1	United States Coast Guard
2	Marine Board of Investigation
3	Formal Hearing
4	Fishing Vessel Destination
5	
6	Henry M. Jackson Federal Building
7	U.S. Coast Guard Thirteenth District
8	915 Second Avenue
9	Seattle, Washington 98174
LO	August 7, 2017 - August 17, 2017
11	
12	REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13	(VOLUME I of IX)
L 4	
L 5	DATE TAKEN: Monday, August 7, 2017
L 6	TIME: 9:00 a.m 3:15 p.m.
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY:
23	Jeannie A. Milio, RPR
2 4	Official Court Reporter Administrative Law Judge Office
25	Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022

1	APPEARANCES
2	UNITED STATES COAST GUARD
3	MARINE BOARD OF INVESTIGATION PANEL MEMBERS
4	
5	COMMANDER SCOTT W. MULLER, CHAIRMAN
6	Fifth Coast Guard District Inspections and Investigations Branch (dpi)
7	431 Crawford Street Portsmouth, Virginia
8	MR. JAMES GILLETTE, MARINE BOARD MEMBER
9	Investigations NCOE 1615 Poydras Street, STE 1030
10	New Orleans, LA 70112
11	LCDR PEDRO L. MENDOZA, MARINE BOARD RECORDER COMDT, CG-INV-1
12	2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, SE Stop 7501
13	Washington, DC. 20593-7501
14	TECHNICAL ADVISORS: COMMANDER TAMARA S. WALLEN, MARINE BOARD LEGAL ADVISOR
15	Coast Guard Island Building 51-6
16	Alameda, CA 94501-5100
17	MR. SCOTT J. GIARD
18	Rescue Coordination Center District 13 Command Center Henry M. Jackson Building
19	915 2nd Avenue Seattle, WA 98174
20	LCDR RANDY L. PRESTON
21	Investigations NCOE 1615 Poydras Street, STE 1030
22	New Orleans, LA 70112
23	YN1 CAITLIN K. CALVERT
24	Seventeenth Coast Guard District Legal Office
25	P.O. Box 25517 Juneau, AK 99801-5517
ر ک	ouneau, AR 99001 3317

1	APPEARANCES (continued.)
2	NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
3	MARINE BOARD INVESTIGATION PANEL MEMBERS
4	
5	MR. MICHAEL KARR, INVESTIGATOR-IN-CHARGE
6	Office of Marine Safety 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, SW
7	Washington, DC 20594-2000
8	
9	APPEARANCES ON BEHALF OF PARTIES IN INTEREST
10	ON BEHALF OF VESSEL OWNER DAVID L. WILSON SVETLANA P. SPIVAK, ESQUIRE
11	Law Offices of Holmes, Weddle & Barcott 999 Third Avenue, Suite 2600
12	Seattle, WA 98104
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		Page
3	OPENING STATEMENTS	
4	By CDR Muller	I-5
5	By Mr. Karr	I-11
6	MARINE BOARD SWORN	I-12
7		
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID WILSON	
9	By Mr. Gillette	I-22
10	By CDR Muller	I-38
11	By Mr. Karr	I-41
12	By Mr. Gillette	I-44
13	By Mr. Karr	I-62
14	By CDR Muller	I-63
15	By Mr. Gillette	I-66
16	BY Mr. Karr	I-87
17	By CDR Muller	I-89
18	BY Mr. Karr	I-97
19	By Mr. Gillette	I-98
20	By Mr. Karr	I-105
21	By Mr. Gillette	I-107
22	BY Mr. Karr	I-113
23	By Mr. Gillette	I-115
24	By CDR Muller	I-119
25		

PROCEEDINGS

2.4

OPENING STATEMENT

CDR MULLER: Good morning ladies and gentlemen. This hearing will come to order. Today is August 7, 2017 and the time is 9:00. We are at the U.S. Coast Guard Thirteenth District, Seattle, Washington.

Coast Guard, Chief of The Inspections and
Investigations Division, Fifth Coast Guard District,
Portsmouth, Virginia. I'm the Chairman of the Coast
Guard Marine Board of Investigation and the Presiding
Officer over these proceedings. The Commandant of the
United States Coast Guard has convened this board
under the authority of Title 46 United States Code,
Section 6301 and Title 46, Code of Federal
Regulations, Part 4, to investigate the circumstances
surrounding the sinking of the fishing vessel
Destination, with the loss of six lives, on
February 11th, 2017 approximately three miles north of
St. George Island, Alaska.

We would like to take this opportunity to express our condolences to the family and friends of the six crew members who were lost at sea.

Other than myself, the members of this board

include Mr. James Gillette.

2.4

The legal counsel for this board is Commander Tamara Wallen.

The recorder is Lieutenant Commander Pedro Mendoza.

Coast Guard technical advisors to this board are Mr. Scott Giard and Lieutenant Commander Randy Preston.

Our admin assistant is YN1 Calvert.

The board's Media Liaison is Ms. Alana Miller.

Upon completion of the investigation, this Marine Board will submit its report of findings, conclusions and recommendations to the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard.

The National Transportation Safety Board is participating in this hearing. Mr. Michael Karr,

Investigator in Charge of the NTSB's Fishing Vessel

Destination investigation is seated to my left.

The NTSB is also charged with the responsibility of determining the cause or probable cause of a major casualty under the provisions of section 304(a)(1)(E) of the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974.

For this reason, NTSB representative will

participate fully in this hearing. NTSB may make recommendations about the scope of the hearings, call and examine witnesses, and submit or request additional evidence.

2.4

I would like to request the cooperation of all persons present to minimize any disruptive influence on the proceedings in general and on the witnesses in particular. Witnesses are appearing before the Board to provide valuable information that will assist this investigation. We request that all members of the public be courteous to the witnesses and respect their right to privacy.

I ask that you silence all cell phones at this time, and please exit the hearing room to make or receive phone calls. With the exception of one pool camera, photography, including television cameras will only be permitted during this opening statement and during recess periods. The members of the press are welcome. An area has been set aside for your use during the proceedings. The news media may question witnesses concerning the testimony they have provided here, but only after I have released them from these proceedings. I ask that any such interviews be conducted outside this room.

The investigation will determine as closely

as possible:

2.4

* The factors that contributed to the incident in order to develop recommendations aimed at preventing similar casualties;

- * Whether there is evidence that any act of misconduct, inattention to duty, negligence or willful violation of the law on the part of any licensed or certificated person contributed to the casualty; and
- * Whether there is evidence that any Coast Guard personnel or any representative or employee of any other government agency or any other person caused or contributed to the casualty.

This Marine Board has planned for at least one hearing session. The purpose of this hearing is to collect factual information. The Marine Board will use the factual information when developing its report of findings, conclusions and recommendations.

This session will focus on:

- * The vessel's construction, stability and maintenance history
- * The vessel's regulatory compliance and safety examinations
 - * Crew member duties and qualifications
- * Pre-accident events, operations and voyage track

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

10

1213

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

25

* Environmental factors, including forecasted and prevailing weather and sea state

* The Coast Guard's Search and Rescue operations

* Industry and Regulatory Safety Programs

* Survey Imagery of the sunken vessel

The Coast Guard has designated one Party In Interest to this investigation. In Coast Guard Marine Casualty Investigations, a Party In Interest is an individual, organization, or other entity that, under the existing evidence or because of his or her position may have been responsible for or contributed to the casualty. A Party In Interest may also be an individual, organization or other entity having a direct interest in the investigation and demonstrating the potential for contributing significantly to the investigation or otherwise enhancing the safety of life and property at sea through participation as Party In Interest. All Parties In Interest have a statutory right to employ counsel to represent them, to cross-examine witnesses and to have witnesses called on their behalf.

Witnesses who are not designated as Parties
In Interest may be assisted by counsel for the purpose
of advising them concerning their rights; however,

such counsel are not permitted to examine or cross-examine other witnesses or otherwise participate in the investigation.

2.4

The Marine Board has previously designated Mr. David Wilson as a Party In Interest. I ask that counsel announce their appearance on behalf of their client.

MS. SPIVAK: Svetlana Spivak on behalf of Party In Interest, David Wilson.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Ms. Spivak.

The Marine Board will place all witnesses under oath. When testifying under oath, a witness is subject to the federal laws and penalties for perjury for making false statements under Title 18, United States Code, section 1001. Penalties include a fine up to \$250,000-dollar or imprisonment up to five years or both.

The sources of information into which this investigation will inquire are many and varied. Since the date of the casualty, the NTSB and Coast Guard have conducted substantial evidence collection activities, and some of that previously collected evidence will be considered during these hearings. Should any person have or believe he or she has information not brought forward, but which might be of

direct significance, that person is urged to bring that information to my attention by emailing FVDestination@uscq.mil.

2.4

Mr. Michael Karr will now say a few words on behalf of the NTSB.

MR. KARR: Good morning. I'm Michael Karr.

I'm the Investigator-In-Charge for the National

Transportation Safety Board for this investigation.

The Safety Board is an independent federal agency

which under the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974

is required to determine the cause or probable cause

of this accident, to issue a report of facts,

conditions and circumstances related to the accident,

and to make recommendations for measures to prevent

similar accidents.

The Safety Board has joined this hearing to avoid duplicating the development of facts.

Nevertheless, I do wish to point out that this does not preclude the Safety Board from developing additional information separately from this proceeding if that becomes necessary.

At the conclusion of this hearing, the Safety Board will analyze the facts of this accident, and determine the probable cause independently of the Coast Guard. At a future date a separate report of

the Safety Board's findings will be issued that will include our official determination of the probable cause of the accident. If appropriate, the Safety Board will issue recommendations to correct safety problems discovered during this investigation. And we may make these, some of these recommendations, in advance of our final report. Thank you.

2.4

LCDR MENDOZA: The Marine Board will now take the oath.

Place stand and raise your right hand.

(Recorder swears in the Board.)

LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated.

CDR MULLER: This concludes the opening statement. At this time I would like to ask that all present stand for a moment of silence in respect to those persons who have been lost at sea as a result of this casualty.

(Moment of silence.)

CDR MULLER: Thank you. We will now take a 15-minute recess before presenting a factual exhibit and calling the first witness, Mr. David Wilson.

Owner of the Fishing Vessel Destination.

The Board is now in recess.

(Brief recess.)

Presentation of Exhibit by Alana Miller

MS. MILLER: Thank you for joining us and welcome to the United States Coast Guard Commandant's Marine Board of Investigation Formal Hearing held here in Seattle, Washington.

2.4

Over the next two weeks, this hearing will investigate the sinking of the fishing vessel

Destination with the loss of six lives on

February 11th, 2017 approximately three nautical miles north of St. George Island, Alaska.

This hearing is conducted with the full participation of the National Transportation Safety Board.

On February 11th, 2017 at approximately 6:15 a.m. Alaska time. The Command Center for the Coast Guard District 17 received a 406 MHz EPIRB Distress Alert transmitting in the vicinity of St. George Island in the Bering Sea. Coast Guard watch standers identified the EPIRD as register to the fishing vessel Destination and confirmed with the vessel owner that the vessel was scheduled to transit the area with six crew members. Attempts to hail the vessel were unsuccessful. D17 launched search and rescue efforts including aircraft and cutters.

By mid-day Coast Guard aircrews and a good Samaritan vessel located a debris field in the general

area of the EPIRB alert. Collected debris included the transmitting EPIRB, a life ring from the vessel, Buoys, tarps, and an oil sheen.

2.4

After three days of search and rescue operations the Coast Guard suspended active searches for the Destination. No trace of the life raft or crew were found.

Sector Anchorage initiated a preliminary marine casualty investigation and informed Coast Guard headquarters on 17 February 2017 the Coast Guard Commandant convened a Marine Board of Investigation into the loss of the fishing vessel Destination.

The Destination was a U.S. flag steel hull commercial fishing vessel operated by fishing vessel Destination, Incorporated. The vessel was originally constructed in 1981 as a eighty-one foot vessel called the Compass Rose, and later lengthened and widened in 1993 to its existing length of 98.6 feet.

As a commercial fishing vessel, the

Destination was subject to Coast Guard regulation 46

C.F.R. Subchapter C requirements for commercial

fishing industry vessels. Commercial fishing vessels

are not required to be inspected by the Coast Guard

for issuance of a Certificate of Inspection. However,

these vessels were encouraged to voluntarily complete

Coast Guard Dockside Safety Exams and receive a Safety Decal. Per the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010 and the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2012 after October 2015 commercial fishing vessels operating beyond three nautical miles from shore are required to complete these dockside Safety Exams.

2.4

Since 1995, the Destination held a valid Coast Guard Safety Decal, consistently completing a voluntary dockside Safety Exam every two years.

As a self-propelled, seagoing documented vessel of less than 200 gross tons, the Destination was not required to be under the command of a Coast Guard licensed master.

This profile view of the Destination shows the general arrangement depicting the vessel's three holds that can be filled with sea water to hold its catch, as well as bait, freezers for bait, ballast tanks, cargo/gear, and fuel, lube and fresh water tanks.

In February the fishing vessel Destination completed Cod fishing, and while in Sand Point, converted its crab pots for Opilio season.

On February 8th at 10:00 a.m., the
Destination departed Sand Point with approximately 200
crab pots onboard. Later that evening the Destination

arrived in King Cove at 7:00 p.m. While there, the crew loaded 3,000 pounds of sardine bait from Peter Pan Seafoods, and also completed an ADF&G Opilio Certification exam for the 200 pots onboard, the vessel departed King Cove at 10:00 p.m. enroute to Dutch Harbor.

2.4

On February 9, at 7:00 p.m., the Destination arrived into Dutch Harbor and moored at the Kloosterbor Facility to load 7,000 pounds of squid bait. At 7:30 the vessel shifted berths to nearby Trident Seafoods facility, and then later departed at 11:15 p.m. enroute to St. Paul.

