a video from a crewmember onboard the April
- 18 Lane, showing the fishing vessel Destination transiting
- 19 into the Dutch Harbor.
- We are going to show it twice, it is a pretty
- 21 quick video. And then I want to posit.
- 22 (Showing video of Fishing Vessel Destination.)
- Q. Can you share with us when and where this was
- 24 | filmed, and around what time?
- 25 A. We had just left the light cargo dock. We had

- 1 | picked up ten pots, and we are now heading out to sea,
- 2 | we were in Akutan. This is when the Destination was
- 3 going by. And when I saw it coming and going by, I
- 4 looked at that load of gear, and I was like, in my mind,
- 5 | I was saying to myself, they are leaving Dutch Harbor
- 6 | with that stack onboard with this weather forecast.
- 7 That's -- I called my crew up to the
- 8 | wheelhouse just to witness this. And one of my
- 9 crewmembers, Shaun Alexander, actually took this video.
- 10 And I just, it alarmed me when I saw this load on this
- 11 | boat with the forecast that was coming. The alarm
- 12 probably, in my head went off, because I've been there,
- 13 thirty-some years of doing this, and knowing traveling
- 14 from Dutch Harbor to the Pribilofs --
- 15 Q. Mr. Fehst, can you -- excuse me. Can you
- 16 bring that a little closer to the microphone, it is a
- 17 little hard to hear you, sir.
- 18 A. How's this? Better?
- 19 Q. Yes. You know, we weren't going to -- hate to
- 20 start you over.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Can you kind of explain, again, what the --
- 23 | you said something, you were alarmed, can you just start
- 24 that over.
- 25 A. Right. I was alarmed when I saw this, this

- configuration of the -- the load that he is carrying
 right now, knowing that the -- with the forecast that we
 were getting northeast. And assuming he was traveling
- 4 up to the Pribilofs, would put him right in the ditch,
 5 all the way up to the islands.
- As you can see, in the mountains here, I mean,
 there is a little bit of snow, but the temperature was
 forecast to drop. And it did. And we were just
 starting off with freezing spray, and evolving into
 heavy freezing spray, which it did.

- My concern was, you know, my -- I just looked at this, and I see this stack from stern to the back of the house four high, four wide, I even took the time to count these pots that I could see on top there. It just struck me that this was the load that they -- I didn't know if they were leaving or not leaving that night.

 Just -- I just said to myself, I hope they are not planning on leaving tonight with that load.
 - Q. When you count pots, you said four high.
- A. Four high and four wide. So four across the stern, and four high. And that's above the tier that's below. The ones that are standing up on deck. Those, I couldn't get a clear number of. But, you know, to me this would look like a non-icing condition load, based on how high it is compared to the top of the house,

- 1 going from the back of the house to the stern.
- I do not know the stability book numbers for
- 3 this particular vessel. But, if it was me and with this
- 4 | forecast, I would have peeled off, probably the top two
- 5 | tiers, at least before traveling up to Dutch Harbor. Or
- 6 | just waited it out until the forecast was over with.
- 7 And Sunday was a good day to leave.
- 8 So, um, I don't know -- I knew Jeff a little
- 9 | bit. I had met him a few times in years past. It just
- 10 seems like to me, I mean, he has, from what I know about
- 11 him, pretty good judgment, he's been doing this a long
- 12 | time. It just seems to me like he was under some kind
- of pressure of some sort to leave town during this
- 14 forecast.
- 15 Q. The forecast that you are speaking of, where
- 16 | were you getting that forecast from? Who was giving you
- 17 | the forecast?
- 18 A. Again, I was getting that off the VHF Wx
- 19 | channel (inaudible word) here in Dutch Harbor.
- 20 Q. When you saw the vessel passing you, did you
- 21 | attempt to communicate with the Destination, or anybody
- 22 else to show your concern?
- 23 A. I wished I would have. But that is really not
- 24 our culture to call up another vessel on the VHF and say
- 25 | hey Jeff, you know -- this is, kind of, not our culture.

- 1 | I didn't have a cell phone. It is really, you know, it
- 2 | isn't, it's not my business to do something like, that,
- 3 to call a captain out. You know, if he is following his
- 4 | stability book, like I said, I don't know what his
- 5 | stability book says. It's just not our culture to do
- 6 something like that.
- 7 Q. Have you ever seen the Destination transiting
- 8 | in the past? And did it look any different from what
- 9 you see in this video?
- 10 A. I've seen it over the years. I'm not quite
- 11 | sure if they have made changes to it. You know, some
- 12 | boats get lengthened, and (inaudible word), and stuff
- 13 like that, I'm just not sure. I, that's the way I have
- 14 seen it for quite some time, or remember it anyways.
- 15 O. Thank you Mr. Fehst.
- MR. GILLETTE: Commander I have completed my
- 17 questions for Mr. Fehst.
- 18 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Gillette.
- 19 **EXAMINATION**
- 20 BY CDR MULLER:
- 21 Q. Mr. Fehst, I have a few follow-up questions.
- 22 | Earlier on in your testimony you mentioned Bridge
- 23 Resource Management, I think in connection with some of
- 24 your training, to sit for some, for your license exams.
- 25 | Can you describe what that is, and how mariners or

vessel operators can implement it?

A. Bridge Resource Management, it covers a lot of variations of different aspects of what's going on on the bridge, or with the vessel. It teaches you how to be -- situational awareness. It teaches you to notice things more as it occurs, and it helps you understand that a lot of accidents can be prevented if you just, what they say, break the chain of events.

So, it is an educational process that I found very useful. Most of it in the training was, has to do with airline crashes, what was going on in the cockpit.

Being situal (sic) awareness.

It could also apply quite directly with the crab fishing industry, or any other fishing industry as far as situational awareness. And as I said before, earlier, that the captain, a lot of times will have the crew on watch. And even though the captain may or may not have taken a course like this, I believe that it would be beneficial for all captains and crew to take this type of course.

You know, even while -- in the past there have been many vessels, casualties, not all lost, but you know, there have been crews that have survived, but they were unable to break that chain of events prior to, you know, the marine casualty, either a sinking, or however

1 | it ended up.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

It just teaches you to notice if boat's 2 rolling more to one side or the other, it teaches you 3 4 if, hmm, maybe we should slow it down, we are making a 5 lot of ice. Captain is still in bed sleeping, I mean, I should wake up the captain. It just teaches you before 6 you pass off the watch that, you know, you have to do 7 your vessel inspection, you have looked at things a 8 9 little bit closer than just handing off the baton and going back to your bed because you are tired. 10

It teaches you to notice fatigue much sooner than most people probably realize. You know, look for the signs of fatigue in your crew. So it is a very helpful, useful course. And I'd recommend it to anyone that's a mariner, including crew, not just captains.

- Q. Right so I, that's a good segue to -- if you could help describe, or illustrate the process which you spoke about. It sounded like there was some collaboration, interaction, discussion between the master and crew, is that correct regarding Bridge Resource Management?
- A. Absolutely. I mean this is one of the reasons
 I called up my crew, when the Destination went by, we
 had a discussion immediately after we passed them on our
 way out to sea. We had a discussion about load

- 1 | configuration. We had a discussion about icing, non-
- 2 | icing conditions. We had a discussion about other
- 3 | aspects of stability, load lines, what we were doing,
- 4 just training. And that is coming from my education,
- 5 | just handing it down like the baton to the younger.
- 6 So, this discussion is just educating. I want
- 7 | them to, when they are on watch, you know, this is what
- 8 | I want you to look for, what to watch, wake me up, if
- 9 | you have any questions wake me up if things just don't
- 10 look right. This is what I want you to look for, so
- 11 don't be afraid.
- 12 Q. Does it involve a process where the crew,
- 13 potentially, may have some input to the captain, for the
- 14 | captain's considerations?
- 15 A. Can you say that again, one more time?
- Q. So Bridge Resource Management, or these
- 17 discussions --
- A. Um-hmm.
- 19 Q. -- is there an element there, a component that
- 20 encourages input from the crew?
- 21 A. Ah, just a better understanding of their work
- 22 platform. I mean, they are going out to sea, they know
- 23 | the risks. But we can lower those risks by better
- 24 education and understanding of what we are doing. You
- 25 know this, the risks are still there, but, you know,

- 1 | breaking that chain of event that leads up to a casualty
- 2 | is the most important part here. And I think that's
- 3 | where Bridge Resource Management helps. Educate the
- 4 | captain, but it can also educate the crew in the same
- 5 | way to understand this chain that can happen. And how
- 6 | you can break that chain before it leads to the ultimate
- 7 catastrophe.
- 8 Q. Can you help create a picture, or describe
- 9 perhaps industry practice. What kind of risk
- 10 assessment, or discussions, if any, take place between
- 11 | the crew and the captain prior to getting underway? As
- 12 | well as, you are welcome to contrast, and give us an
- 13 example of what takes place onboard your vessel.
- A. Well, safety is definitely number one.
- 15 | Safety, you know, it doesn't do any good for -- I want
- 16 my crew to be there at the beginning of the season all
- 17 | the way through the season and go home in one piece at
- 18 the end of the season.
- 19 So the discussion of safety is of utmost
- 20 | importance. And that includes all the above, rules of
- 21 | the road, they are driving, you know. Understand other
- 22 | vessels, what their lights mean, what -- who has right
- 23 of ways, you know, the hierarchy of different vessels,
- 24 how to notice different vessels, especially at
- 25 | nighttime.

Again, Bridge Resource Management, being notice of, notice stability, what are we doing here, this is, you know, I discuss why does my book say forty-two pots, and I'm only carrying thirty-five because I like a little bit of leeway, a little bit of extra cushion. I don't like taking my load to the, you know, the limit of the stability book. It looks good at the dock, but sea conditions can throw, you know, different variables at you.

You know, I've seen it over the years where, you know, they say ten foot seas we will get -- but ten foot there, could be a nineteen-foot in there, and then a twenty-foot in there. Or an odd wave from getting into an area where there is more current or an opposing current, upwellings. I mean, there is just all kinds of different things that crew needs to be aware of.

So we talk about this. We talk about -- I show them on charts, I mean, we are looking at areas not to transit through. You know, stay out of it, stay out of it from this point on. Stay left of this area, you know, teaching them that there are tide rips and stuff like that in this area, just stay, you know, when we are transiting this is why we are doing this course, then altering to that course at the certain point. I teach them the reasons behind all that.

- So, I want them to be good mariners, not just good deckhands, but good mariners.
- 3 Q. Thank you. Also earlier, you mentioned that
- 4 | you participated in Coast Guard spot checks. And you
- 5 | recalled an incident, or an instant where the Coast
- 6 Guard spot check resulted in your vessel being held at
- 7 | the dock until the stability book was updated, is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. That was April Lane, my vessel.
- 12 Q. Do you recall about what year that took place?
- 13 A. Pardon me?
- 14 Q. Do you recall what year that took place?
- 15 A. That was this year. No wait.
- 16 Q. 2016?
- A. That was 2016, and that was when we arrived about mid-November, about the tenth of November.
- 19 Q. Do you recall how the Coast Guard examiners
- 20 | who conducted that spot check how they implemented
- 21 | holding you to the dock? Did they issue you any
- 22 paperwork? Any instruction? Any requirement? Was
- 23 | there a mechanism, or paperwork? Was it even a caption
- 24 of the port order, do you recall?
- 25 A. Again, it was a voluntary act on my part, to

- 1 make this call. It is just something I've done since
- 2 2005, with the twenty-four hour notification, it was
- 3 | just, you know, when I leave port, I want to leave port
- 4 knowing that my vessel is in the best condition
- 5 possible. So I made the call when we arrived. We came
- 6 | from Petersburg, to Dutch Harbor with twenty-four 7 bys
- 7 onboard --
- 8 Q. Right. I understand the scenario. But do you
- 9 recall if the Coast Guard issued you any paperwork that
- 10 required you to stay at the dock? Or was it --
- 11 A. They had a checklist, I was going over my
- 12 | safety equipment, they had a checklist, and they did not
- check off my stability book, it was updated -- not
- 14 updated to be in the new configuration. So they did not
- 15 | check that off. And asked me to get it updated, get it
- 16 revised, asked me to not leave Dutch Harbor until this
- 17 was done. This was over the weekend.
- And I contacted my partner down in Petersburg,
- 19 and we got on it right away. So that the marine
- 20 | architects or whoever issues those stability books, and
- 21 | they gave us a temporary copy that reflected the
- 22 | changes. And I presented that to the Coast Guard, I
- 23 | believe, a couple days later when I got it. And they
- 24 | looked at it, and agreed with it, and then they let us
- 25 qo sail.

1 CDR MULLER: Okay, thank you. I have no 2 further questions. NTSB? Mr. Karr?

3 EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. KARR:

5

7

8

9

- Q. Good morning Captain.
- 6 A. Good morning.
 - Q. Is my microphone working? Let the record show the green light is on. What's the length of the vessel that you are currently operating?
- 10 A. It is fifty-eight foot.
- Q. And when you were a crab boat captain, what was the length of the last crab boat that you captained?
- A. The very last one was the Fierce Allegiance, and that was about a hundred and eighty footer.
- Q. And how many crew do you currently have you your current vessel, and on that hundred and eighty footer, what was the crew?
- A. On my current vessel it is four. The other

 crab boats that I have run through the years, anywhere

 from 98 foot to about a 175, I'm not sure what the

 length overall is of the Fierce. But generally for

 crabbing it is five. Years ago we did it with four, but

 five seemed to be a better number. So, I'd say the last

 10 to 15 years of my career crab fishing was five.
 - Q. Even on that hundred and eighty foot vessel?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. How long does it take -- first of all, your crew, how often do you have to hire a new crewmember for your current vessel?
- 5 Α. My current vessel I started off with four originals, four original crewmembers from Petersburg, 6 and we had factored in a rotating guy that would come in 7 later on in the season, so each one of the crewmembers 8 9 that I current, you know, left with me from Petersburg could take a couple week vacation. So in total, there 10 was five, but always, I had four original, and by the 11 end of the season it was back to the four original. 12
- Q. So how long have those crewmembers been working for you?
- 15 A. Um --
- Q. A rough estimate.
- A. One of them a number of years, probably five,
 six years, he was my chief engineer. And the other ones
 are fairly new, if not new to the pot fishery in the
 Bering Sea.
- Q. And then how about when you were captaining
 the crab boat, was your -- did you have much turnover,
 or was it pretty much the same crew?
- A. There's turnover. You know the seasons, years ago were long. And you know, anywhere from the

- 1 beginning of August through April, that's a pretty long
- 2 | time for a deckhand to remain without taking a vacation.
- 3 So there is turnover.
- 4 Q. Well when I say turnover, I mean, were they
- 5 people that never knew you before? Or did you -- when
- 6 | you are talking about people coming back to work for
- 7 | you, were these people that, you know, had worked for
- 8 you in the past?
- 9 A. Yeah, both. Crewmembers that I knew from the
- 10 past, and also new crewmembers eight that have
- 11 experience, and then there is always a spot sometimes
- 12 for a new crewmember, a first timer.
- 13 Q. How long did it take you to train your crew to
- 14 serve as a wheelwatch at night when you were, you know,
- 15 | when you were asleep?
- 16 A. It begins with an introduction to the
- 17 | wheelhouse, the electronics, showing them how the
- 18 electronics work. Don't be afraid to skip around
- 19 through the plotters, and the radars, and you know, that
- 20 | all begins before we set sail.
- I have the rules of the road book right beside
- 22 | the wheelwatch station. I give them a brief general
- 23 oversight. Or a brief introduction to the rules of the
- 24 | road, so they understand right away. A brief
- 25 | introduction to lights most likely to occur in the area

- 1 that we are fishing. What we are most likely to see.
- 2 And then the education doesn't stop there, it
- 3 | continues. Every time I'm there on the bridge, I'm on
- 4 | the bridge, and we have a discussion. Either about
- 5 Bridge Resource Management, or rules of the road, or
- 6 | just carry on a discussion. We talk about safety on
- 7 | deck, what we do different, what you should be doing
- 8 different. Things, areas to watch, common sense, you
- 9 know, just for them to have as much knowledge as
- 10 possible to avoid injury.
- 11 Q. Can you describe if you have ever told
- 12 | someone, you know, I can't have you stand the watch
- 13 because I don't trust you?
- 14 A. Absolutely.
- 15 Q. And what was it about them that made you make
- 16 | that decision?
- 17 A. Their inability to follow rules, conduct on
- 18 the bridge. You know, we have a watch alarm, and if you
- 19 can't even stay awake for fifteen minutes, you know, you
- 20 | are jeopardizing the entire crew, and the vessel. So
- 21 anybody that violates the no sleeping rule will be
- 22 excused. Worst case scenario, will be excused from the
- 23 vessel, period.
- 24 Q. Is it a one strike rule? Or, with regard to
- 25 | falling asleep on watch, do you have a one strike rule.

- Or do you allow them a few times?
- A. I have a one strike rule, don't do it.
- Q. Those people that don't qual -- that didn't qualify to stand the watch, did they continue to work onboard the boat, or were they let go soon thereafter?
- 6 A. They were let go.

1

7

15

16

17

20

- Q. In all cases?
- A. The ones I could I find a replacement, you

 know. I wouldn't allow someone to be onboard the vessel

 that can't follow that simple rule of staying awake on

 watch. It is just, it doesn't work for anyone.
- Anybody, you know, the rest of the crew, you know, all our lives are in their hand when they are on watch. So if they can't follow that one rule they are done.
 - Q. The folks that fell asleep, if -- can you remember what excuses they gave? In general, can you tell me what excuses they gave for falling asleep?
- 18 A. That they were too tired, or under some other 19 influence.
 - Q. The ones that said they were too tired, did they explain in detail what made them tired?
- A. Working in the crab industry we do work long
 hours. But as the Captain I have scaled back
 dramatically the work hour load that I place upon them.
 I take all the watches from -- to and from port. So it

- doesn't occur on my vessel anymore, I just -- they do
- 2 | very little driving. But that's because I'm so close to
- 3 | shore. But crabbing, I mean, you have to watch their
- 4 | fatigue. And again, that goes back to Bridge Resource
- 5 Management. And I pull them off deck when I see any
- 6 sign of fatigue it is time to come off.
- 7 So, I have lessened those chances of them
- 8 | falling asleep at the wheel. Anytime I'm going near an
- 9 | island, or anywhere near traffic, where there is
- 10 freighters and stuff involved, I take those watches I
- don't place that upon the crew. So, I take more -- I
- 12 take the lion's share of the wheelwatches, even though
- 13 I'm training them, I don't put them in those positions
- 14 as, probably as often as most captains do.
- 15 Q. Referring back to an earlier question about
- 16 pot weight. The question was asked about whether the
- 17 lines and buoys that are in crab -- or in a pot, do you
- 18 | count that as the overall pot weight? Or is that
- 19 associated with the accessories that are listed in the
- 20 | stability book?
- 21 A. That's added with the pot weight. So, in
- 22 | addition to the steel, there is consideration for the
- 23 buoy's and line that's attached to it.
- Q. I want to ask one question and then I'd like
- to refer back to the video can we have that picture put

- 1 up again? That was fast, so I will ask the question.
- 2 On that night, I'm interested in hearing what you were -
- 3 if you foresaw, if you were thinking ahead to what
- 4 | would happen to a vessel like this that might sail that
- 5 | night. At -- I'm only asking you if you thought about
- 6 | it that night. And if you did, I'd like to hear what
- 7 | you were thinking.
- 8 A. If I was the captain onboard that vessel? Is
- 9 that how you are putting this?
- 10 Q. What I am -- no, just your thoughts, with
- 11 regard to what you thought might occur over the next day
- 12 or two, based on the weather forecast.
- A. When they went by and I saw them in this
- 14 | configuration, I didn't even know that they had loaded
- 15 more bait later. In the picture, here, can you -- don't
- 16 really have a close up look at the (inaudible word)
- 17 rail?
- 18 Q. Yeah, well let me be specific, because
- 19 particularly I'm interested in how you thought ice would
- 20 | accumulate on this vessel.
- 21 A. It would definitely be on the starboard side,
- 22 | the majority of it. They were traveling northwest,
- 23 | north-northwest, more northwest than north. With -- the
- 24 | forecast was for northeast, would put them right in the
- 25 ditch.

- Q. And the ditch you refer to is the --
- 2 A. Broad side to the waves.
- Q. -- trough of the seas? Or how did you explain
- 4 |it?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 5 A. Broad side to the seas.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- A. So they would have been taking the majority of
 the ice spray on the starboard side, that's not
 distributing the weight evenly. I've been there before,
 I mean, I've been there before on the Viking Queen one
 time we were heading up and we made it to St. George,
- and we pulled in, and it was pretty bad. We were listing, hard list to starboard.
 - And just to get rid of one pot took over an hour, it was climbing an ice wall. To get to the pot ties. I mean, it took quite some time just to get one pot, or to get the pot ties undone you had to use the crane to pull the shots out of the pot. I mean it is pretty solid. And that was predominantly on the starboard side.
 - So when I look at this load here, and think back to that time, and the forecast that we were supposed to get, predominantly the starboard side of that vessel would have been iced up pretty bad. Again, I don't know if they slowed down, I don't know if they

jogged into it. I have not seen any of the tracking, or speed that they were making. I've seen none of that, so 2 I'm just -- I cannot say what they did. 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But this is what I would have done. I would have slowed down, gone into the wind, to the point of -if it looked like I was in jeopardy, and I was still out here, and off the island, you know to stick and rudder, not drift, just in and out of gear, taking each wave as softly as possible to minimize any further icing.

