UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Investigation of:

ENBRIDGE OIL SPILL * Docket No.: DCA-10-MP-007

MARSHALL, MICHIGAN

Interview of: VINCENT KOLBUCK

Conference Room Holiday Inn Express 630 East Chicago Street Coldwater, Michigan

Friday, July 30, 2010

The above-captioned matter convened, pursuant to notice, at 1:42 p.m.

BEFORE: KARL GUNTHER

Accident Investigator

APPEARANCES:

KARL GUNTHER, Accident Investigator DANA SANZO, Accident Investigator CHUCK KOVAL, Accident Investigator National Transportation Safety Board 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, Southwest Washington, D.C. 20594 (202) 314-6478

MATTHEW R. NICHOLSON, Investigator-in-Charge National Transportation Safety Board Office of Railroad, Pipeline, & Hazardous Materials Investigations 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, Southwest Washington, D.C. 20594 (202) 314-6468

JAMES BUNN, General Engineer
BRIAN PIERZINA, General Engineer
U.S. Department of Transportation
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials
Safety Administration
Central Region
Kansas City, Missouri
(816) 329-3800
(816) 329-3831 (fax)

JAY A. JOHNSON, Senior Compliance Specialist Enbridge Energy Company, Inc. 119 North 25th Street East Superior, Wisconsin 54880-5247 (715) 394-1512

TOM TIDWELL U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Midwest Region

I N D E X

<u>ITEM</u>		PAGE
Interview	of Vincent Kolbuck:	
	By Mr. Gunther	4
	By Mr. Pierzina	6

1 INTERVIEW

- 2 (1:42 p.m.)
- 3 MR. GUNTHER: Karl Gunther, National Transportation
- 4 Safety Board doing interviews for a pipeline accident/oil spill in
- 5 Marshall, Michigan on July 26, 2010.
- 6 INTERVIEW OF VINCENT KOLBUCK
- 7 BY MR. GUNTHER:
- 8 Q. Could you please give your name, address, and phone for
- 9 the record?
- 10 A. Name: Vincent Kolbuck, K-O-L-B-U-C-K. Work address:
- 11 1500 West Main Street, Griffith, G-R-I-F-F-I-T-H, Indiana 46319.
- 12 Phone number: (219) work.
- Q. Okay. Job number -- give me a job title and company
- 14 affiliation, please?
- 15 A. Enbridge Energy employee, Chicago Region Engineering,
- 16 Regional Engineering Supervisor.
- 17 O. Okay. Do we want to start with the timeline? So, we'll
- 18 go ahead and start with the timeline and you can go ahead and
- 19 describe what you know; what you want to describe.
- 20 A. Okay. My first awareness of this incident, and I was
- 21 fortunate I kept a lot of notes for myself because I know how
- 22 critical this stuff is, I knew about the incident at 10:45 a.m. I
- 23 was informed by Tom Fridel, and that is -- correlates to the time
- 24 the Enbridge first responder confirmed the pipeline leak. So
- 25 basically within a minute of Tom Fridel knowing, I knew.

- 1 Q. And we're talking Monday?
- A. We're talking Monday, 7-26-2010.
- 3 MR. BUNN: And 10:45 Central?
- 4 MR. KOLBUCK: 10:45 Central, which equates to the two-
- 5 hour time differential 8:45 MST. So I would recommend, or up to
- 6 you guys, but from now on I'd like to work in MST for clarity?
- 7 MR. PIERZINA: Sure. And MST means basically --
- 8 MR. KOLBUCK: Mountain Standard Time.
- 9 MR. PIERZINA: Yeah, which is kind of pipeline time, so
- 10 control center tags and stuff like that, that's consistent with
- 11 those.
- 12 MR. KOLBUCK: Yes. All the other -- yes.
- MR. PIERZINA: And just to repeat for the record, the
- 14 local Eastern time is three hours, add three hours to the Mountain
- 15 Standard Time?
- MR. KOLBUCK: Correct, yes. Yes.
- So, upon knowing about this incident I could tell it was
- 18 very serious. General Manager Tom Fridel; my immediate manager,
- 19 Bill Burdeau, were literally getting on the road and described to
- 20 me to immediately proceed with the incident command drilldown.
- 21 Our emergency response directory tells us what to do. So I knew
- 22 they were leaving. I could tell it was serious, and I began to
- 23 try to fulfill the needs of the incident command drilldown.
- 24 Before calling NRC, I needed to collect information. So
- 25 my very first step, and I've got it listed at 11:00 Central, which