During the voyage to St. Paul, it appears on two separate occasions, the Destination jogged into the seas, meaning it headed into the wind and slowed down. The first occasion was on 10 February at 1:30 p.m. to 1:50 p.m. and the second was later that same evening from 10:10 p.m. to 10:50. At approximately 5:00 a.m. on February 11, the Destination crossed onto the western sides of St. George Island.

This picture was taken by a crew member of a vessel moored next to the Destination shortly after Destination moored at the Kloosterbor facility in Dutch Harbor.

The second picture was also taken by a crew
member of a vessel moored next to the Destination
shortly after it moored at the Kloosterbor facility in

Dutch Harbor.

2.4

AIS track line of the Destination as it passed along the western side of St. George is depicted on this graphic during the morning of February 11th.

At 6:14, the fishing vessel Destination's AIS stops transmitting.

This line depicts the preliminary weather forecast data for February 9th, 2017 showing heavy freezing spray and a small craft advisory.

PAPB reported winds from the northeast at 23 knots with peak gusts to 34 knots, light snow and a temperature of -8° C, and a broken cloud ceiling at 2,000 feet above ground level. The closest official marine site reported water temperatures of -.6° C to -.7° C. And closest wave data site (Buoy 46073) reported waves coming from the northeast with significant wave heights between 3.7 and 4.6 meters or 10 to 12 feet.

Thank you for your attention.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mrs. Miller.

Just for the record, that was MBI

Exhibit 001. 1 We will now hear testimony from Mr. David 2 3 Wilson, owner of the fishing vessel Destination. Mr. Wilson, please come forward to the 4 5 witness table and Lieutenant Commander Mendoza will 6 administer your oath and ask you some preliminary questions. 7 LCDR MENDOZA: Please raise your right hand. 8 9 DAVID WILSON, A witness produced on call of the Coast 10 11 Guard, having first been duly sworn, was examined and 12 testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. 13 LCDR MENDOZA: Please be seated. 14 15 Please state your full name and spell your last name for the record. 16 17 THE WITNESS: David Wilson, and W-I-L-S-O-N. LCDR MENDOZA: Please identify your counsel. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Svetlana. 20 MS. SPIVAK: Svetlana Spivak with Holmes, 21 Weddle, Barcott. 22 LCDR MENDOZA: State your current employment 23 and position title. 2.4 THE WITNESS: Say that again. 25 LCDR MENDOZA: State your current employment

and position title, sir. 1 2 THE WITNESS: I'm employed by F/V 3 Destination, Inc. as manager and owner. LCDR MENDOZA: Please tell us about your 4 5 general responsibilities in your current position. 6 THE WITNESS: My responsibilities are, you 7 know, first the environment. I've never been in a 8 situation like this. But as manager I do, like I say, 9 first of all safety was one of the things, you know, 10 make sure life rafts, the COD was current on the boat, 11 federal fishing permits, state fishing permits and a 12 lot of inspecting the paperwork. LCDR MENDOZA: Please share any prior 13 relevant work history you have in this field. 14 15 THE WITNESS: What? Say that again, please. 16 LCDR MENDOZA: Please share any prior 17 relevant work history. 18 Any history as this? THE WITNESS: 19 LCDR MENDOZA: In this field. 20 MS. WALLEN: In the fishing industry. 21 THE WITNESS: In the investigative type 22 field like this, I don't have any experience. 23 No, David, your work history. MS. SPIVAK: 2.4 Your work history in the fishing industry. 25

THE WITNESS: Oh. My work history is

extensive. I'm 68 years old and I did start fishing 1 2 when I was eight years old in the summer. Just about 3 every year since then until about '93, '92/'93 I didn't go out on the boats anymore. I took more of a 4 5 management/owner position. Could you be more specific in what you mean 6 7 by my experience. I've run cod boats, pot boats, 8 salmon boats. Is that what you mean? 9 LCDR MENDOZA: Very well. Thank you. 10 What is your highest level of education 11 completed? 12 THE WITNESS: I'm having a hard time with 13 the speaker system, but --14 LCDR MENDOZA: Your highest level of 15 education completed. THE WITNESS: Education? 16 17 LCDR MENDOZA: Correct. 18 THE WITNESS: Eighth grade. It's 19 embarrassing to say. Now I did go to high school, but I never finished. 20 21 LCDR MENDOZA: Do you hold any professional 22 licenses or certificates? 23 THE WITNESS: In the fishing business? 2.4 LCDR MENDOZA: Correct. 25 THE WITNESS: No.

LCDR MENDOZA: Thank you, sir.

2.4

CDR MULLER: This is Commander Muller.

Good morning, again, Mr. Wilson. Pleasure to see you again.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

CDR MULLER: We last met back in March when we conducted our preliminary investigation. So thank you for your testimony today.

Can you hear me okay with this?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. I hear you better.

CDR MULLER: So before we get started,

Mr. Gillette will be asking a number -- a series of
questions through the remainder of the day with you.

So we have that agenda established. But before we get
stared on that, if you'd like to take the opportunity
to express anything you feel appropriate, we can take
that now.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I would like to thank the Coast Guard for their valiant effort in trying to find survivors and I understand it was a three-day deal, and I think it was above and beyond the call the duty, and also to thank you in continuing to determine the cause of the sinking of the Destination.

My brother and my sons are fishing right now as we speak in Alaska, and I would never want to see

their names or anyone else's names in a hearing like this.

The loss of my friends, Jeff and Larry, along with the exceptional crew members on the Destination is a reality that haunts me every day.

I'm hopeful that the investigation would result in discovering the cause of the Destination sinking. The crew along with their family and friends will forever be in my thoughts and prayers.

Thank you.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

We will now turn to Mr. Gillette, who will continue with the questions.

MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Commander.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GILLETTE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Wilson.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. My name is James Gillette with the United States Coast Guard.

Today we will explore six broad topics that include the overview of management and policies, safety equipment, engineering, stability, weather, and accident voyage.

After we finish the Coast Guard initial

questions for the specific broad topics, the NTSB will conduct questions in that area before we move on to other topic areas.

2.4

If at any time you don't understand a question that is asked, just request that the question be repeated or rephrased. If at any time during your testimony you feel that you need to take a recess, just ask.

Also remember to speak loud and clear into the microphone to assist the court reporter to transcribe the hearing.

With that said, I would like to start asking questions.

I know some of the questions were asked in the beginning by our recorder, but I would like to, kind of, ask you some of the ones again. I'd like to start with how long you've been working in the fishing industry and what positions you've held over the years.

A. Again, I started when I was eight years old in the summertime salmon fishing, and as I grew older by my early 20s I had my own boat. I have run several boats in the Bering Sea and in the golf of Alaska in the salmon fishing industry, and cod boats.

Would you like specific, I guess I can go by

memory and think of some of the boats I've run. I started fishing in the Bering Sea with my brother on the Mary Jane in the late '60s. By the early '70s I was running a crab boat named the Sea Hawk and by '77 my brother and I bought a boat called the Jupiter.

After that we had bought in '81 -- we lost that to a grounding in '81 and then in '81 we bought a boat called the Keta, which I ran for several years. Keta was the boat we brought in '81, and I was the Captain on that. I rotated with my older brother as Captain. We were both owners of it. Still have that boat.

2.4

In '85 we bought the Destination, as you described what it was, and I was the main Captain, manager on that boat. My brother did run it for short periods of time. By '92 I had got involved in the shipyard and had the boat extended and widened, a lot of new machinery, hydraulics, engines.

And I had another boat in-between that time from '89 until I sold it in '05 called the Silent Lady, and I sold that to a buyback program we had in the crab business. I was a part-time Captain on it and the manager/owner of it.

I have another called the Lady Joanne than my son runs and he's fishing today. I think they're fishing salmon today.

- Q. Mr. Wilson, can you speak up, just a little bit, please?
- A. Am I not close enough to the mic? Is that better?
- Q. I think you're close enough to the mic.

 Just if you could speak a little louder.
 - A. Okay. Did you get my previous, though?
 - Q. I think we're fine with what you've said.
 - A. Okay.

2.4

- Q. Just speak a little louder. Thank you.
- A. So I think that brings me up to, I guess, you might say my mariner experiences and management experience and owner experience.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

When you purchased the Destination, why did you choose Sand Point, Alaska as its home port?

- A. That's where I live, in Sand Point, Alaska. My boats are registered out of Sand Point and a home port. I was born and raised very close. Raised in Sand Point. Born in a place called Unga, which was seven or eight miles away.
- Q. Since you've owned the Destination, about how much time have you spent onboard?
- A. You know, I haven't spent any time on the boat after it was lengthened and widened. I think I

made the maiden voyage from Seattle to Sand Point, the very first year it was done to help the Captain get a feel for the boat at that time. It wasn't Jeff at that particular time. It was a guy named Jarl Kanootzen (phonetic).

Q. When you were not onboard, how did you communicate with the Captain and how often?

2.4

- A. Part of it was, you know, a lot depended on where he was. If he was in town, he would call me, occasionally. No specific time. We had email capability on the boat. Two sat phones on the boat. Communication was another item called an inReach, which I think you have records of some conversations on there.
- Q. How do you procure supplies and equipment for the board?
- A. Most of that was done by the Captain and the crew. They would order, for example, if it was groceries from a place in Seattle a QFC store or some other, Costco sometimes. And when they ordered it, I would just -- I would be the person paying the bill.
- Q. Okay. Did you provide any verbal or written policies that may have been found on the mess deck or bridge? Can you speak of a few of those?
 - A. Written, I know we had wheel watch duty

posted. There was a lot of placards. I couldn't tell you all, but they were posted. As you guys in the Coast Guard know, garbage disposal and records, reporting of injuries within seven days. I couldn't repeat all of those right now.

2.4

- Q. Can you share with us, how you work with the Captain to ensure those policies were being followed?
- A. I would go and ask him and he would answer and say, you know, is the COD correct? And he would look at -- I suppose he would look at the paperwork and say, yes, it is.
- Q. Can you talk to us about the working hours of the Captain and the crew. And do you have any verbal or written policies onboard to reduce crew fatigue?
- A. No. We just left that, of course, up to the Captain and crew.
- Q. Is there any differences in work hours between cod fishing and crab fishing? Can you explain why?
- A. I think since the crab quota system was started, I think the crab fishing was probably less hours. But, again, I don't monitor hourly, you know, time fishing or whatever, I did not monitor that.
 - Q. Okay. Can you talk to us about your hiring

process of Captain Hathaway?

2.4

- A. Hiring his crew?
- Q. Yes. How Captain Hathaway was hired. How did you hire Captain Hathaway?
- A. Oh, so back in '93 he called me for a job and we talked about his past experience. He was -- he had run much larger boats than the Destination, and told me that he had Captain's license, you know. I'm going by distant memory now. So we talked about his experience level and what he wanted to do and he wanted to run that boat. And I did hire him shortly after an interview.
- Q. Was Captain Hathaway given any written or verbal expectations or responsibilities that you expected him to follow to keep employment with you?
- A. We had a couple and it was verbal. I didn't write anything down, but I do have one important deal, there was no drugs or drinking on the boat. I just remember always talking about that.
- Q. Did you ever provide Captain Hathaway any training opportunities to attend third-party training courses or online courses and, if so, can you talk a little about that?
- A. I did not provide any. I know they were -- he, being the Captain, he would go do something to

keep his license up, which he, by the way, wasn't required to have a license on this boat, but he did things in keeping that license, I guess.

- Q. Okay. Do you know if he attended any training on stability?
 - A. I do not know.

2.4

- Q. Okay. I would like to talk to you a little about the hiring of the crew that worked for Captain Hathaway. Can you share with us the process of hiring the crew?
- A. Jeff would hire the crew and Larry would O'Grady at times would if it was in the summer, they would tell me about a crew member. Our policy then was to -- we would get their Social Security number, and do a background check through Coastal Marine Fund or the Polaris Group. Also have to make a -- some paperwork to the IRS and to I think I got the name wrong, child protection or child support division and get a clearance on things like that.

And that's what I would do would be that part. And that reminds me, of course the signing of the contract that he would do.

Q. Okay. What you see in front of you is Exhibit 155, page 34. It is a contract for an employment.

1 A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. As a crew member this one happens to be Captain Hathaway.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you explain to us what this contract for employment as crew member means to you, and when are you required to receive it?
- A. Repeat the last thing. When am I required to what?
 - Q. To receive it?
 - A. Receive the contract?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Well, I'd like to have it in my possession via fax or email before the boat goes out.
 - Q. Is that for every fishing season?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Can they leave out for the fishing season without having a contract in?
 - A. No.
 - Q. No?
 - A. Well, not in my opinion. I mean, it may have happened at one time. I don't think so, though, but no, that was a thing we always talked about was having the contracts in my possession.
- 25 Q. Okay. Can you, kind of, go through, kind

of, the sections that are on your -- on the contract.

Kind of briefly discuss, like, each section. You know what that means to you.

2.4

A. Sure. It would have their name and Social Security number. I see this one doesn't have it, but a lot of times we would have their license number. We wanted to be sure that they had an ADF&G license in possession. And at times it would be on here, and I'm looking on this one. You know, Jeff was with me 23 years so I trusted that he was being sure that the crew all had their fishing license. We've never had an incident where no one went on the boat without one?

Where do you -- you know, I can say that the contract was between the Destination and Jeff Hathaway. It's for the Opilio season. It names the percentage that they will get paid. They initial, it's pretty self-explanatory, I mean, what it says there. They initial that they have read paragraphs, they initial that they're fit for duty. Then they give us contact information. Date of signing.

- Q. Does the crew pay for the food and the fuel?
- A. A percentage. We take the food and the fuel in this case off the top.
- Q. You also have a section on there for drugs and alcohol. Can you explain your policy on those?

