file:///Cl/Edmonto	m%20Interviev	vs/FOLKEMA	in130 10 txt
mc.///C//Edinomo	111 / 02 O HILLE VIC V		iuijo.io.tat

ENBRIDGE PIPELINES INC.

INTERVIEW

OF

RICHARD FOLKEMA

Tyler W. Tollefson, Esq. Senior Legal Counsel for Enbridge Pipelines Inc.

Curt Goeson Supervisor - Control Centre

Operations for Enbridge

Pipelines Inc.

Stephen M. Jenner, Ph.D. For National Transportation Safety Board

Rick Gulstad, PE and Karen Butler

For U.S. Department of

Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety

Administration

Carissa L. Stabbler, CSR(A) Court Reporter

Edmonton, Alberta, Canada July 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTIONS	3	
QUESTIONS BY MR. JENNER		3
QUESTIONS BY MS. BUTLER		17
QUESTIONS BY MR. GULSTAD		31
QUESTIONS BY MR. JENNER		33
QUESTIONS BY MS. BUTLER		36
CLOSING BY MR. JENNER		36
CERTIFICATE	38	

- 2 MR. JENNER: Good morning. Today is
- Friday, July 30th, 2010. My name is Stephen
- 4 Jenner, and I'm an investigator with the National
- 5 Transportation Safety Board in Washington, D.C. We
- 6 are currently in Edmonton, Canada at the Crowne
- 7 Plaza Hotel. We're here in regards to a pipeline
- 8 spill near Marshall, Michigan that occurred on July
- 9 26, 2010.
- 10 I'll go around the room and have everyone
- 11 introduce themselves.
- 12 MR. GULSTAD: I'm Rick Gulstad. I'm an
- engineer with Pipeline and Hazardous Material
- 14 Safety Administration, PHMSA,
- 15
- 16 MR. TOLLEFSON: I'm Tyler Tollefson, senior
- 17 legal counsel with Enbridge Pipelines here in

- 18 Edmonton.
- 19 MR. GOESON: I'm Curt Goeson, control
- 20 centre supervisor with Enbridge Pipelines.
- 21 MR. FOLKEMA: I'm Richard Folkema, a control
- centre engineer at Enbridge Pipelines.
- 23 MS. BUTLER: Karen Butler, PHMSA
- 24 regional
- project manager.
- 26 MR. JENNER: Okay, thank you.
- 27 QUESTIONS BY MR. JENNER:

- 1 Q MR. JENNER: Richard, just for the record,
- 2 can you spell your first and last name.
- 3 A Sure. It's Richard Folkema, R-I-C-H-A-R-D. Last
- 4 name, F-O-L-K-E-M-A.
- 5 Q Did I hear you state that you're a control
- 6 engineer?
- 7 A Control centre engineer.
- 8 Q Control centre engineer. And who are you employed
- 9 by?
- 10 A Enbridge Pipelines.
- 11 Q I'd love to hear a little about your background.
- When did you come to Enbridge?
- 13 A Sure. I graduated in 2004 from mechanical
- engineering here in Alberta, and I came to Enbridge
- in January of 2006. I've been with the control
- centre since the fall of 2008.
- 17 Q So coming up on two years?

- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q How have things been for you?
- 20 A It's been -- it's been a learning experience.
- 21 Q Okay. Are there different levels of control centre
- engineers, control engineer 1, 2, 3, A, B, C,
- anything like that?
- 24 A There's a supervisory position within the group,
- and there's no distinction other than that.
- There's control centre engineers and a supervisor
- 27 for the team.

1	Q Just in general, what are your daily duties?
2	A Sure. One aspect of our job is ensuring the
3	adequateness of the pressure protection system
4	which Enbridge uses. That's one aspect of our job
5	and managing change when that's needed.
6	A large part of our job is I would explain
7	it's a it's a scheduling function. So a large
8	part of what we do is managing planned and
9	unplanned maintenance on the Enbridge system and
10	being being able to look that over for the scope
11	of that work in an engineering aspect.
12	A large part of our job is also supporting
13	improvements, either noting either being
14	initiators of that change or being a resource in
15	working towards objectives that have already been
16	defined.

17 Q You're a busy guy.

- Okay. What I'd like to do is discuss your
- 19 activities on -- during your shift on Monday.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Could you just start us off, what time you reported
- for work on Monday.
- 23 A Sure. I had a -- I reported to work at about 9
- o'clock or 8, 8:30, somewhere in that time frame,
- and previously on the way to work, I had received a
- call from the shift lead stating that there was a
- suspected leak on 6B. And having noted that, it