- 1 is 9:00 -- at 9:00 MST, I began collecting information. I had
- 2 called Kelly Soprovich, which is the Enbridge Energy shift lead in
- 3 the control center -- and I didn't have a clue what happened here.
- 4 I just heard there was a leak. So I got with him and tried to
- 5 understand better the dynamics of what happened. That's where I
- 6 can start to fill in this timeline.
- 7 He explained to me the pipeline on 7-25-2010 was
- 8 shutdown as part of normal operations, a scheduled shutdown; no
- 9 abnormal conditions. At 1:11 MST, he told me that they had a
- 10 scheduled line startup; no abnormal conditions. 2:02 on 7-26 they
- 11 had pressure indications and computer alarms indicating a column
- 12 separation condition. At 2:02 there was a line shutdown. From
- 13 2:02 to 4:32 MST, control center operations were monitoring
- 14 pressures at Marshall and Mendon stations to see what was
- 15 happening, and they believed they saw stabilization and made a
- 16 decision to proceed with startup.
- 17 BY MR. PIERZINA:
- 18 Q. Can I stop you right there one second? So the term at
- 19 2:02, column separation condition, witnessed on SCADA system, you
- 20 didn't determine column separation?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Do you know who determined column separation?
- 23 A. My understanding is the computer uses hydraulic profile
- 24 to pipeline coupled with pressure information, and it's a
- 25 calculation saying the pressures are inadequate to keep column for

- 1 a given hydraulic sort of layout.
- Q. Okay, so basically a computer printout says column
- 3 separation?
- 4 A. Right. So the operator would get an alarm, column
- 5 separation.
- 6 Q. All right. So an operator at the control center on his
- 7 screen that he's monitoring the system gets something on his
- 8 screen that --
- 9 A. Abnormal.
- 10 Q. Abnormal. And it indicates column separation? Okay.
- 11 A. That's what I was told.
- 12 Q. Okay, thanks. That's good enough for now.
- 13 A. So they wanted to see if -- I understand there was no
- 14 mass balance differential at the time, so the mass balance --
- MR. JOHNSON: All right, thank you.
- 16 MR. KOLBUCK: -- hadn't shown missing volumes. So
- 17 that's why the process of letting it sit and trying to understand,
- 18 you know, what was happening. So I think what, or I know what
- 19 they said. They said they saw pressures rise at both stations and
- 20 they saw no mass balance discrepancy, so the decision was made to
- 21 restart the pipeline again
- MR. PIERZINA: Okay.
- 23 MR. KOLBUCK: And that restart would have occurred 4:32
- 24 MST, 7-26.
- 25 BY MR. PIERZINA:

- 1 Q. When it says control center operations, do we know
- 2 who -- is that the control center operator or --
- A. I'll refer you to the second page, the incident event
- 4 log. This is Vince's page. This first page is my version of it.
- 5 This would be the official event log coming out of our control
- 6 center, and the details here are better than what I had at the
- 7 time I reported this.
- 8 Q. Okay, Vince. And thanks. I think not having looked at
- 9 this ahead of time, I think I'll try and refrain from asking
- 10 questions and let you run through the timeline, okay?
- 11 A. Right. So my prerogative at the time was to try to
- 12 understand enough that I could properly report.
- 13 Another question that I knew I would be asked is volume
- 14 out. So I was working with Kelly Soprovich, S-O-P-R-O-V-I-C-H,
- 15 and he indicated to me -- oh, wait a second, I'm out of time
- 16 sequence here. Going back one step; 4:32 they initiated a line
- 17 restart.
- 18 4:52 MST, 7-26, they again noticed column separation,
- 19 but this time they saw mass balance differential. So they
- 20 witnessed -- so this was verbal. I don't see it in their incident
- 21 investigation log, but what I was told is 2,000 cubic meters in
- 22 Griffith, Indiana; 200 cubic meters out in Marysville; approximate
- 23 distance, 300 miles. So the differential that they couldn't
- 24 account for was 1,800 cubic meters, which equates to approximately
- 25 11,322 barrels.