A. Well. It spells it out pretty good. There's no drugs allowed on the boat except prescription drugs.

2.4

- Q. Okay. And what is your policy on alcohol?
- A. That was never written in the contract, but it was no drinking or alcohol allowed on the boat.
- Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wilson. I would now like to slightly shift the focus to the crabbing operations onboard, and ask you if you could give us an understanding on how the Destination operates.

So if you would, can you please start by speaking about what it's like or what it was like in the Bering Sea and what was considered derby style prior to the creation of Crab Rationalization. Sort of a little history of what the difference was between the two.

A. Prior to 2005 it was known as an Olympics style system. So the fishing game would announce a quota for the whole fleet. And then it became a race to get your, you know, as much as you could of that. So it was a lot of, I would say, before rationalization, before '05, there was a lot more scrambling to be out there fishing. Any fishing even now is a hazard condition as we see every day in the wintertime, any time of year really. But it was more

so of a scramble back before '05. After rationalization there was time to plan a delivery. You know your crab quota amount before you started the season. And you have a long time to get it. The Olympics system for king crab, for example, lasted sometimes as short as five days. It might even be shorter, and the Opilio season in the winter would go seven to fourteen days. Very short compared to how it is after rationalization.

2.4

- Q. Can you speak of how Crab Rationalization has affected your business?
- A. It's made our business more stable. We can now talk about shipyard times with more -- confirming the date when the -- we'll catch our fish, when we'll go to a shipyard. We have a lot more leeway that way. The crew can know before the season starts what poundage we'll have to catch. So they can almost -- we don't know the price, of course, sometimes. We don't know what the market will be, but we know what -- before the season starts -- what we're going to catch in the crab fishery.
- Q. Can you explain the individual fishing quota?
- A. That's what I was explaining. So back when it started, they went by your vessel history. A very

long process of figuring out what each boat would have to catch and the Destination had X amount of pounds to catch and we knew that before the boat went out fishing.

- Q. Do you have any input on being able to raise or lower that quota? Can you, as the Destination owner, do you have any say so in raising or lowering that quota?
 - A. No.

- Q. Someone else decides that quota for you?
- A. I don't know of anyway to raise or lower the quota, no.
- Q. Is there any negative outcome from not making your quota and if so what would that be?
- A. We've never been involved in not making the quota. I don't know.
- Q. Is there any negative effects if you don't deliver a load to a cannery on a scheduled delivery time?
- A. Not on a time, but we have to deliver an X amount of pounds to a certain specified cannery by law.
- Q. How do you know that in advance which cannery you have to go to? Can you please explain a little more detail?

- Yeah. So every -- in this Crab 1 Α. 2 Rationalization program they come out with not only 3 IFQ for vessels, they also came out with an IPQ which was Individual Processor Quota, so they're guaranteed 4 5 to get X amount of pounds. So they will look at our pounds and say we are going to accept, there is called 6 7 a share match on the internet, I think, and they will 8 say, well, we'll take this many pounds from you. 9 I could be specific, like Trident Seafoods might say, 10 we can take 50 of those and Peter Pan might say, we 11 can take 50 percent, so they would accept the crab 12 quota. And we, after accepted that's our duty to take 13 that crab to that processor.
 - Q. What would happen, though, if you didn't show up on time? Do they put you on the back of the list or --

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. We have never -- oh, no. No. We have never had a situation, so I don't know.
- Q. I'd like to now show Exhibit 156, page 2. It is a fishing vessel Destination 2016 and 2017 timeline. Mr. Wilson, I would like to discuss this timeline that you provided us in March 2017. Can you explain to me who created this list? And how are the dates that are listed under each season determined?
 - A. So this must have been prepared by my

bookkeeper. Do you know?

Q. Okay.

2.4

- A. I'm looking at it and trying to -- repeat the question, so I understand what you're -- I mean this looks like what we do. These are approximate dates of what we do.
- Q. Are they approximate dates or accurate dates?
 - A. Let me look at this and tell you.
 - Q. Okay.

(Witness reviewing document.)

- A. These dates look accurate to me, yes. BY MR. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GILLETTE}}$
- Q. Okay. When looking at the 2016/2017 timeline, I notice the Destination fish for cod for 10 days in 2016 and 27 days in 2017. Can you share with us what would constitute a 17-day difference between cod season between 2016 and 2017?
- A. I don't know if I can. I can't remember that, maybe the quota. There could have been a couple of reasons for the shorter timeline in '16, maybe the quota was lower for cod or maybe the price wasn't good or Jeff would make those calls if he did quit before the quota was caught and I don't remember that year if it was because the quota was caught or if because the

fishing was so bad and the price was depressed.

2.4

- Q. So when you look at the timeline 2017, would that timeframe there, is that normally the timing for cod fishing during that season?
- A. Yes, I would say so. I do remember the '17, they caught the quota in the Bering Sea.
 - Q. Can you say that again, sir.
- A. In '17 of January there, they did catch the cod quota, the fleet did. So it was closed probably about the time they quit there.
- Q. Okay. All right. I'd like to now ask if the Destination got off to a late start for the 2017 Opilio crab season and if so can you speak about that?
- A. It was (inaudible) of course by Jeff and I to fish cod fish and no, I would not consider it a late start. We only had a couple -- one full load almost and two short trips to make. The reason they were shorter is because they had to go to two separate areas. We had to delivered some quota to St. Paul in the Pribilof and then the other two deliveries were going to be in Akutan and Peter Pan in King Cove.

 $\label{eq:sold_sold_sold_sold} \mbox{So Jeff talked about that and thought we had} \\ \mbox{a lot of time.}$

Q. Do you know what dates those were? Can you tell me what dates those were that you were supposed

to deliver?

2.4

- A. I don't have -- no, I don't know. We may be able to find some correspondence between Trident and Jeff or me, but I -- or my co-op, but I don't have that in front of me, the dates.
- Q. Okay. In your opinion has Captain Hathaway ever experienced stress for getting underway, for timeliness or schedule delivery dates?
 - A. No.

MR. GILLETTE: Commander, I have completed the questions on this topic for Mr. Wilson.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Gillette.

I just have one or two follow-up questions.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY CDR MULLER

BY CDR MULLER

- Q. Just regarding the IFQ delivery to a processor, I think Jeff used the term -- I'm sorry Jim used the term, "were you ever late," I think in other words, when would a vessel potentially be late in sending its catch to a particular processor? Are there particular due dates that are established by those processors and communicated to the fleet?
- A. There are dates that they set in as tentative dates, but I don't remember if we've ever made a tentative date. Jeff knew that very well that

if they said, for this example I don't know what the date was, but if it was say, February 20th, I'm not sure, but if it was, he knew that by calling the processor, he could extend that to his convenience.

- Q. Okay. The quotas that, the shares as I understand it, are owned by vessel operators or vessel owners?
 - A. Both.

2.4

- Q. Okay. Do you manage your own quotas or is there a mechanism where fishing vessel operators or managers/owners enter into some kind of consortium or cooperative exchange? Are you aware of those? And are you a member or participate in one?
- A. Yes, I do. I'm a member of the Alaska

 Fisherman's Crab Co-op and we do manage our own quota,
 but we don't have a say in an X amount of pounds that
 has to go, again, like I mentioned earlier to IPQ, and
 that is to a processor. So we have no -- that I know
 of anyway -- option of not doing that.

If we have dedicated 50,000 pounds to Peter Pan, that's where it has to go. But it's done before the season, so we do know where we're going before we start.

Q. Right. Okay. Just one additional follow up on my part here.

Earlier you mentioned one of your policies that you shared with the crew or with Jeff, the Captain, was no drinking on the boat.

A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. Can you just add a little clarification to this policy. Did the policy primarily focus on when the vessel was underway or was it permissible to have, say, for example, beer while in port or alcohol?
- A. I had a no drinking alcohol policy and I only, you know, I could not enforce that from Seattle or wherever I might have been, but I expected Jeff would do so.
- Q. Right. So in port if there was alcohol onboard while in port not underway, would that be a violation of your policy?
 - A. Yes, it would.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. We have had too many and you, as the Coast Guard are familiar, too many times when people have gone to and not even had alcohol on the boat, gone to a bar and came back and I even have a friend who lost a crew member while in port as he was trying to get aboard the vessel. So my policy was no drinking.

CDR MULLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

Now I'd like to ask if NTSB, Mr. Mike Karr if you have

any follow-up questions. 1 2 MR. KARR: Yeah. Hi, Mr. Wilson. 3 THE WITNESS: Hi. MR. KARR: I'm Mike Karr with the NTSB. 4 5 I'm going to ask a few questions, but as I'm asking the first one, could we bring up Exhibit 1, page 8 for 6 7 the second question I'm going to ask. 8 CDR MULLER: Is your mic on, Mike? It needs 9 to be green. 10 MR. KARR: Okay. I'm now green. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. KARR 13 Mr. Wilson, you referred to the wheel watch duty --14 15 Yes. Α. 16 Q. -- policy that was posted. 17 Do you have a copy of that in your office 18 that we can obtain? 19 I have it. There's one right here in this Α. 20 folder. 21 Q. Oh, good. At the next break, I will take a 22 look at that. 23 And now, so you don't have to worry about

that now. We'll catch up with you on the break on

that because I've got a couple of questions on that.

24

1 A. Okay.

2.4

- Q. But now I'm looking at the map of the voyage that it took, that the Destination took. So did you receive any phone calls or contacts with the Captain or anyone else onboard the vessel during any of those voyages?
- A. I guess you're calling that one voyage, though, from Sand Point to St. George, right?
 - Q. Correct.
- A. That voyage, the only time I spoke to Jeff was when he was in Dutch Harbor. He got in there whenever it was there and then he was going to leave that afternoon; although, I think they ended up leaving later, but they were getting a gasket for an exhaust flask and they were tightening up the stuffing box on the shaft. So that was on Thursday I spoke to him.
- Q. During that conversation, did Jeff -- can you tell me about any conversation you had with Jeff about anything else about how the trip was going, any description that Jeff might have given you over the phone or by email about the voyage?
 - A. No.
- Q. Could you, based on your experience, based on your experience as the owner, when they were in

Sand Point could you tell me what the crew would have been doing, you know, would all of the crew have been up and about? Would some of the crew been up and about?

- A. I would think the Captain and all of the crew, they would all be up and about in Sand Point converting the cod pots to crab pots.
- Q. And then how about when they left Sand Point and started to sail for King Cove, while underway would everyone be up and about?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Based on your experience. I mean I know you don't know, but based on your experience of this entire voyage?
- A. We would have a wheel watch duty. You would have to have had at least one person steering the boat. That would be my experience.

MR. KARR: One moment, Mr. Wilson.

BY MR. KARR

2.4

- Q. And on this voyage, was there any urgency?

 Do you know of any urgency for the vessel to sail for the Pribilof Islands?
 - A. No.

MR. KARR: All right. Thanks. That's all of the questions I have.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, NTSB. 1 Now for the Parties In Interest. 2 3 Ms. Spivak, do you have any questions on this section? MS. SPIVAK: No questions, sir. 4 5 CDR MULLER: Okay. Well, I suggest we 6 continue on to the next section of questions. 7 Mr. Gillette. Thank you, Commander. 8 MR. GILLETTE: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing) 10 BY MR. GILLETTE: Mr. Wilson, I would now like to address 11 0. 12 life-saving equipment and training provided to the 13 crew aboard the Destination. I'd like to show Exhibit 155, page 31. 14 15 is fishing vessel Destination safety meeting signed by the Captain and the crew on December 30th, 2016. 16 17 Do you recognize this document? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Who created this document? 20 Α. I did. 21 Have you ever been present while one of the Q. 22 safety meetings on the Destination was being performed

and if so can you tell us about what details in the

No, I've never been there. The Captain

23

2.4

25

safety meeting?

would be doing that.

2.4

- Q. The first line in the safety meeting form says, I hereby state that I've been shown the location and operation of survival suits, life rafts, EPIRB, flares, lifesling, general alarm and all other emergency related items. Can you tell us what all of the other emergency related items are?
- A. I can think of one now would be the general alarm switch, where it was located. I made this up, I'll say that probably, you look through the fleet you won't see too much of this, but I had to make this up so that I was assured that Jeff was doing the safety drills, going over a lot of safe talking.

I'm looking here to see if they have, you know, switches for where the -- when I say other, there's a lot of others, testing the bilge alarms, testing the bilge pumps to make sure they work?

So Jeff knew that and I did not enter every single item so that's why I put all other there.

Q. Okay. The form also says in addition, discussions and drills have taken place including not limited to general alarm, fire, flooding, abandoning ship, the man overboard procedures, what are your requirements on the Destination for completing the drills and how were they logged?

- A. They were logged as you see them. And it
 was my assurance from the Captain that he was actually
 doing all of this and having the safety meeting with
 all the crew members. If there was one guy missing I
 - Q. Do you require the Captain to send in a copy of the logs to indicate when the drills are done?
 - A. Repeat that or rephrase it.

don't think he would sign that form.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Yes. Did you require the Captain to send you this form after the completion of the safety meeting?
- A. Yes, I do. I like to see it and know. I usually have him fax it to me, so I could be assured that those safety items were discussed.
- Q. Did you have any requirement that they do this for fishing seasons or what's your requirement, every few months?
- A. I like to have it before each season starts or a new crew member comes onboard.
- Q. Can you tell us how many emergent suits you had onboard?
 - A. How many what?
- Q. Emergent suits were onboard and where they were located.
 - A. They were located in the wheelhouse in the

cabinet door marked emergent suits/survival suits.

There was a minimum of six because I think there was one extra one they used for training, and it was, we had to mark it as a training only, so somebody wouldn't in an emergency put it on.