1	put some impetus on, you know, providing some
2	support to that.
3	Activities on that day once I got to work,
4	there was some ongoing discussions as I came in of
5	observations of where we were. And talking to
6	those there, I the first objective when I came
7	to work would be to look at how we could support
8	this and in helping to determine if there was a
9	leak or not.
10	Q Let me backtrack a little. When was the first time
11	that you were contacted in regards to line 6B in
12	the last I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that. Is
13	this the first time that you were contacted in
14	regards to line 6B operations in the last day or
15	two?
16	A Yes. On my way to work, like, physically on the

train, I received a phone call saying that there

- was a -- there was a potential leak and...
- 19 Q Had you worked the day before?
- 20 A The day before was Sunday, so, no, I did not work
- 21 the day before. Typically our hours here are more
- conducive to, you know, Monday to Friday type of
- arrangement. Being in this role, there is support
- after hours, and there's a management system for
- 25 that.
- 26 Q On Sunday, I'm not sure where they -- the line had
- been scheduled shutdown, and then they were

- 1 planning times to start it up again. You weren't
- 2 contacted regarding those operations?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q So Monday on your way to work is the first time you
- 5 were contacted about line 6B?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And if you can just -- you use the term
- 8 about possibility of a leak?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And who -- I'm sorry. Who did you speak to?
- 11 A That would have been the shift lead.
- 12 Q I know there are two. Which --
- 13 A Oh, I believe it was Brad.
- 14 Q This phone call, what time did you receive the
- 15 phone call?
- 16 A It was on my way to work. I believe I came to work
- slightly before 9 o'clock, and so it would have

- been around 9 -- or 8:30 or so placing it from
- where I would have been on the train.
- 20 Q Around 8:30 local time?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Okay. And the best you can, I know you just
- summarized in as much detail, but how Brad
- approached the situation to you.
- 25 A I believe it was -- there was a -- there was a
- suspected leak or column separation. They were
- looking at any feedback that I could provide in

14

15

16

17

2	statement and just knowledge that it would be
3	appreciated if I could give any support that I
4	could.
5	Q Okay. Were you able to give any support while you
6	were on the train going to work?
7	A No. I think once we got to work and my main
8	objective was to start looking at some of the
9	historical data to see if I, you know, could shed
10	some light on the on the subject. And in the
11	process of gathering historical information and
12	having a look, it was during that process that it
13	was confirmed that there was a leak, that the

helping them judge that, and it was a fairly brief

field, the region as we call it, confirmed that

Q Now, was -- did you see your responsibility as

being including determining if the problem is

there was a leak on the system.

18 related to a leak versus related to a column separation? Is that why you were called upon? 19 A I think in this context, you know, you're there to 20 21 support the control centre in any situation that 22 comes up. So regardless of what the situation, 23 this included, if you're able to provide some input 24 and some judgment, then that's what we're going to 25 do. It's -- the job definition is not as rigid or 26 it's -- it's more open to interpretation than you

A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.

Phone: (780) 497-4223

support the control centre as best of your

1	abilities.
2	Q So you are capable of determining from the data
3	that you look, the historical data and whatever
4	numbers you're looking at, or are you able to
5	determine if it's a leak versus a column
6	separation?
7	A I would provide my best judgment. It's it's not
8	something I have done frequently before, but it's
9	something I would at least provide my opinion on to
10	provide any input that I could.
11	Q So you arrived and I guess you hit the ground
12	running. Did you start immediately looking into
13	this?
14	A Yes, it seemed like it was a very high priority
15	thing, so I started started to look at
16	historical information and build some charts using

17

the historicals that we have. And it was within

- that process of the building and compiling of
- information to provide some judgment that it was
- 20 confirmed that there was a leak.
- 21 Q Now, if you would, what type of historical data are
- you looking at?
- 23 A I'd be looking at PI.
- 24 Q At PI?
- 25 A We have a PI historical database.
- 26 Q If you could help me out with that.
- 27 A Sure. PI is a software system used commonly in

- 1 process industries and in pipeline. It -- a great
- 2 feature of it is it provides historical information
- 3 of events that happen.
- 4 So it's a package software system, and there's
- 5 a lot of historical information that Enbridge
- 6 stores on the historian on it that can help you
- 7 review, you know, past events and see the
- 8 operational data that was recorded.
- 9 Q So can you -- you pull from the data that was
- available on line 6B. Are you pulling from SCADA
- 11 data?
- 12 A Essentially, yes.
- 13 Q Is there any particular data -- is there any data
- in particular that you're focusing on, pressures,
- pumps, suction?
- 16 A Having -- I guess in this case, just to understand
- what's going on, just focusing on station pressure

- and flow rates and seeing -- seeing if you could
- build some information to help make any
- 20 observations that would support -- provide any
- 21 contacts to -- to the situation.
- 22 Q Did you get a chance to run the PI software?
- 23 A It -- I spent the majority of time just finding --
- building the actual -- most of my time was spent
- just building the charts in order to have a look
- and thinking of what I would want to look at if
- this was the situation, and I really didn't come to

- any reviewable set of data before it was confirmed
- 2 that there is a leak on the system.
- 3 Q Okay. So you were in the process of doing that --
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q -- when you got word that -- you got confirmation
- 6 that there was a leak. And what was that
- 7 confirmation?
- 8 A I believe -- I don't recall to be perfectly honest.
- 9 Q Did someone come to you and say, "We think we have
- 10 a leak that" --
- 11 A I think -- I think the shift lead sent out an
- 12 update saying that the region had confirmed that --
- and by an update, I mean an e-mail based on the
- incident saying that there was a confirmed leak.
- 15 Q Okay. So at that point, are you -- are you done
- trying to build your models?
- 17 A I guess at that point, I still continued to look at