There are times when you are in seas like that, and you are that iced up, to even put the crew out there can jeopardize them, falling off the stack. I mean that's -- it can go either way. You put them out there to try to start breaking these pots off, and be successful in getting these pots off. In the time that the vessel, you know, doesn't ice up too bad on one side and rolls. But you also take the chance that your crew can slip. You take the chance that, you know, that a fairly large slab of ice can knock them in the head --I've seen this before. You know, falling off, big chunks off the frames or whatever.

So it's, you've got to really take a lot of consideration, in looking at this, again. And you know, for me, this is what brought it to my attention in the first place is, you know, we shouldn't have to do this

- anymore. So I just wondered what pressure Jeff might

 have been under to leave that night with that forecast
- Q. When you were a crab boat captain, did you operate with, did you ever operate with four or more stacks of crab pots?

that was in our future.

- A. The vessels that I was on, the (inaudible words) was three of them, three trays on top. Some of the bigger one four. The Fierce Allegiance I think we went five, and it was rated, you know, you look, again, at your stability book. So for this part size vessel, I mean, four would definitely, in my mind and just looking at the height of it versus the house, would be a definite max right there. But again, I don't know what the stability book days for this vessel. But just looking at, you know, the house and the stack in my mind, that's questionable.
 - Q. Can you tell me how, based on your experience, how ice would have, may have accumulated on a vessel such as this? Would it have been evenly distributed over the entire starboard side of the vessel, or would there be more lower, would there be more higher?
- A. I would say that the build-up is probably most mid-ship. The starboard side of the house, the bow of the bridge there, the starboard side of the wall of the

1 wheelhouse. I would say the lower part of the stack, in

- 2 the exhaust area there. The mid-ship, right there where
- 3 | you see where the hull box in that vicinity right there,
- 4 | would probably take the most ice, make the most ice.
- And more than likely it would become less as
- 6 | you get towards the pots near the stern. So, most of
- 7 | the load would be mid-ship, this lowest point, really.
- 8 And you know, most of the spray that is coming, anything
- 9 off the bow will hit the house, and hit those first few
- 10 pots, those first few rows. So that's, again, why I
- 11 | would say that that part of the ship would make the most
- 12 | ice.
- The port side, if they did not alter course,
- 14 | would definitely have the least. Most of that ice.
- 15 Unless you, you know, closed up the web, as far as ice
- or water not getting through the web of the pots, it now
- 17 becomes a complete build-up on the exterior of those
- 18 pots. And that's what I mean by like an ice wall.
- 19 Q. Have you ever seen on the crab boats that
- 20 | you've been on, have you ever seen the crab pots
- 21 completely filled with ice?
- 22 A. I have. Completely full? No, not a solid,
- 23 but pretty darn close. To the point where, you know,
- 24 even the crane was having trouble lifting it. You know,
- 25 | the seventy-five -- or 700 pound, 800 pound (inaudible

- word), could probably easily become 2,000 pounds when it is fully loaded with ice.
- Q. When you engaged with the Coast Guard during
- 4 | those spot checks, could you tell me what your
- 5 | conversation -- can you tell me what, can you describe
- 6 | the conversation you had with the Coast Guard?
- 7 | Particularly, were there any points that the Coast Guard
- 8 | wanted to make while they were on board your vessel?
- 9 A. Are you specifically talking about the April
- 10 Lane?
- 11 Q. About the what?
- 12 A. April Lane, my current vessel? Or others in
- 13 | the past?
- 14 Q. Let's start with your current vessel.
- 15 A. Well we go through all the normal safety
- 16 | checks, life rafts, EPIRB's, alarms, tank alarms, engine
- 17 room alarms, make sure everything's operable. You know,
- 18 I want their stamp of approval before I leave the dock.
- 19 I will normally know if it works or if it doesn't work.
- 20 | If it doesn't work, I'm going to fix it. I just want
- 21 | their stamp before I leave the dock.
- So we look at the safety, heavily on the
- 23 | safety side. Stability book again, we look at the
- 24 stability book non-icing, icing. They don't necessarily
- 25 | -- they are not there to train me how to look at the

- 1 stability book or read it. It is just, they want me to understand the load configuration, you know, tank 2 capacities, fuel, stuff like that. So, it's helpful.
 - Q. Any discussions about safety issues?
- Α. No. 5

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Besides -- let me as you this, any discussions Q. about safety issues besides all the vessel equipment that you are required to carry for the stability book?
 - No, not during this inspection that I had. Α.
 - Any discussions about the threat of icing? Q.
- This was in November, it was still fairly 11 Α. No. 12 warm.
 - All right. Going back to your crab days, same question, when you were -- when you underwent a spot check was there any discussion the Coast Guard had with you to make you more aware of the hazards of what you might face?
 - I believe there were (inaudible word) post-2005, for the snow crab fishery, when we were doing our -- I always did the twenty-four hour notification, even though it's not mandatory. I, you know, at that time, and all these years, I just found out recently that is it is discretionary, not a mandatory. Somebody had a good idea. I think it is a great idea.

25 But yes, there's been times where the Coast

- 1 Guard said, you know, the forecast, you are in a non-
- 2 | icing condition with your load. Basically asked me to
- 3 stand down or take some of that gear off. I chose to
- 4 stand down and wait the weather out.
- 5 Q. All right, thank you Captain.
- 6 MR. KARR: I have no more questions.

EXAMINATION

8 MR. SUFFERN:

- 9 Q. Thank you Mr. Fehst. My name is Paul Suffern,
- 10 I'm a meteorologist and I'm going to be asking you a few
- general questions about the weather here. When you were
- 12 sailing out on the, it sounds like the morning of 10^{th}
- 13 there and heading out. Were there any communications
- 14 between yourself and other vessels while you were out
- 15 there as far as what weather they were experiencing?
- 16 A. It was that evening that we sailed, it was
- 17 about 7:30, 8:00 that evening, just prior to -- it was
- 18 just between dusk and dark when we left Dutch Harbor. I
- 19 did not have any discussion with any vessels on my way
- 20 out of town. I did not have any discussion with vessels
- 21 | when we were in the fishing grounds. And I re-baited
- 22 | gear and did not have any discussion with any vessels on
- 23 our way back to port, which was the following morning.
- 24 | I think we arrived in port around five a.m. Friday
- 25 morning.

Q. Thank you. Could you again, go into how you gather your weather information? You said on the 9th you gathered it through the VHF, but now you have internet. Is that, do you receive all your weather information via internet, or emails, or --

- A. I've had internet before prior to the April
 Lane. It is a much more accurate way of receiving more
 correct information on your weather forecast. At the
 time, I only had the VHF, which was good enough. I
 mean, I'm only a couple hours from any port, either
 Akutan or Dutch.
- So, it is sufficient enough for me to gather enough information from the VHF, by listening to multiple areas, in the vicinity that I am in. And, you know, I can look at the weather outside my window and what they are telling me on the radio, and you know, is it doing what they are saying on the radio or is it different than what they are saying on the radio. So I will look at, I'll listen to other areas, and then is it coming my way or is it going in a different direction.
- So, I put a lot of emphasis on multiple areas. So that particular night, I mean, the warnings were there for heavy freezing spray throughout the weekend. And starting off with, you know, freezing spray to heavy freezing spray the further north of the Pribilofs was

1 | all heavy freezing spray warnings.

So my plan, as I said, was as I said, just to

get out there several hours, bait the gear which took

about three hours, and get back to Dutch Harbor using

the tailwinds, the tailwind sea, falling sea to get back

to Dutch Harbor. And remain in Dutch Harbor for the

remainder of that weekend.

I do know of other vessels that were in the pot fishing industry, and the State water fishery that were fishing over by Giamat (phonetic) Pass. And, you know, and some communication with one of the vessels, they were telling me that, you know, be glad that you are in Dutch Harbor, it was pretty messed up out there too. You know, cold, some guys were getting frostbite, it was cold. The temperature came way down that weekend.

- Q. Are there any other ways that you wish you could receive weather information?
- A. I think the internet, we installed KDH, is a great way to get the weather forecast. It is much more accurate. You can get a pinpoint, you can get icing conditions, you can temperature, you get sea wave, you get currents, you get the -- all these things are involved with the Windyty line, is, I think it's call now. These are things that you can't get on the VHF,

- 1 that type of information. So, I mean, I can look all
- 2 over my geographical area that I might be traveling.
- 3 What's it doing thirty miles over there, versus what I'm
- 4 seeing here.
- I have found it to be very accurate. You
- 6 know, the VHF is prehistoric compared to what we can get
- 7 | now, as far as weather forecasting, and the sea up
- 8 | ahead, you know, we can look at our whole travel plan
- 9 and look days ahead. And it is -- I, mean, you get
- 10 beyond four or five days in the Bering Sea, you know,
- 11 changes occur. But it is pretty accurate within the
- 12 | first forty-eight hours. So, I found it to be pretty
- 13 accurate for forty-eight hours.
- 14 O. Do you ever take any weather logs of the
- 15 | weather that you encounter on ship, while you are out
- 16 | sailing?
- 17 A. No, not so much.
- 18 Q. Do you feel like you receive enough warning
- 19 when you are getting a heavy freezing spray, a freezing
- 20 | spray warning, do you feel like you receive enough time
- 21 to make precautionary measures?
- 22 A. Absolutely. I take it fully into account
- 23 | whether to pull back into the dock or you know, just
- 24 listen to what it's telling me and act accordingly.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

1 MR. SUFFERN: Commander Muller that's all my questions. 2 CDR MULLER: Ms. Spivak any questions? 3 4 MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you. CDR MULLER: I have one more question. 5 **EXAMINATION** 6 7 BY CDR MULLER: You just mentioned the term, and maybe I need 8 Q. 9 you to repeat it so I make sure I have it right. You said you recently installed an ABH? 10 It is called KDH, and it is a company, now 11 they are, I think there are several out that have global 12 13 satellites out there that we can get marine information through the internet. So we went over KDH, you know, 14 our particular unit is a couple different units. 15 There's a bigger dome, there's a smaller dome for my 16 size vessel, we went with the smaller dome, about 17 \$15,000 up front for the unit. It delivers what I need as far as emails. 19 20 But the main thing I wanted was to have the 21 WindyT, this different form of forecasting. I feel like, in the long run, you know, a lot of, a big part of 22 our business is dollars and cents. And keeping expenses 23

25 can without, you know, causing safety issues with the

24

down, keeping the fishing revenue incoming as best we

- 1 vessel.
- 2 So, I find this very helpful in making
- 3 decisions whether to leave port or not leave port.
- 4 | What's it doing where my fishing gear is, versus where I
- 5 | am at. What's it doing between here and there? This
- 6 kind of stuff, you are not going to get over the
- 7 | sideband, or VHF. It's just a far more into the present
- 8 | type of forecasting that's available now to us.
- 9 Q. So, it is essentially satellite access to the
- 10 internet.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And did you say, Windy?
- A. Windy TY, it used to be TV, but I think now
- 14 | they changed it to WindyTY.
- 15 O. Okay. Is -- does this look like it? I have
- 16 the app on my cell phone.
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Okay. So using that capability and in
- 19 | conjunction with the application of your stability book,
- 20 does your stability book have different provisions for
- 21 | summer, winter, or icy, non-icy?
- 22 A. It has provisions for the different fisheries
- 23 | that we are involved with, different equipment that will
- 24 be on board, versus pots, versus pursing. Crane versus
- 25 | a boom that is used for pursing, so there is different

- 1 variances in, within the stability book. And also now
- 2 that we pot fish with this vessel, there is the non-
- 3 | icing condition load, which includes levels of fuel
- 4 tanks, oil, any other stores, any other provisions.
- And there is also, again with the fishing, the
- 6 | salmon fishing has those same stability, as far as non-
- 7 | icing/ icing. So it covers all bases, and what the boat
- 8 participates in. It covers both bases of icing/non-
- 9 | icinq.
- 10 Q. Okay so, how did you apply the icing criteria?
- 11 Especially what I'm looking for is it -- when -- do you
- 12 apply it when icing is actually occurring? Do you apply
- 13 | it during a seasonal timeframe? Or based on your voyage
- 14 plan and given the upcoming weather information?
- 15 A. It's going to be based on the voyage plan,
- 16 | what is it doing currently with the, what configuration
- 17 | I am in. Am I starting seasonal, am I planning on
- 18 moving all my gear up north? So it's, for that
- 19 particular trip is, it's part of the preplan for
- 20 loading. Looking at the weather, what's coming ahead.
- 21 | Is this trip two -- going to take me two days, is it
- 22 | going to take me three days to where I'm going? You
- 23 know, looking at all that.
- Not just where I'm at but where I'm going to.
- 25 All those are considered as far as how much gear I'm

- going to load, or when I'm going to leave. You know, if
- 2 | I am only traveling three hours I know that the heavy
- 3 | freezing spray's going to start at two in the morning,
- 4 | and I leave at noon, I know I am going to get there in
- 5 | time to get that gear off. Is there a place I can get
- 6 that gear off if I need to before the weather is, you
- 7 | know, wrong as far as timing?
- 8 So I look at, you know, what kind of depths am
- 9 I traveling over, you know, if there is a big gap in an
- 10 | area if you are traveling northwest that is too deep to
- 11 set your gear if you are trying to save it, and come to
- 12 it. So, that's all part of the pre-plan as far as what,
- 13 you know, condition, weather conditions that are ahead
- of me.

- I don't leave port with a, a non-icing
- 16 | condition load and then listen to the weather a day and
- 17 | a half later while I'm out to sea and oh, we've got a
- 18 heavy freezing spray coming. No, it is not that type of
- 19 deal, it is done prior to departure.
 - Q. Okay, thank you.
- 21 CDR MULLER: Let me check in. Any questions
- 22 Mr. Gillette?
- MR. GILLETTE: I do have a couple follow-up
- 24 questions, thank you Commander.
- 25 **EXAMINATION**

1 BY MR. GILLETTE:

- Q. These are follow-up questions, for questions

 Mr. Karr asked, just to get some reference on it. Did

 you, were you cod fishing in January of 2017?
- 5 A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 6 Q. Where was your location?
- 7 A. Again it was Unimak Pass, the northern side, 8 the Bering Sea side.
 - Q. While cod-fishing, you did talk a little about hours that your crew were working and rested. And you did mention about reducing the hours. When you were cod-fishing what was the average work-day for your deckhands?
- A. It is based upon the tides, it is based upon,
 you know, it is based upon the timing of the tides for
 me. So, it is usually about six on four off, six on
 five off. So we get through the gear and I give it
 twelve hours (inaudible word) total.
 - So, you know, I fish a little differently than some. So I'm trying to run as much gear as possible a day. I fish the tides though. And in a twenty-four hour period, they are going to be working two periods.

 And we are probably closer to fourteen hours, fifteen hours in that period, split, the sleep time split. So -

- there is at least nine hours, maybe four and a half

- here, seven hours later, another four and a half for the sleep period, within a twenty-four hour period.
- Q. So just to understand what you just said about 4 14 to 15 hours work.
- 5 A. Total in a day, but not in a row.

6

7

8

9

19

20

- Q. Okay. And then you also mentioned about pulling, you said, "Pulling them off the deck for fatigue", that's what you said. Not during that cod season which you just mentioned. Before --
- Oh, even during the cod season. And we, we 10 are allowed seventy-two hours for these trips. And 11 maximum for, to deliver fish. So I watch them. You 12 know, if we are fishing in rougher weather, obviously 13 they are using, expending more energy. I watch them 14 very closely. If I see like they making, start making 15 mistakes, (inaudible word) pots, pulling pots, whatever, 16 you know. I keep a close eye on that, and I have no 17 problem, that's it, we are done pulling.
 - Q. Have any of the deckhands ever not been rehired due to fatigue, basically, not keeping up with the hours that are asked for them to work?
- A. No, I find them keeping up with my schedule.

 Even the new ones. You know, the first thirty days they

 might be a little stiff and sore, but they get used to

 it. You know, so adding sleep periods, and meals, and

- 1 having a, you know, pretty defined schedule, they know
- 2 | what to expect, you know, work, eat, sleep, work, eat,
- 3 | sleep. Has reduced fatigue dramatically, and better
- 4 performance, and better safety. I have, this last year
- 5 | no injuries. So that's the bottom line.
- Q. When you were working on the crab boats up in
- 7 | the Bering Sea, what type of hours were they putting in
- 8 at that time, for 2013? That's the last --
- 9 A. Well, I gotta be honest with you, in my
- 10 | younger years, it exceeded sometimes 19, 20 hours. It -
- 11 you know, some of this was based upon making delivery
- 12 dates. Some of this, longer hours were based upon
- 13 | weather. When we had a stretch of good weather, we
- 14 | would utilize that weather more, working on deck. And
- 15 when weather did approach, or came on, we would cut
- 16 back, ease back on (inaudible word), and sleep, you
- 17 know, during those periods.
- 18 As the years went, and I started being a
- 19 Captain, you know, again, getting more educated on
- 20 Bridge Resource Management, so I'm noticing fatigue and
- 21 | stuff like that. I just found it better just to work
- 22 | smarter, not harder.
- 23 And it actually has been beneficial to cut
- 24 back on hours, and you know, give them more rest, let
- 25 | the gear do the work. You know, education has played a

VII-57

```
1 | big part in my role of getting more for less from the
```

- 2 crew, as far as, you know, resting them more often,
- 3 | feeding them more often. And, yeah, it just has
- 4 improved my safety record, and production, so it is a
- 5 | win-win.
- 6 A. All right, thank you Mr. Fehst.
- 7 MR. GILLETTE: That's all the questions
- 8 | Commander.
- 9 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Gillette. NTSB,
- 10 Mr. Karr?
- MR. KARR: I have none.
- 12 CDR MULLER: Thank you.
- MR. SUFFERN: No questions.
- 14 CDR MULLER: Ms. Spivak, do you have any
- 15 questions?
- MS. SPIVAK: No questions.
- 17 CDR MULLER: Okay. Mr. Fehst, that concludes
- 18 the questions that we have for you this morning. Before
- 19 | I begin the process of releasing you as a witness, we
- 20 usually ask all out witnesses if there is any
- 21 | information that you believe the Board should consider
- 22 | that was not otherwise addressed this morning with
- 23 regards to the investigation.
- 24 THE WITNESS: No, I think the Board asked very
- 25 | tough questions, great questions. And, you know, I

```
1
    think personally I leaned on education. I, you know,
    personally I think I leaned on more education for
2
    crewmembers. You know, just from what I've seen over
3
4
    the decades, I almost wish that we could create a new
    category, a category that would be different than a, say
5
    a mate's license, which requires a lot of time and
6
    money. Just a certification for the rules of the road,
7
    Bridge Resource Management, and stability are the three
8
    that I would highlight and find some way to, you know,
9
    educate, all crew including the captain.
10
              You know, drill instructor and all that stuff
11
    is good, but it doesn't cover, that doesn't cover some
12
13
    of these catastrophe's that have just, you know,
14
    happened recently, and has happened in the past. I can
    name multiple vessels, and you know, I heard some of
15
    them mentioned here. But it doesn't cover all of them.
16
17
    So education would be a big, I think help.
              One of the other things that I would, I, I
18
    think is a great idea is that twenty-four hour
19
20
    notification, which apparently is just a discretionary -
21
    - you can make it, but don't have to make it. I would
    like to see that become mandatory. I think between the
22
    education and that twenty-four hour notification, if it
23
    became mandatory that it could be very helpful, in
24
```

stopping something like this from occurring again.

```
1
              You know, I see no reason why there would be
    so much pressure on your captain, or company that we
2
    couldn't take, 8, 12 hours to get this done. Just
3
    double check, it's like a check and balance with the
5
    captain and his load of gear prior to leaving, that's
    just a check and balance. So those things I feel
6
    strongly about.
7
              CDR MULLER: Okay, thank you.
8
9
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
              CDR MULLER: You are now released as a witness
10
    at this Marine Board of Investigation, thank you for
11
    your testimony and cooperation. If I later determine
12
13
    that this Board needs additional information from you, I
14
    will contact you.
              If you have any questions about this
15
    investigation you may contact the Marine Board Recorder,
16
    LCDR Mendoza. Thank you again.
17
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18
              CDR MULLER: We will take a fifteen minute
19
20
    recess.
21
              (At 1:25 a fifteen minute recess was taken.)
22
              CDR MULLER: Good morning again, the hearing
    will come to order. We will now hear testimony from Mr.
23
    Osiensky. Mr. Oseinsky if you would please come forward
24
```

to the witness table, and LDCR Mendoza will administer

VII-60

1 your oath and ask you some preliminary questions. LCDR MENDOZA: Please raise your right hand. 2 3 WITNESS JEFFREY MICHAEL OSIENSKY 4 5 A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and 6 testified as follows: 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 8 9 LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Sir, please state your full name and spell your last name for the 10 11 record. THE WITNESS: Jeffrey Michael Osiensky, that 12 13 is O-S-I-E-N-S-K-Y. LCDR MENDOZA: Could you please state your 14 current employment and position title. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am employed with the 16 17 National Weather Service, Alaska Region. And I am the Deputy Chief of the Environmental and Scientific 19 Services Division. 20 LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional 21 licenses or certificates? 22 THE WITNESS: No sir. 23 LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you, sir. CDR MULLER: Mr. Osiensky, welcome, thank you 24

for your participation. Now Mr. Suffern will lead some

of the questions that we have for you this morning.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

3 EXAMINATION

BY PAUL SUFFERN:

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Good morning Mr. Oseinsky, thank you for being here this morning. And just to lead into some general questions about how the National Weather Service in Alaska Region makes their forecast for the Bering Sea.
- 9 How do the forecasters go about doing that?
- The forecasters actually use a program, a set 10 of algorithms through a computer program that actually 11 simulates various environmental factors: temperature, 12 sea surface temperature, wind. And actually that 13 14 information gets generated and creates, basically, an area of freezing spray and heavy freezing spray type 15 conditions, wind and wave information, and temperature 16 across that area. 17

So the forecasters, basically, take that information that is derived, and then work through some procedures to create text and graphical information from that.