- Q. Did you require your Captain and crew to test the emergent suits in the water, and if so when was the last time they were tested?
- A. That's part of the monthly drill testing all of the survival gear, yes.
 - Q. How do you know that was completed?
- A. Well, I would look at this form, the safety meeting form.
- Q. Okay. But every safety meeting, did you require them to put on the emergent suit and test it in the water or --
- A. You know, I'm not familiar what the points of a drill instructor are, but they have their points they go over and Jeff was a drill instructor. Larry, I think was, but we always had someone on the boat that was a drill instructor.
- Q. Okay. You rely on the Captain and crew to ensure that these are done?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

Q. Okay. Can you tell us where the Emergency

Position-Indicating Radio Beacon, better known as the EPIRB are located?

- A. Where that EPIRB was located on the boat?
- Q. Yes.

2.4

- A. I think it was, of course, outside behind the wheelhouse window and I think it was on the starboard side.
- Q. Can you explain how the EPIRB release mechanism worked?
- A. Yes. When it gets submerged to X amount of feet, I want to quote eight, but I'm not sure if it gets underwater for a certain amount of time at a certain depth there is a line that gets cut and it releases it out of its holder to float to the surface and activate.
- Q. Is the EPIRB located in a position where it could be taken out of the holder easily without using the hydrostatic release?
 - A. Yes, I think they can reach it.
- Q. Were the safety inspections up to date on the EPIRB's hydrostatic release and if they were how were you aware of that?
- A. I have a company named Marine Safety that inspects them, gives us the new releases, makes sure the battery's good and the other thing we have to do

is be sure it's registered with NOAA. And yes, they were all up to date. To my knowledge they were all up to date.

- Q. Okay. Can you tell us where the Destination life raft was located?
- A. Yes. It was outside on the port side behind the wheelhouse windows.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to show Exhibit 151, page
 29. This is an undated photo of the starboard side of
 the fishing vessel Destination.
- A. Yes. I can see, I think I can see the life raft on the port side. See there right in front of the mast.
- Q. On that picture, is that where you were speaking of? That's where it was located?
- A. Yeah, behind the wheelhouse window. You see the windows there.
- Q. Can you talk a little about why you choose to put the life raft in that location as opposed to maybe on top of the wheelhouse or anywhere else?
- A. We thought it would be more protected from icing.
- Q. Okay. Why would that protect more against icing?
 - A. Well, a boat generally makes ice while it's

making forward and spray is generally coming from the 1 2 bow. If you look at that and see where I'm saying the 3 life raft is, you will see that that raised portion of the wheelhouse would protect it from freezing spray. 4 5 Were the safety inspections up to date on 0. the life raft hydrostatic releases as well? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 And then once again, how were you aware of 9 that? 10 Α. We have to have a dated, again, that would 11 be Jeff or the crew looking at the dates, but I'm 12 pretty certain I have a bill showing that it was done 13 from Marine Safety who inspects the life raft. 14 MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Mr. Wilson. 15 Commander, I have completed the questions on 16 that topic. 17 CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Gillette. 18 NTSB, Mr. Karr. 19 MR. KARR: I have no questions. 20 CDR MULLER: Thank you, NTSB. 21 PII? 22 MS. SPIVAK: Nothing further. 23 CDR MULLER: Nothing? Okay.

I have nothing further. I recommend we take

a 15-minute recess and we will reconvene in 15

2.4

minutes. Thank you.

2.4

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

CDR MULLER: Good morning. This hearing will now come to order.

Mr. Gillette, if you can continue on to the next section of questions for Mr. Wilson.

MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Commander.

BY MR. GILLETTE

- Q. Mr. Wilson, I would now like to focus on engineering maintenance and repairs aboard the Destination. Can you start by telling us about whom onboard owns the responsibility of maintaining the engineering equipment?
 - A. The Captain.
 - Q. Mr. Wilson, can you speak up a little?
 - A. Is this on. How about now.

 I said the Captain.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

Would you share with us the vessel's scheduled preventative maintenance plan for engines, pumps, and other auxiliary equipment.

A. The boat was brought to Seattle every two years and we used various, you know, shipyards, hydraulic specialists, pumping, to go over that. And usually it was with a captain's list of what needed to

be repaired.

2.4

- Q. Were any of the equipments that are like quarterly or semi annual type maintenance.
- A. Oh, they would do that in Alaska for sure they did a lot of scheduled maintenance. For example, an engine needs to have oil. There are several engines on the boat, they needed to have oil filters, fuel filters changed, centrifuge cleaned, and filters changed. So a lot of that was done. That type of maintenance was done in Alaska.
- Q. Was that a written plan by yourself or can you explain a little more detail on that, how it was done?
- A. They had books on the boat to explain how to do it, like, for example, the main engine was a Cummins, and they had a Cummins boat to tell them how many hours they could run for a fuel and an oil change, same on the centrifuge, there was a book on the boat telling them how many hours before they had to clean it. There was Caterpillar auxiliary engines, the same thing.
- Q. As the owner, how were you aware that they were getting done?
 - A. From the Captain or the engineer.
 - Q. Did you have any requirement that he told

you every few months or just when he actually did the maintenance?

- A. By the books that they had on the boat, and they would tell me when they did it, but not, of course, they didn't call me and tell me they did an oil change, you know. They just did it. And that was conversed by the Captain to me that it was all maintained.
- Q. How do engineering problems or for this matter all equipment problems get reported to you and how are you notified when repairs are complete?
 - A. Rephrase that please.

2.4

- Q. How do engineering problems or for this matter all of the equipment problems get reported to you and how are you notified when repairs are complete?
 - A. The Captain both ways.
- Q. So if they have a problem with the engineering equipment, the Captain will contact you?
- A. And maybe not always, though. Only, I suppose, if he thought it was necessary that I knew. I can't imagine him calling me because, for example, a minor problem like a fuel filter is plugged or I never expected a lot of calls. He'd make a list, though, and I would have that available before the boat came

to Seattle so I could schedule shipyard dates.

2.4

- Q. Can you tell us the last time the Destination was in dry dock and what was the schedule maintenance and repairs for that dry dock?
- A. I don't have the dates in my head. It was dry docked I think the summer of 2015. And I don't have a schedule of what -- I only go by what standard things were done, you know, painting on the bottom, inspecting zincs on the bottom, inspecting the bottom in general.
 - Q. Was it 2015 or 2016?
 - A. I think it was dry docked and 2015.
- Q. While it was in dry dock what is your involvement?
- A. My involvement would be talking to the shipyard to be sure that the things on the list that we had, which, you know, on the bottom wasn't really a big list. Most of the dry docking involved painting. You can't paint the outside of a boat in the water, so they would paint up to the house level. Paint the bottom of course. Do zincs. And check what we call a shaft bump and check the cutless bearings on the main shaft and the rudder shaft.
- Q. Who creates those dry dock work lists, yourself or the Captain, or do you guys work together

on that?

2.4

- A. The Captain would mostly go over it with the shipyard personnel.
- Q. Can you speak to us about what the crew's involvement is during the dry dock?
- A. On the dry dock portion hardly any on the crew -- when we dry dock at the shipyards, we do dry dock, they request that the crew isn't under the boat. So mostly it's the shipyard personnel requirement that they are inspecting it. And you know the crew can't go paint. The shipyard has to paint it.
 - Q. What type of work does the crew do then?
- A. They would be working maybe on some other part of the boat, if they are there at all.
- Q. Are you aware of what projects those might be during the dry dock?
- A. They could be up inside on the deck, doing some minor repairs or I can't think of anything that were done in 2015 that comes to mind on what they would have been doing.
- Q. Did you have plans to send the Destination to dry dock after the 2017 Opilio season and if so can you tell us why?
 - A. Was it going to be?
 - Q. Yes. Did you have plans --

- 1 2 be 3 an

- A. Yeah, we did have it scheduled for dry dock because we like to do a dry docking every two years, and I'm trying to remember if our Coastal Marine Fund requires it, they might. But we have a steady schedule of I think we can look on records and find that it got dry docked every two years.
- Q. Okay. I would now like to focus on specific engineering items on the Destination. Exhibit 161, page 8. It is an e-mail picture of an exhaust expansion joint known as a wrinkle belly onboard the fishing vessel Destination. Were you aware of any problems on the exhaust expansion joint, known as the wrinkle belly? And if so, can you talk about how and where you were notified and what work was being done to it?
- A. Yes. That was before they left Dutch Harbor just before the accident. He said that he was going to put a new gasket, can you see where the -- above the wrinkle belly part, where the two flanges meet? See right there there's a gasket and from what he told me on the phone, they were going to change that gasket.
- Q. When did they tell you they were changing that gasket?
 - A. Thursday afternoon. The only time I spoke

to him on this voyage was the one time.

- Q. Thursday. Do you have a date?
- A. February 9th, I think.
- Q. Okay. Where were they changing that out?
- A. Right there on the vessel.
- Q. I mean location.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. Oh, Dutch Harbor.
- Q. Okay. Can you talk about if you were ever notified by the crew, or the Captain since 2015 of any problems affecting the holding tank pumps and if so, can you elaborate on what those problems were and what was done to correct them?
 - A. Say which pumps again.
- Q. The holding tank pumps for the fish holds, for the crab holds?
 - A. No, not that I know.
- Q. You were not aware of any problems with the tank for the pumps.
- A. With the circulating pumps, no, or any pumps for that matter.
- Q. Okay. Can you talk about if you were ever notified by the Captain or crew since 2015 of any problems on the stern tube stuffing box associated with leaking, and if so can you elaborate on what those problems were, and what was done to correct

them?

2.4

- A. Yes, and it was in the same conversation as that one. He said the stuffing box had leaked and then they had tightened it up to a four second drip. He just mentioned that in conversations, the only conversation I had with him which was about that wrinkle belly and the stuffing box.
- Q. One more time can you tell me when that conversation was?
 - A. Sometime on Thursday afternoon.
- Q. Was the conversation done via email, text, phone?
 - A. Phone.
 - Q. Phone.
- Did he say what he was going to do to repair it?
 - A. To repair this here?
 - Q. No. To repair the stuffing box.
- A. There was no repair. If you're familiar with a stuffing box, there is bolts around the flange that he just snugged up a little bit to slow a leak down, and he quoted to me a four-second drip, which is satisfactory.
 - Q. Can you repeat that last part?
 - A. A four-second drip on the shaft, stuffing

box, which I think is a satisfactory drip.

- Q. Did he say anything about how long this drip has been occurring?
 - A. No, he didn't say.

2.4

You know where the stuffing box is located on a fishing boat. It is something you cannot see. You have to open up an inspection plate and go down and look, and they must have done that in Dutch Harbor that day. Just, I think just a maintenance item.

- Q. Did he ever mention prior to that day that they were having a stuffing box problem?
- A. You know, I think he did say it had leaked during maybe king crab, I'm not sure of the date, he did mention stuffing box. But they had tightened the gland up on Thursday, the stuffing box gland.
- Q. You said that the stuffing box was difficult to get into. How often did they go in there to check it?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Was there any bilge alarms or anything in that space?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What hold is the stuffing box located in?
 - A. The very aft, number three fish hold.
 - Q. Is there a hatch or something that you had

to open to get down into that room?

- A. Yes. I wouldn't call it a room, you know, it's in a shaft alley, very small spot.
- Q. Is the shaft alley -- can water go from the stuffing box room to the engine room?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Via shaft alley?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Can it go forward?
- A. Well, that would be forward.
- Q. I'm sorry. Can it go aft?
- A. No. Not -- you know, no, I can't envision it could go aft, no.
- Q. Were you aware of anything between 2015 and 2017 or notified by the crew or the Captain of any problems with the steering system, and if so, can you elaborate on what those problems were and what was done to correct them?
- A. Yes. There were over previous years, a steering problem which involved when the rudder jog switch would go hard over one way, it would get stuck there. And it was an erratic, hard to figure out problem because it was sporadic, so we'd get to Seattle, we could never duplicate that. Finally in the summer of '16, we just changed the -- rebuilt the

whole steering system, and I've never had a call ever again of that happening.

- Q. You said summer of '16, you talked about a dry dock in 2015.
 - A. Dry docking was in 2015, yes.
- Q. Was the steering system completed without going to dry dock?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Where was it completed at?
- A. The location of the boat?
- Q. Yes.
- A. In Ballard, Washington at Marine Fluid Systems shippard and the company that did it was Coastal Fluid.
- Q. Did you take that vessel out for any sea trials or anything to make sure that it worked correctly?
- A. Yes, Jeff did. Jeff or Larry or both. I can't remember who.
- Q. Were all the -- was all of the piping replaced or what parts were replaced; do you remember?
- A. The starting from forward, the whole hydraulic tank was changed to a new tank, new solenoids that controlled the direction of oil flow, all of the hoses in the lazarette where the steering

rams that move the rudder are located. So the rams, the hoses back there, there's two long pipes that run from the engine room, through fuel tanks to the lazarette on the boat, which is aft of the number three crab tank. Those were flushed with high pressure hydraulics for three days.

- Q. Were you aware if they were having any of these steering issues in 2017?
- A. They were not, neither in the -- after leaving the shipyard in '16 either.

MR. GILLETTE: Okay. Commander, I've completed the questions on this topic with Mr. Wilson.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Gillette.

Mr. Karr, NTSB, do I have any questions on this section, mechanical?

MR. KARR: This is Michael Karr with the NTSB.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY MR. KARR

2.4

- Q. Mr. Wilson, can you tell me which crew, and for how long would the crew have taken to install that gasket in the wrinkle belly?
 - A. No. I don't know.
- Q. And when you spoke with Captain Hathaway about the shaft bearing drips, did he tell you how

much was coming in before he tightened the gland?

- A. No. He wasn't real specific about how much. He just said they had tightened the stuffing box to a four-second drip.
- Q. Did he give you any description of what it was like when they first went into the hold?
 - A. No, he didn't mention that to me.
- MR. KARR: Commander Muller, I have no more questions.
- CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Karr. Ms.