- data that day to just make any observations. Like,
- 19 the context wasn't more trying to determine if
- there could have been a leak. The effort was to
- 21 look at information to see if there's any
- observations I can bring forward that might help
- support, you know, the actions that are being taken
- due to the leak.
- 25 Q Are you called upon to help determine the time of
- the leak, when it had first occurred?
- 27 A I -- I was in the process of looking for that

- 1 information, yes.
- 2 Q Were you able to complete that information?
- 3 A Yes. I think in -- in my thoughts, it was the --
- 4 on the initial shutdown the night before, which the
- 5 exact moment in time -- sorry, I'm trying to
- 6 remember. I didn't have that figure written down.
- 7 I'm believing it was 1458 MST is when I suggested
- 8 that the leak could have occurred. But I'd like to
- 9 verify that.
- 10 Q Fair enough.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q And this would be on Sunday?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q The day before?
- 15 A Yeah.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A And that was just based off of -- it seemed like

- there was a pretty large change in the pressure
- profile in the pipeline at that time.
- 20 Q What stood out?
- 21 A Pardon?
- 22 Q What stood out in the large change in profile?
- What was significant about that time?
- 24 A What is significant is there was a large change
- 25 that I couldn't -- that fit best to me with the
- event occurring at that time.
- 27 Q Okay. Is that a large change in pressure?

- 1 A Yes, pressure.
- 2 Q Okay. Had you seen similar -- in your analyses for
- 3 other things in your experience, have you seen any
- 4 of this type of large change in pressures before?
- 5 A Exactly like that? I would say it did stand out.
- 6 Q This one did seem unique?
- 7 A And that's -- at least it seemed like something
- 8 that needed to be explained, and that's why -- just
- 9 based on the balance of events, that's when I
- suggested that that could have been the time when
- 11 the leak occurred.
- 12 Q Okay. Okay. So what other activities did you do
- or were you asked to perform --
- 14 A Sure.
- 15 Q -- as things were unfolding?
- 16 A Sure. I guess a part of what my focus would be is
- 17 to provide information to groups. So during the

18 course of events, I'm kind of the main CCO contact 19 for both the pipeline integrity and for -- and for 20 the facilities management group within Enbridge. 21 So working to, you know, provide information 22 requests to those groups based on historical 23 information as I interpreted it. 24 Other functions would be involvement in 25 looking at what might be required for repairing the 26 site in question. That's something that I will be 27 involved in and, you know, having a safe procedure

A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.

- 1 to do that. Other functions include -- time was
- 2 spent just verifying information is available.
- 3 That was pretty much my ability to help the
- 4 situation on -- this week.
- 5 Q Are part of your duties include determining why a
- 6 leak may have occurred?
- 7 A I guess I'd probably be, you know, a person of
- 8 input if that discussion was -- was being made.
- 9 Nothing really stood out in my mind just looking at
- 10 the historical information.
- 11 Q In the future, it's possible you'll be called upon
- 12 for your expertise in this?
- 13 A It is possible, yes. That would seem to be a
- service that we could provide on the CCO
- engineering team.
- 16 Q Okay. Are there other activities that you were
- involved in on this day in response to this?

- 18 A I guess looking at -- primarily those would be the
- large functions that I'd be able to help with, yes.
- 20 Q Okay. Have you ever experienced anything like
- 21 this, a leak before?
- 22 A I have supported the team on some other leaks at
- 23 different points. Nothing -- nothing to this
- 24 magnitude.
- 25 Q Were you -- in those other situations, was it
- similar to this where you started to use the PI
- program to run your data and help analyze if a leak

1	exists?
2	A I'm just recalling those those events. I
3	don't I don't recall my involvement in using PI
4	on those, but the severity of those leaks were a
5	lot less. So the focus on those was more
6	communicating the communicating the changes
7	needed as a company to accommodate the repair of
8	those sites and supporting the actual procedures
9	operationally to put that equipment back into
10	service once once that time was suitable.
11	Q Okay. Now, when you were running your you went
12	back and looked at this period around 1458?
13	A Sure.
14	Q And in your mind, this is one area that could be
15	the start of the leak. Is there any reason for you
16	to conclude that versus a column separation?

17 A You know, I -- I don't think I could have -- can

- you articulate the question a little bit more
- 19 actually?
- 20 Q Right. Some of the people we talked to before are
- addressing this issue as a column separation. Are
- you familiar with that term?
- 23 A Sure. I guess -- and that's what my involvement
- was is, you know, they're unsure if there was -- if
- 25 there was any indication if it could have been a
- column leak or something else.
- 27 Q Right.