- Q. How many times a day are the forecasts issued?
- A. The forecasts are issued twice a day. The general issuance times are four a.m. and four p.m.
- 25 | local, and can be updated as necessary, throughout the

1 day.

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. About how long does it take a weather forecaster to make, to generate these products?
- 4 Α. It usually takes them several hours, actually, because they are having to look at various types of 5 information. They are looking at reports, buoy 6 information, land observation information, satellite 7 data, radar data, and a whole host of other information, 8 9 weather information. And then they have to assimilate that and compare it with what the model output is 10 showing in their computer system. 11

And then they have the ability to then go in and make adjustments using their professional judgment, make adjustments to the forecast before it is issued.

- Q. Could you go in, specifically -- so that', in general, how the forecasts are made. How, specifically, do they make determinations on freezing spray and heavy freezing spray criteria?
- A. The freezing spray is determined through, through basically an algorithm. The National Weather Service uses the, what we call the Overland Method, Jim Overland from the University of Alaska, Fairbanks developed this back in the 1980's, he was working on it, and I believe he published a paper in 1990.

And so we've adopted that method. And so

basically, his methodology is incorporated in our computer systems. And so, all those equations are solved, and then displayed for the forecaster to use.

- Q. So do forecasters strictly stick with, you know, what the computer is outputting? Or do they, you know, use any professional judgment or opinion when they are drawing these graphics?
- With freezing spray, they pretty much go with Α. what the algorithms generate. Jim Overland's Method, there are several Nomograms, which are basically graphs. When you look at the parameters for wind, temperature, sea surface temperature, it will basically create a graph showing areas where light, moderate, or heavy, and I guess even extreme freezing spray would occur. And so, what we've done, essentially, is ingested that information into the computers, and whatever output we get is what we go with.

Unfortunately, for freezing spray, and for marine information, in general, we get very little information back from the National Weather Service to help verify or validate the forecasts. So the forecaster's procedure is to go with what is generated.

Q. So, we are speaking about four levels of criteria there, light all the way through extreme.

What's the cut-off for heavy freezing spray, and then

1 | for, just in general freezing spray?

- A. Well we have basically, again, to simplify the process again, because we don't have the data to help verify or refine those categories, we have basically, split it into two areas. We either forecast freezing spray, or heavy freezing spray. So light, basically is freezing spray, and anything from moderate or greater is considered heavy freezing spray.
- Q. How far ahead of time will the National
 Weather Service issue warnings, or advisories for
 freezing spray conditions?

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- A. We can issue those several days in advance.

 Again, knowing that folks that use that information that are out fishing need to make pre-planning decisions. We take that into consideration. So we try to give as much of a heads up as we can for those conditions. So, it is pretty common to see a forty-eight, and sometimes as far as a seventy-two hour forecast, with headlines in the forecast.
- Q. Was there any thought to having headlines longer than seventy-two hours. Is that the cut-off, or what was the determination there?
- A. Yeah, it really, you know, as the models
 improve over time, I think we are looking at, you know,
 maybe extending some of these. But really, the weather

- 1 gets pretty -- can be very changeable, and if the models
- 2 | are not giving a consistent output, really beyond that
- 3 | forty-eight to seventy-two hour timeframe, our
- 4 | confidence is fairly low. And because there is so much
- 5 uncertainty we tend to, you know, not want to extend it
- 6 too much further unless we can start getting some
- 7 | validation that this is actually occurring.
- 8 Q. So speaking of validation, has the National
- 9 Weather Service issued any calls for gathering of
- 10 information from mariners to see if seventy-two hours is
- 11 long enough? Has the weather service received any
- 12 feedback in that criteria?
- A. We do, actually, survey. There was a survey
- 14 that was put out within the past year or two, I don't
- 15 have the exact date, but asking these kinds of
- 16 questions. So, there is customer interaction.
- We do participate in marine workshops that are
- 18 being held. And we try to gather information, talk to
- 19 customers, to try to get input. And we really continue
- 20 to try to drive home the point that we need more
- 21 observations. Really, observations are the key to
- 22 | improving the forecast. Because we have very little
- 23 data out in the Bering. The models are only so good.
- 24 And they actually are pretty good, and they are getting
- 25 better.

But, there is a huge data gap out there. And
weather, as I've always said, weather is very local, and
as we have heard through some of the testimony that -depending on where you are, conditions can be very
changeable. So the more granularity we have, the better
we can translate that into our forecasts.

Q. So one ship observation, or one observation from a mariner could change a weather forecast for the following eight hours, twelve hours in the wintertime?

- A. Absolutely, yeah. And one observation, you know, you can't put a dollar amount on every observation. But we treat, and look at every single observation, and put a lot of credibility into that.

 And it can change either issuing, or expanding an area of freezing spray, or possibly ending a freezing spray forecast, based on an observation, it can help to confirm that. So, it is very important.
- Q. How accurate have you seen the weather models compared to buoy observations, or other non-human observations?
- 21 A. They are pretty good. I've heard, you know,
 22 pretty consistently folks say that our forecasts may be
 23 a little bit on the low side by five knots. I mean,
 24 that's been pretty much fairly common. I think if we
 25 compare it against the buoy observations, we do well.

But, you know, the buoys are not located,
maybe in some of the areas where some of the stronger
winds are located, and again, there are very few
observations. So, again, it gets back to this
validation issue, trying to validate the forecasts.

I think they are pretty good. I think when it comes to freezing spray, you know, forecasters pretty much know, at least in the Anchorage Forecast Office, and in Fairbanks as well, where they are dealing with winds off the ice edge, you know, it's pretty, it becomes pretty obvious when you get wind, and you get cold air, obviously to a certain criteria, and sea surface temperatures at a certain criteria that freezing spray is going to be an issue.

The problem that we have, is something that's been brought up in the past is the accrual, and trying to understand how quickly the ice accrues. And I think there are a lot of factors in that: speed of the vessel, the direction the vessel's transiting versus the wind speed, or wind direction, and there's a whole bunch of other factors involved there, so it gets, it gets a little tricky.

Q. So, you mentioned that the National Weather Service uses the Overland Method from the 1990 paper, has the -- it's about 25 to 30 years ago. Has there

- been any improvement in freezing spray determination?
- 2 And has the National Weather Service considered using
- 3 other methods?

version of that.

- A. Yes. And actually, Jim Overland's done some

 work, obviously since then. And he's incorporated other

 parameters that we've incorporated, including Fetch and

 other things into. So, there's kind of a, there's kind

 of the original Overland Method, and then an advanced
 - There are also others out there Sovata

 (Phonetic) and then the Canadians use Stalagraph

 (Phonetic), which is another, another technique. We are very much aware of those, and are looking at some of those techniques. But, I think the problem with, you know, with the calculations is really previous to about say ten years or so ago. We didn't have the computer power to be able to do those intensive calculations over a broad area.
 - As the computers are getting faster, and we have more computing power available to us, I think we can look at, maybe some more of these techniques that are a little more computer intensive to integrate into our system. So we look forward to any, any new work that's being done in this area.
 - Q. So shifting gears a little bit. I talked over

the past day and a half, kind of, the testimony from the captains, and understanding their methods that they get the weather forecasts there. What avenues does the National Weather Service have to issue their weather forecast, and for mariners to be able to get that?

A. There are a couple different methods. VHF is still, it's still a main way that we do that. Through NOAA weather radio. The Coast Guard has partnered with the National Weather Service over the past several years to create these, they call them high sites. They are basically low watt transmitters along the coast, to increase the density of transmissions. There is also a marine radio fax system that's used. Granted it is pretty, it is pretty antiquated. But it is still being used, and it is a method to get fax charts out to the boats.

And now, with the advent of internet, and the cost starting to come down as more and more people have access to the internet, that's being -- that's really kind of the main tool that we are looking at to get our texting graphical forecasts out.

Q. Have there been any other avenues that have been expressed by mariners that the National Weather Service hasn't been able to pursue as far as getting weather forecasts out?

1 Α. No, they're really -- the problem with the internet was, it was really a cost issue. But we are, 2 you know, expanding more and more, putting more and more 3 of our products on the web. And it seems to be fine when folks are, you know, like at Dutch or places where 5 they have good cell service or good internet service. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

But once they get out, you know, out on the seas, it is more difficult sometimes to get some of these products. But again, with the advent of new services that are being made available, and the costs, which are driving the costs down a little bit. That's going to be the area, as we look to the future, to be able to get more products out there.

- So shifting to the reports that the forecasters receive from the mariners, what do those reports look like when the National Weather Service receives them, and how many, you know, specifically in the Bering Sea and along the Aleutian chain how many ship reports do, does the National Weather Service receive a day?
- Α. Well, the National Weather Service 22 participates, and actually leads a Voluntary Observing Ship, VOS Program. And we actually have a program 23 manager that works in our regional office in Anchorage, 24 he's -- that's part of his duty. That's not his whole

job. So, he's pretty limited in terms of how often he can get out.

But part of what they do is they, they go out to the ships, they calibrate barometers, they talk with the captain and the staff, the crew. Make sure that there is a little bit of weather education that goes on there. And also basically, some instruction on how to take a marine observation. So, through that program we do get some mariner observations back to the National Weather Service.

But it is certainly, you know, nowhere near the level that we, that we would like to see. We would like to see either more people involved in that program, if possible. Or look toward other avenues to be able to get these observations, maybe to a central coordination point, and then transmitted to the Weather Service forecasters.

- Q. So on a daily basis do you have any ballpark idea about, in the Bering Sea and in the Aleutian chain, how many marine observations are received, specifically from vessels?
- A. Probably a handful, at most, if we are lucky.
- Q. As far as feedback, in that program, and other avenues, what feedback has the National Weather Service received, in general about the Bering Sea forecasts from

1 | the mariners? Are they -- forecasts too high, too low?

A. Yeah, as I mentioned before, I think there is a bit of a bias that maybe we are a little bit on the low side. The forecasters are very well aware of that, both wind and seas. Again, we try to take that into

account.

And when I mentioned before, you know, the process that we go through where the models present sort of a solution to the forecaster, and then they have the ability to go in there, and "Tweak the forecast", or adjust it. That's usually where the professional judgment comes in.

There are certain wind directions, and certain weather regimes, where the models may not do particularly as well, and the forecaster does have the ability to go in there, and make those modifications.

So, I think it is getting better, it's getting better.

Q. Okay, and more specifically, so that was basically, you know, wind and sea information. Does the National Weather Service receive any feedback as far as the freezing spray forecasts, and how, it's on the low side or high side, or --

A. Very little, very little. It's definitely an area of, you know, improvement that we would like to get more information back from folks on what they are

- 1 experiencing so that we can -- that will help calibrate,
- 2 | sort of our conceptual model of what's actually
- 3 happening out there. And then we can translate that
- 4 information back into the forecast.
- Q. Are there ever times that a forecaster -- I
- 6 know you mentioned there is one person that seems like,
- 7 | at the regional office that goes out and visits these
- 8 | ships, so are there ever opportunities for forecasters
- 9 to go out and meet the mariners and gather information
- 10 | from them? Or does the National Weather Service have
- 11 any other outreach to mariners there?
- 12 A. There are, there are, we do have folks,
- 13 forecasters in the offices that do participate in
- 14 outreach. You know, there was even some talk about
- 15 trying to spin up a marine, sort of a marine customer
- 16 board or a committee where we could get, you know, get
- 17 | Coast Guard, get fisherman, weather service people
- 18 together so that we can have this dialogue and talk
- 19 about some of these gaps. And that's, so that's
- 20 | something that's being looked at as well.
- 21 Q. So during these outreach events, does the
- 22 National Weather Service ever collaborate with the Coast
- 23 | Guard as far as using, pooling both resources together
- 24 and doing outreach together there, where other folks may
- 25 be located?

- A. There's been some. But I think there is room
 for improvement in that area. We work very closely with
 the Coast Guard providing weather support for, you know,
 for search and rescue or for oil spills, and those kinds
 of things. I think the outreach piece is an area where
 we could certainly expand.
- 7 Q. Thank you.
- 8 MR. SUFFERN: That's all the questions I have 9 right now, Commander Muller.
- 10 CDR MULLER: Thank you. I have a few follow-up questions.

EXAMINATION

13 BY CDR MULLER:

12

20

21

22

23

- Q. For a mariner, when they receive a National
 Weather Service forecast, and they see on the header
 line for that day freezing spray or heavy freezing spray
 what does that mean, exactly? Or what do you expect a
 mariner, how do you expect a mariner to interpret and
 apply that information?
 - A. Well, a freezing spray, or heavy freezing spray, again, are hazards to marine, to marine activities, and we would hope through, you know, through education, that they would consider that in their plans, as they are, you know, making plans in the future.
- 25 | We know that folks will still, you know, will

- 1 still make go decisions, possibly, with freezing spray
- 2 | in the forecast, because it occurs so often in the
- 3 | wintertime. But, the idea behind that is to give them
- 4 another piece of information to put together in their
- 5 | situational awareness. I'm trying to think of the right
- 6 word. When they are trying to make a decision.
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 A. You know, that's one piece to the puzzle. So
- 9 maybe that would be, maybe that combined with a bunch of
- 10 other things, would maybe have them say, okay, you know,
- 11 what, this is a no-go.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. So let me put that in context. So is freezing
- 15 spray or heavy freezing spray, is that defined for the
- 16 mariner by something that is transferable and
- 17 applicable. Is it by rate, you know, number of inches?
- 18 A. It is.
- 19 Q. I understand it is a very dynamic situation --
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. -- depending on the vessel's course, speed,
- 22 matrix, and so on. So that's why it is somewhat of a
- 23 | complex question. But, you know, when I listen to the
- 24 | wintertime, the weather report, and they say, oh it's
- 25 just going to be a dusting, or a blizzard.

A. Sure.

many inches?

- Q. Okay, you know, so they might say dusting
 would just be like half an inch. Blizzard we are
 talking six or more inches, or something like that. Is
 that, is that the kind of framework that you expect
 mariners to be applying. Like, freezing spray we are
 talking so many inches. Heavy freezing spray above so
 - A. So yes. To answer your question, yes. And so through the work of Overland and some of these other folks that have been working over the past several years, there are tables available that do list these different thresholds. And then they are defined by accrual rate and by thickness, by ice thickness as well.

So that information is available. I guess the missing piece, as I mentioned before, is that -- I look at things in a 360-degree loop, and we are not getting the feedback, necessarily, to see if we are actually attaining those. And that's why we've kept the thresholds down to two levels, basically, freezing spray and heavy freezing spray at this time. Yeah, but they are defined, to answer your question, yes.

Q. You mentioned networking, or workshops, surveys that you conducted in the past, or your office has conducted in the past. Are there any specific

- fishing vessel associations, or organizations, or agencies that actually, that participate in such workshops?
- Not to my knowledge. I know that it's -there are general, you know, when I say workshops, you know, for instance, the Pacific Marine Expo is an example. Like, we send representatives there, and we'll, we'll staff a booth there, the Weather Service does. And so as mariners are coming through there'll be dialogue, essentially, with them in discussion. So it is on a very informal basis.

- What I was saying before is, we would like to maybe look at something more formal where just as you were saying, we get some of these organizations, you know, represented along with the Coast Guard, along with the Weather Service, to sit down more formally, and have these very pointed discussions about some of these hazards. That's something that we'd like to do, we are not doing currently.
- Q. Okay. So if -- you might have been in the room for the last few days, you might have seen some pictures of some of the icing conditions on board some of the vessels yesterday. (Inaudible word), my question, thank you, I'm not going to ask my question.

25 CDR MULLER: So, I have no further questions.

- 1 Mr. Gillette? MR. GILLETTE: Yes Commander, I have one 2 3 follow-up question. 4 **EXAMINATION** 5 BY MR. GILLETTE: It has to do with the, your definition, again, 6 7 of heavy freezing spray, and freezing spray. You were talking about cut-off levels, could you define that one 8 9 more time for me? Right. So in the Overland model, the 10 Α. technique that we employ, there are basically, there are 11 thresholds based on the work that was done, which takes 12 13 into account wind speed, sea surface temp, and air temperature, essentially, and I believe fetch 14 incorporates into that as well. 15 So looking at all of those parameters, 16 17 there's, there are thresholds that are decided whether or not we would expect light, moderate, heavy, or in the 18 worst case extreme freezing spray conditions. 19 20 Q. Okay, thank you. 21 Α. You are welcome. 22 MR. GILLETTE: That's all the questions I
- CDR MULLER: Thank you. NTSB, Mr. Karr? 24
- 25 **EXAMINATION**

have.

1 BY MR. KARR:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Osiensky, how long has the National
 Weather Service been putting out heavy freezing spray
 warnings?
- A. They've been putting them out at least since the early 1990's. When I came up to Alaska and first was exposed to this, I know that they've been, they've been out since that time, at least. And certainly prior to that, as well.
- Q. And off the top of your head can you tell me at the extreme level, what is the rate of ice accumulation?
- A. I don't recall what that number is to be honest with you.
 - Q. Does the National Weather Service currently have a guide for how boat captains should apply the heavy freezing spray or the freezing spray forecast?
 - A. I'm not aware of a guide per se. I know that there have been papers, and SOP's, essentially, Standard Operating Procedures that have been written internally for the forecasters on how to make these determinations. But I don't believe there is anything that's been made available to the captains, themselves, I'm not aware of anything.
 - Q. Well, the SOP's that you are describing for

the forecasters would be about how to come up with the forecast.

- A. How to come up with the forecast, yeah.
- Q. Okay. I was wondering if there was something about the captains, how to apply what they have learned.
 - A. Yeah, not to my knowledge.

3

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. All right. Have you, in those workshops, do you know if, do you personally know of any positive feedback that you've gotten from the captains for having this information available?
- 11 A. There's -- I've seen some, some of the survey
 12 results, and some of the dialogue that's taken place.
 13 And it's been received favorably. Honestly, I didn't
 14 know of anything, you know, negative that stood out that
 15 said, you know, we, you know we experienced freezing
 16 spray, but it wasn't in the forecast.

It's very much along the lines of what we've been hearing, that the freezing spray forecasts have been out, and have usually been out for long enough for folks to make a decision, you know, whether it be a couple days, 2, 3 days in advance, as is the case with this --

- Q. Do you personally know of any anecdotes of captains that have told you how it benefitted them?
- A. I have seen results, saying that it's been

- 1 beneficial in helping them to make a go or no-go
- 2 decision. It's not the, it's not the sole piece of
- 3 | information they look at, but it's a critical piece of
- 4 information.
- 5 Q. Thank you Mr. Osiensky.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- 7 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Mr. Suffern?
- 8 MR. SUFFERN: Yes, I have one follow-up
- 9 question, Commander Muller.

EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. SUFFERN:

- 12 Q. Mr. Osiensky, if you could have any feedback
- 13 from the mariner community, I mean as far as the
- 14 National Weather Service, what would you like to see?
- 15 Would it be more reports? Would it be actual pictures
- of the wind and waves to further verify the forecast?
- 17 What type of information would the National Weather
- 18 | Service most like to have from the mariner community?
- 19 A. Just what you, just what you stated, more
- 20 reports. So that would be both textual and graphical
- 21 | pictures would be very helpful. We can, we can make --
- 22 | a picture's worth a thousand words. So having a visual
- 23 of what's actually happening, whether it be with regard
- 24 to the waves, or with regard to ice would be very
- 25 helpful for us.

Q. And what way can a mariner send that to the National Weather Service? Is there an email, website they can send it to? Is there -- can they do it via 3 Twitter? What ways can mariners get that information to the National Weather Service? 5

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, we have Twitter accounts, we have all the social media platforms, just about, active. We are most active with Facebook and Twitter. But that's one good way of communicating. We actually will take phone calls directly, the phone will ring and be answered by a forecaster. So you could call the forecast office, directly or email, we have operational email addresses that go to all the forecasters that are working on a shift, and they monitor those. So, there are multiple ways of doing it.

I know that mariners have expressed to us that it is very difficult for them in the course of their shift, they are doing a lot of different things, and they are usually too busy to actually reach out and do that. So, you know, my thought would be is, if there is a coordinator, or somebody that they could pass that information on to, that could then call the Weather Service, that would be another avenue.

We will take it any way that we can get it, honestly, and we try to, we try to keep the options

- 1 | pretty broad so that we can get the information.
- 2 Q. So would the National Weather Service be okay
- 3 | with receiving weather information even after the fact,
- 4 even, you know, if the mariner doesn't have time while
- 5 | they are going in between sites, but they are safely in
- 6 Dutch Harbor, or safely -- and they give you a picture
- 7 or something that's a day after the forecast, and this
- 8 | was worse or better. Would the National Weather Service
- 9 take that information?
- 10 A. Absolutely. We do post-mortem case studies,
- 11 especially on significant events. And so even if we get
- 12 | the information after the fact, we can use it to help
- 13 | validate that event. And we can also use it to help
- 14 make adjustments to our forecast models, too, in a post
- 15 environment. So, the information is very useful, and
- 16 | it's looked at, trust me it is very critical.
- 17 Q. Thank you.
- 18 MR. SUFFERN: Commander Muller.
- 19 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Ms. Spivak?
- 20 MS. SPIVAK: No questions.
- 21 CDR MULLER: Well Mr. Osiensky that concludes
- 22 | the questions that we have for you this morning. Before
- 23 | we release you as a witness, is there any elements or
- 24 | factors that you think the Board should consider that
- was not otherwise addressed?