 Spivak, do you have any questions.
 - MS. SPIVAK: No, thank you.
 - CDR MULLER: Okay. I have one or two follow-up questions myself.
 - DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)
- 16 BY CDR MULLER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Just to confirm, the stuffing box, the shaft bearing, that's a water lubricated bearing; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So can you explain is some kind of drippage acceptable or indeed required for that kind of bearing?
- A. Yes, it is, and that's why I agreed with

 Jeff -- he had called me after he did it and said it

was a four-second drip, and I just agreed that that sounded like enough lubrication.

- Q. Okay. Do you recall if the shaft alley going forward is there any piping systems or bilge suction systems, if you will, associated with that shaft alley to draw water out of that alley?
- A. It was a gravity feed to the engine room, which there were alarms and bilge pumps.
- Q. I'm sorry, so were there alarms located in the shaft alley itself or alarms located in the engine room in the bilge?
 - A. In the engine room.
- Q. Okay. So I understand to access the stuffing box you have to open up an access hatch in number three fish hold?
 - A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. Can you describe that access hatch into the stuffing box? Was it watertight? Was it bolted? Was there any kind of dog?
- A. It was a watertight door, yes, because, you know, that tank gets flooded at times. It was a brand name I remember, Baier. It was galvanized steel hatch made by Baier. I want to think that the approximate diameter of the circle was about 20-inches maybe, maybe 24. I don't have that number.

- Q. So this is the hatch to actually accessed and manipulated the stuffing box, the gland?
 - A. No. It was access to the stuffing box.
 - Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. The hatch.
- Q. All right. So not on deck, not the hatch on deck?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Right.
- A. Okay. So there are -- there's more hatches, I guess, you go from the deck level there's a few ways to go down. One is to remove a big hatch cover that has many bolts on it or to open a Baier hatch, the same as that one that was down in the bottom of that fish hold to see the stuffing box.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall if that Baier hatch at the stuffing box had a gasket?
- A. Well, I'm sure it did. Now I wasn't there, but I'm sure it had a gasket.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

- Mr. Gillette, I understand you have some questions.
- MR. GILLETTE: Yes, Commander. I have a follow-up question.

25 DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY MR. GILLETTE:

- Q. Mr. Wilson, you said you had a conversation with Captain Hathaway and you discussed the wrinkle belly and the stuffing box.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Was any conversation brought up about the holding pumps or the piping, that there may have been some sort of issue with them during the cod season?
- A. Not on that day on Thursday, but I see that I've been reviewing some stuff and he did mention several weeks before that they did have a cod fish get into a valve. I didn't understand where that was.

 But he did mention that much earlier not on this day we're talking about.
 - Q. Did he say what he did to repair that?
- A. No. They took the -- far as I know, they just took the cod fish out.
- MS. SPIVAK: For the record, he didn't have conversations about that. That was in the text messages in one of the exhibits.
 - MR. GILLETTE: Okay. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. To clarify that, yes, that's correct.
- MR. GILLETTE: Okay. Thank you. Commander, that's all of the questions I have.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Gillette. that we had some follow-up questions, I just want to make sure we do another round robin. NTSB. No questions. MR. KARR: Ms. Spivak. CDR MULLER: MS. SPIVAK: No questions. CDR MULLER: Okay. No further questions on my part. I recommend we continue on to the next section. (Off the record discussion.) CDR MULLER: Okay. Time right now is 11:00. We're going to break for lunch and return at 13:00. So the hearing is now in recess. (Luncheon recess was held from 11:00 13:00 p.m.) CDR MULLER: Good afternoon. This hearing will come to order. Today is August 7th, 2017. time is 13:00. We are continuing with our witness, Mr. Wilson, the owner of the fishing vessel Destination. Just a quick housekeeping message, I apologize for the long recess. Just understand throughout the hearing we will endeavor to always

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

reconvene after lunch at 13:00. So we're going to try to make that time consistent. That being said, given the type of testimony we're going to try to find natural breaking points, and sometimes we anticipate some of the question segments may take longer than others. And we would not want to break apart a questioning type session or segment, so that's why we decided to have a longer lunch period for today.

 $\label{eq:solution} \mbox{So with that, we will continue on with} $$\operatorname{Mr. Wilson.}$$

Mr. Wilson, just want to remind you that you still remain under oath.

Okay. Mr. Gillette.

MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Commander.

Good afternoon, Mr. Wilson.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY MR. GILLETTE:

2.4

Q. Mr. Wilson, I would now like us to focus on stability, and more so the booklet of calculations and trim and stability report, which from now on I will refer to as the stability book.

When you purchased the Destination, it had a different name as well as a different size. Can you talk a little about why you changed the name and the size?

A. With new owners, my brother and I decided we just liked the name Destination.

2.4

- Q. Can you tell me why you changed the size?
- A. Oh, we did that much later than when we purchased it. Is that what you're talking of a later time? We owned the boat from '85 and we expanded it in '93. Eight years later.
 - Q. What was the reason behind expanding it?
- A. To hold more crab. Make a safer boat. It was wider and longer, hold more crab, hold more crab pots. Makes the trips a little longer instead of going in and out of town repeatedly, you know. I think we almost doubled the poundage from previous size to the new size, fish holds wise.
- Q. When and what shippard were the modifications made?
- A. It was Tim Alls Boat Building and it was in west Seattle.
- Q. Would you share with us the process you took to modify the size as far as who came up with the plans and what was the involvement of the naval architect?
- A. Oh, I can't remember from '93. I can look at these notes. I don't remember who -- the architect was Rick Etsell. I remember that name. Tim Alls Boat

Building did it. Tim Alls Boat Building was the company that made the boat larger. And the architect was Rick Etsell.

- Q. Can you share with us the process of and reason behind obtaining a stability book for the Destination?
- A. The reason for changing the stability book is it's a whole different boat from a 80-some footer to a hundred footer. Again, on the advice of Rick Etsell I'm sure is why we changed the stability report. Would be totally different than the old one.
- Q. Can you take some time and share with us in detail where the modifications were made?
 - A. Where on the boat or where in Seattle?
 - O. On the boat.

2.4

A. Tim Alls built a huge new, a brand new aft section from the engine room bulk head back at a yard in Seattle while the boat was up fishing. He completed a new wheelhouse in the yard while the boat was not there. And then when the boat came down many, many months later, they put the boat up on the dry dock with a crane, and cut it in half and took the whole upper house structure off. And then married that new section onto the engine room bulk head and forward without a house, put those two sections

together and then sponson the remaining forward section from the engine room bulk head forward, and put a new house on, lifted a new house on that.

2.4

- Q. I would like to get a clear understanding of the Destination's hatches and access covers. I would like to start with the hold tanks. Can you tell us where the hatches and hatch covers for the tanks are located and if they were moved when modifications were performed?
- A. I couldn't tell you the location. There's three hatch covers. But I couldn't tell you the smaller location of the round hatches. They're probably in a very similar place. It's a place where the large eight by eight hatch on bolts, but you don't unbolt that every time you put crab in. It's a much smaller, round, I think it was a Baier hatch that you put crab in through, only removing the larger hatches?

When you go to town, pump all the water out of the tank and take the crab out or whatever that product might be, if it's cod or salmon.

- Q. Were any of the hatches moved off the centerline either port or starboard or were they always in the centerline and that's where they remained? Can you talk a little bit about that?
 - A. I think they remained exactly centerline. I

can't imagine they were moved, but we'll have diagrams that you probably have access to. I'm sure they were not moved off of centerline.

- Q. Can you describe the arrangements of the holding tanks overboard discharge, specifically where they're located?
- A. I couldn't tell you right now from off the top of my head. We must have diagrams of that, though.
- Q. Do you know if any of those overboard discharges were moved?
- A. If the overboard discharge was removed did you say?
 - Q. Was moved for the modification?
- A. I don't know. I don't think so. But I couldn't compare now to think back to the old hull, if they were moved a bit or not, I don't know.
- Q. Prior to making the modifications, do you know if those discharges were just pipes or did they just openly go out on the deck?
- A. The discharges off the deck hatch combing, are you asking about?
 - Q. Yes.

2.4

A. We call that an overflow, the tanks are always filling up and then overflowing, and they

overflowed out the side of the hatch coming which had a -- for times when you worked in the crab configuration, they have bolts on plates on all three hatch combings.

- Q. I'd like to now show Exhibit 126. This is a photo of the fishing vessel Destination on the peer in Kloosterboer taken by Mr. Gjermund Eikrem on February 9, 2017. On the port side of the vessel there appears to be a discharge from the vessel into the water. Can you tell us what you believe this discharge is?
- A. No. I am not sure. My guess only is that it is an overflow from a crab tank.
 - Q. Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

Is there any hatch access covers on the deck aft of hold tank three, and if so will you tell us where they are located and what are their purposes?

- A. It's going to go from memory, but it should all be on this booklet. There are a rudder and a storage room aft of the number three crab tank, yes. And there were two places, if I remember, to get into them. And they were probably round Baier hatches or some brand like that.
- Q. Behind the third hold tank do you know which hatch, if I open that hatch, where would that get me on the vessel?

- A. Into the steering room aft of the crab tank.
- Q. Is that considered a lazarette room?
- A. Yes. That's what I call it, too, yeah.
- Q. Is there another hatch aft of the lazarette?
- A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. And what is that?
- A. It gets you into the same area, just further aft.
- Q. So you had two hatch covers on deck that will get you into the -- is that the steering room?
- A. Yes or the lazarette. I call them both the same.
- Q. Could any of the deck hatches be opened if pots were on deck, and if so, which ones?
- A. If the deck was loaded like that, if you'll look on the very stern of the boat, you see a space there where there's no pot? That was designed so if anything happened while there was pots on deck, they couldn't get access to the steering room. So that would be the only place.
- Q. Where pots are loaded, is there a tunnel that you could get from the forward end all the way back to that steering room?
- A. I heard that description as a tunnel, but that would depend on how the crew loaded the crab

pots. They would leave a space, I would call it, to walk all the way back. I don't know if that's the way it is now or not. If that's the way it is in that picture, I don't know. I just know it's impossible to put a pot over that back hatch because there's a guard that pots can't go over that spot.

- Q. As far as the hatches forward of that, and a tunnel, are you aware if they -- if the crew makes a tunnel so that they can access the other hatches?
- A. I thought the tunnel was so they could walk back to that hatch. I don't know if the forward hatch was covered with pots or not, or if they ever did at all, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Can you talk to us about your decision making behind adding a bulbous bow as well as the process that was performed to make that modification?
- A. The reason why it was done was because we had heard it made a boat that pitched less, slowed the pitching effort down, and it made more fuel economy.
- Q. Was that your consideration into ice, like packed ice or any consideration to that or was it all about fuel?
 - A. As far as putting the bulb on, you mean?
 - O. Yes.

2.4

123a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Α.

when you put a bulb on my understanding is, I'm not an architect, but my understanding is you have less pitching.

The bulb was put on for fuel economy and

- Q. Was anything located in the bulbous bow? Is it fill?
- A. It became part of the forward fresh water tank.
 - Q. How much water was in that?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. When and what shipyard was that modification made for the bulbous bow?
- A. It was made I think in 2012. And I know it was made at Pacific Fisherman Shipyard in Ballard, Washington.
- Q. Was there a stability assessment after the bulbous bow was added and if so, can you tell us who performed that assessment and what did it detail?
- A. I don't have a lot of detail except that a guy named Gisli from KraftMar looked at the stability letter and they decided that the bulb caused very little -- changed the stability very little. I'm sure he can explain it much better than I can.
- Q. I would now like to focus on the stability book. Mr. Wilson, that would be Exhibit 7 in the

exhibit book that we provided for you in case you wanted any reference.

2.4

Can you tell us when you received the stability book and did you have any meeting with anyone to speak about the details that that provided?

- A. I don't remember now the details. Remember this was done back in 1993. I know the stability book was on the boat. Matter of fact, I, now that, you know, this has happened, we've been looking at it more. So I'm a little more familiar with this, but I don't -- you know, the Captain and the crew, of course, I'm very sure they were aware of the stability and operated by that stability book.
- Q. Did you say there was only one copy? Did you have an extra copy yourself or was the only one on the vessel?
- A. You know, I looked high and low for a copy. I probably had it at one time, but in recent months I did not find it. So we -- I think we got one, the extra copies for you guys from Rick Etsell, maybe.
- Q. Did you ever have any conversation about the stability book with Captain Hathaway, and if so, can you share with us what those conversations were about?
- A. Conversations, of course, have been so long ago. If you think, you know, back when he started

running the boat in '93, we probably did. I don't remember the exact conversations back then.

But after being with me for 23 years, I don't remember any recent conversations about it. But he was a very well-respected, very knowledgeable, one of the best guys I know in the fleet by the way, and I'm sure the book was available. I'm sure he used it regularly; although, I don't know.

- Q. Are you familiar with Captain Hathaway's loading condition routines as far as how many pots he would load and what tanks he would have pressed while transiting?
 - A. I don't know.

2.4

- Q. Knowing Captain Hathaway, do you think he would have set sail on the 2017 Opilio season with 200 pots and the number one, and number two tanks pressed?
- A. I wouldn't know which -- how he tanked it or for sure how many pots he had. I looked at the picture, of course, but I can't even estimate it myself from the picture.
- Q. Okay. I would now like to bring up Exhibit 7, page 8, this is a section of the fishing vessel Destination's trim and stability report.