- 1 A And to the best of my abilities, my involvement in
- 2 PI was to, you know, shed any observations that I'm
- 3 able to make. It's probably something I haven't
- 4 done to that extent before, and I would probably be
- 5 unable to -- I guess that would be my answer to
- 6 that question.
- 7 Q Okay. I'll rephrase it a little different.
- 8 A Sure.
- 9 Q You're looking at some data that you suggest a
- 10 potential leak?
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q And other people, based on whatever data was
- available to them, are looking at this as a column
- separation.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q So I'm trying to understand why some people go in
- one direction for a column separation, and you went

- in the direction of a potential leak.
- 19 A I guess -- I guess it could have been explained
- as -- you know, you could have observed that as a
- column separation as well just on the balance of
- factors up to that point. I -- you know, I put it
- forward as something for consideration that there
- was a large pressure drop here that -- that, you
- know, could have been indicative of both, but it's
- something we should consider of this is a time when
- the event could have occurred.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A I'm not -- yeah, I guess I'm not -- I'm not an
- 3 expert in that. You just do your best and provide
- 4 what observations you can.
- 5 Q I'm interested -- it interests me why the
- 6 possibility of a leak --
- 7 A Sure.
- 8 Q -- seemed more a stronger possibility in your
- 9 mind -- at least that's what I'm reading -- than it
- is for others.
- 11 A I guess -- I guess that's a time that I'm -- I'm
- sorry. I'm not articulating this well. Just, I
- guess, in my head that's a time when it could have
- occurred. Just there's a large drop in pressure
- there. And based on the information available,
- it's something -- it's something that needs to be
- 17 explained.

- 18 Q Great. Thank you.
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 Q That's the questions I have for this time, and I'm
- sure other people have questions, and we'll just go
- around the room.
- 23 A Sure.
- 24 MR. JENNER: Karen, do you have some
- 25 questions?
- 26 MS. BUTLER: I do.
- 27 QUESTIONS BY MS. BUTLER:

- 1 Q MS. BUTLER: When you saw this sharp
- 2 pressure drop, can you tell me the location?
- 3 A Sure. The -- right on Marshall discharge, we -- we
- 4 have, you know, discharge pressure transmitters,
- 5 and those are in close proximity to the leak site
- 6 as it was confirmed afterwards. And those -- those
- 7 were the transmitters that I was looking at the
- 8 discharge on Marshall station.
- 9 Q Okay. Is there also flow transmitters at that
- 10 location?
- 11 A There is not a flow metre in Marshall that I'm
- 12 aware of.
- 13 Q Is that -- would you have pulled flow data as well
- 14 for your tables?
- 15 A I guess in the process, I do believe I looked at
- 16 flow coming out of Griffith at the time, so I think
- the nearest transmitter for flow was at Griffith.

- And that's at the start of the pipeline.
- 19 Q All right. And when you do these types of things,
- what tools do you use? I clearly understand that
- you're using a PI Historian for retrieving the data
- from, but what other tools are you using to analyze
- 23 the data?
- 24 A Basically any observations I tried to make were
- from using PI Historian.
- 26 Q So, for example, would you take a pressure over
- time and compare that to pressure currently at

- 1 various stations for various flows? Would that be
- 2 the type of comparison you're doing?
- 3 A Just taking the data available and seeing how it
- 4 changed over time.
- 5 Q Okay. So data changes over time. What types of
- 6 data besides pressure trends would you be looking
- 7 at?
- 8 A The flow out of Griffith interested me. What else
- 9 was there? Those -- primarily any observations I
- would have made, I would have been interested in
- 11 flow and pressure, so at stations along the line.
- 12 Q Do you have the capability of looking at any point
- 13 system, SCADA system?
- 14 A PI Historian, like most data points on the system,
- are stored in the historian, and a lot of the
- information that we have, it's stored in a PI
- 17 Historian.

- 18 Q Okay. And so if you wanted to know whether or not
- 19 they -- that particular pressure had gone into an
- alarm state, would you be able to pull that as
- 21 well?
- 22 A What do you mean by a pressure going into an alarm
- 23 state?
- 24 Q Where there's an alarm indication on the console
- 25 that this is not normal.
- 26 A I think alarms -- I don't believe alarms -- I'm not
- a world expert on PI, but I believe alarms are not

- 1 stored in the PI Historian.
- 2 Q Okay. So that's not like something you would make
- 3 part of your analysis normally?
- 4 A That is not something PI, that I'm aware of, has
- 5 the ability to do.
- 6 Q Okay. So regarding calculations of flow or, like,
- 7 changes that may have happened in the product or if
- 8 there's been some corruption of the product, are
- 9 you looking at any other tools or just looking at
- the data through PI?
- 11 A That's -- that's the primary tool that I have
- 12 access to.
- 13 Q Would you be doing any line-loss calculations?
- 14 A In -- in what -- in what regard?
- 15 Q Maybe looking at volume, that unexplained loss or
- gain, trying to determine if there's something that
- was needed for pack or if there was something that

18 was needed for, say, horsepower requirement, more 19 energy to move the product, that type of thing. A I guess our -- like, there -- there needs to be a 20 21 procedure for our return to service here, and some 22 of those aspects would fall -- fall within 23 information that needed -- would need to be 24 conveyed in their return to service document. 25 But the primary modelling tool for Enbridge is 26 managed by another group, and their -- and their

A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.