1	THE WITNESS: No. I think we've, we've
2	addressed all the topics, thank you.
3	CDR MULLER: Okay, thank you. So you are now
4	released as a witness at this Marine Board of
5	Investigation, thank you for your testimony and
6	cooperation. If I later determine that this Board needs
7	additional information from you, I will contact you.
8	If you have any questions about this
9	investigation, you may contact the Marine Board
10	Recorder, LCDR Pedro Mendoza. Thank you.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	CDR MULLER: We are moving with speed this
13	morning. I have about 11:20. So, in my book it is
14	never too early to have lunch. So we are going to take
15	a one hour recess, thank you.
16	(At 11:20 a one hour luncheon recess was taken.)
17	CDR MULLER: Good afternoon, the hearing will
18	come to order. We would like to call our next witness,
19	Mr. Jack Kemerer. Mr. Kemerer if you would, please come
20	to the witness table and LCDR Mendoza will provide the
21	oath.
22	LCDR MENDOZA: Please raise your right hand.
23	WITNESS
24	JACK ANDEREW KEMERER
25	CHIEF OF FISHING VESSEL DIVISION

1 OFFICE OF COMMERCIAL VESSEL COMPLIANCE, U.S. COAST GUARD A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard 2 was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and 3 testified as follows: 4 5 THE WITNESS: I do. LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Sir, please 6 state your full name and spell your last name for the 7 8 record. 9 THE WITNESS: Jack Andrew Kemerer, K-E-M-E-R-10 E-R. LCDR MENDOZA: Could you state your current 11 employment and position title. 12 THE WITNESS: My current position is Chief of 13 the Fishing Vessels Division, the Office of Commercial 14 Vessel Compliance at Coast Guard Headquarters. 15 LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional 16 licenses or certificates? 17 THE WITNESS: I do not. 18 19 LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you, sir. 20 CDR MULLER: Good afternoon Mr. Kemerer, it's 21 a pleasure to see you again. I'll be leading off the 22 questions for you this afternoon. **EXAMINATION** 23 BY CDR MULLER: 24

And essentially my aim is to understand, you

25

Q.

- 1 as the Program Manager for the Coast Guard's Commercial
- 2 Fishing Vessel Program, yourself as the Program Manager,
- 3 I'm trying to get an appreciation for the broader
- 4 Program elements. As well as get a further
- 5 understanding of some specific regulations that we have
- 6 | a particular interest in, related to this marine
- 7 casualty.
- 8 So, by way of introduction, before we go too
- 9 much further, can you further describe your capacity and
- 10 responsibility as the Commercial Fishing Vessel Program
- 11 Manager at Coast Guard, Coast Guard-CVC-3?
- 12 A. Well, I'd say our goal, or our mission, I
- 13 | guess in Fishing Vessel Safety Program is to enhance
- 14 safety within the commercial fishing fleet, reduce
- 15 casualties associated with that industry.
- And also we develop policy, put out guidance
- 17 documents to both Coast Guard personnel, and industry
- 18 personnel. We promote awareness and training for safety
- 19 initiatives. And we also develop, or initiate any Reg
- 20 projects that may be needed to implement laws and
- 21 regulations.
- 22 Q. How long have you been employed in that
- 23 | program office?
- A. I served, or worked in the Fishing Vessel
- 25 | Safety Program from 1995 to 1997, while I was active

- duty. And then more recently, since 2005, when I came
- 2 back on staff as a federal civilian employee, and I've
- 3 | worked in the Fishing Vessel Safety Division since then.
- 4 And took over as Division Chief in 2009.
- 5 Q. In your capacity, does -- well more your
- 6 program, your staff, who do they typically interact with
- 7 both as to the field, or, and/or up the chain?
- 8 A. Myself, and my staff interact with all the
- 9 District Fishing Vessel Safety Coordinators, of course.
- 10 At some point or another, with all the examiners in the
- 11 | field. We interact with the Auxiliary personnel who are
- 12 qualified to conduct exams on behalf of the Coast Guard.
- 13 We certainly interact with any number of organizations
- 14 and facets within the industry.
- 15 We have a Federal Advisory Committee that
- 16 makes recommendations to us, and to the Coast Guard, and
- department as well on activities and safety issues
- 18 | within the industry. We conduct a lot of outreach at
- 19 conferences, and industry association meetings, and, you
- 20 know, just anything that comes up that we can contribute
- 21 to. They are our partners in safety and fishery related
- 22 activities.
- We certainly liaison with NOAA, and the
- 24 National Mariner Fishery Service in their
- 25 responsibilities with permitting and national standards.

- 1 We also work closely with NIOSH, The National Institute
- of Occupational Safety and Health. We have an MOA with
- 3 them, to share casualty data that they can analyze and
- 4 give recommendations, and also implement some
- 5 | initiatives or recommendations for safety within the
- 6 | fleet.
- 7 | Q. Thank you. So, if you would, to establish
- 8 | some background, can you briefly describe the history of
- 9 the laws and regulations applicable to commercial
- 10 fishing vessels, in general. And in particular,
- 11 focusing more for the harvesting, catching vessels.
- 12 That is, when were the regulations created, what factors
- were they designed to address, and what significant
- 14 changes have taken place over the years?
- 15 A. I can take a long time on that, or I can take
- 16 a short time. Quite a history there.
- Q. Briefly, overview.
- Q. Well there is a very good article written
- 19 Richard Hiscock, for I FISH, that talks about, I think
- 20 | the title of it is Missed Opportunities, and it gives a
- 21 | very good history of the commercial fishing industry and
- 22 | initiatives to increase safety and reduce casualties
- 23 there.
- 24 | So, it goes back, actually to about the 1930's
- 25 when there was some, some legislation enacted that

affected fishing vessels as well. In the 1940's there
was some other initiatives. Some of these got adopted,
some of them did not get passed into law.

But the big push was in the 1980's. There were a number of accidents, casualties, and fatalities, and the Commercial Fishing Vessel Industry Safety Act of 1988 finally got passed and enacted. And that is the real genesis for the Fishing Vessel Safety Program.

Subsequent to the Safety Act, the advisory committee was established, the Coast Guard initiated rulemaking to implement regulations from the law. And those regulations are found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 46, Part 28. That's the main crux of the Fishing Vessel Safety requirements, equipment and everything for the fishing vessels.

Subsequent to -- and that legislation, or that
-- I'm sorry. The regulations were finalized in August
of 1991. Subsequent to that, there were some technical
amendments, there were some minor revisions to the
regulations, there were some -- some new regulations
were proposed that never got through, they were
withdrawn, or just didn't get final action for the
rules. So the regulations have not been changed
significantly, since 1991. And they deal mainly with
safety and survival equipment.

There were pushes a couple times to get fishing vessels inspected as other commercial vessels, that were never adopted, it never passed. The support was not there in various areas, but particularly on The Hill. There was also a licensing plan submitted to Congress that was not adopted. So it's mainly a safety and survival effort of the Coast Guard and the industry in trying to prevent accidents. But they are not inspected vessels, they are not licensed operators to a big extent, like there are with other commercial vessels. Since then, and more recently, there were a

couple Coast Guard Authorization Acts that have given the Coast Guard more authority, and we have been working on trying to get some regulations into effect as a result of that authority. The 2010 Authorization Act, added a lot of new safety requirements for fishing vessels, such as mandatory exams, classing of new vessels, out of water survival craft for fishing vessels. And a lot of this deals with vessels in particular that operate beyond three miles, not the boundary line anymore.

The 2012 Act made some adjustments to the 2010 Act. And the most recent Act, the 2015 Act, that was signed February of 2016, actually gave some relief to

- 1 | the class requirements of the vessels, 50 to 79 feet,
- 2 | new vessels operating beyond three miles can build to
- 3 | class alternatives, or class equivalents and not
- 4 | actually use some class society rules, or have class
- 5 | inspectors, examiners follow the vessel and issue class
- 6 certificate.
- 7 So, we are working on some rules to get into
- 8 Part 28, there was a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
- 9 issued in June of 2016. We extended the comment period
- 10 until December of 2016. We are reviewing those comments
- 11 that were submitted to the docket, and are looking at
- 12 hopefully issuing a final rule for those items in the
- 13 Auth Acts that basically were non-discretionary, so that
- 14 they can probably be implemented by law but having it in
- 15 | a regulation makes it much simpler to enforce it.
- Q. Thank you. Certainly well done, it's a long
- 17 history and you were able to encapsulate that, thank
- 18 you. Do commercial fishing vessel captains, are they
- 19 required to have a Coast Guard license?
- 20 A. I would say --
- 21 Q. And specifically, what kind of vessels need a
- 22 license?
- 23 A. Okay. Probably the majority of the vessels do
- 24 | not require a licensed master or a licensed engineer.
- 25 The law and regulation is a vessel over two hundred

- 1 gross tons requires a master be licensed, or an engineer
- 2 to be licensed. And there are licenses specifically for
- 3 | master of uninspected commercial fishing vessels, and
- 4 engineer, chief engineer of uninspected commercial
- 5 | fishing vessels.
- 6 Q. Okay. Now, I would like to move on to the
- 7 | topic of compliance, how Coast Guard verifies a
- 8 | commercial fishing vessel is in compliance, or meets the
- 9 regulatory standards.
- 10 A. The real mechanism for enforcement of the
- 11 requirements in regulation is, kind of rests on the
- 12 | boarding officers, the patrol vessels, the cutters that
- do fisheries enforcement as well. They are the law
- 14 enforcement arm.
- Our examiners go on the vessels dockside, and
- 16 | conduct an exam, some people refer to them as
- 17 inspections, but they are safety examinations. And
- 18 | almost all of our examiners are civilian employees. So,
- 19 there is really not a law enforcement authority there.
- 20 So it is kind of a no-fault check on the vessel.
- 21 Except now, under the law, and hopefully in
- 22 | the regulations, we will have a requirement to do an
- 23 exam. But the law enforcement part to ensure
- 24 | compliance, really rests with the boarding officers.
- Now, when the examiners go on the vessel,

- 1 there is an exam booklet, a form that they use for all
- 2 the applicable requirements in Part 28, prevention
- 3 requirements for vessels. They go through and check
- 4 | those items on the vessel with the master or the
- 5 | engineer, who's ever on there. And if they are in, you
- 6 know, compliance, with all those requirements, they will
- 7 | issue a safety decal to the vessel that is valid for two
- 8 years.
- Now under the law right now, it says that a
- 10 | vessel has to be examined at least once every five
- 11 years. So, there could be vessels out there that have
- 12 | an expired decal, but are in compliance with the law for
- 13 the exam period.
- So, our examiners check everything. If they
- 15 are not in compliance they give a work order to the
- 16 vessel, to the operator, the owner. And say, you know,
- 17 | call me when you have completed those, fixed those
- 18 items, add those items on, we'll come back and re-
- 19 examine the vessel and give you a decal if you are in
- 20 compliance.
- 21 The information about the vessel is in the
- 22 | MISLE database. So any of the boarding officers can see
- 23 | that, and see who's in compliance, or who has a decal,
- 24 and everything for the enforcement purposes.
- So we, you know, we hear that they are

- 1 | boarding vessels out there, and you know, that it is
- 2 both for fisheries enforcement, and for compliance with
- 3 | the safety requirements.
- Q. Okay, so I heard you mention exam versus
- 5 | inspection. What is the difference, and I'll preference
- 6 | that by -- well, is an exam -- well, you let me know the
- 7 difference, I don't want to answer it for you.
- 8 A. Okay. I would put it this way, our Dockside
- 9 | Safety Examination looks at safety and survival
- 10 equipment, some systems, you know, whatever's outlined
- 11 | in Part 28, and pollution prevention requirements as
- 12 | well. The examination does not really get into
- 13 structural integrity or the, you know, some of the
- 14 | maintenance of the vessel, as it does with a vessel
- 15 | that's inspected.
- So those, an inspected vessel will have a
- 17 | certificate of inspection, which talks about, I think
- 18 includes, you know, required systems as well, but also,
- 19 manning requirements. And, you know, so the big
- 20 difference is looking at the structural integrity of the
- 21 | vessel, more than anything.
- 22 Q. Okay. So you mentioned commercial fishing
- 23 vessel safety examinations, otherwise known as decal
- 24 program, or safety decal exams, is that correct?
- 25 A. Some people --

Q. Are they interchangeable to some extent?

- A. You could refer to it that way. We think more

 -- in the program, call it the dockside safety exam.
 - Q. Okay. So who are the individuals in the Coast Guard that conduct these dockside safety exams?
 - A. There is a, within the Coast Guard, itself, there are, I believe about fifty-eight examiners at the Sectors, Sector Offices, and some of the Marine Safety Detachments, Marine Safety Field Offices. They are all civilian employees. And they are billeted positions for the Coast Guard. They have to have knowledge about The Fishing Vessel Program, and the requirements. Some of them may be marine inspector qualified, but that's not a prerequisite to hire them into that position.

There are also a number of Coast Guard

Auxiliarists who are qualified to conduct exams. They

must go through the same training and qualification

process as our civilian employees, our civilian

examiners, or our reservists, if there is a reservist in

the program. And then in the Coast Guard, that's it.

Then there are, what we call, an accepted organization, or third-parties, who have been accepted to and designated to conduct safety exams for, on behalf of the Coast Guard, and they can issue the decals, as well.

Q. Okay. We will touch more on third-parties in a moment. In testimony earlier during this hearing, we heard some exams -- well, we heard from some witnesses, petty officers, MST's that conducted exams in the past on the fishing vessel Destination. So, in the Coast Guard are petty officers part of the exam program?

A. Petty officers may conduct exams. Officers may conduct exams, if they are, have gone through the training and designated as an examiner. There is a qualification code for those who go to the school and go through the whole PQS. But they can be designated at the unit level by the command. The petty officers, were officers that may do exams, it is more of a collateral duty, or an additional duty that they have.

There are no, other than one District billet, there are no billets, positions in the Coast Guard designated as commercial fishing vessel examiners other than the civilian examiners.

- Q. So you mentioned training and qualification process. So, what, what kind of criteria, briefly can you describe what that process entails?
- A. There are two ways that an individual can be designated as an examiner, qualified examiner. One, they can go through our examiner course at Yorktown, and get their qual code that way. There would be some

- 1 | follow-on OJT type requirements for them.
- There is a standard, Performance Qualification
- 3 | Standard, PQS program that everyone should go through as
- 4 | well. And that lists, covers the laws and regulations,
- 5 | all the equipment requirements, standards in Part 28,
- 6 other requirements that may apply to the vessels,
- 7 | training requirements if any of them apply. So it is
- 8 | just, whatever is required for that vessel and the
- 9 operator to be in compliance with the law and
- 10 regulations, that is covered in the training and the
- 11 qualification standard that all examiners should be
- 12 | completing.
- 13 Q. Does the qualification process require the
- 14 trainees, or an eventual qualified examiner to verify or
- 15 to demonstrate competency, and the ability to verify
- 16 | compliance with stability requirements?
- 17 A. Would you repeat the last part of that,
- 18 please?
- 19 Q. So during the qualification process, in order
- 20 | to obtain a qualification, does the Coast Guard examiner
- 21 | need to demonstrate the ability to verify stability
- 22 | requirements on the commercial fishing vessels?
- 23 A. To demonstrate stability?
- Q. Not demonstrate, but verify compliance with
- 25 stability requirements.

- A. Yes. They would be, they would have to cover that information and those requirements as well. But the stability requirements only apply to a small portion of the fleet. So it is one of the, kind of extra -- in the exam booklet, there is a page of requirements that apply to all vessels, and this is the way the Regs are broken up too.
 - And then there is a group of additional requirements that apply to documented vessels, currently documented vessels that operate beyond the boundary lines. And then, vessels that were built after 1991, with so many people on board, processors and tenders.

- So, those vessels, where stability requirements might apply, they would know that that is something they have to check, and you know, under the Regs, I think mainly it is just that they have had the stability test, and they have stability instructions. If they don't have a loadline, I believe it is. That's in Subpart E of Part 28.
- Q. Okay. So, do Coast Guard commercial fishing vessel examiners document or capture the results of the fishing vessel exams? And if so, how and where is that information documented?
- A. The Exam Booklet that they use, is pressure sensitive, so it makes a copy. They annotate each area,

- 1 | each requirement, yes or no, or not applicable, whatever
- 2 the case may be. And when that's done, a copy is given
- 3 to the owner/operator. The other, the other part is the
- 4 | Coast Guard file. And the procedure now, or the
- 5 standard is supposed to be to scan that, and put that in
- 6 the MISLE database. So, there should be a copy of the
- 7 Exam Booklet, and the result of the exam in the vessel
- 8 | file in MISLE.
- 9 Q. So what is the purpose of this exam form? In
- 10 other words, what is the expectation on how an examiner
- 11 is supposed to utilize that form? And if you would
- 12 like, for a reference it is in front of you, starting -
- page two of Exhibit No. 137.
- 14 A. Well the Exam Booklet is, I quess, kind of a
- 15 job aide for them. But is also a record of the
- 16 examination that the person doing the exam has checked
- 17 | that they meet all the requirements according to
- 18 regulation. And so, that is documented there.
- And if it is filled out completely, there is
- 20 extra information that is on there about the vessel,
- 21 about the owner, the EPIRB Hex ID number maybe, when the
- 22 hydrostatic release of the life raft expires, those kind
- 23 of things. Or they can be noted on the, on a notes page
- 24 at the end of the booklet.
- 25 So, it becomes a record for both the owner

- operator and the Coast Guard that the exam was conducted
- 2 | according to a particular process that everyone's
- 3 supposed to be using. And that the owner operator gets
- 4 to keep a copy, and the Coast Guard does document it.
- 5 And if they are in full compliance the decal is issued,
- 6 and that's recorded on the Exam Booklet as well.
- 7 Q. Okay. I'd like to -- and for the record,
- 8 Exhibit No. 137 is a copy of the Exam Form utilized by
- 9 the third-party examiner who conducted the last safety
- 10 exam in June of 2016. I'm using it here, more as a
- 11 reference. If you can turn to page five of six of
- 12 Exhibit No. 137. If you could take a look under the
- miscellaneous section, column on the left, it is 46
- 14 C.F.R. 28.500.
- Can you describe the intent of that associated
- 16 | component on this exam form?
- 17 A. Would you repeat that?
- 18 Q. Well, in the middle column is a description, I
- 19 don't know what to call the middle column, it's not
- 20 | labeled, per se. But I would mention that it is a
- 21 | description, the applicable description of that Reg
- 22 | cite. So, can you describe -- and then off to the right
- 23 | it says, "Yes" and "No" -- so what is the intent of this
- 24 row on this form?
- 25 A. That's the part, Subpart E where is starts

- 1 | with 28.500, deals with vessels that are over 79 feet
- 2 | that are not required to have a loadline until 2010, and
- 3 | new constructioned. That, if they had a major
- 4 | conversion or a substantial alteration after 1991, they
- 5 | were supposed to meet the requirements of Subpart E.
- 6 Which included some additional integrity parts of the
- 7 | vessel, including stability checks.
- And so on this, this form, it says: if it is
- 9 yes, then they should use the supplement to the Exam
- 10 | Booklet, which covers some additional items that would
- 11 apply under Subpart E.
- 12 Q. So, on this row, as an examiner -- if an
- 13 examiner became aware, or understood, let's say the
- 14 vessel was originally built in 1981, and in 1992/1993
- 15 timeframe was lengthened, and widened, would you say a
- 16 | vessel would be required and is applicable to this
- 17 requirement?
- 18 A. If the alteration of the vessel met the
- 19 definition of major conversion, or substantial
- 20 | alteration, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Okay, you can take that down. Okay.
- 22 Stepping back a little bit, apart from the dockside
- 23 safety exams, are there any other kind of exams that may
- 24 | take place from time to time. In other words, surge ops
- 25 or pulse operations.

Α. There are other exams that the Coast Guard will conduct on a vessel. It is kind of the same thing as a dockside safety exam. But, usually any time that a vessel is involved in a Search and Rescue case, when they come back into port, usually the boarding team, or generally, it will start with the boarding team, they'll do a safety check on the vessel to see if they are in compliance. They may call ahead for the examiner to meet them, or the next day, come down and do a follow-up examination of the vessel, make sure it is in compliance.

Also, a similar type exam would be conducted if the vessel's voyage is terminated. Which means, in 28.60 there's a list of extremely hazardous conditions, that if they are found to exist on the vessel by the boarding officer, they can direct that vessel back to safe harbor, or to port, until the conditions are corrected. And then they can go back out and operate, and fish. So a post-termination examination would be conducted as well.