By using the table on the page below, can you explain which loading condition would the

Destination have been in during the 2017 Opilio season. And can you tell us how many pressed tanks as well as how many pots would be allowed?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.4

- A. I couldn't tell you for sure. I don't know what he did. You're thinking if I was reading this, and I was doing it? Is that what you're asking?
- Q. Yeah. For you. What do you think it would be?
- A. I don't know. I think it would be in condition number one, I guess.
 - Q. What would condition number one read?
- A. A dry hold -- well, it's the very top line there.
- Q. So one would be, one holds tanked. Is that the one you're reading.
- A. That's the one I'm reading, yes, the very top line.
- Q. Okay. And how many pots would he be allowed for 2017 Opilio season according to that chart?
- A. I'm reading this, but, you know, I don't know. It says 249 on this paper.
- Q. What's your familiarity with that chart or that table?
 - A. What's that?
 - Q. Your familiarity with this table. Are you

familiar with this table?

2.4

- A. No. Again, I stated earlier, I've never captained this boat after it was made larger.
- Q. Have you ever had any conversations with Captain Hathaway and him explaining what he carries onboard as far as pots or what tanks he presses?
- A. We may have a long time ago, you know, in the '90s. I don't remember any recent, and I couldn't even pin a conversation down about it. We may have spoke about stability and many things about fishing, but I don't remember what they are.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Wilson, I would like to slightly shift the focus on crab pots used onboard.

Over the years that you have owned the Destination, have you seen an increase of size and weight on crab pots? And if so, can you talk about those differences.

- A. We have got heavier and recently looking at this and saying -- looking like, you know, they had the weight for pots or pots may or may not have been heavier, the last pots I bought, Lance had quoted me they were about 80 -- it's a quote. Now I can't remember. But they were lighter pots anyway.
 - Q. They were what type of pots?
 - A. Lighter pots, the last purchase I made.

1 Q. Lighter than what?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. Lighter than the pots we were using previous and that was just about a year or two ago.
 - Q. How many pots did you purchase?
 - A. One hundred.
- Q. How many pots does the Destination normally carry?
- A. We had about $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ we owned about 300 pots for the boat.
- Q. At any time do you know which pots they are actually loading onboard?
 - A. No.
- Q. Talk to me about the actual size and weight. What's the actual size and weight of the pots that you purchased?
 - A. They were 7-foot by 7-foot by 34-inch high.
- Q. Over the years that you've owned the Destination, have they always been 7 by 7?
- A. At one time they were 6.5 by 6.5, but still 34 inches high.
 - Q. What was the weight of those?
 - A. I don't know right now. It's so long ago.
- Q. Can you tell me one more time how much the weight of the new crab pots that you have onboard?
 - A. How much they weighed?

1 Q. Yes.

2.4

- A. I don't know. You guys probably know more than I do, if you've retrieved a pot. I don't know the weight of it now.
- Q. You've said that -- a little about the background, you've been in this industry a long time. So the -- do you not know how much crab pots weigh?
- A. I couldn't nail it down to a poundage. I could tell you as pots get older, they get lighter by sliding over the rail for so many years and so many times. I can tell you that we think they were approximately 700. No, I do know of an exact weight.
- Q. Okay. Can you talk to us about the equipment that's inside the pots and how much that weighs?
- A. We can find that easily. And I did call one time to find out how much two shots of line and two buoys would weigh, but I don't have that number in front of me.
- Q. Can you give me an estimate of what you think it weighs?
- A. I think the line and buoys might weigh a hundred pounds total, but I could be way off. I don't know.
 - Q. How many -- when you were just talking about

lines, are we talking about a shot of line and how many shots of line do they normally carry in the Bering Sea on Opilio season?

- A. I don't know. I would guess two, but it could be two or three. It wouldn't be one, but it could be two or three shots. When we say a shot of line, I understand that to be 33 fathom per shot.
- Q. I take it, you've purchased a lot of shots over the years, so purchasing them, do you have a better guess? Have you purchased a lot of shots of line over the years?
- A. Yeah. We purchase them in 200 fathom coils and yes, they do come stamped with a weight when you buy them. We buy them by the pound. But right now I don't have that information in front of me. I buy my gear from a place called Lummi Fish. They probably have those stats. They know the size of line we use.
- Q. Have you ever seen in person the crab pots or the lines that are inside it?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Have you ever seen them when they're on the vessel?
 - A. Have I seen crab pots on the vessel?
- Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. With the lines inside?
- A. In some cases, yes.
- Q. Did they change the amount of shots of line they put on depending on where they're fishing or what they are fishing for?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Can you explain a little to me about that, why they would?
- A. Well, for example, if they were fishing in 90 fathoms, they would probably be using a hundred. But if they were fishing in 50 fathoms, they would probably not use that much line. You're just pulling up slack line. And I think it would be much quicker to pull two shots of line. Which would be 66 fathom. And that's a general way I think it operates.
- Q. I would like to now show Exhibit 7, page 9. This is a section out of the fishing vessel

 Destination's trim and stability report. The first paragraph reads, when not carrying cab pots, an equivalent amount of deck cargo may be carried. The amount of such cargo should not exceed the total weight or cargo height for crab pots and similar loading. In general, keep all boats stowed as low in the vessel as practicable.

Can you explain to us how you interpret that

statement?

2.4

- A. I don't think I could. Because you just described it very well. I don't know what I would add to that.
- Q. Well, can you just explain in your terms what that means?
- A. Well, actually just the way it is, you do an equivalent weight of the crab pots. And I'm guessing in the stability report someplace there must be some reference to weight. I haven't read it that intensely.
- Q. Does that statement imply for keeping bait on deck, as long as the bait doesn't surpass the total weight or cargo height of the pots?
 - A. I would think so.
- Q. Did you have any conversation with the Captain about weight changes in pots over the years and how would you both account for those changes in reference to this stability book?
- A. He never changed pots very often. You know the last hundred pots that I mentioned that we bought, Jeff Hathaway actually found those pots for sale up in Everett, Washington. And they were stored from Dungeness Gear Works, which he can probably explain much better than -- that's all I know. You know, we

went and looked and Jeff liked the pots, and I bought them.

2.4

- Q. What was the number of pot size, as far as weight, when you made the stability book? What was the weight of the pot size that was considered when making the stability book?
- A. I don't know. That would be a question for Rick Etsell.
- Q. When you had the vessel reassessed for stability during the addition of the bulbous bow, by KraftMar, was any conversation made about the change in sizes and weight of the crab pots?
- A. Not that I recall. We didn't talk about crab pots, with Gisli, and he can answer that. I don't remember talking about crab pots with him. We assumed nothing changed on the stability report.
- Q. Can you talk to us a little about any discussions you may have had over the years with the Captain about icing in reference to stability?
- A. Both Jeff and myself have known about past accidents where suspicion of icing, heavy icing would capsize a boat, make it top heavy. For sure, we have had discussions over the years. I can't remember the exact -- but he was very capable and very knowledgeable about that boat. Remember he'd been on

it 23 years. I had total confidence in his decision and the other crew members. They had a great crew. Larry had been also with me 23 years. I had high confidence in their ability.

MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

 $\label{eq:commander, I have completed the questions on this topic.} \\$

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Gillette.

Mr. Karr, NTSB. Do you have any questions regarding stability?

MR. KARR: This is Mike Karr.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY MR. KARR

2.4

- Q. Follow up on the icing question. Do you recall any specific conversations with Captain Hathaway about previous icing experiences that he may have had?
- A. No. They would always be in general. And you know, you cannot -- or I cannot make a decision from Seattle about how much of an inch accumulation, how fast, that has to be called on the boat. The decision to chip ice, stop making forward speed, can't be made from some remote location. And I would say that's true of any fishing boat in Alaska, crab fishing or other.

- Q. What you just described, can you recall him, Captain Hathaway, telling you about any previous experiences of where he did just that?
 - A. Rephrase that.

2.4

- Q. You just described what people do when they face icing. Can you describe any conversations you had with the Captain over the past 23 years where he described an actual scenario where he had to take the time to de-ice the vessel?
- A. Oh, you know, in 23 years he had to de-ice that vessel many, many times. I remember one conversation within the last five, ten years, where he said we're putting the pots down and getting out of here. There's a cold snap coming. I assumed he was talking about icing.
- Oh, I'm sure we had many conversations about icing conditions, but I don't remember exact words.

 He was very knowledgeable about icing.
- Q. And can you tell me what ways do you know of that you can address icing?
- A. Yes. Less forward speed. Change of course. Chipping the ice off with -- we used to use, when I did it, we used baseball bats. They have a little bit more sophisticated tools for taking ice off now, but that's how I did it.

- Q. Did the Destination have a capability to use chemicals to take ice off or prevents ice from accumulating?
 - A. I don't think so.

MR. KARR: Okay. Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Karr.

Ms. Spivak, do you have any questions regarding stability?

MS. SPIVAK: No questions.

CDR MULLER: Mr. Wilson, I have a few follow-up questions regarding the stability section here.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY CDR MULLER

2.4

Q. Moving back a little bit, earlier in your testimony regarding this stability block, at the time of, what I will call the vessel modifications back in '93 when the vessel was lengthened and widened, do you recall providing any type of plans for Mr. Alls?

Because he prefabricated, essentially, the entire aft section, aft of the engine room, as well as the wheelhouse. So what kind of instructions, information did you provide him or did you provide him with executing that kind of project at his yard?

A. I didn't provide him with anything to construct it. I think Rick Etsell did all of the engineering. All we wanted to do was get the boat 20 percent longer, there's a rule in the Coast Guard about sizing, you know, couldn't increase the length more than 20 percent. I think we roughly did that somewhat less than 20 percent. That was one of my stipulations, be sure we stayed under that?

And we just only vaguely saying that we wanted the boat to be bigger and safer.

- Q. Twenty percent. Can you expand on that a bit? Does this have something to do with -- well, understanding the vessel at the time was an uninspected commercial fishing vessel, under Subchapter C. So what would 20 percent, would that be perhaps the tonnage?
- A. No, I think it's the length could not extend your length for the fishery more than 20 percent. At the time we were doing it. Then later, I think now this boat could have had a maximum length overall of 124. But at the time when we did it, we thought it was 20 percent of our previous length.
- Q. Okay. Was it possibly to try and make -- ensure the vessel remained less than 200 gross tons?
 - A. Rephrase that.

Okay. So if you asked the naval architect 1 Q. 2 of the ship builder, Tim Alls to lengthen the vessel, 3 but keep the length within no more than 20 percent, I'm still trying to understand where this 20 percent 4 5 comes. So I'm wondering for vessels -- in order for a commercial fishing vessel to be operated without a 6 7 licensed Captain it needs to be less than 200 gross 8 tons, would that have been part of the discussion in 9 the lengthening?

- A. That may have been. You know, I can't remember that discussion now about tonnage. I remember it was under 200 ton, though.
- Q. Okay. Right. Yeah, we have the records, okay. The vessel remains under 200 tons.

Okay. Turning to pots. I heard from

Dungeness Gear Works, you purchased a hundred pots a

year or two ago?

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. Correct?

Okay. Do you have any receipts for those pots? Any paperwork or invoices or information to articulate the dimensions and weight of those pots?

- A. No.
- Q. Did you only buy the pots themselves and the netting or did you also purchase the shots and any

buoys associated from Dungeness Gear Works?

2.4

- A. We bought the pots only from a guy named Tim Kennedy, we did it through Lance at Dungeness Gear Works, and no, there was -- I don't think there were lines and buoys in them, but I tell you, I don't remember now. I don't think there was lines and buoys.
- Q. Okay. So part of the simplicity of this question is I hear two different people. I heard Mr. Kennedy and Dungeness Gear Works. Who did you wind up paying for the pots?
- A. I can't remember who the check was made out to. If it was Tim Kennedy's business or Tim Kennedy, but it was one of the two.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Wilson, I believe Lieutenant Commander Mendoza handed you page 24 of Exhibit 7, which is -- right, you just -- there you go.
- Mr. Wilson, are you familiar with this page?

 And can you, at least, read at the top of the page,
 the title there, please.
- A. The title is: Tracking changes to light ship condition.
 - Q. Okay. Are you familiar with this page?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Halfway down it says, there's a paragraph

substantial alteration. Actually time out for a second.

Why don't you take a minute because I know that we just handed that to you. We didn't have an opportunity to provide you with that previously. So let's take, when you're ready we can check up and talk.

(Witness reviews exhibit.)

A. Okay. I've read it.

BY CDR MULLER

2.4

Q. Okay. Mr. Wilson, if you take a look at the last paragraph starting with the words if any of these limits are exceeded... Can you read the -- well, I will read the first sentence.

"If any of these limits are exceeded, then the owner is required to have the stability reviewed by a qualified individual."

I will continue on.

"In order to keep track of charges to the vessel, the following table may be used to record individual changes."

So, I'll briefly describe the header columns for this table. Comes across as date, description, amount of weight, vertical location, longitudinal location.

So my question, Mr. Wilson: Did you track any changes to the vessel's weight over the years of its operation?

A. Well, I think I did what that last paragraph says. Again, Gisli from KraftMar looked over the -- I guess he would be a qualified individual. He has an architect firm. He did design the bulbous bow and also the shipyard that did it, the guy that did that he was an architect also that ran that shipyard.

So I would call those guys qualified individuals that reviewed the stability. And I think you may have copies of that, result of that review.

- Q. Yes, we do.
- A. Okay.

2.4

- Q. So understanding you consulted with the naval architects during the time you installed the bulbous bow; correct?
- A. Per his specifications we put a bulbous bow on the boat, yes.
- Q. Right. But again, my question is this: Did you track, log, capture a history of any weight changes associated with the vessel over the years?
 - A. No. I didn't know of any.
 - Q. Did you --
 - A. The bulbous bow was a weight change, I would

say, yes, but that was reviewed by a naval architect.

- Q. Right. So embedded within that project.

 Did you track, capture, record weight changes of your pots over the years?
 - A. No.