Phone: (780) 497-4223

sole -- their sole purpose really is to -- is to

1	407.740	41-04	software
	rıın	that	SOTTWARE

- 2 Q Do you know what that modelling software is?
- 3 A It's an internal modelling software. I believe the
- 4 acronym for it is BSS.
- 5 Q Do you know if that internal modelling software is
- at all related to the leak detection system?
- 7 A It -- it probably wouldn't have any connectivity to
- 8 that.
- 9 Q So since you helped coordinate maintenance
- activities with the controller, if I understood
- 11 correctly --
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q -- if there's something that's going on
- maintenance, they were replacing some pipe and that
- could -- through a different diameter, and that
- could impact both the modelling software and the
- leak detection software, are you responsible for

- communicating that, or is someone else responsible
- 19 for communicating that, or how does that work?
- 20 A Can you -- I'm sorry. I kind of didn't quite catch
- 21 what you were getting at there.
- 22 Q Okay. I think you're responsible for helping
- 23 coordinate maintenance activities?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q I assume that you're helping it coordinate from the
- impact that it might have on the control room;
- 27 correct?

- 1 A Yes, operability and capacity.
- 2 Q Okay. So sometimes we do maintenance activities on
- 3 the pipeline that can actually impact capacity?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q You know, it could be a pressure restriction that's
- 6 imposed because of something found in integrity
- 7 management?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Or sometimes we're doing things. Like, we need to
- relocate some pipes, and while we're relocating it,
- we're going to increase the size in that particular
- area, or we're going to change the wall thickness
- of what's there. And as a result of that, that
- could impact modelling software that's looking at
- pressure profiles or trying to explain volume that
- 16 could also impact --
- 17 A Yes.

- 18 Q -- leak detection equipment, right, or calculation?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q So from your perspective, since those are, like,
- 21 handled in different models and with what you do,
- what role do you have to help keep that the same?
- 23 Maybe you actually had no role. That's up to --
- 24 A I do -- I do have a role in keeping that in sync.
- 25 Q Okay. How do we do that?
- 26 A If -- if there's a lot of maintenance, it has more
- to do with integrity management of the pipeline

1	itself. In that regards, there's a process by
2	by which, you know, the changes to, you know, the
3	allowable pressures of what the system operate kind
4	of a flow-through through the control centre, and
5	in that process, the hydraulic modelling tools that
6	we talked about earlier are kind of kept up to
7	date.
8	So we kind of manage that process of change
9	from the initial imposition of that all the way to
10	ensuring that changes were managed and that any
11	changes in operability are managed as well.
12	Q I heard "operability," but I never heard anything
13	associated with the leak detection.
14	A Sure. So I guess that was a comment more on
15	integrity management. That's a pretty large
16	portion of the work that you would describe.

17 Q Right, but if there was a -- if there was a

- pressure restriction, would that also get passed to
- the leak detection equipment?
- 20 A Any -- any -- the -- you know, basically the
- boundary conditions for that leak detection
- software is going to be kept in sync with the --
- with the hydraulic modelling information that
- basically sets the safe limits on the system.
- So in working through that change and
- documenting that change of basically operable
- 27 limits of how our stations run, that information

			_		
1	l would sync	hools to	to the	modalling	aralin
	i would sylic	Dack to	- 10 1115	11100061111118	210ub.

- 2 Q I thought that I -- if you know, I'm just going to
- 3 ask you for confirmation. If you don't, that's
- 4 fine too. The leak detection system that you guys
- 5 have, it is internally based also, isn't it? Like,
- 6 you developed it, or is it an external software
- 7 product?
- 8 A I believe it's a variant of the -- what's commonly
- 9 called is the stoner (ph) modelling systems. But
- 10 Enbridge does have a lot of expertise in managing
- 11 those systems, so I believe we have a -- we --
- we -- we have quite a bit of control on how that
- stuff is modelled.
- 14 Q Okay, thank you.
- We've talked a little bit about alarms.
- 16 You've explained that you help coordinate
- maintenance that's going on in the field. Was

- there any planned maintenance going on for the
- weekend that could have impacted 6B?
- 20 A There's an ongoing integrity management system for
- 21 line 6B. I -- those -- those shifts were likely
- working through -- through to the weekend on the
- sites where they had arranged for work. I'm -- I'm
- not aware of any other maintenance on 6B that was
- occurring in that time frame.
- 26 Q Okay, and when we're talking about that --
- 27 A Oh, oh, one thing that we would consider