The pulse operations that you referred to, there are a number of them done around the country, Safe Crab, different ones. Those have not been directed, or initiated from headquarters standpoint. The Districts have identified a high-risk fleet, and they conduct

- those operations. But it is certainly within the realm of, you know, policy and guidance from headquarters to
- 3 address the high-risk fisheries in those areas.
- And it is really a compliance check. I don't
- 5 think most of those type operations, do they do a full
- 6 dockside safety exam. But they spot-check for
- 7 | compliance with critical equipment and systems on those
- 8 vessels. Some of the vessels may not be required to
- 9 have an exam anyhow, if they are not operating beyond
- 10 three miles.
- 11 Q. So you mentioned, spot-check. So, are you
- 12 | familiar with, we learned up in D13 they have a, what
- 13 they call a Safety and Stability Spot-Check Program, are
- 14 | you familiar with that program?
- 15 A. I am familiar with different types of
- 16 operations that various Districts or Sectors may conduct
- 17 to look at certain type vessels or fisheries.
- Q. Okay. And just for a basis, I put in the same
- 19 bucket, dock walks. When somebody says dock walk, we
- 20 | are going to go walk the docks, what does that usually
- 21 | imply?
- 22 A. Well, dock walking is, I guess when I first
- 23 heard the term being used it had to do with the
- 24 Auxiliary personnel going out and meeting with the
- 25 | fisherman, giving them, maybe the Federal Requirements

- 1 Pamphlet that talks about all the requirements,
- encouraging them to sign up for an examination, a safety
- 3 exam. It is an outreach program.
- But again, trying to get the owner operator to
- 5 participate in the exam program and make sure they are
- 6 | in compliance. And that, the exam, when it was started
- 7 | out, the program, it was a voluntary program, and you
- 8 know, it was kind of advertised a little bit that hey,
- 9 | if you get an exam and you have a decal you might not
- 10 | get boarded as often. But the Coast Guard is still
- 11 going to board you for fisheries enforcement because we
- 12 are obligated to do that.
- So a safety check -- those vessels that had a
- 14 decal probably don't get looked at guite as closely from
- 15 all the, all the requirements that a vessel without a
- 16 decal would have. But they certainly will check the
- 17 major items, such as survival craft, EPIRB, fire
- 18 extinguishers, and those kind of things.
- 19 Q. So are there times, or does the Coast Guard
- 20 leverage certain authorities under certain
- 21 circumstances, particularly if there is a, in these, one
- 22 of the voluntary dockside safety exams where the Coast
- 23 | Guard might want to place the vessel under some kind of
- 24 | control and prevent it from conducting operations. Is
- 25 | there any kind of action the Coast Guard can take to do

1 so?

A. Sure the Coast Guard can put operational

controls on any vessel, if the condition is warranted.

For a fishing vessel, because they are not an inspected

vessel, you can't pull their certificate. However, if,

you know, if it was determined that the vessel was not

seaworthy the Captain of the Port could put an order on

it and say you've got to fix the vessel before you can

go out.

The operational controls usually don't come into play until a post-termination exam, where there's been serious conditions, hazardous conditions on the vessel. But it could be for other reasons.

I think what you find more often with, particularly with the examiners, because up to this point the exam has been a no-fault. Because if they've got some deficiencies, some critical areas that they should have corrected before they go out, you know, I'm sure examiners have said, look, you need to fix these things, you need to replace that fire extinguisher, or get a new battery for your EPIRB, whatever it might be, before you go out to fishing. Because of if you go out and you get boarded, your voyage is probably going to be terminated, because it's one of those hazardous conditions.

So, the Captain of the Port could put controls on the vessel for other reasons. But, I would say for the most part we don't see too much of it.

Q. So imagine, if you will, what I have envisioned trying to calibrate something here as we conduct our investigation. When Coast Guard examiners are doing dock walks during spot-checks, they are walking down the dock and they are approaching fishing vessels and mentioning hey, we are here to do voluntary safety spot-checks. Would you like us to come on board and do a voluntary exam? So, that's just dependent on if the vessel operator says yes or no.

This is a scenario-based question. So if there is, is there any kind of regulation or law that would prevent the Coast Guard to go on board at the dock because -- and they would want to go on board to verify compliance, that they may see something that is overtly, or potentially in non-compliance.

- A. My understanding is that our civilian examiners cannot go on board if the owner operator does not invite them, or does not let them come on board.

 But, the active-duty personnel could do that under Coast Guard authority.
- Q. They could go on board at the dock? Activeduty Coast Guard personnel can go on board the vessel at

1 | the dock? Is that correct?

- A. Yes. They are law enforcement officers.
- Q. Okay. I would like to now, you mentioned
 earlier, third-party exams. Can you describe that
 process, where in the regulations that's provided, and
- 6 the intent of that program?
- Q. The Third-Party Exam Program was started in the early 1990's. There's a NAVIC that outlines the process to be accepted as a similarly qualified organization. They can do the exams, or they can do the classing, the accepted organizations that can do the exams for the Coast Guard. It is outlined in the regulation, 28.7.

And, but the NAVIC explains the process, well the regulations as well, that they have to, if an organization wants to be designated as such, has to apply and show that they have a training program that they, how they qualify their individuals, that they have knowledge of the requirements for fishing vessels. That they have a grievance process, that they have an ethics program. And so on, and then designate those individuals.

There are six organizations that are accepted organizations, and can have their surveyors conduct exams for the Coast Guard. And my office issues the

- 1 decals to those organizations to be given to their
- 2 examiners, or to their surveyors, examiners that do the
- 3 exam.
- So, there is a process that they have to go
- 5 | through to get accepted and designated by the Coast
- 6 | Guard. We review their requirements periodically. And
- 7 | get updates and track that they have, you know, kept
- 8 | control of the decals, because they are serial numbered.
- 9 And so there is a NAVIC 1391, I believe it is. And
- 10 Policy Letter 0407, I believe, that explains the
- 11 process, and everything.
- 12 Q. Is the Commandant -- your office designates
- 13 these accepted organizations?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Are these acceptable organizations, is there a
- 16 list of them available for review or reference by the
- 17 | industry, or examiners?
- 18 A. They should be listed on our website. Not
- 19 necessarily a list of all the, or their qualified
- 20 examiners because those can change. But anyone in our
- 21 office can get that for you, should be able to. But
- 22 | real quickly the accepted organizations are, ABS, DND,
- 23 | SAMMS, NAMS, Navtech, which is also USSA, and Baldage
- 24 Marine.

Q. So Navtech is also USSA?

- A. U.S. Surveyors Association I believe it goes
- 2 by as well.
- Q. And your office designates an accepted
- 4 organization, is there a designation letter in writing?
- 5 A. There is.
- 6 Q. Is there one for Navtech?
- 7 A. There is.
- 8 Q. Is there one for USSA?
- 9 A. They are the same.
- 10 Q. How are they the same?
- 11 A. Well, they go by both names, as far as I
- 12 understand.
- Q. Okay. For an accepted organization, such as
- 14 Navtech, are they required to have their surveyors
- 15 | familiar with the commercial fishing vessel regulations?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Does this include stability? In other words,
- 18 a surveyor working for one of these accepted
- 19 organizations, do they have to have familiarity,
- 20 particularly as it applies to commercial fishing
- 21 | vessels, regarding stability?
- 22 A. They should, they should understand the
- 23 regulations in Part 28.
- Q. And when you say --
- 25 A. And they use the same Exam Booklet. If they

- 1 don't use our Exam Booklet, they use an in house one
- 2 | that they develop but it has pretty much all the same
- 3 | check points that are on our Exam Booklet, the 5587.
- 4 Q. Does the Coast Guard conduct oversight, or
- 5 audits of accepted organizations?
- A. We conduct oversight of them, certainly. We
- 7 do, I guess if you want to call them audits. We
- 8 | actually go to their offices, and look at how they
- 9 maintain their records. Who has done exams, what decals
- 10 have been issued, who is on their qualified list, we
- 11 look at their ethics statement that they have, and their
- 12 process to get a surveyor designated to conduct exams.
- 13 So we do that, we are getting ready to do that this year
- 14 | with all of them.
- 15 Q. Did you say, all of them?
- 16 A. All six of them, yes.
 - Q. Okay. How often are they conducted?
- 18 A. The last one we did was like two years ago.
- 19 So we don't, don't necessarily do an on sight visit
- 20 every year. And we haven't in the past.
- 21 Q. Have you -- okay. Have you conducted an audit
- 22 on Navtech?

- 23 A. We did.
- Q. Were there any issues discovered? And if so,
- 25 | what were the results? In other words, any corrective

1 actions, and were they completed?

A. I, personally, did not do the audit. But,

staff that conducted it came back and said there were no

significant discrepancies in their records, and how they

conducted things.

Okay, thank you. Okay, that's the first half.

I am going to recommend we take a ten minute break.

That being, the next block I have, I would like to walk through the regulations with you. Not all of them, but the particular ones that we are particularly interested in. So, I want to take a break before we do that. So we will take short recess for ten minutes.

(Whereupon a ten minute recess was taken.)

JACK KEMERER

EXAMINATION (cont).

CDR MULLER: Good afternoon again. This hearing will now come to order. We are continuing our questions for Mr. Jack Kemerer. Mr. Kemerer, just want to remind you that you are still under oath.

BY CDR MULLER:

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. We last finished off with the Third-Party Exam Program. One quick final question to that topic. You mentioned that you have done audits in the past on these accepted organizations. Can you describe in the past the process? If there were any issues that were raised

at the audits, how were those issues addressed? What was the nature of those deficiencies?

A. When we did the audit last time, we actually provided the organizations a checklist of things we want to review: their documentation on how they establish their training program, and the records they kept of personnel, and their qualifications, the number of exams they conducted, who was doing the exams, the decals that were issued. There were probably a couple other items on the checklist.

So, a couple of the organizations have very detailed, very organized records. And, you know, some of them are not quite as well organized. But everyone had the information there. And if they didn't have it readily available, they provided it to us after the fact. So, there was nothing on any of them that was serious. They all had the required information, they had the required records. And, you know, we were satisfied with reviews of all the organizations.

Q. Okay, thank you. Okay, as discussed earlier, I would now like to focus our attention in reviewing some of the applicable commercial fishing vessel regulations.

And some I'll be physically referencing. So are there any commercial fishing vessel regulations that

- 1 require the vessel master, and/or crew to conduct any
- 2 form of pre-voyage planning or risk assessments? If so,
- 3 what regulation?
- A. There is nothing in Part 28 that I'm aware of
- 5 that requires that.
- 6 Q. Okay. If we can now turn to Exhibit 34 page
- 7 | 19. Exhibit No. 34 is a copy of 46 C.F.R. Subchapter C,
- 8 | Part 28, Requirements for Commercial Fishing Industry
- 9 Vessels. So on page 19.
- 10 A. Since you are going into this, and referring
- 11 back to your previous question, as to if there is
- 12 | something specific, a checklist of something before they
- 13 depart.
- 14 Q. It was, that might be one way, how they may
- 15 have secured it. But they, broadly the question was,
- does the vessel master or crew conduct any form of pre-
- 17 voyage planning or risk assessment?
- 18 A. Okay not, let me re-visit that. Not in
- 19 general, but there are some specific equipment items
- 20 that are supposed to be checked, you know, frequently.
- 21 And generally the guidelines we give them, is before
- 22 each voyage they should make sure those things are
- 23 | working. I think you are probably going to lead into
- 24 that.
- 25 Q. Okay, so you are saying those regulations

- require them to check certain equipment before getting underway?
- A. Well, on a periodic basis. I think it is point 140, it deals with maintenance.
- Q. All right, 140 is Operational Readiness,Maintenance, and Inspection of Life-Saving Equipment.

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Yes. The only one that is outlined there is the monthly EPIRB check. But, it would be good marine practice to make sure that all your other equipment is working properly before you get underway on a trip.
- Q. That would be page 14. Okay. So on page 19 is
 46 C.F.R. 28.255. Which is bilge pumps, bilge piping,
 and de-watering systems. Mr. Kemerer, would you be kind
 enough to read us paragraph A?
 - A. Sure, 28.255 "Bilge Pumps, Bilge Piping, and De-watering Systems: A. Each vessel must be equipped with a bilge pump and bilge piping capable of draining any water tight compartment other than tanks and small buoyancy compartments, under all service conditions.

 Large spaces such as engine rooms must be fitted with more than one suction alarm."
- Q. So, in that section, it mentions tanks,

 specifically other than tanks. So what is a tank? And

 by way of reference, would you consider the crab holding

 tank on a crabber to be a tank?

A. I suppose you could consider it to be a tank or not. It may be up to, you know, the definition in the regulation somewhere, what is a tank. But a naval architect or a marine engineer may call it a tank, or they may call it a hold.

- Q. Okay, fair enough. Okay, so on these crab fishing vessels, crabbers, they have holds that are tanked with water through a sea water circulation system piping. On the, in the case of the Destination, that piping system, and pumps, and manifolds, was located in the engine room. So, would you call that a tank then? That a hold? Would that be a tank for the purpose of this? And to be fair, what I'm trying to get at is, later on there's a requirement in 2855(f), which indicates the line should be fitted with a check valve.
- So, what I'm ultimately after is, in the application of this Reg, would those crab holding tanks be exempt from its requirements? However, noting that those tanks are fitted with a salt water circulation system, would a Coast Guard examiner look at this Reg and then require that piping system to have a check valve?
- A. I don't know. You would have to ask an engineer that. I, I just don't know how they would look at it. But, if there's a pumping system, and there's

- 1 | valves there, there should, I would think that there
- 2 | would be a mechanism to block it so that it doesn't move
- 3 to uncontrolled flooding of other spaces. From a leak
- 4 from that hold or tank, if that's what you want to call
- 5 | it.
- 6 Q. Concur. I, certainly that would be best
- 7 | marine practice to install a check valve in the salt
- 8 | water circulating system as to prevent gravitation, or
- 9 unintended flooding in that space.
- But as an examiner asked to verify or ensure
- 11 for the issuance of a decal, would they be expected to
- 12 | verify the vessel, if such equipment is installed, has
- 13 | that check valve?
- 14 A. Well, this particular part talks about bilge
- 15 pumping, and the tank, or the hold is not part of the
- 16 bilge. So, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Let's move on to freeing ports, 46
- 18 C.F.R. 28.555. It's on page 34 of Exhibit No. 34.
- 19 Okay.
- 20 (Brief pause.)
- 21 Q. Okay. Under this section regarding free-
- 22 ports, can you confirm that each (inaudible word) vessel
- 23 | fitted with bulwarks, must be fitted with freeing ports,
- 24 or freeing ports, essentially paragraph A.
- 25 A. In paragraph A? Right. So, if you've got the

- 1 bulwarks, you have to have the freeing ports there.
- Q. All right. So during the course of a Coast
- 3 | Guard, or a third-party executed fishing vessel exam are
- 4 freeing port, are those examiners, do they verify that
- 5 | those freeing ports meet, or are in compliance with
- 6 | these requirements?
- 7 A. This would apply to vessels over 79 feet,
- 8 | built after 1991, or went through a major conversion or
- 9 substantial alteration. That's the applicability of
- 10 that section. So, it's not a great number of vessels
- 11 probably. But if that applies, then I think the
- 12 | supplement, the 5587 supplement probably has that in for
- 13 those vessels. And we don't have a copy of the
- 14 supplement to see if that's an actual item to check on.
- 15 Q. Thank you. Let's turn now to Subpart B,
- 16 Stability, starting on page 30. So, roughly speaking,
- 17 | you have addressed any of the -- with these regulations,
- 18 under what conditions would you expect the commercial
- 19 fishing vessel, and specifically a crabber using pots,
- 20 | conducting reassessment, or make amendments to its
- 21 | stability information book?
- 22 A. How often, did you ask?
- Q. Well, in other words, under what circumstances
- 24 or when would a vessel owner conduct either initial
- 25 | stability, and to complete or produce a stability

- 1 information booklet, as well as under what conditions
- 2 | would a vessel owner amend or update that stability
- 3 booklet?
- 4 A. Okay. As I understand the regulations if the
- 5 | vessel was built before 1991, and has not been altered
- 6 | in any way, or major conversion, they don't have to have
- 7 stability tests, or stability instructions.
- If they were built after 1991, greater than 79
- 9 | feet also, built after 1991, or undergone substantial
- 10 | alteration or major conversion by definition in here,
- 11 then they need to have either a stability test
- 12 performed, but they at least have to have stability
- instructions and guidelines to the operator, I believe
- 14 | it is.
- 15 Q. Okay. Can you confirm in 28.505 on that same
- page that it is, indeed, the owner's responsibility per
- 17 | the regulations?
- 18 A. That is so stated.
- 19 Q. Does the Coast Guard approve stability
- 20 | evaluations and stability booklets?
- 21 A. The Coast Guard does not have to approve that.
- 22 It is a qualified individual that must conduct the
- 23 testing, and provide the stability instructions. So,
- 24 | you know, maybe a marine surveyor, maybe a naval
- 25 | architect, whoever is qualified to do it. But, I don't

- 1 believe or recall that the Coast Guard has to review it,
- 2 | conduct it, and approve it.
- Q. I'm going to look, specifically, now at
- 4 28.501, Substantial Alterations. Specifically, in
- 5 paragraph C. Will you be kind enough to read paragraph
- 6 C?
- 7 A. With all the subparagraphs?
- 8 Q. How about, no just Paragraph C.
- 9 A. Okay. C says, "The following changes to a
- 10 | vessel's lightweight characteristics are considered to
- 11 | adversely affect vessel stability". And then it gives
- 12 three criteria.
- Q. Right. And if you look down with me, I think
- 14 | we can agree that the first one is the vertical center
- of gravity. The second one is lightweight displacement.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And then shift in longitudinal center of
- 18 gravity, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And that is specific movements that if
- 21 exceeded, then it meets that criteria. Okay. So my
- 22 question is this, is the intent of this regulation --
- 23 | well, let me start off with, establishing what is
- 24 lightship?
- 25 A. I don't know. I'm not the engineer, you have

- to get a marine inspector. I'd have to go back and look it up, what lightship means.
- Q. Would you expect a qualified commercial
 fishing vessel examiners be it Coast Guard or a thirdparty to understand what lightship is?
 - A. If the examiner is a marine inspector, or has a marine inspector background, he probably would know that. But, I wouldn't say that every one of our examiners would know that, they might, I don't know.
- Q. I am at a little of an impass here, help me out. Stability is a, under -- certain vessels, commercial fishing vessels if they meet the applicability criteria, built after 1991 or had a major conversion after 1991 or a substantial alteration, they are required to have a stability information booklet, correct?

And our Coast Guard examiners, or third-party organization surveyors to carry out their functions, in issuing dockside safety decals, that's part of the checklist as we established earlier, right?

A. Okay.

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

- Q. So what, would those examiners also be conversive in stability criteria? In this, in these provisions?
- 25 A. To some extent, an examiner may be conversive

in it, most of them probably are. But the -- the point is --

- Q. And trust me, I'm not asking them to do stability calculations, themselves. You know --
- 5 A. Right.

- Q. We all know a good naval architect when we need one. But, at least for, to verify compliance, that's what I'm --
- A. The examiner's responsibility on this item, I think, would, you know, or could be limited to does the vessel, and does the owner operator have a record of a stability test, and stability instructions. And if they do, they are going to be stamped by a competent person, qualified person who conducted it. And, you know, those folks are licensed or registered or whatever.
- So, if there is a valid booklet on board the vessel that's stamped, that shows that they have a stability test and stability instructions, then they are meeting the requirement. The examiner doesn't have to go into real detail on it, looking at it. I mean, the instruction and the booklet is going to have the vessel name, and particulars right up front in it anyhow, I believe.
- Q. Okay. I see where you are going. So your frame of reference is, of course, how I mentioned it,

the fishing vessel examiner, how they enter and verify.

2 | Concur.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

How about if we do a little, from a different 3 4 perspective. How about from the perspective of the vessel owner? The one who is responsible to provide a 5 stability booklet. How do they approach this, these 6 7 requirements? And so, when they are operating, owning, and managing, and maintaining a commercial fishing 8 vessel under what kind of criteria should they be 9 updating the stability book? 10

- A. Well, I mean, the regulations point out that it is the responsibility of the owner, I believe, to have the test, if the vessel, if it is applicable to the vessel, this Subpart, that the owner needs to make sure there is a test done and the instructions are provided to the operator. You have to go back to the previous section to see who is required to have the stability instructions, and the booklet.
- Q. I just -- by way of moving forward with this line of questions. I just took a look at the definition section, both in 28.510, on page thirty. And then the general definitions for Part 28, starting on page four of the Exhibit. I didn't see a definition of lightship.
- A. That's correct. You'd have to go to the

 Marine Safety Center, or a naval architect, or someone

- 1 that uses general nautical terms, I suppose, and
- 2 (inaudible word) terms to find out what the definition
- 3 is. I don't know it off the top of my head.
- 4 Q. Okay. Let's step away from this regulation
- for a second, and just go a little higher level, and
- 6 talk stability, in general. And have you heard the
- 7 | term, weight growth, as it refers to stability?
- 8 A. Weight growth?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. I don't think I've heard it that way.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Weight creep.
- Q. Weight creep. So you know what it refers to?
- 14 A. I interpret it referred to over time,
- 15 additional equipment, or whatever, gets added to the
- 16 | vessel, and the weight of the vessel increases over
- 17 time.
- 18 Q. Now, with weight creep, adding weight over
- 19 | time, typical example scenarios would be like adding a
- 20 | new crane, adding spare parts.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. That's to the vessel itself, right? What
- 23 about -- would you consider the carriage of crab pots,
- 24 being heavier over the years, hypothetically, would that
- 25 be considered weight creep?