2.4

- Q. When you spoke with the naval architect regarding the bulbous bow, Gisli, what was your tasking or instructions? What did you hire him to do?
- A. I hired him to design a bulbous bow to be put on by Pac Fish.
- Q. Did you ask for a stability analysis as well or is that something that he said, well, we're going to have to look at stability as well? That's going to be part of the project.
- A. I don't remember how that conversation went.

 I just know they looked at it.
- Q. Okay. Did you have a conversation with him to examine the crab pots as well?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Mr. Wilson, what is your understanding in terms of who is responsible for maintaining any changes in the stability book?
- A. To change the wording of the stability report?
 - Q. No. Who is responsible in your view, to

understanding any changes or capturing any changes or updating the stability book?

- A. I'm supposing you're going to say it's me, but you tell me. I didn't write any down on a form like this. But we didn't have any significant changes until the bulb was put on.
- Q. Did -- when you had your last -- well, in both cases, in '93 when you had the initial stability test, stability book by Etsell, and then when you had the modification with the bulbous bow in 2013, and you had Gisli do the stability test, did you have conversations with the vessel Captain regarding the results of those tests?
- A. We may have. I can't remember an exact conversation about that. But the, and again when you see Gisli, you'll probably see that he said that it was an insignificant change in stability. That's all I know. I mean is what he said. I'm not an architect. I couldn't tell you.
 - Q. Understood.

2.4

- A. But two architects were involved in this.
- Q. In the bulbous bow?
- A. Yes. Pac Fish had an architect and Gisli designed it.
 - CDR MULLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

Mr. Gillette, I have no further questions. 1 2 MR. GILLETTE: I have no follow-up 3 questions. CDR MULLER: Mike Karr, NTSB, do you have 4 5 any follow-up questions? MR. KARR: I have one. 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing) BY MR. KARR 8 9 Mr. Wilson, back in 1993, what were the size 10 of the crab pots? 11 You know, I don't remember when we -- what 12 the size was back then. I really don't. There must 13 be some record of it some place, but I have not -- I wouldn't know what that was. 14 15 MR. KARR: All right. Thanks. CDR MULLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Karr. 16 17 Ms. Spivak, do you have any questions? 18 MS. SPIVAK: No, thank you. 19 CDR MULLER: I have no questions further. For now we will take a 15-minute recess. 20 21 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 22 CDR MULLER: Good afternoon again. This 23 hearing will now come to order. For awareness, we 2.4 have two more segments with Mr. Wilson, weather and 25 then final voyage tracking, essentially. So we're

gonna go through those two segments and then our plan is to take another recess so the board will have an opportunity to determine if there's any other questions that we need to still continue to follow up on. So and then we'll come back after that recess and discuss accordingly. So that is the schedule for the remainder of the afternoon, and then, of course, we'll go into the schedule throughout the week starting at 9:00 each day.

So Mr. Gillette, if you can continue with Mr. Wilson.

MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Commander.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY MR. GILLETTE:

2.4

Q. Mr. Wilson, I would now like to shift our focus on weather and specifically what was provided to the Captain and the crew to identify weather observations as well as how they forecast weather.

What did the Destination have onboard to assist the Captain and the crew to observe real-time weather?

- A. There was many ways for them to.
- 23 Can you hear me?
 - Q. Yes. Can you share those with us?
 - A. There was many ways on there. They had a

system which was part of our VMS that actually sent weather reports to you by email. They had the VHF radio that had a certain channel, and you guys are probably familiar with the weather channel on the VHF. I forget the numbers now, but weather 1 through weather 9 maybe. They had a single side band radio that had scheduled, if you knew the channel, I'm sure they did the weather broadcasts for that area. They also had telephones on the boat. But I would think mainly, you know, the single side band, the email capability of the VMS and the VHF radios are some of them.

- Q. How was wind speed and direction measured on the Destination for daytime and nighttime operations?
 - A. I don't know.

2.4

- Q. I'm sorry, sir, I didn't hear you.
- A. I don't know how it was measured.
- Q. How were they able to get any speed direction or wind speed or direction, how were they able to know that?
- A. Direction I'm sure they would look at their compass. Wind speed, I don't know, my career as a mariner, I could estimate the wind pretty well. I have total confidence that Jeff and Larry could also tell what the wind speed and direction were. There

could be land mass nearby, if they weren't looking at their compass. I'm sure they know when the wind is blowing into a bay, and the bay is open to the north, it's blowing northerly. But there's many ways. I probably can't even list them all right now. But the compass on the boat, I don't think they would have to go over it. These guys were very capable mariners to know which way the wind was blowing and how much it was blowing.

- Q. What about at nighttime, how can you tell the wind at night time?
 - A. How could you tell the wind direction?
 - Q. Yes.

2.4

- A. Same way, a compass. If you know you're in a bay, you would know which way the wind is coming out of -- these are very common ways to tell the wind direction. Compass is one way. If you're heading north, the wind is directly on your bow, it's blowing out of the north. And nighttime as far as wind speed, they had lighting on the boat. They use the big lights called sodium lights that they traveled with quite a bit. I wouldn't say a hundred percent in the darkness, maybe, but if there are vessels nearby they might turn them off so they don't blind someone else.
 - Q. Over the years has there been any

consideration to put an anemometer, otherwise known as a wind bird onboard or anything like that to help them out?

- A. I don't recall if we ever had one. I remember on one of my boats having one and it blew away.
- Q. What was available onboard to receive forecasted weather?
- A. Same thing I mentioned earlier, a VHF radio, single side band radio, the VMS system which sent emails. I imagine they even used forecasting if they were 200 miles away talking to somebody else on the radio and asking how the weather was where they were.
 - Q. How would they know days in advance?
 - A. I'm sorry?

2.4

- Q. How would they be able to forecast days in advance? I understand that, you know, you can call someone ahead of you, but how would they know what's going to happen a day from then or two days from then.

 Do you have anything that --
 - A. National Weather Service does forecasts.
- Q. Did the Destination subscribe to any commercial weather service, and if so, what service and what services did they provide?
 - A. I would have to look at the records, but I

think the service that we had as far as the email portion of it was from Thorium or some VMS system and I don't recall the name of it now. But the other thing is a single side band radio, they're scheduled weather broadcasts and the same with the VHF radio.

- Q. Is Thorium a commercial service that you subscribe to?
 - A. Yes, it is.

2.4

- Q. When you subscribe for it, in detail what did you get from them? What did they provide? What type of service?
- A. I never got it. I don't know, but I'm sure somebody on that boat that would know what it is, but I do know Jeff said he got the weather forecast from this system Thorium, and my other boats do now. You can -- I've never personally received one from Thorium, but I know my other boats get it.
 - Q. On your other boats, what does that provide?
- A. Weather forecasts and maybe even, again, I haven't seen them, maybe even current conditions at X position. I don't know.
- Q. Does it go straight to a laptop computer or can you talk to us a little about where it goes?
- A. Yes. I think it goes to a laptop or a computer onboard the boat.

- Q. It's only the Captain -- does only the Captain have that capabilities of knowing forecasted weather?
- A. No. I think anybody on the boat could go up to the wheelhouse and look at the weather.
 - Q. So the laptop is on the wheelhouse?
- A. I'm, now again, I'm assuming that's where it is.
- Q. Do you have any written or verbal policies where Captain Hathaway is required to discuss with you his voyage plan and the weather that is expected to encounter during his trip, and if so can you elaborate on that?
 - A. No, I didn't have any.
- Q. I know we briefly discussed this earlier, but can you describe to us how icing from sea spray accumulates on the boats and crab pots?
- A. Hard to describe how it accumulates. I'd say I guess a spray leaves the surface of the water, hits the boats, splashes onto the boat and freezes depending on the temperature. I don't know how to describe that except maybe if you could, and I think you have some videos of a much better depiction than I can give you here.
 - Q. And then also can you add onto that what

type of measures the vessel takes to reduce the accumulation from icing at sea?

- A. I think Commander Muller asked me this earlier. I would say if it was me, I would slow down to reduce splashing or spraying. I might even go as far as go fair wind, which is going with the wind. And then also chopping the ice off the vessel.
- Q. Has Captain Hathaway ever delayed getting underway because of weather? And if so, can you tell us about that?
- A. I wouldn't know the incident, but I've got to be sure that there's many times they've -- I call it hold up for weather.
- Q. Does Captain Hathaway notify you every time he gets underway?
 - A. No.

2.4

MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Mr. Wilson. Commander, I have completed the questions on this topic.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Gillette.

Mr. Karr, NTSB, do you have any questions?

MR. KARR: This is Mike Karr.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY MR. KARR

Q. As a vessel owner, do you regularly or do

you stay abreast of the marine forecasts for the areas where your vessels are operating?

A. No, I do not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q. And when it comes to icing from your personal experience, when icing occurs on the crab pots, can you describe how icing attaches itself to the pots, the lines, the buoys?
- A. So hard to tell you verbally here, you know, like I said just a few minutes ago. Spray comes out of the water, hits the vessel or the pots and freezes, depending on the temperature, the colder the temperature, the faster the accumulation. I can tell you that.
- Q. Well, let me be specific. Have you ever seen ice accumulation?
 - A. Many times.
 - Q. On --
 - A. Many boats.
- 19 Q. -- on line inside a crab pot?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On buoys inside a crab pot?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And has ice accumulated inside the crab pot?

 You know, for instance, you know, inside the netting

 below the surface of the, you know, below the top of

the crab pot?

2.4

- A. It depends on what it hits. If it hits a buoy, yes, the buoy will ice up. If it hits the netting, as you say, it will ice up. Hits the steel framework of the pot, that will buildup.
- Q. And have you ever seen pots below the top tier accumulate any ice? Again, inside on the lines in the buoys, you know, if you've got five tiers, would the fourth -- would the interior of the fourth tier crab pots accumulate any ice?
- A. It's so hard to say, sir, because just every condition is different, right, every temperature change, every wind speed --
- Q. Well, let me -- I'm not asking what ifs.

 I'm just asking have you seen in your career when you were sailing as a crab boat Captain?
 - A. Have I seen ice accumulation on pots, yes.
- Q. Including in the lower tiers, underneath the top tier?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. As a vessel owner, are you aware of any guidance the Coast Guard or any other organization provides you with regard to upcoming freezing spray conditions?
 - A. I don't recall the Coast Guard telling us

anything about freezing spray, no, I don't. 1 2 weather forecast does, though. Is that what you're 3 asking, the weather or the Coast Guard? The National Weather Service or the Coast Guard? I think the 4 National Weather Service does. 5 Well, what I'm asking about is if -- is 6 7 there any guidance with regard to how to interpret and how you should act when you receive certain weather 8 forecasts? 9 10 Α. I don't recall. 11 MR. KARR: All right. Thank you. I have no 12 more questions.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Karr.

Ms. Spivak, do you have any questions regarding weather?

> MS. SPIVAK: No, sir.

CDR MULLER: I do not have any questions.

So with that we can move on to the next section, Mr. Gillette.

MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Commander.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY MR. GILLETTE

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Mr. Wilson, I would now like to focus on the accident voyage and related issues leading up to it. These questions are going to hit on a few different

items and various topics. I would first like to hear -- excuse me, I would first like to talk about some communications between yourself and Captain Hathaway sent via text message on your inReach mobile phones.

2.4

I'm displaying Exhibit 157, page 37. This is an inReach satellite text message transcript. On the very bottom there is a conversation you are having with Captain Hathaway on the 2nd of January, 2017 about sending a tote at coastal to King Cove. Can you provide us additional information on what was in that tote and what was the bottom line behind that conversation?

- A. No. I don't know what was in that tote, but I think maybe groceries or some parts that were for the boat. And they were shipped to Sand Point, I think, and he wanted them shipped to King Cove which is about 80 miles away.
 - Q. Did you send it to King Cove?
- A. I don't know what we did. You know, I got to think that after that conversation, that he may have gone to Sand Point anyway. So we may not have. I don't know. Well, I mean I know he went to Sand Point after that because he left from Sand Point on the 7th or 8th of January.

Q. All right. Now I'd like to show Exhibit
157, page 39. This is also an inReach satellite text
message transcript dated 12 January 2017, Captain
Hathaway says, can you check on when more squid will
be available. And you respond, they don't have bait
in Dutch. One boat is coming next week with a small
amount.

Can you provide us more additional information on what you were referring to?

2.4

- A. He wanted me to find someplace to buy squid. And I found a place in Dutch Harbor. I can't remember the name of that place now, but anyway, it was a lady I spoke to, and she just said they'll have a boat coming in with a small amount. Just like it says there.
- Q. What was the small amount you're speaking of?
- A. I don't know at that time what it was. Didn't say. Just said a small amount.
- Q. You didn't do any backup or follow up and find out how much bait that was?
- A. No because there would be no way of knowing.

 The boat -- as it said there, I remember talking to
 the girl, I forget her name now, said the boats were
 out fishing. They might come in with a small amount.

If you want to skip ahead to what they picked up in Dutch Harbor, I think it was about 7,000. I'm not sure on that, but approximately.

Q. Now I'd like to show Exhibit 158, page 14.

This is also an inReach satellite text message transcript. On February 2, 2017, you sent the message to Captain Hathaway reading, Ray says bring a lot of bait. Let me know if you get this.

Can you tell me who Ray is. And give me detail about what your conversation with Ray was?

- A. I think Ray had sent out an email. You might be able to check that someway. Ray Numera (phonetic) runs the processing plant in St. Paul, and he just indicated that he might not have a large supply of bait. He said, tell Jeff to bring more bait.
- Q. When he was saying, bring more bait, can you give me a timeframe? Was it during the Opilio season?
 - A. Yes, it was during the Opilio season.
- Q. Is this common that Ray passes on information through you to bring more bait?
- A. I don't know about common. I don't -- I couldn't say whether it was common or not. I can't remember the past years if he'd ever said that. He may have.