- 1 maintenance is is a tool run. There was an ongoing
- 2 tool run in the line.
- 3 Q Okay. And when we're talking about integrity
- 4 management through the weekend, we're talking about
- 5 exploratory digs or complication digs. Is that
- 6 what you meant?
- 7 A There -- there's an ongoing dig program on 6B, and
- 8 they were working at -- they were likely working or
- 9 had the authorization to work at several sites.
- 10 Q So talk to me a little bit about the dig program.
- 11 Is that the result of other pig runs, and they're
- digging up sites to confirm --
- 13 A You'd have -- you'd be -- you'd have to speak to
- someone in pipeline integrity to comment on that.
- 15 Q I understand that part, okay.
- All right, and so we had a pig run, and we had
- some integrity digs, but probably nothing other

- than that was going on; is that fair?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. Was there maintenance going on in the field
- over the weekend on any of the other line segments
- or lines that would be under that same console? If
- 23 I've got that right, it's 6A, B, what, 17?
- 24 MS. BUTLER: Help me out, Curt.
- 25 MR. GOESON: That's correct, line 3, 6A,
- 26 6B, and 17.
- 27 A I don't -- I don't recall. I know on line 17 there

- 1 wasn't any work. I'd -- we'd have to verify for
- 2 the other ones. I don't have that information in
- 3 my head right now.
- 4 Q MS. BUTLER: Okay. All right, so since the
- 5 leak has happened, have you gone ahead and finished
- 6 your tables and your assessment of data?
- 7 A I guess -- I'm not, you know, a trained expert in
- 8 qualifications, and any observations I've made is,
- 9 you know, not something specific to my job
- description, and I'm not working towards producing
- a final report in any way.
- 12 Q Okay. Did you find any observations besides the
- historical pressure -- or the historian pressure,
- 14 I'm sorry, at Marshall?
- 15 A One -- one function I did was I requested pressure
- data from the field recorders and just did my best
- to validate that information to the PI historicals,

- and there seemed to be fairly good agreement with
 what we were looking at.

 And other information inquiries I've done have
 related to requests or contextual kind of
 information sets for how we were running prior to
 shutdown, and I believe that relates to the action
- order in which those -- that information has to be
- determined.
- 26 Q Okay, gotcha. So did you help them determine a
- pressure that may be a minimal requirement based on

- 1 the corrective action order?
- 2 A I was involved in searching that data and the
- 3 groups involved, the facilities management and
- 4 pipeline integrity. I'm -- I'm the control centre
- 5 contact for their support of that process.
- 6 Q Okay. Did you have any involvement in the volume
- 7 calculations regarding the release amount?
- 8 A That -- that would more fall under the other --
- 9 other individuals.
- 10 Q Okay. When you mentioned that you helped support
- changes that are needed kind of in that control
- 12 room --
- 13 A Sure.
- 14 Q -- are there -- are there any recent changes that
- 15 you've been asking for or wanted support with?
- 16 A That's -- can you be a little bit more specific?
- 17 Q Well, maybe it's because I don't know the types of

18 changes that you request, but I'm guessing from the 19 types of things you do you might request -- gosh, 20 you know, we're always doing maintenance on this 21 line segment, and we've been there four or five 22 times, and why don't we just try and change out, 23 you know, 2 miles of it instead of 50 joints -- or, 24 I'm sorry, instead of 50 feet, and as a result of 25 that, I'll put in a change request at the control 26 centre because it's on a specific line. Is that 27 types of changes, that type of thing something you

A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.

1	run into?
2	A A lot of the changes that I've been involved in are
3	a lot simpler than that.
4	Q Okay, give me an example.
5	A Perhaps they might relate to, you know you know,
6	looking creating you know, say if there's a
7	line where you have a very big difference in
8	products, and you're having issues with downtime
9	due to pump seals failing, perhaps you would
10	initiate, you know, an investigation into what
11	why those seals aren't performing as they you
12	know, as you would expect them to and kind of work
13	in conjunction with, you know, members of what we
14	would call the field or the region for, you know,
15	identifying problems and working to qualify them
16	and supporting them if needed.
17	And that's kind of a two-way thing. If they

- have things that they see of how the system could
- 19 run better, then I'm -- I'm -- you know, sometimes
- you lead, and sometimes you support. And that's --
- and that's a more fluid aspect of my role.
- 22 Q Okay, well, thanks for that explanation.
- So regarding types of changes, have you
- requested anything or been involved in any review
- or analysis of something associated with line 6B?
- 26 A I guess there is supporting an integrity management
- system right now, so one -- one of the aspects of

1	what we do is, you know, provide contextual impact
2	of through-put on the system for the
3	coordination of work there. So, you know, one of
4	the things that we would initiate is, you know,
5	looking to looking to manage that work in an
6	appropriate time.
7	So if work does require a shutdown to be safe,
8	then, you know, taking advantage of that and, you
9	know, having multiple crews working at different
10	sites, just trying to kind of balance the need for
11	through-put and for maintenance and being a steward
12	to that process. That's the thing that really
13	jumps out in my head of what my role has recently
14	been on 6B.
15	Q Do you recommend traditionally any instrumentation
16	changes or communication method changes?