- 1 A. I don't know if I would or not, some people
- 2 | might say that. But, that would come in to play with
- 3 | the stability instructions, the loading instructions for
- 4 | the vessel. Because -- but you know, the design of the
- 5 posts, the size of the pots will change sometimes. But
- 6 on the other hand, pots are not replaced every year, so
- 7 | you know, they rust over the years, so pots may actually
- 8 | get lighter.
- 9 Q. Okay. Okay, so let's step away from the Regs
- 10 | now. Now let's talk about program, and, you know, one
- 11 of the functions you mentioned is you provide
- 12 | information, guidance, policy, to examiners as well as
- 13 | industry, is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. So, can you inform us where your
- 16 program provides guidance and policy? Or what are some
- of the ways or processes, you use to inform the fleet,
- 18 or the examiners of policy and guidance?
- 19 A. I wouldn't say that our program is any
- 20 different than other Coast Guard program. And that when
- 21 | there is something that needs to be put out to the
- 22 | industry, there are a number of different ways we can do
- 23 | it.
- There's NAVICS, of course, to give guidance on
- 25 how to implement things. There is policy letters where,

- 1 as well as NAVICS that we explain an issue, and try to
- 2 give better interpretation of whatever the requirement,
- 3 | the regulation or the law is. And some guidance
- 4 | involved there. There is marine safety information
- 5 bulletins that are also issued for particular issues
- 6 that come up.
- 7 We have other overall is our safety alerts,
- 8 when there's a problem that's been uncovered generally,
- 9 or a concern, there is a safety alert issued. Within
- 10 our program, we haven't done many recently, but we used
- 11 | to write one-page little, we called them flyers or
- 12 | newsletters, but topical areas to get information out,
- 13 some explanations about equipment or operations or
- 14 something out to fishing vessels. And that was
- 15 | specifically for us.
- If there is, you know, a lot of those things
- 17 | now are put on maritime commons, which is the Coast
- 18 Guard blog. But, it's posted on websites everywhere,
- 19 it's sent out to industry contacts and those are
- 20 advertised, you know, pretty far and wide, I think.
- Beyond that I can't think of any other
- 22 publications. We have a Federal requirements pamphlet
- 23 | that's handed out to all the fisherman and at
- 24 | conventions and expos and that's a summary of Part 28
- 25 Regulations with some of the other things.

- There was a, in 2011 the Coast Guard's
- 2 Proceedings magazine, focused on commercial fishing
- 3 | vessels, and had been ten years prior to that, for the
- 4 last time it was done. So there is a number of ways
- 5 | that we do outreach and get program information to the
- 6 industry.
- 7 Q. Okay. And one of those ways includes your
- 8 Program's website, right? On CG.mil?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And we have that as an Exhibit, Exhibit
- 11 No. 35. There's two pages to this Exhibit. Exhibit
- 12 No. 35 is a screenshot of the Fishing Vessel Safety
- 13 Division, CG-CVC-3 webpage. Do you recognize this
- 14 | webpage?
- 15 A. Do I recognize it? Well, it doesn't look
- 16 quite like that on the computer, but...
- 17 Q. It's from your office, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. On page two, if you would. This is at
- 20 | the bottom of the page, towards the bottom there is a
- 21 | number of links to several documents regarding
- 22 | stability, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. If you would, I'm referring to the first link
- 25 | called, "Stability Card". How about this, if you would,

- if you could just briefly describe some of these links, and what information it provides, and how that could be used by a mariner.
- A. The stability card, I believe is a, might also be referred to as a stability placard, it's a one-page pictorial, but it describes terminology associated with stability. And I don't believe that it gives a whole lot in the way of guidelines. But it, it describes what is involved with stability.

The modifications sample I am not sure what that's referring to, exactly. The stability reference guide is a publication maybe twenty-seven pages, that goes through stability terminology, it has a lot of graphics in it to show, you know, listing, the righting movement, and you know, metacenter, or whatever all the different terms are with stability.

It is a very, it's a very good guide, and it's not real technical, I don't think, so that's been out there. And stability sample log, and types of fishing boats, I can't recall what those are exactly. Some of the, some of these items in here have been moved over from another site, and you know, some of it done before my time in the Program, even.

Q. Understood. Do you recall, because -- do you recall having a fishing vessel modification and

1 | alteration logging sheet provided by one of these links?

- A. No, I don't
- Q. Okay. Have you heard of a -- well,
- 4 | modification and alteration logging/login sheet, are you
- familiar with one?

- A. No. Is -- I don't know if that would be
- 7 | anything the Marine Safety Center put out or not, but I,
- 8 I'm not familiar with it. I can't recall right now.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. That concludes my set of
- 10 questions, thank you for your patience.
- 11 CDR MULLER: Mr. Gillette do you have any
- 12 questions?
- MR. GILLETTE: I do, Commander.
- 14 EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. GILLETTE:
- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Kemerer, my name is James
- 17 | Gillette with the United States Coast Guard. First one
- 18 is to receive a fishing exam decal, does the vessel have
- 19 to be in a hundred percent compliance, or can they
- 20 receive that decal if any deficiencies are noted?
- 21 A. They are supposed to be one hundred percent
- 22 | compliant with the requirements in Part 28.
- Q. Okay. And another question, this has to do
- 24 | with the, as far as the Program, in the beginning you
- were mentioning where the commercial fishing examiners

- are located. You didn't go into detail of exactly where
 they are located, but you said that they were civilian
- 3 employees.
- 4 Up in the Alaska area, as far as Dutch Harbor,
- 5 just say Dutch Harbor, has there been ever concerns
- 6 | about placing a commercial fishing examiner there
- 7 | because of the amount of crab boats? Can you speak a
- 8 little about that?
- 9 A. There was an examiner assigned to Dutch Harbor
- 10 at one time, and when he left, I don't recall exactly
- 11 all the details of why they didn't put another examiner
- 12 back out there. Other than maybe cost and the
- 13 resources, so there is an examiner at Kodiak, Anchorage,
- 14 Juneau, Sitka, Ketchikan, Seward, I believe.
- 15 Q. Anchorage, I quess you have an examiner in
- 16 Anchorage as well, to help Dutch Harbor out?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Since we didn't put in a civilian employee,
- 19 has anything been done, you had mentioned about, I guess
- 20 | you mentioned like collateral duties, for the petty
- 21 officers that were mentioned earlier, or officers. Does
- 22 | the Program put any input into the billeting structure
- 23 of Dutch Harbor in concerns to commercial fishing exams
- 24 to make up, since there is, the closest commercial
- 25 | fishing examiner would be, in that case, let's say,

- 1 Anchorage?
- 2 A. I don't recall if Anchorage put someone out
- 3 there specifically, active duty. Because Dutch would
- 4 | fall under Anchorage. It's not a civilian position. So
- 5 | the people that are out there, the supervisor of the
- 6 detachment, I believe it is. And petty officers,
- 7 | probably are probably fishing vessel examiner qualified,
- 8 and can do that. And they can send people down from
- 9 Anchorage, or Kodiak, or whatever, to fill in for the
- 10 | surge operations if needed. I don't know what the plans
- 11 are if there were any plans to put other people out
- 12 there.
- 13 Q. Okay. Thank you Mr. Kemerer.
- MR. GILLETTE: Commander, those are all the
- 15 questions I have.
- 16 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Gillette. NTSB,
- 17 Mr. Karr?
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. KARR:
- Q. Good afternoon Mr. Kemerer. I'd like to ask
- 21 | some questions about managing the program, you know,
- 22 | what Congress has told you to do, what the Office of
- 23 Management and Budget has told you to do. How the Coast
- 24 Guard spends their money, to get an idea of what role
- 25 | the Coast Guard does play and can play in fishing vessel

1 safety.

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

So, first of all, how many folks do you have 2 working for you at Coast Guard headquarters in the 3 Fishing Vessel Safety Division?

- Α. I have three civilians working under me.
- And you mentioned, right now you said you are Q. working on regulations for classification.
- The MPRN that was issued in June of 2016 8 Α. 9 included a new Section, Part 28 requiring vessels, new construction over fifty foot operating beyond three 10 miles to be surveyed, meet survey and classification 11 requirements. 12
- All right. And what does the Coast Guard hope 13 14 that will do, what particular casualties are you hoping that that will reduce? 15
 - Well the classing requirement was put out by Congress. They passed the law, The Auth Act, and are requiring us to do that. I believe the intent is that new construction will be built to better standards than they have in the past.

In the past you could build a fishing vessel in your back yard. And, you know, there wasn't much in 22 the way of structural requirements, and watertight 23 integrity unless it was over a certain size. So, 24 25 meeting survey and classification requirements is going to give you a much better vessel built to accepted standards, and marine standards.

- Q. Did Congress --
- 4 (Brief pause.)

- Q. Do you know what, specifically, what problem Congress wanted to address by that? I mean, were fishing vessels falling apart out at sea?
 - A. Well, the Coast Guard issued a Casualty

 Analysis Report in 2007, I believe it was. And they
 looked at the casualties from, I believe 1992 to 2007,
 and may have looked at some earlier than that. But the
 result of that study showed that the older the vessel
 was the higher the rate of casualties for those vessels.
 - So, I think they wanted to make sure that new construction would be up to a certain standard. And maybe encourage more vessels replacement. I don't know, but the data showed, you know, the older the vessel, and these vessels were going to have to be replaced. So rather than just constructing to the same old standard, have a new standard, accepted standard from a class society.
 - Q. What other initiatives, what other fishing vessel safety initiatives does the Coast Guard have underway right now. And to put it into context, you've got the dockside exams, you are working on a

- classification rule, so what other safety strategies
 does the Coast Guard currently doing or have in mind to
 reduce sinkings and deaths?
- 4 Α. There were several mandates in the 2010, and 2012 Auth Acts that will take regulatory implementation 5 to do it. The other big one as far as safety and 6 survival, is that vessels operating beyond three miles 7 have to have an out of water survival craft. Which 8 means, an inflatable life raft, or an inflatable buoy 9 and apparatus. The old life floats, and life rings will 10 11 no longer be acceptable once the regulations are put in place. There may be a grandfather, and some period of 12 time, I don't know. But basically, something that is 13 14 going to keep you out of the water if you have to abandon your ship. That's one requirement. 15

You mentioned class already, the exams, the mandatory exams. There is also a provision that operators will have to complete a certain level of competency training. And training was mentioned a number of times, I think, throughout this hearing. That there were 8 or 10 categories of topics that were designated or specified in the training requirement.

Navigation was one, weather information, stability, survival, drills and training, I don't recall the other couple.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But, our Federal Advisory Committee has worked

- on that for a couple years putting together goals and
- 3 syllabus for those particular topical areas, and we are
- 4 | going to be working on trying to get a, I think, a
- 5 | national standard curriculum, or some sort of a standard
- 6 outline that any training organization will be able to
- 7 | use. But that's a, that's in the Auth Act, that
- 8 operators will have to have competency training.
- If they have a license already, or they've got
- 10 | a certain amount of time at sea, that's supposed to be
- 11 taken in to consideration whether or not they will have
- 12 to complete the training or not. So we are working on
- 13 that, and then, yeah, that's one of the items.
- Q. And let me stop -- let me ask you this
- 15 question. So I will not ask you any more questions
- about that if you tell me all those details are in the
- 17 Advisory Committee Minutes.
- 18 A. They are.

- 19 Q. Good, then I won't any more questions on that.
- 20 A. On that, go to the fact, the database and look
- 21 | up Commercial Fishing Safety Advisory Committee, and you
- 22 | can find everything about the Committee you need.
- 23 Members, and meeting dates, and minutes, and summaries,
- 24 and recommendations all that should be out there.
 - Q. Any ideas when that might become an NPRM?

- A. I would not want to guess. The regulatory process is long and arduous.
- Q. All right. And NPRM, is Notice of Proposed

 Rulemaking. Any other initiatives underway? Are, would

 it be safe to say that all the initiatives are being

 evaluated by the Fishing Vessel Advisory Committee?
- 7 A. That's a safe statement.
- 8 Q. Okay.

15

16

17

18

19

- 9 A. We are always looking at updating and revising 10 any guidance documents that are out there.
- Q. Your fifty-eight fishing vessel safety
 examiners, the civilians, are all of them employed fulltime, working in your Program? Have some been detailed
 somewhere else, or assigned other duties?
 - A. They are all designated fishing vessel examiners at their respective units. Of course, as demand might come up, they might be tasked to do something else periodically. But their primary duty, and their position description, is for a fishing vessel examiners.
- Q. And as far as you know they are performing a primary duty.
- 23 A. They are.
- Q. Every day? With the exception of when they are called do something else.

1 A. (Laughs.)

- Q. Is the Advisory Committee working on fatigue issues?
- A. Yes, they did. And that was one of the topics in the competency training that I didn't think of earlier.
- Q. In the Coast Guard organization, how do you all crunch data? For instance, you now, we are going to produce a report, with recommendations, and there have been other fishing vessel casualties with recommendations. How do those recommendations -- how do you take those recommendations and make improvements to the Coast Guard Fishing Vessel Safety Program?
 - A. Well it will depend on what the recommendation is, I'm sure. Whether it is achievable, or attainable, you know it's not, probably wouldn't be too much unlike recommendations we get from the Advisory Committee.

 Some of the recommendations, and some of the actions that would be warranted or expected as a result of the recommendation, sometimes you can get it done, and sometimes you can't.
 - If it is -- if it requires additional resources, that take, you know, extra submittals and approvals, and everything else to get the personnel or the funding. So, it just depends on what it is, and

- 1 whether it is something that can be obtained, or achieved, or not. 2
- Does your organization, does your division, or
- 4 does the Coast Guard have to submit anything to the
- Office of Management and Budget, with regard to how you 5
- are performing with reducing the number of fishing 6
- vessel sinkings and deaths? Or any other -- you know, 7
- is there a performance metric you have to meet. 8
- I don't recall if OMB requires that. But we 9
- do, there are reports, periodic reports through the 10
- Department and, you know, to Congress. Anytime there is 11
- a regulation proposed, of course, OMB gets to review 12
- 13 that, for the cost involved, and whether it is a
- significant rule-making or not. And on every, other 14
- conditional things that we would have to justify the 15
- cost benefit analysis of it. 16

- 17 All right. I'm going back in time now, with,
- there was something called the Government Performance 18
- Review Act, which told organizations to do stuff out of 19
- 20 the box to improve productivity. Has that term been
- 21 used recently at Coast Guard headquarters?
- I don't recall. 22 Α.
- All right. All right, thanks. Q. 23
- CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak, 24
- 25 do you have any questions?

```
1
             MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
             CDR MULLER: Okay. Mr. Kemerer that completes
2
   the questions that we have for you this afternoon.
3
   Before we close is there any elements or factors that
5
   you believe the Board should consider that may not have
   been otherwise discussed this afternoon?
6
             THE WITNESS: Can't think of anything that
7
   would need to be added.
8
9
             CDR MULLER: Okay. Very well, thank you. So
10
```

Mr. Kemerer, you are now released as a witness at this Marine Board Investigation. Thank you for your 11 testimony and cooperation. If I later determine that 12 13 this Board needs additional information from you, I will 14 contact you.

If you have any questions about this investigation you may contact the Marine Board Recorder, LCDR Pedro Mendoza. Thank you, again.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: I would just like to add one thing, Commander. That, if you intend to follow-up with me at a later date, you would need to do it within two months because I will be retired after that.

CDR MULLER: Well, congratulations, well deserved, thank you. We are going to recess for ten minutes. So ten minutes, thank you.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

1	CDR MULLER: Good afternoon again, the hearing
2	will come to order. We would like to call our next
3	witness, Mr. Scott Wilwert. Mr. Wilwert if you would
4	please approach the witness table, LCDR Mendoza will
5	provide the oath.
6	LCDR MENDOZA: Please raise your right hand?
7	WITNESS
8	ANTHONY SCOTT WILWERT
9	DISTRICT 17
10	COMMERCIAL FISHING VESSEL SAFETY PROGRAM COORDINATOR
11	A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
12	was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and
13	testified as follows:
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
15	LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Sir, could
16	you please state your full name and spell your last name
17	for the record.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, Anthony Scott Wilwert W-I-
19	L-W-E-R-T.
20	LCDR MENDOZA: Could you please tell us your
21	current employment and position title.
22	THE WITNESS: I am currently employed with the
23	U.S. Coast Guard, District 17, and I am the Commercial
24	Fishing Vessel Safety Program Coordinator there.
25	LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional

1 licenses or certificates.
2 THE WITNESS: I do not.
3 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
4 CDR MULLER: Good afternoon Mr. Wilwert.
5 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

6 CDR MULLER: Welcome, and thank you for your

7 participation at this hearing.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY CDR MULLER:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. If you would, could you further describe your capacity and responsibility as the Commercial Fishing

 Vessel Program Manager at Coast Guard District 17?
- A. My primary duties, as I see them, as the Program Coordinator for Fishing Vessel Safety, are, is primarily to support the examiners in District 17, our civilian examiners as well as Auxiliary, Reserve, and Active-Duty that go out and perform the mission of performing dockside exams.
- Q. Can you describe the persons you typically interact with during the course of your duties both within the Coast Guard and with external industry representatives or partners?
- A. Sure, within the Coast Guard, I wouldn't say
 daily, but you know, on a weekly basis it is likely that
 I'll be in contact with Mr. Kemerer, our program manager

- 1 | at headquarters. Some of my counterparts at different
- 2 districts, Dan Pardon down here in Seattle, a lot. And
- 3 | I talk with my examiners daily, and then some.
- As far as industry partners, there are
- 5 | certainly fishing, commercial fishing associations,
- 6 | i.e., the Tenderman's Association, or the Longline
- 7 Association, that I'll talk with from time to time if
- 8 they have issues or questions, or if I am just trying to
- 9 pass some information to the industry.
- 10 As well as the Safety Training Associations
- 11 like AMSEA, The Alaska Marine Safety Education
- 12 Association based out of Sitka. And NIOSH, the
- 13 Anchorage office, the National Institute for Safety
- 14 Occupational Health.
- 15 Q. Okay. I would like to now ask some questions
- 16 regarding compliance. So if you could briefly explain
- 17 the type of exams that take place on commercial fishing
- 18 vessels across District 17.
- 19 A. The dockside examination, or the Part 28
- 20 examination as we refer to it sometimes, can be done
- 21 either in a voluntary or now mandatory capacity. So, as
- 22 Mr. Kemerer stated earlier, as of October 15th of 2015,
- 23 | vessels that operate beyond three miles from the
- 24 | territorial sea baseline are now required to get the
- 25 dockside examination.

1 Whereas, in certain parts of Alaska we have quite a few fisheries, and people that make a living 2 staying inside that three nautical miles, inside that 3 4 three miles from the baseline. So we do still do a lot of voluntary dockside examinations for folks who operate 5 on those waters that don't take them beyond the 6 baseline. Is that all you wanted from that? 7 8 Ο. Oh yes. Now we've heard some -- what about, we heard about spot checks, and something about 9 enforcement exams from Mr. Kemerer, did those take place 10 in D17? 11 Sure, absolutely. So the enforcement exams, 12 Α. like a dockside examination, that would be a result of a 13 termination during an at sea boarding for, you know, 14 15 maybe some especially hazardous conditions, were discovered. The vessel is sent in, and then usually 16 17 there is coordination between the response unit that maybe did that boarding. Or the Command Center, or the 18 Sector, and we'll get a dockside examiner out there to 19 20 clear those especially hazardous conditions so the 21 vessel can go back out. Sometimes dockside examinations will be as a 22 result of a, what we call a post-SAR boarding. So after 23

a vessel's involved in a search and rescue case, and it

is maybe towed in, or assisted in, or makes its own way

24

in we may attend that vessel as well to check for compliance.

- And the Safety Stability Compliance Checks, or
 the spot checks, are pretty particular to our pulse
 operation, where we send folks out to Dutch Harbor in
 advance of the King crab fishing season opener on or
 about October 15th. And we help supplement the staff
 out there at the Marine Safety Detachment in Dutch
 Harbor.
 - And we conduct these additional safety compliance checks for primary lifesaving and the purpose of verifying stability instructions if they are required to be in place. That they are there, and that the vessel is loaded in accordance with what the stability instructions say.
 - Q. Okay, thank you. I'm going to revisit those spot checks in a moment. But, so across these type of activities, dockside, Part 28 exams, post-SAR, or enforcement exams, and the spot check exams, can you describe how or if examiners document the results of those exams?
- A. Sure. Every time an exam is conducted,
 regardless of the reason it is conducted, voluntary,
 mandatory, post-SAR, termination, every dockside
 examination that's conducted is captured on the Dockside

1 Examination Form 5587, I believe is the form number.

And that form is then, you know, a copy of that is left with the vessel representative that was there for the dockside exam. And a copy of that is brought back with the Coast Guard to that office, the office that the, you know, the examiner went out of. The form is then electronically scanned, and then, electronically attached to the MISLE case, or the vessel file for that vessel, and becomes a permanent record.

So you can go back at any time, pick a vessel, and go through their activity history, and find out when their last dockside exam was. And kind of open that and then see the actual pen to paper form that was used.