Q. Did he say what type of bait to bring or what the bait was to be used for?

- A. Well, the bait that's used is chosen by the Captain. I don't know what he meant, no.
- Q. Was Ray referring to bring your own bait or bring bait to Ray? Can you give a little more explanation or why Ray said, bring more bait?
- A. No. I don't know. But, you know, somebody can probably contact him and ask him what he meant. He just said, tell Jeff to bring more bait. So I passed that message on. I don't -- I want to think that it wouldn't be for anybody else, but himself, but I don't know.
- Q. Has the Destination ever stored bait up at Trident and in St. Paul?
- A. Yes, we probably did in the past. I don't remember, though.
- Q. Have you ever brought Trident bait that wasn't used aboard your vessel?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Can you share with us any insight that you may have about the Destination's voyage plan, when they left Sand Point?
- A. I can't add any more to what you saw on the track line. He just said he was going to King Cove.

I forget if that may have been for a tank check and then he was going to Dutch Harbor. That's about all I know about it. And then, you know, head up for the Opilio grounds.

- Q. What was the reason for going to King Cove?
- A. And I can't be held to it, but I think it was for what's called a tank check for the ADF&G, I think.
 - Q. Can you explain what a tank check is?
- A. Before they start a season, the fish and game would like to see that you don't already have a full boatload of crab. There may even be more to it than that. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if they were going to pick bait up there at Peter Pan?
 - A. I don't know.

2.4

- Q. Do you remember purchasing bait from Peter Pan?
- A. Over the years we purchased a lot of bait from Peter Pan, Trident. On this particular trip, we'll just look at the records. I don't remember right at this moment, but we may have or we may not have on bait. You're asking at Peter Pan?
- Q. Yes, I'm asking, since you made the purchases, if you know that bait was purchased in

Peter Pan in King Cove. That's what I'm asking.

A. We have purchased bait in King Cove at Peter Pan many times. I'm saying, I don't remember if they did it on this voyage we're discussing.

MR. GILLETTE: Commander, that's all of the questions I have on this topic.

CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Gillette.

Mr. Karr, do you have any questions regarding this topic.

MR. KARR: This is Mike Karr.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing)

BY MR. KARR

2.4

- Q. Mr. Wilson, earlier you mentioned the crew would change the cod pots to crab pots. Can you tell me how long that would take and how many crew members would be involved?
- A. I couldn't tell you. It's been so long since I did it. You know, I think Sand Point they laid in Sand Point, we can look at the records. They laid in Sand Point for several days. I think it was several days doing it. What it involved, though, was probably taking the cod triggers out of the tunnel. It's not a lot. I mean, I think that's all they do to convert to a crab pot is take the cod triggers out.
 - Q. And what's a cod trigger?

A. It's a device like a -- in this case the
ones we had were a long rectangular with triggers that
flop one way, which is in, so when the cod swam
through it, and turned around, tried to come back out,
they hit a trigger that wouldn't go the other way, it
only went, like a one-way door, like you have for your
pet maybe or something.

- Q. A spring-loaded door?
- A. Spring.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. So there's pressure.
- A. I think there was some spring to them, yes.
- Q. To the best of your knowledge, who -- can you describe the watch or who would have been in the wheelhouse as the vessel sailed from Dutch Harbor towards the -- towards St. Paul?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Can you tell me what kind of a watch system they would have had?
- A. That would have been a watch setup by the Captain. I don't know.
- MR. KARR: Those are all of the questions I have.
 - CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Karr.
- 24 Ms. Spivak?
- 25 MS. SPIVAK: No questions.

CDR MULLER: I have no further questions. 1 2 MR. GILLETTE: I have no follow-up 3 questions. CDR MULLER: Okay. The time right now is 4 2:30. We're going to take a ten-minute recess and 5 file back in at 2:40. 6 7 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) CDR MULLER: The time is now 2:45. 8 9 CDR MULLER: Good afternoon again. This 10 hearing will come to order. 11 Mr. Wilson, we just have a few remaining 12 questions for you. 13 Mr. Jim Gillette. MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Commander. 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing.) BY MR. GILLETTE 16 17 Mr. Wilson, in your last conversation with 18 Captain Hathaway, was anything mentioned about weather 19 or crew fatigue or any comments about the entire --20 from fishing cod and then going into the Opilio season 21 so quickly? 22 No, we did not. He did mention weather was 23 pretty nice on the day that we -- no, he had told me 2.4 he was going to leave fairly early on Thursday, 25 6:00/7:00 in the evening and that's the same time

about the gasket that he was going to get. And then they were leaving. I just remember the weather was pretty nice. And I don't know what it was, I just went by his statement.

- Q. Can you help me a little with the understanding of what's pretty nice? I know, you know, living down here in the states, you know, the weather is different at different locations and I know up in Alaska we may have a different opinion of what's nice. So can you explain to me what's nice, by the conversation?
- A. You know, I really don't know what he meant by that. I just assumed that the weather was not blowing and maybe not even icing conditions. I just know he said it was pretty nice.
- Q. Do you follow any of the weather up there? Where were you on the 11th of February?
 - A. I was in eastern Washington, Lake Manache.
- Q. Do you follow any of the weather channel or any weather reports on what's going on in Alaska when the Destination is up there fishing?
 - A. No.

2.4

Q. Okay. I'd now like to ask a question about bait and going back to purchasing. I'm a little confused about what you were saying. Earlier you were

saying that the vessel would go out and purchase items on a card and then you would pay for it. So I'm confused with the bait.

- A. No. I never said on a card.
- Q. Okay.

2.4

- A. Is that what you said, a card?
- Q. Okay. Can you explain to me how bait is purchased on the Destination?
- A. I have to think that the Captain would go tie up to a dock where the bait is available. Call in, if he wanted to go through Peter Pan, you know, call somebody there and say we need X amount of pounds of bait, and they would deliver it. Then the bill would come to me much later.
- Q. Do you remember receiving a bill from Peter Pan?
- A. I think I stated earlier I received so many bills from Peter Pan, I don't explicitly know this one. There may have been bills from them. I have a lot, I'm sure we can make it a record, I see on the witness that Mr. Dale Schwartzmiller (phonetic). I don't know who Schiffler is. Maybe it's misspelled. Though he'll be able to tell, I think, what bait was purchased on what date.
 - Q. Are you aware of how much bait was purchased

during cod season?

- A. I would only know that after the fact by the settlements that the bookkeeper makes up and I haven't -- I don't have those numbers in my head.
- Q. After the incident, have you went back to through your invoices and looked at them to see what you've purchased?
 - A. No.
- Q. I realize that we've asked you a lot of questions on a lot of topics, but I would like to ask you if there is something we may have missed that you would like to include for this public hearing as well as asking you if there is anyone not listed on our hearing list that we should speak to?
- A. I can't think of any, but, you know, as I'm sitting here right now in front of you, but if I do think of something I could pass it on to Svetlana maybe, but right at this moment I can't think of anyone.
- MR. GILLETTE: Thank you, Mr. Wilson. Commander, that's all of the questions I have.
 - CDR MULLER: Thank you, Mr. Gillette.
- 23 Mike Karr, NTSB.
- MR. KARR: No questions, Commander.
- 25 CDR MULLER: Thank you. Ms. Spivak.

1 MS. SPIVAK: No questions.

2.4

CDR MULLER: I have one or two follow-up questions.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing.)
BY CDR MULLER

Q. In your experience, either as a fisherman talking with other vessel masters, captains and particularly Jeff, in terms of when they mentioned weather, and mentions the weather is fine, what -- can you place any context? I mean, there's weather about, you know, the current place and situation. And then there is weather forecasts and actual weather that one may anticipate a day, two days, three days from now in an entire different location hundreds of miles away.

So with your conversations or in your experience, is that kind of conversation happening about projected weather patterns? Not just the then here and now?

- A. As far as when I fished, you were talking about? Is that what you meant when I fished?
- Q. Your experience and as well as in the examples with Jeff?
- A. Well, you know, when I fished and they had weather forecasts back then I found them to be fairly unreliable. We could -- better than we could predict

by looking out the window, you know, we had to go by the weather predictions. I'm sure Jeff did, too go by the weather predictions. As far as the accuracy of weather predictions, I don't know.

2.4

- Q. Okay. Switching a little bit more to the bait, and can you explain the process -- well, you explained the process of how you pay for the bait, eventually the bill catches up to you. But if you can make a connection with the contracts. Let me ask it this way: I heard that you don't necessarily categorize or keep track of how much bait or how much money they're spending. Is there a certain amount that would alarm you? Like, let's say is it 20,000 pounds, 30,000 pounds in a month, X amount of thousands of dollars; would any one of those amounts raise your attention?
- A. Of course I, you know, do keep track of the monetary value of the bait, but I have no input into telling someone like Jeff on the boat to use less bait. That is a personal judgment of the Captain, and to go on a general term I can tell that you cod fishing takes a lot more bait. So I know that in general that cod fishing takes a lot more bait. I can't quote any pounds or dollars off the top of my head, but those are records that are readily available

to you. If you can't get them, I can help. You'll have guy here named Dale Schwartzmiller from Peter Pan, I'll bet they have records of it. But no, I have no -- you know, I just know generally cod fishing takes more bait than crab.

- Q. So can you confirm then, though, at the end of a season for a particular species of crab, which might be any number of a few weeks or a month, at the end of that season, it's the crew that eventually pays for all of the bait; is that right?
- A. Partially so. Mostly paid by the boat owner. We take the fuel off the top of the gross stock on this boat.
- Q. Okay. What about the bait purchases? Do you purchase the bait or do you tax your employees, so to speak, the crew members, before they get paid?

 Does the bait cost come off the top as well just like fuel and food?
- A. Just like fuel. Fuel and bait come off the top. The crew does purchase their own food. So the bill, when the crew goes and gets food, for example, they put it on the boat later, maybe a month later, I get the bill and pay it. But we then back bill the crew on their settlement. That's the food. The fuel is just taken right off the -- so the person, yes,

does write the check, not necessarily write a check, the cannery that we buy the fuel from just takes it off of our pay.

- Q. Right. All right. So in practical terms, you might monitor the amount of fuel and bait, in other words the expenditures, to make sure your bank account is still able to absorb those, has enough cash to maintain those operations. But essentially, you will re-collect, essentially, those costs after you've taken off from the profits?
 - A. And what's the question?

2.4

- Q. Well, it wasn't a question. I'm just confirming basically in simple terms the crew pays for the bait at the end?
- A. Part of it. Let's just use a rough, if the crew share is paid 35 percent of whatever it might be, they would amongst all of them pay 35 percent of the fuel and bait. And the owner of the boat would pay the other 65 percent. That's essentially taking the fuel and the bait off the top of the gross stock.

CDR MULLER: Okay. Understood.

Okay. Is that note for me?

MR. KARR: Can I follow-up on your questions.

CDR MULLER: Okay. Mr. Karr, NTSB any

follow-up questions? 1 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing.) 3 BY MR. KARR I just want to square away the math on this 4 5 issue of who pays for what. I'm looking at the crew contract and I think I was confused by a little note 6 in here that says, crew pays for food. 7 That's correct. 8 Α. 9 Q. Right. So and then the last sentence says, 10 the net proceeds from the sale of the catch of the 11 vessel is the defined as the gross proceeds from the sale of the catch of the vessel less deduction for 12 13 bait and fuel? 14 That's correct, but not all of it. It comes 15 off the top. Not all of it comes off the top? 16 Q. 17 Α. All of it comes off the top. So how can I 18 19 That explains it. That explains it. So if, Q. 20 yes, that explains it. 21 CDR MULLER: Anything further, Mike? 22 MR. KARR: Nothing. 23 Okay. Mr. Gillette. CDR MULLER: 2.4 MR. GILLETTE: Nothing further. 25 CDR MULLER: Okay. Ms. Spivak.

MS. SPIVAK: Nothing further.

2.4

CDR MULLER: Mr. Wilson, this concludes our questions for your testimony for today. I know it's been a long day so we absolutely appreciate your participation and your patience, and the information you provided to the Marine Board today.

Just want to take a quick opportunity again to express on behalf of the board our condolences. Is there anything else you would like to add for the record before I close?

THE WITNESS: I can't thing of anything at the moment. I can still say as I was in February to this day, I'm still in shock.

If I can think of something later that could help the investigation, I will pass it onto you or to Svetlana.

CDR MULLER: Thank you.

With that, we are now complete with your testimony for today; however, I anticipate that you may be recalled to provide additional testimony at a later date. If we decide to recall you, we will contact Ms. Spivak. Therefore, I am not releasing you from your testimony at this time. And you will remain under oath. Please do not discuss your testimony or this case with anyone other than your counsel, the

NTSB or members of the Coast Guard Marine Board. you have any questions about this, you may contact my legal advisor, Commander Tamara Wallen. So we will recess for the day and reconvene tomorrow at 9:00 in the morning. That is all. Thank you. (Whereupon, the hearing adjourned for the evening at 3:15 p.m.)

Τ.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Jeannie A. Milio, Registered Professional
Reporter, an Official Court Reporter for the United
States Coast Guard, do hereby certify that I
stenographically recorded the proceedings in United
States Coast Guard's Marine Board of Investigation
Formal Hearing RE: Fishing Vessel Destination, held on
August 7, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. (PT) at Henry M. Jackson
Federal Building, U.S. Coast Guard Thirteenth
District, 915 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington
before the U.S.C.G. Marine Board of Investigation.

I further certify that the page numbers I-1 through I-126 constitute an official transcript of the proceedings as transcribed by me from my stenographic notes to the within typewritten matter in a complete and accurate manner.

In witness whereof, I have affixed my signature this 7th day of September, 2017.

Jeannie A. Milio, RPR

Official Court Reporter