17 A None that I recall.

- 18 Q Since you help with maintenance, are you involved
- with actually tracking the pig run?
- 20 A Yes. I guess Enbridge is a company. You know, you
- 21 can kind of think of the CCO role as -- we kind of
- summarized the overall and work towards creating a
- plan for the overall maintenance for every kind of
- 24 internal or managed external impact, and we -- and
- 25 through the nominations process, line 6B is, you
- know, a common carrier. There's a process of
- fitting in and prioritizing the maintenance with

- 1 what the through-put drivers are for a given month.
- 2 Q Okay. So do you know anything about where the pigs
- 3 were at the time of the leak?
- 4 A They were near Niles, I believe, upstream or
- 5 downstream.
- 6 Q Is that where they would be expected to be --
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q -- based on -- okay.
- 9 Have the pig runs, prior to this event, gone
- according to plan as far as you know?
- 11 A I am not aware of any difficulties with the -- with
- this pig run.
- 13 Q And then do you get involved at all with things
- that are entered into FacMan or their maintenance
- software, Maximo (ph)? Do you get involved in
- either of those elements?
- 17 A I guess if -- the primary kind of user of that

- information is the -- is kind of more region based,
- but if -- in the context of something being
- important, no, a lot of the times you're made
- 21 informed of something that is input in there, and
- you take more direct action on supporting the
- conclusion to, you know, fixing an identified
- 24 problem.
- 25 Q Okay. Would you put things into FacMan, or is that
- 26 pretty much not something you used --
- 27 A The main -- the main kind of philosophy is that

- 1 it's all centered with the operator.
- 2 Q Okay. All right. I think that's all I had for
- you. Thank you.
- 4 MR. JENNER: Okay, Rick?
- 5 MR. GULSTAD: I only have a couple
- 6 questions.
- 7 QUESTIONS BY MR. GULSTAD:
- 8 Q MR. GULSTAD: When you reviewed the data,
- 9 how far back did you go?
- 10 A It's primarily associated -- or primarily
- interested in seeing what I could provide with the
- incident itself. So, you know, in the context of,
- 13 you know, pretty much around the incident time
- 14 itself.
- 15 In the context of providing support for the
- letter of action, I -- I historied some information
- that was longer term, but it's primarily not within

- my scope of defined responsibilities but just
- trying to provide any input you can of what may
- 20 have occurred at that incident.
- 21 Q With either prior -- or just after your request for
- the incident period or for the corrective action,
- or did you see any occasions where pressure was
- 24 unusually high or overpressured or --
- 25 A Looking at the allowables and querying back in
- support of the order, I did not see any events that
- appear to not be within what would be acceptable.

- 1 Q Nothing unusual at the discharge of Marshall, for
- 2 example?
- 3 A I guess no.
- 4 Q So I guess while you were reviewing the data, you
- 5 got notified that they had located the leak?
- 6 A Yes. I'm not an expert at PI to be perfectly
- 7 honest. It takes me a long time to build stuff, so
- 8 I really didn't even complete that process of
- 9 finding points and trying to build a graph and make
- it -- make it work.
- 11 Q Yeah, that's my question. If you hadn't been
- 12 notified of a leak, what would you have carried on
- to do and how would you have reported that back to
- the shift lead then?
- 15 A I guess -- I guess I would have tried to think
- about -- you know, really I -- I wasn't sure when I
- was going about doing it. I was really interested

18 in trying to see what the pressure data looked like 19 and what the flow is to try and -- try and help 20 provide any observations that I could. 21 But I don't recall ever having had to, you know, differentiate or provide expertise on a 22 23 column break of this manner before. So really I 24 was just trying to figure it out as I was going and 25 build some data and see if any -- if I could 26 rationalize any observations from what I saw in 27 there.

A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.

- 1 Q And those might have included pressure trending
- 2 (INDISCERNIBLE)?
- 3 A Yes. Primarily -- like, I mentioned, you know,
- 4 just to try and understand what happened, I was
- 5 primarily interested in flow and pressure obviously
- 6 on the pipeline, so...
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 MR. GULSTAD: That's all I've got.
- 9 MR. JENNER: Curt, do you have any
- 10 questions or points of clarification?
- 11 MR. GOESON: No.
- 12 MR. JENNER: We'll just go around briefly a
- second time.
- 14 A Sure.
- 15 FURTHER QUESTIONS BY MR. JENNER:
- 16 Q MR. JENNER: If you would help me
- 17 understand when you went back in and identified

- 18 1458 as a moment of --
- 19 A That was the time of shutdown --
- 20 Q Right.
- 21 A -- the night before.
- 22 Q From the historical data that you're looking at,
- are you also able to look at alarms associated
- 24 with --
- 25 A That's not in PI, so I did not query that
- 26 information.
- 27 Q Okay, then that clarifies that.