- Q. Thank you. I'd like to now, can you describe any kind of quality review, or oversight of these activities that are input into MISLE both at the local level? And as well do you conduct oversight or quality review at your level, at the District?
- A. At my level, I do not have a, you know, a policy or a District policy to check MISLE entries after dockside exams are conducted. I believe many of the Sectors, Marine Safety Detachments, and Marine Safety Units have a process in place where after an examiner whether that is a civilian examiner or a petty officer, or an officer, or anyone else that conducted the

- 1 examination and entered it into MISLE, likely submits
- 2 | that for review. Which is a category you can choose
- 3 | from a drop-down, you know, in MISLE, and then a
- 4 | supervisor, or someone in that chain may close that
- 5 activity as approved. I can't speak for every unit.
- I don't think there is a standard for MISLE,
- 7 | or a Coast Guard standard that it has to be done that
- 8 way. I believe that it is probably a comfort level,
- 9 from time to time, within units to people's ability to
- 10 do MISLE, and the length of time they've been qualified.
- 11 | So I can't say that the review process on a local level
- 12 to what extent that's done.
- And I can tell you that I do randomly go into
- 14 MISLE, and see the activities that are being done, who's
- doing them, when they are getting done. And I open them
- 16 from time to time, but not for the purpose of checking
- 17 | up on anyone's work. If I see a deficiency, or I have a
- 18 question about how something was entered, I certainly
- 19 | would reach out. But, I don't do that as a regular part
- 20 of my job.
- 21 Q. We've heard earlier testimony regarding third-
- 22 party exams. Do third-party exams take place in D17 for
- 23 | commercial fishing vessels?
- A. Yes, they do. We have several surveyors from
- 25 some of the different associations, NAMS and SAMS, not

- so much Navtech up in Alaska, but NAMS and SAMS seem to be the two of the primary organizations that we have
- 3 surveyors from that are qualified to do dockside exams.
- When they do dockside examinations in any
- 5 | state or district the current guidance is that the
- 6 | Examination Booklet and Form will be sent to the area
- 7 | coordinators. So we have a Pacific Area Coordinator,
- 8 Chris Atkinson, and we have an Atlantic Area
- 9 | Coordinator. And third-party exams per the NAVIC
- 10 quidance right now go to the area coordinators for entry
- 11 into MISLE. And they will actually, because the third-
- 12 party folks, obviously don't have access to MISLE, we
- enter that for them, or the area coordinators do.
- Q. So do you have visibility over their
- 15 activities, the third-party examiners?
- A. We do. The activities are entered by the
- 17 | Coast Guard. So again, if we have interest in a
- 18 particular vessel it would show up that the dockside
- 19 examination was conducted by third-party surveyor so and
- 20 | so, and is entered the same was as, and it is available
- 21 | the same way as if a Coast Guard person did it.
- 22 Q. Right, so you can review the activity. But
- 23 | you don't necessarily get involved with the, any kind of
- 24 | quality review. That would be for the area, is that
- 25 | correct?

So what we do, in District 17 with our third-Α. party surveyors from NAMS and SAMS, we annually accompany them on dockside exams. And you know, frankly, we don't get a lot of chances to interact with them on a daily basis. And the way things, you know the way thought processes change, and things change within the Program, and things change with equipment, a lot of times it kind of dawns on us that they might be on the outside looking in.

So we try to touch base with them on a pretty regular basis, keep them informed of, you know, our current thinking on certain things. And at least annually, accompany them on a dockside exam so we can make sure that they are doing things the way that we do them.

- Q. Thank you. All right, I'd like to now turn to the safety and stability spot check exams that you, your District conducts. Can you explain a little background about this Program, or this effort, or initiative? A little history, who executes them, who plans for them, what kind of training and support is provided?
- A. Sure. As I know it, the Safety Stability

 Compliance Check Program, it kind of predates me and the

 Fishing Vessel Safety Program. I believe in around

 October of 1999 there were some folks that were in the

program, some folks, I think that you are probably going to see tomorrow.

And they were kind of the pioneers of the

Safety Compliance Check Program. They realized in the

1990's before dockside exams were mandatory that there

were just too many casualties, frankly, in that

particular fishery, in the crab fishery. I mean there

were casualties everywhere. But the fatality rates, and

the casualty rates were high for the crab fishery.

And these folks saw that, and took it upon themselves to collaborate with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game, with a cross-section of that, the crabbers themselves, and formed a coalition of sorts. And they sat down at a table one day and decided on a couple of things.

And those couple of things were that the State would agree to make the dockside examination mandatory at that time for people participating in the Bering Sea, Aleutian Island crab fisheries, the seven crab fisheries. And the other thing is that the State would put into Alaska State statute the requirement that a loaded crab vessel participating in one of those seven crab fisheries, would call the Coast Guard twenty-four hours prior to their intent to leave a port with a load, in a loaded condition. And that, so that's the

background, and the history, as I know it, how it came
about.

And we've continued to do that from 1999

through, well we still do that. We are planning our

October trip as we speak. So we've been doing that for
eighteen years with great success, I believe.

As far as the people that do it, it is primarily a function of the Marine Safety Detachment in Dutch Harbor. Though they are a small office, and they change out every year, it's kind of a unique office.

They have a seven person office. So seven come in every year and seven go out. And, you know, so we never know who is going to report in, and their level of competency with regards to fishing vessel safety qualification.

So because of that, we augment that group with a group of folks from Sector Anchorage, usually. And we can send somewhere between, you know, between 4 and 6 people will make the journey out to Dutch Harbor in early October and conduct full dockside exams if vessels are requiring those. And Safety Stability Compliance Checks.

The training that the people that go out there are giving and getting, we send a combination of qualified dockside examiners, as well as usually there is a petty officer or two from the Sector Anchorage

- Office, that is in the process of becoming qualified.
- 2 | So we will fund their travel out there. Because it is a
- 3 | pretty unique opportunity to go out to Dutch Harbor and
- 4 to be exposed to this amount of activity in a short
- 5 | period of time. So it actually, really helps their
- 6 qualification process.
- 7 So we will, we usually augment Dutch Harbor
- 8 | with like four qualified folks, and maybe take two
- 9 trainees. And of course the Marine Safety Detachment
- 10 | will provide training to their folks that are not
- 11 qualified, maybe, or even if they do hold a fishing
- 12 | vessel qual, if it is their first time in Dutch Harbor
- 13 there is a lot to learn.
- It is, you know, a lot different doing a
- 15 dockside exam in Dutch Harbor than it is in, you know,
- 16 Charleston, South Carolina, I'm sure. So, a lot of
- 17 different things to look at and look for. So we provide
- 18 training to that unit. While we are, kind of OJT while
- 19 | we are out there doing it.
- As part of the pulse op, we also send folks,
- 21 | we kind of try to get a snapshot for where some of the
- 22 | crab vessels may be staged up. And so sometimes we may
- 23 | send some folks at the same time we folks at Dutch, we
- 24 may have a couple Anchorage petty officers, or the
- 25 | civilian examiner there, go to King Cove, or possibly to

- 1 | Sand Point, but generally King Cove. It seems to be a
- 2 place that finds that if we send some folks there in
- 3 | early October we can, you know, have exposure to a
- 4 | handful of crab vessels that we otherwise may not have
- 5 seen.
- 6 Q. For the Commercial Fishing Vessels, the
- 7 | crabbers, is it voluntary to participate in these spot
- 8 checks?
- 9 A. My understanding of the spot check program
- 10 | since 1999, is that the phone call to give the awareness
- 11 to the Coast Guard that you are leaving is required per
- 12 Alaska State statute.
- 13 | O. Well I'm --
- 14 A. But the --
- 15 Q. -- I'm referring to the spot checks.
- A. Right. But when it comes to the spot check,
- 17 | it is my understanding that it is not mandatory. I
- 18 believe when the spot checks were created, or spot check
- 19 program, I think the owners of that program would tell
- 20 | you it was their goal to try to get on 70 to 80% of the
- 21 | boats. So, to me that would imply that is was not
- 22 | intended to be a mandatory function before leaving port.
- I think practically, it would be very
- 24 difficult to hang that requirement on every vessel,
- 25 | because I am not sure we could get everywhere, you know,

- that vessels are departing from. So for that reason, in
 my mind that's why this was never a mandatory Safety

 Stability Compliance Check.
 - Q. Does District 17 have any written policy that dictates the process, or provides guidance on the execution of these spot checks?

- A. I believe the -- not so much, not a policy or a District policy. But in our Fishing Vessel Safety Strategic Plan, which is kind of dated, and on my list of things to do, I think it is mentioned in there the Safety Stability Compliance Check Program.
 - Q. Okay. And for clarification, these spot checks, done on an annual basis at the start of King crab season, mid-October, are those organized, planned, and essentially executed by the District, itself, using field resources? So, who leads the project?
 - A. No, my biggest function with that operation is funding and support. Sector Anchorage has, pretty much has the ball on that. They are the unit that has been the closest to that. They are kind of the corporate knowledge since the Dutch Harbor Office does change out every year a hundred percent.
- So, I would say that the planning and execution is more between Sector Anchorage and the Marine Safety Detachment. With the District, myself,

- providing them anything they need to make it happen. To include money, personnel, whatever they need.
- Q. Well, you mention money, why are there
 specific funding needs? I guess what I'm getting at is,
 reaching Dutch Harbor and even Kings Cove is pretty much
 by air travel, right?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. So how does District 17, either the Sector, the MSD, or yourself track, or do you track spot check data, or the number of vessels that get spot checked?
- A. We do. So the spot checks are actually entered into MISLE as a, I believe it is a Safety Compliance Check, I think that's an actual choosable category in MISLE. So when these are conducted, they are entered into MISLE.

And in addition to that, for the in-season tracking, we have a spreadsheet that I maintain at the District, and I work really closely with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game Office in Dutch Harbor, who handles all registrations for the crabbers that are coming in to register to participate in the fishery.

So once the Alaska Department of Fish & Game Office, starts to get a database of who is coming in, registered, and gotten their tags and shown intent to fish, she'll send me those vessel names. And what I'll

do, is I'll cross-reference our MISLE database, and I will create a spreadsheet, a four or five column spreadsheet that shows the vessel, it's official number, it's Alaska Department of Fish & Game number, it will show when it's fishing vessel safety decal due to expire, there will be a column saying if they received one of our safety compliance spot checks, and what date. And then there is a column if we boarded the vessel at

9 sea.

10 So that kind of paints a picture of our, what

11 our Coast Guard contact rate is with this fleet. We

have the dockside exam, which we know everyone is getting, because they are all mandatory now for that fleet. And then we have a Safety Compliance Check column.

And that spreadsheet, or that tool, can then be used by the cutters in the Bering Sea as sort of a decision matrix on, as they see boats day to day then can look at the spreadsheet that we provide them, and make decisions on who they may or may not want to board. If we were just on board them two days ago, it is possible or likely that maybe they will, point another direction and maybe look for a boat that we didn't have the opportunity to have contact with, and then give them an at sea boarding. So that just increases the contact

1 | rate at the end of the day.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Right. So would you say that's a way for the
 Coast Guard cutter, or at sea boarding to prioritize
 which vessels they go on board, and also is, does that
 act as an incentive to participate for the fishing
 vessels?
- Well, the first part of the question, I think 7 Α. it definitely works as a way to, for the cutters to 8 prioritize which vessels they may or may not board. I 9 don't see that, that program as too much of an 10 incentive. We generally don't get a lot of resistance 11 at the dockside, or even at sea to, you know -- and you 12 13 know, from what I've been told anyway, it's been a long time since I've been a boarding officer. 14

But, I don't think folks are getting a Safety Compliance Check, for, in the hopes that they won't be boarded or bothered at sea. You know, I think they are doing it because they believe in it. So I don't think it's much of an incentive to, you know, let's get this done now because maybe they won't bother us during crab season. Not for that fishery, I don't think.

- Q. Do you happen to recall if the fishing vessel Destination underwent a Safety & Stability Compliance spot check during the 2016/2017 crabbing season?
- A. No. According to my records, and of course we

- certainly looked and asked Dutch Harbor about any spot
- 2 checks. Dutch Harbor would provide me multiple times a
- 3 | week when the crab fisheries were getting ready to start
- 4 | up, a spreadsheet of what they had done for the week,
- 5 | who they had done docksides on, who they had done Safety
- 6 | Compliance Checks on, and I used that to build the
- 7 | bigger spreadsheet that I referred to earlier. And up
- 8 | through the date that they sailed they had not had a
- 9 Safety Compliance Check.
- 10 Q. Okay, thank you. Now, earlier you mentioned,
- 11 | made reference to some Alaska Department of Fish & Game
- 12 regulation, reporting regulation. So by way of
- 13 reference, if we can take a look at Exhibit No. 42
- 14 | paragraph 7. It's a short paragraph.
- 15 A. Did you want me to read that?
- Q. Would you mind reading that?
- 17 A. Oh, sure, (reads) "An operator of a vessel
- 18 participating in and IFQ, CDQ, or ADAK community
- 19 allocation crab fishery in the Bering Sea, Aleutian
- 20 | Island area must notify the United States Coast Guard
- 21 | at least twenty-four hours prior to departing port when
- 22 | carrying crab gear."
- 23 Q. So, can you describe what actions the
- 24 receiving Coast Guard office would take when they obtain
- 25 | such notifications from a fishing vessel?

- A. Sure, I think the intended result from this

 phone calls, or the reason that phone call was created

 back in 1999, was to provide the Coast Guard with an

 opportunity to, in that twenty-four hours say to the

 operator of the vessel, hey I'm going to come down there

 tomorrow, would you like us to come down there tomorrow

 and give you a Safety Compliance Check.
 - So I, I would hope that when these calls are being made, that when we do have the opportunity, and these calls that these vessels are leaving from ports where we do have a Coast Guard presence, that we are taking advantage as much as we can of the opportunity to go out and do the Safety Compliance Check.

In the cases where that call maybe it comes in the middle of the night, on a duty phone, after hours, or otherwise it is not practical to go out and attend the vessel. I would assume that the information likely gets logged by the unit that took the call. And just to make a record that the vessel did, you know, was following the Alaska State statute, and made the required phone call.

- Q. Okay, thank you.
- 23 A. Okay.

24 CDR MULLER: Mr. Gillette, I have no further questions, do you have any questions?

1 MR. GILLETTE: I do, Commander.

2 EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. GILLETTE:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 Mr. Wilwert, my name is James Gillette with the United States Coast Guard. I just have questions 5 that just has to do with, about the spot checks. And 6 just trying to get an understanding. I want to first 7 start with understanding, to get a Commercial Vessel 8 Examiner's Qualification, does anybody that is trying to 9 achieve that qualification, do they need to know 10 anything about crab pots or fishing gear to receive that 11 qualification? 12
- 13 A. I believe in the Personal Qualification
 14 Standard, the PQS, there are sections -- it's been a
 15 little while since I've looked at a PQS -- but I believe
 16 there are sections in there that do discuss gear and
 17 gear types.

When talking about the Bering Sea crab fishery, obviously the folks in the Anchorage Office or the Dutch Harbor Office, that's going to be an area of focus, because of the fishery happening in their area, maybe more so than an examiner or a person trying to get qualified say in Ketchikan where there is not a, you know, a thriving King crab fishery, like there is in the Bering Sea.

But overall, there are some sections of the
qualification process that do discuss gear, maybe not to
the extent of understanding crab pots, and the weight of
crab pots, and associated shots of line and gear that is
stowed in them, you know, across the board for every
examiner.

Q. Do those members that are associated with let's say, Sector Anchorage, or MSD Dutch Harbor, do they get any outside stability training courses or classes, do they attend any of those to learn how to do stability training?

A. To my knowledge, no. I believe if there are people at Sector Anchorage Office who participate or assist with dockside examinations that maybe are already Marine Inspector qualified, they may have had opportunities, maybe they've taken the National Harbor Bureau Stability Course, or had opportunities to attend some sort of resident stability training.

But, I would say that across the board, I don't think that the examiners in any part of Alaska, or probably in the county have any real resources for stability training, real, intensive stability training. I am not implying it doesn't exist. But, I don't think it is part of their qualification process.

Q. Over the last week, a couple days now, we've

- 1 had testimonies referring to, let's say, stability
- 2 books. And from sitting up here learning about
- 3 | stability books, they seem to be different from vessel
- 4 to vessel. So the expectation of the Coast Guard
- 5 personnel going out there to do the exam, even with
- 6 experienced, even with experienced inspectors, I guess
- 7 | that you are talking about that have qualifications, how
- 8 are they expected to know what they are looking for, I
- 9 guess, in a stability book to complete a stability spot
- 10 | check?
- 11 A. I think, as you heard through the last couple
- 12 days, or I did, that there is a, quite a variance in
- 13 what some of the captains the other day were explaining,
- 14 is contained in their stability book, and others maybe
- 15 | not.
- When we send folks aboard to do the safety
- 17 | spot checks, you know, we have them ask the captain, you
- 18 know, how are you loaded, what does your book say you
- 19 are supposed to load to in this condition, how much do
- 20 your pots weigh, have you weighed them. And then we
- 21 | will verify in the book.
- 22 And in a lot of cases they will go through the
- 23 book together to the page and the chart that shows the
- 24 amount of pots that are supposed to be on for a
- 25 particular loading condition, icing or non-icing. They

will query them as to, okay, well your book was made up
for seven hundred pound pots, is that what you have
today.

In very rare occasions, I think this last year we were successful, our Sector Anchorage Examiner was successful in getting some random pot weighing done. It is not as easy as it sounds to, first of all we don't carry around those huge scales. But there were a couple opportunities where they came across a vessel that was loading its pots, and were able to take some weights. So we did get some actual sample weights. Just from a very small, you know, a couple boats.

But -- and that's pretty much what the folks are looking for. They are -- and when they look in the stability book, if the stability book is made up, I'm not going to say correctly, if it is made up in a way that the pot weights are there, the loading conditions are there, and it is telling the operator how many pots of this weight they can have on board. We are just -- we are verifying that that's the case when we are on board, by physically counting and then comparing it to what the book says.

Q. There is no other special training that the Coast Guard provides, other than OJT as far as learning how to do those spot checks?

- A. The spot checks, I think are pretty specific to, you know, to District 17, and to Dutch Harbor. So there certainly is no Coast Guard wide training program for stability spot checks. But Sector Anchorage does have a training PowerPoint and sort of a training outline that they do go through with their examiners, and with the Dutch Harbor office.
 - Q. Is there a specific form that our examiners fill out? Or is it a separate form from Anchorage to Dutch Harbor, or are they all filling out the same form for the spot checks?

- A. For the spot checks, to my knowledge there is only one form, and it was a, you know, a form that was generated, I'm not sure which version or rendition of it, it might be. But it's a very short form that's tailored to the things that are checked during the spot check, carbon copy form, I believe. And so that's the same form that's been in play, and used by both units, to the best of my knowledge, since we've been doing this.
- Q. Do we know how the, our examiners are being trained as far as identifying what's winter, summer, or icing conditions as far as the loading of a vessel goes?
 - A. Could you rephrase that, maybe?
 - Q. Yes. This goes back to the training of the

- 1 examiners that are doing the spot checks. How are they
- 2 getting training on knowing when they are reading the
- 3 | stability book, because the stability book, the
- 4 | varieties of stability books that we've heard this week
- 5 | alone are different. There's different literature there
- 6 | that's talks about winter loading, summer loading, or
- 7 | icing conditions loading.
- So, are our examiners, are they trained on
- 9 knowing the difference between winter, summer, and
- 10 | icing?
- 11 A. So the training that the Sector Anchorage
- 12 Office provides during the Dutch Harbor trip, during the
- 13 pulse op trip, I do, I believe discusses the different
- 14 | loading conditions. Since we are primarily there for
- 15 | the surge op in October that is generally a pretty low
- 16 risk icing condition time of year, even for Dutch
- 17 Harbor. And so it is probably not as high a concern
- during that operation. And for that fishery they are
- 19 going to head out on October 14th or 15th, that icing is
- 20 considered.
- 21 Whether it is talked about during the Safety
- 22 | Stability Compliance Check in October, that, hey in
- 23 January or February obviously, you know, you need to
- 24 | consider possible icing conditions. And the Safety
- 25 | Stability Spot Checks, they will trickle in to, like,

- 1 there are certain people who don't fish King Crab, so
- 2 | their first evolution may be in opilio winter season.
- 3 | So they will call for a spot check in that season. And
- 4 during that season we would certainly be cognizant of
- 5 | the fact that we are in icing conditions. And that's
- 6 the part of the book that we should be considering.
- 7 Q. All right. Thank you Mr. Wilwert.
- 8 MR. GILLETTE: Commander, those are all the
- 9 questions I have.
- 10 CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Gillette. NTSB,
- 11 Mr. Karr?

12 **EXAMINATION**

- 13 BY MR. KARR:
- 14 O. Mr. Wilwert do you oversee any other fishing
- 15 | vessel safety related tasks other than the Dockside Exam
- 16 Program?
- 17 A. Well, I like to think I oversee all of them.
- 18 So, I mean, there's --
- 19 Q. Well, could you describe what those tasks are?
- 20 You know, for instance are there any partnerships you
- 21 | are working with, you know, I'm looking for any, for a
- 22 description of programs that the Coast Guard undertakes
- 23 | in the Seventeenth Coast Guard District to promote
- 24 | safety and reduce casualties.
- 25 A. Sure, we work often with the NIOSH field

- office in Anchorage, and their staff. So we collaborate
- 2 | with them for different initiatives. You know, some of
- 3 | the life jacket wearing initiatives that we've had in
- 4 | the past that, you know, NIOSH is primarily the driver
- 5 | behind a lot of that. But we support them however, and
- 6 whenever we can.
- 7 So, as far as initiatives, I mean, we always
- 8 look for safety initiatives, we always look for
- 9 opportunities to work with any agency that we can help,
- 10 or that can help us make the commercial fishing industry
- 11 safer. I'm not sure if I'm answering your question
- 12 entirely or not, but...
- 13 Q. Well, I'm looking for specific programs, the
- 14 NIOSH one that you described is a good example. I'll
- 15 leave it at that. And then the last question I have for
- 16 you is that strategic plan that you described, is that a
- 17 | fishing vessel strategic plan for D17, or is it the D17
- 18 overall strategic plan?
- 19 A. It's a Fishing Vessel Safety Strategic Plan.
- 20 Q. All right.
- 21 A. And it was authored by my predecessor a few
- 22 years ago, prior to me taking this position over. So,
- 23 | it's about due for an update.
- Q. All right. But is it on the website? Or, if
- 25 | it's not we'll get a copy. All right.

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. Thanks.
- MR. KARR: No more questions.
- CDR MULLER: Thank you Mr. Karr. Ms. Spivak?
- 5 MS. SPIVAK: No questions.
- 6 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Mr. Wilwert that
- 7 | concludes the questions that we have for you this
- 8 | afternoon. Is there any other factors that you believe
- 9 | the Board should consider that has not otherwise been
- 10 | discussed today?
- 11 THE WITNESS: No, not that I can think of. No
- 12 other factors. The only thing I have not had an
- opportunity to say in person, and only through some
- 14 media, is obviously to express my condolences to the
- 15 family and friends of the Destination. I know they are
- behind me, and that's kind of rude. But I don't think
- 17 they will hear me if I turn around away from the mic.
- 18 So, that's it.
- 19 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Mr. Wilwert you are
- 20 | now released as a witness at this Marine Board
- 21 Investigation, thank you for your testimony and
- 22 | cooperation.
- 23 If I later determine that this Board needs
- 24 additional information from you I will contact you. If
- 25 | you have any questions about this investigation, you may

1	contact the Marine Board Recorder, LCDR Pedro Mendoza.
2	Thank you, again.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you. And unlike Jack,
4	I'll be around for the next ten years or so probably.
5	CDR MULLER: Thank you, good to know. We
6	would like to now call our next witness Mr. Dan Hardin.
7	Mr. Hardin if you would, please approach the witness
8	table and LCDR Mendoza will provide the oath.
9	LCDR MENDOZA: Please raise your right hand.
10	WITNESS
11	DANIEL ELMER HARDIN
12	DISTRICT 13 FISHING VESSEL SAFETY COORDINATOR
13	A witness produced on call of the Coast Guard
14	was duly sworn according to the law, was examined and
15	testified as follows:
16	THE WITNESS: I do.
17	LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. Sir, if you
18	could please state your full name, and spell your last
19	name for the record.
20	THE WITNESS: Daniel Elmer Hardin, H-A-R-D-I-
21	N.
22	LCDR MENDOZA: Would you please state your
23	current employment and position title.
24	THE WITNESS: I am th0e Thirteenth District
25	 Fishing Vessel Safety Coordinator.

```
1
              LCDR MENDOZA: Sir, do you hold any
    professional licenses or certificates?
2
3
              THE WITNESS: No.
4
              LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you.
              CDR MULLER: Good afternoon Mr. Hardin.
5
              THE WITNESS: Commander.
6
              CDR MULLER: Thank you for your participation
7
8
    at this hearing.
9
              THE WITNESS: Welcome to the Thirteenth
    District.
10
              CDR MULLER: Thank you. We have been well
11
    taken care of, I appreciate the Thirteenth's support
12
    over the last few weeks.
13
14
              THE WITNESS: You are welcome.
                           EXAMINATION
15
   BY CDR MULLER:
16
         Q. If you would, just by way of quick
17
    introduction, if you could just further, explain your
19
    role and function as the Fishing Vessel Coordinator at
20
    the Thirteenth?
21
              My job is similar to what Mr. Wilwert talked
22
    about. Just mainly my job is to support my fishing
   vessel examiners in the field. To make sure that
23
    they've got everything that they need to help the
24
    fisherman come into compliance with the regulations. I
```

- 1 | also feel my job is to help the commercial fisherman
- 2 understand what it is that they need to do to get into
- 3 | compliance and make that easy for them, to make their
- 4 | job easier, and our job easier.
- 5 I also do work with third-party class
- 6 | surveyors. I work with the National Institute for
- 7 Occupational Safety and Health to get casualty data,
- 8 | since they are really good experts at that. I work with
- 9 the North Pacific Fishing Vessel Owners Association,
- 10 they often do training, and we assist them in that
- 11 training. And we have damage control trainers, and
- 12 other tools that we can share with both the NPFVOA and
- 13 the fishermen if they ask us, we can go out and do
- 14 training for them.
- 15 Q. Thank you. So, in the same lane as you just
- 16 mentioned, you provide information that you believe is,
- 17 tries to be helpful to the fishing fleet as they
- 18 consider their safety. And so, during the course of
- 19 this investigation we've become more and more familiar
- 20 | with a particular website called FishSafeWest, is that
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A. That's right, yes.
- Q. And I understand you are the champion and
- 24 author of a bunch of its documents, is that right?
- 25 | A. I am, yes sir.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- A. It is FishSafeWest.info, not .com, but .info.
- 3 Q. All right, Fish Safe --
- 4 A. West.info.
- Q. West.info, okay.
- 6 A. Right.
- Q. So after learning of that and reviewing that
 website over the last few months, I thought it would be
 appropriate to provide an opportunity for the Board to
 learn a little bit more about that website, and
 particularly maybe some of its highlights. So, if you
 would, we have it as an Exhibit, No. 39, which is, I'll
 just call it as some screenshots of the website.
- 14 A. Right.
- Q. And so, if you would, using this Exhibit, if
 you could briefly describe some key elements provided on
 the website.
- A. Sure. So one of the things, when I first came into this job back in 1998, one of the things I found is that the commercial fishing vessel safety regulations are in Part 28, so that's easy enough to find, and it's all in one place.
- But there are a myriad of other references to
 the Program. And often I would call around to the
 different coordinators to try to get a particular

Navigation And Vessel Inspection Circular, or a policy letter that was from, you know, way back in the day or

whatever.

- And I, so I was constantly looking for those,
 and then everybody would reach into their file folder
 and find a copy and fax it to me, or whatever. And I
 thought, what if I could put them all in one place, so
 we could all have them.
 - And I'll go ahead and kind of point out what it is. And what's available on it, or at least three major things, and there is a lot of searching you can do on it. But, this is its homepage. And this is actually not what it usually looks like. But the Coast Guard is currently in transition from an old server to a new, more protected server inside the Armed Forces server system or whatever. And so, right now FishSafeWest.info looks a little bit different, as you had noted, than it did before.

And eventually, when I get transitioned over to the new system, it will look a little bit different.

But it will always, you can always get to it by going to FishSafeWest.info. I, right now, this is a website that is outside the Coast Guard's mainframe, but will be back in as soon as we get it transitioned over. But the old one's out of service now. So we had to put it

somewhere.

And so you just happened to hit me right in
this middle of this transition. So this is its own
page. And if you go to the next screen, you just kind
of look around the home page and you will see that,
anything new that's taking place, I put it on the home
screen.

So for example, we just got new guidance on using electronic charts in lieu of paper charts, that's been a big thing that the fisherman, or actually, all mariners have been wanting for a long time. To be able to get rid of their paper charts that they have to keep updated manually, and use electronic, electronic charts. And so that's right there at the very top. And that's the very latest thing that got released.

And just to make one more quick note here, the Coast Guard will also release Marine Safety Information Bulletins, on certain items. And so GMDSS, which is communication equipment for vessels operating in Alaska we were clarifying some confusion about what those requirements were for certain vessels. So, again, it's -- they are on our home page. So all new information can be found there.

But I would like to kind of point to the different parts of the website. So across the top

- 1 there, you see the arrow, there's the home page. Then
- 2 | there's a like to the Dockside Examination Page that
- 3 | tells commercial fishermen about dockside exams and how
- 4 to get one. And how to prepare for one.
- 5 There is also a section in there called
- 6 Regulations. So any regulation, any guidance, any
- 7 | policy letter. Anything having to do with anything
- 8 commercial fishing vessels is in that, on that page, and
- 9 so I will show that to you.
- I have a section on enforcement, in other
- 11 words, what does a termination look like, when does the
- 12 | Coast Guard terminate a vessel's voyage. You see one
- 13 | called ACSA, that's a particular program for our large
- 14 | fleet of vessel in Alaska.
- We do have some casualty information, that's
- 16 NIOSH information on casualties in the fishing industry.
- 17 And then the last, the link is to a training page. And
- 18 | so I'll briefly touch on a few of those. (So if you
- 19 | will give me the next, next slide.)
- Okay, so one of the things that we did is when
- 21 | the Coast Guard, or when Congress mandated that
- 22 | commercial fishing vessels get a dockside exam if they
- 23 operate more than three nautical miles from the
- 24 | territory (inaudible word) baseline, that date was, 15
- 25 October 2012, 2013, I forget.

And so I was concerned about the fact that any vessel new to our program was not familiar with what their vessel was required to have. Those regulations are dependent on various operating parameters of the vessel, like the vessel length, how many people are on board, whether or not you are documented or state registered, how far off shore you operate, a bunch of different variables, about thirty-five different variable make the regulations apply or not apply.

when the mandatory exams came out, the Coast Guard was going to have to visit every vessel twice. The first visit would be an informational visit where we would tell them, these are the things you need, find out where they are operating, and leave them with a list.

And then we'd have to return after they got all their items, and then do another exam of the vessel to finally issue them, hopefully issue them a decal. So my idea was to create what I call the checklist generator that would take any vessel's operating parameters, and give them a custom checklist for their particular vessel.

They could then use that checklist to prepare for their exam and in about ninety percent of the time the fishermen were able to complete their exam on the

- 1 first visit. Which cut down on the number of visits
- 2 | they had to have from the Coast Guard, and the number of
- 3 | visits the Coast Guard had to make, and man hours it
- 4 would take to get the vessels examined.
- 5 So I'll kind of walk you through the checklist
- 6 generator. So you can get the checklist generator, here
- 7 | from the home page. Or if we go to the next slide, or
- 8 | if you go to the dockside examination page, next slide,
- 9 there is the dockside examination page. And if you go
- 10 to the next slide, there is a section here that talks
- 11 about preparing for your exam. And it advises the
- 12 | fishermen that they can use their laptop, desk top,
- 13 tablet or smart phone and determine what their vessel's
- 14 required to have by using the checklist generator.
- Then we ask them to use that examine their
- 16 vessel, and then finally call and get their exam. And
- 17 then there's a link to each dockside examiner around the
- 18 country.
- So if you go to the next slide, we will just
- 20 kind of run through what the pages of the checklist
- 21 | generator look like, these are just a few of them. And
- 22 | so this is the -- yes sir?
- 23 Q. Just for the record, this is now page seven.
- A. Go ahead? So this is the home screen, and it
- 25 | just has the legalese on it, and says it is a tool for

1 | you to use to prepare for your exam.

And so, what the user would do is they would

hit the "Let's get started", button. If you would go

ahead and move to the next slide. And then it just asks

you to fill out some information. So we take the

vessel's length, so they fill in the vessel's length.

They enter the number of crew that the vessel has on

board. (Go to the next slide.)

We use like, drop downs to get how far offshore you are going to operate. And so we ask them those questions. (Let's go to the next slide.)

Okay, so this is what, page ten. There is more questions, is your vessel documented, does your vessel have an installed marine toilet, if it does then we need to make sure that you comply with MSD regulations. Is the vessel constructed of metal. If you are not metal then you need a radar reflector. So each question, there is a reason why that question is there.

And so they answer these questions. And they hit the next button. And then they are presented with a screen that says well this is what you entered for your vessel. So you take one last chance to look through here, to make sure that that is what you want. So we have a fishing vessel that's 65ft long six people on

1 board, the vessel operates in Alaska, 20 to 50 miles offshore, the vessel is documented. And so on, and so 2 it tells you about the vessel and what you entered. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Then when you hit the create checklist button (Go ahead to the next one.) then you get your checklist for your particular vessel. And it is divided into different areas. So, we have an area called documents, placards and plans. And so while you are up on the bridge, you would use this to look at all of your documents and placards and plans that you are required to have on your particular vessel.

And it is scrolls up -- this won't because we are just, we've just got some screen shots -- but it scrolls up and you keep on going down. (And if you go to the next slide.)

Then you come to the Bridge and Navigation Equipment Section. And so normally the things that are on the bridge are in this section. So for example you can see that this vessel that I entered needed three parachute flares, six handheld flares, three smoke signals, and they have to have their navigation lights for their operating area. And you will notice there is a little info button to the right of all those things.

And so you can get more information by

25 touching on those. On the left side is your check box,

```
1
    as you check things off, you can either do it by paper,
    or you can do it on your smart phone, or whatever. And
2
    so all those things are the things that you would need
3
4
    for your bridge navigation equipment. (Next slide.)
              Okay, now we have the lifesaving equipment.
5
    So you can see, for example, this vessel would need an
6
    immersion suit for everybody on board. A personal
7
    flotation device on each one of those devices. An
8
    inflatable life raft at least with a SOLAS (inaudible
9
    word) pack. The three ring buoys and so on. It also
10
    lists what firefighting equipment you need. All these
11
    are the things that the dockside examiner would have
12
13
    told you. But you know it before you even have them
    out, so that you can be in compliance. (Next slide.)
14
              And then this is the last box, so there is
15
    five total boxes. And this is stuff that needs to be in
16
17
    your engine room, and other remaining areas around the
    vessel. So here, for example, you know, you can see you
18
    need guards for exposed hazards, an anchor with chain,
19
20
    and your marine sanitation device installed. (Now, let's
21
    go to the next slide.)
              Let's say, for example, you wanted to know
22
   more about immersion suits or exposure suits and what
23
    you should do about that. Then we give them additional
24
```

information.

```
1
              So if you touch the information button, the
    next line. Then a little pop-up come up. And it tells
2
3
    you down, (If you hit the next slide.) down at the
    bottom there, you will see that you use the checklist
5
    her to examine that particular item. So it says you
   have to have at least one of the immersion suits or
6
    exposure suits on board. It needs to be Coast Guard
7
    approved, it needs to be inspected annually for material
8
9
    condition, and so on and so forth. So that gives you
    all the particulars of what to look at on this
10
    particular item. (Let's go to the next slide.)
11
              Up at the top, you will see that there is
12
13
    links to other documents. So any document anywhere,
14
    that has anything to do with --
15
              CDR MULLER: Okay, excuse me.
              THE WITNESS: Sorry.
16
              CDR MULLER: Just slow --
17
              THE WITNESS: Slow down.
18
              CDR MULLER: -- can you slow down.
19
20
              THE WITNESS: Am I fast? Wow.
21
              CDR MULLER: It's good stuff.
22
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
              CDR MULLER: And for the record, we are on
23
24
   page nineteen.
```

25 BY CDR MULLER:

```
A. Okay, so on the top are the links to any document related to this particular item. So, for example, it says here 46 C.F.R 28.110 Requirements. And so if I was to click on that link then I would see that regulation. So if you were to go to the next slide, if I were to click on that link, you would see this.
```

And so, this is actually a screenshot of the page from the Federal regulations that explains exactly what the requirement is. Now, in my experience of my years as a dockside examiner, as a marine inspector, there is a lot of sea lawyers out there, any everybody wants to know, well where did that Reg come from. So you can actually show them that Reg if they would like to see it. (Okay, we will go to the next slide.)

We also, in here, you will see that there is NAVICS, there. I know we are not going to look at them. But we have a Washington State University PFD study that talk about using PFD's in the Pacific Northwest.

You'll see that we have a couple of videos.

For example we have a, one of our dockside examiners on our YouTube channel. This takes you to that video that shows you one of my dockside examiners in Portland, examining an immersion suit, so you know what it is we are looking for.

So those, that's the depth that this

```
1
   particular job aide goes to, to help the fisherman
    comply with the regulation, all the regulations and to
2
    pass their exam on, hopefully on the first time. (Next
3
4
    slide.)
5
              Okay. So that's it for what we call the
    checklist generator. I will just talk about two other
6
    items really quick. In the regulations, this is a
7
    really valuable section, in fact I use this almost every
8
          And if you touch on the regulations link, you
9
    will see down below there, that there are a bunch of
10
    little folders there, and I can expand or collapse them
11
    by just touching on the little plus sign.
12
13
              And so, for example, (Let's go to the next
    slide) let's say I pick alphabetical listing of topics.
14
    And if I touched on that -- (next slide) -- then it
15
    expands, and it shows me all the things in alphabetical
16
    order that I can look up. For example, if I was
17
    interested in bilge alarms, I could go down, and there's
18
    a little folder there for bilge alarms. You could open
19
20
    that up and any document related to bilge alarms would
21
    be inside there, to explain what to do and how to
    inspect that item, and what regulations are applicable
22
    to that item.
23
              Let me give you and example -- (We will go to
24
25
    the next slide) -- and let's say it was, for example I
```

```
wanted to see the Coast Guard form that the dockside
```

- 2 examiners used. Then I could open that forms folder.
- 3 (Next slide.) And in there you will see CG5587,
- 4 | Commercial Fishing Vessel Safety Examination Book. If I
- 5 | wanted to see that book, I'd just hit the link -- (and
- 6 | go to the next slide) -- and I could see what that
- 7 | document looks like. So if anybody wanted to see it,
- 8 | they could go look at it. So that's really how that
- 9 thing works.
- 10 And again, I'm -- I use this almost every day
- and a lot of Coast Guard folks do that same thing.
- 12 Let's go back. So down below, you can see Headquarters
- 13 Safety Flyers. There is Headquarters Policy Letters,
- 14 there is NAVICS, there is also a folder on all the Coast
- 15 Guard regulations related to fishing vessels.
- So for example, everybody has been listening
- 17 | to us talk about 46 C.F.R Part 28, there's a folder in
- 18 there that has that topic. So again, you can see
- 19 whatever it is that you would like to look up in there.
- 20 (Next slide. Next slide.)
- Okay, the last thing I'd like to share with
- 22 | you, we heard a lot today about stability. So I did do
- 23 | a stability training, it's a power -- or it is a flash
- 24 stability animation on how stability works. Now, we
- 25 | can't animate it, because again we are taking

```
1
   screenshots here. But, if you were to -- (Go to the
   next slide) -- and you hit the launch stability 101, you
2
   would get this little face page here, that just says
3
4
   this is, you know, just the basics of what stability is
   all about. And if this thing was actually working, you
5
   would hear ocean waves, and you would hear some
6
   seagulls, and a little boat would be moving up and down.
7
   So you get the idea that this is animated. (Now, let's
8
   go to the next slide.)
9
              And I'll just give you some examples. So for
10
   example, it gives you the idea of what stability is, or
11
12
```

example, it gives you the idea of what stability is, or the force of what gravity is. So gravity pushes down on an object, like a beachball, or a boat whatever it might be. (Go to the next slide.) And then, the same thing, there is a force called bouancy that pushes up. So we have center of gravity, and center of buoyancy. And some of our folks here have been talking about those particular things.

And so, if you were to go to the website and watch this, you'd kind of figure out, hey, now I know what these guys have been talking about. (Next slide.)

So, for example, they've been talking here about the metacentric height, and so it is very simple, it is actually easy to understand, this may look kind of complex, but it is very easy to understand that there is

```
1
    a metacenter, there's center of gravity, and there's a
    center of buoyancy. All those forces determine the
2
    stability of a vessel, and it is very easy to understand
3
4
    that if you go through this slide presentation. (Next
    slide.)
5
              And so we move things around, and it shows you
6
    what happens when the vessel heels over, and when it
7
    comes back again. So this is an example of a vessel
8
    that is being heeled over by let's say the wind. And
9
    then what that looks like if it has good stability.
10
    And, later on it also shows you what that would look
11
    like with a vessel with bad stability. In other words,
12
13
    it would heel over maybe not come back so fast. (Next
14
    slide.)
              It also gives some basics. For example, you
15
    all were talking about reserve buoyancy, it talks about
16
17
    what that is, it talks about freeboard, it talks about
    raising and lowering the center of gravity. Again all
18
    the, all the concepts that you all have been talking
19
20
    about here today or throughout the last week and a half.
21
    (Next slide.) That should be it, that's it. Okay.
22
              So that is just an overview of the website,
    thanks for the opportunity to show it, and hopefully we
23
```

can get some more folks go on there and use that

website.

24

- Q. Okay, thank you.
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That was a really helpful tour of a very impressive, and I believe a useful webpage, thank you.
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 CDR MULLER: I have no questions. Mr.
- 7 | Gillette?
- 8 MR. GILLETTE: No follow-up questions.
- 9 CDR MULLER: Thank you. NTSB, Mr. Karr?
- MR. KARR: I have none.
- 11 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Ms. Spivak?
- MS. SPIVAK: No questions, thank you.
- 13 CDR MULLER: Okay. Mr. Hardin that completes
- 14 the questions, thank you again for your presentation.
- 15 Are there any elements, or facts, or issues that you
- 16 believe the Board should consider that has not otherwise
- 17 been discussed?
- 18 THE WITNESS/MR. HARDIN: I have been here for
- 19 the entire Board, almost the entire Board. And I think
- 20 | you guys are doing a great job. I would like to echo
- 21 | what Mr. Wilwert said. I hate being here with the
- 22 | families that had to go through this, so I just want to
- 23 say I am sorry for them.
- 24 CDR MULLER: Thank you. So Mr. Hardin you are
- 25 | now released as a witness at this Marine Board of

```
1
   Investigation, thank you for your testimony and
   cooperation.
2
              If I later determine that this Board needs
3
   additional information from you, I will contact you. If
4
5
   you have any questions about this investigation, you can
   contact the Marine Board Recorder LCDR Mendoza. Thank
6
   you again.
7
              THE WITNESS/MR. HARDIN: Thanks.
8
9
              CDR MULLER: This concludes our witnesses for
   today. We will recess until tomorrow morning and resume
10
   at nine o'clock. Thank you and good evening.
11
         (At 1540 off the record.)
12
13
14
                 (The proceeding then concluded.)
15
16
```

CERTIFICATION

This certificate is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original required signature on this page.

I hereby certify that the proceedings in the matter of Marine Board Investigation of the F/V Destination, heard in the United States Coast Guard Thirteenth District, Seattle Washington, Tuesday August 15, 2017, were recorded by means of audiotape.

I further certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, page numbers one to one hundred eighty-six constitute a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings as transcribed by me.

I further certify that I am neither a relative to nor an employee of any attorney or party herein, and that I have no interest in the outcome of this case.

In witness whereof, I have affixed my signature this $10^{\,\mathrm{th}}$ day of October, 2017.

Sally S. Gessner, Court Reporter