- 1 A Okay.
- 2 Q I just have some standard questions not related to
- 3 operations.
- 4 A Sure.
- 5 Q What are your normal shift days that you work?
- 6 What days did you work up leading to this?
- 7 A My typical hours are probably about 7 o'clock to 5.
- 8 Q 7 a.m. --
- 9 A Till 5 p.m.
- 10 Q -- to 5 p.m.
- And what were the few days that you had worked
- before Monday?
- 13 A The weekend I had off.
- 14 Q And you worked -- for the previous Friday, you had
- 15 worked ---
- 16 A Yeah, I worked all that week.
- 17 MR. GOESON: It's not a shift-work role.

18 MR. JENNER: Right.

19 MR. GOESON: Okay.

20 Q MR. JENNER: So 7 a.m. to 5 p.m. is

- 21 generally Monday to Friday?
- 22 A Some flexibility in that, but that's generally the
- kind of base hours I try and support the team.
- 24 Q All right, thank you.
- I have just questions about your health and
- 26 fitness --
- 27 A Sure.

A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.

- 1 Q -- if that's okay. You look healthy. Are you
- 2 healthy on the inside?
- 3 A You're a good liar.
- 4 Q I'm sorry?
- 5 A You're a good liar. No, I could stand to spend
- 6 some time on the treadmill, but besides that, no
- 7 health concerns.
- 8 Q No long-term conditions, medical conditions?
- 9 A No. No.
- 10 Q Okay. Are you on any prescription or
- 11 nonprescription medications?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Okay. When you reported for work Monday, did
- 14 you -- how did you feel in terms of rest and
- 15 alertness?
- 16 A I'd had a good weekend, and I had felt rested.
- 17 Q Do you have any -- do you sleep well?

- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q Any sleep conditions that you've been diagnosed as,
- snoring?
- 21 A No. I -- no medications, no diagnosis for many
- years other than losing some weight.
- 23 Q You're not alone in that. I appreciate your
- answering those questions.
- 25 MR. JENNER: That's all I have.
- 26 MS. BUTLER: Karen, do you have any
- 27 follow-up?

1	FURTHER	QUESTIONS	BY MS.	BUTLER
	1 CICILIDIC	Q C L D I I C I I D	D 1 1110.	DULLIN

- 2 Q MS. BUTLER: I do want to ask you one, and
- 3 that was when you were looking back over some of
- 4 the historical data or getting started, you found
- 5 this pike at Marshall. Did you happen to look at
- 6 the previous day on Saturday for anything?
- 7 A I think I was more -- more interested in the
- 8 incident itself and some of the -- kind of looking
- 9 at higher values that could have happened before.
- 10 Really -- not really looking at specific events,
- more just the high values themselves and looking to
- explain that that information was accurate or
- processed data.
- 14 Q Okay. So nothing stood out to you on Saturday?
- 15 A I don't know if I specifically queried that
- information to look at Saturday.
- 17 Q Okay.

18 MS. BUTLER: That's all I had.

19 A Okay.

20 MR. JENNER: Rick, any other questions?

21 MR. GULSTAD: No questions.

22 MR. JENNER: Curt, follow-up?

23 MR. GOESON: No.

24 CLOSING BY MR. JENNER:

25 MR. JENNER: Terrific. We're finishing up.

I want to thank you for helping us out and for

putting up with the inconvenience and things like

A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.

- 1 that, but we do appreciate you being here and
- 2 helping us put together the pieces.
- 3 A Sure.
- 4 MR. JENNER: If -- you may have done some
- 5 reflection on the events around this. And do you
- 6 have any thoughts about any changes in terms of
- 7 procedures, rules, regulations, equipment that
- 8 could help us to prevent this type of incident from
- 9 happening again?
- 10 A None -- none that -- none that I'd bring forward
- 11 right now.
- 12 MR. JENNER: Okay, I appreciate that. If
- 13 you do, and we just encourage you to talk to people
- and share your ideas.
- 15 A Sure.
- 16 MR. JENNER: We'd certainly welcome that.
- 17 A I'm sure -- I'm sure as a team we will be doing

26

27

A.C.E. Reporting Services Inc.

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	
4	
5	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
6	foregoing pages are a true and faithful transcript
7	of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and
8	transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my
9	skill and ability.
10	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
11	Alberta, this 11th day of August, 2010.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

18	C. L. Stabbler, CSR(A)
19	Court Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

****	* .
Investigation of:	*
	*
ENBRIDGE OIL SPILL,	* Docket No.: DCA-10-MP-007
MARSHALL, MICHIGAN	*
	*
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*
Interview of: RZUMARD FOLKEMA	
Date: <u>SEPT 1, 2010</u>	

NTSB Action

Docket No.: DCA-10-MP-007

CHANGES TO STATEMENT

WITNESS NA	ME: Rzcha	RD FOLKEMA	
DATE OF STA	ATEMENT: Ju	430,2010	
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	REASON
	NO	CHANGES.	
			·
			·

NTSB Action Docket No.: DCA-10-MP-007

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	
4	
5	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
6	foregoing pages are a true and faithful transcript
7	of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and
8	transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my
9	skill and ability.
10	Dated at the City of Edmonton, Province of
11	Alberta, this 11th day of August, 2010.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	-(///s-///-