- 1 O. I'm sorry. Repeat that number?
- 2 A. I did the conversion as 11,322 barrels.
- In this time frame I received a call from Tom Fridel on
- 4 the phone. He was driving out to the site and he asked me -- I
- 5 had told him what I had found. He asked me what the distance was
- 6 block valve to block valve around this leak site.
- What happened after they saw column separation is they
- 8 knew where the hydraulic problem existed, and they initiated
- 9 closing the closest two valves around that site. So what happens,
- 10 that distance is approximately three miles, and I've actually got
- 11 mile markers here. So this leak event happened between two block
- 12 valves, one at Marshall station, one a mainline block valve,
- 13 approximate distance three miles.
- I told Tom Fridel this estimate of 11,322 barrels. He
- 15 felt that would probably not be enough because of time involved in
- 16 closing valves, takes three to four minutes to close valves. So
- 17 he had me give the official barrels out as the volume from
- 18 isolation valve to isolation valve. That's precisely where the
- 19 19,500 barrels comes from. And what I did, I have a chart,
- 20 barrels per foot. I added up the footage, and I calculated the
- 21 barrels.
- 22 O. Do you have those conversion factors?
- 23 A. I do. If you add barrels per foot, 34-inch diameter
- 24 pipe, .281 wall thickness --
- Q. Is that the right one that we're looking at?

- 1 A. Oh, I'm sorry -- 30 -- oh.
- MR. GUNTHER: You know what, why don't you make us a
- 3 copy of that for all of us. That would be easier.
- 4 MR. KOLBUCK: Yes. The --
- 5 MR. GUNTHER: But yeah, go ahead.
- 6 MR. KOLBUCK: The 30-inch diameter pipe, 281 wall
- 7 thickness, which this is 250 wall thickness, is .841 barrels per
- 8 foot.
- 9 BY MR. PIERZINA:
- 10 Q. Do you have a factor for a 30-inch 250 wall?
- 11 A. No. And guys --
- 12 Q Did you use 34?
- 13 A. I used 34-inch.
- 14 O. That's all right. That's only going to increase the
- 15 quality of your report, so we're okay with that.
- 16 MR. GUNTHER: That's going to be too close.
- 17 MR. KOLBUCK: Yeah, I know. I just realized now what
- 18 I'd done. I mean --
- 19 BY MR. PIERZINA:
- Q. That's all right. 34-inch. What wall thickness?
- 21 A. I used a factor for 34-inch 281 wall thickness.
- Q. And that number was what?
- 23 A. 1.086.
- 24 Q. 1.086.
- 25 A. Barrels per foot.

- 1 Q. Barrels per foot.
- 2 A. What it should be is in the magnitude of .85 barrels per
- 3 foot.
- 4 O. .85 barrels. All right.
- 5 A. The four historical purposes that milepost valves --
- 6 mileposts and valves involved are 599.5 -- 599.43 -- to 607.66.
- 7 Guys, I messed -- well, wait a second.
- 8 MR. PIERZINA: Can we stop for a second?
- 9 (Off the record at 1:55 p.m.)
- 10 (On the record at 2:43 p.m.)
- MR. GUNTHER: Back on the record.
- 12 COURT REPORTER: Okay.
- MR. GUNTHER: Go ahead.
- MR. KOLBUCK: Okay, picking up where we left off, I'd
- 15 stated it was --
- 16 MR. PIERZINA: Time out.
- 17 (Off the record.)
- 18 (On the record.)
- MR. GUNTHER: Yeah.
- 20 MR. JOHNSON: I think I can breathe again.
- MR. KOLBUCK: We're on?
- MR. PIERZINA: We're on.
- MR. KOLBUCK: Okay. Getting back to kind of where we
- 24 left off, I was instructed by Tom Fridel to calculate the distance
- 25 between the two isolation valves that were closed around the leak

- 1 area. The distance is 2.95 miles, approximately three miles. I
- 2 made a calculation on that distance of 19,500 barrels, and Tom
- 3 said, "Are you sure?" I said, "Yes." He said, "That's going to
- 4 be our official volume out."
- I want to point out that in this interview I had made
- 6 the calculation on 34-inch diameter pipe on a table that I was
- 7 using. I should have been calculating on 30-inch diameter pipe,
- 8 making the volume out approximately 13,000 barrels. So the
- 9 estimate that is out there in the media is higher than what it
- 10 should have been per my manager's direction.
- 11 MR. PIERZINA: Fair enough. Thank you.
- MR. GUNTHER: Okay.
- MR. KOLBUCK: Continuing on with the timeline, according
- 14 to control center I was told that an external call was received
- 15 from a local gas company person at 8:16 MST, a Chris Treachur, and
- 16 this is where I begin to know of things. Everything up to this
- 17 point, 8:16 MST I was told by others.
- 18 I knew about the confirmation from the Enbridge first
- 19 responder at 8:45 because that's when I was told about the leak
- 20 myself. Tom Fridel specifically told me that he had initiated a
- 21 call to senior management, Mr. Leon Zupen. I have some other -- I
- 22 was still collecting information in this time period and receiving
- 23 calls. I received a call -- excuse me. I'll turn this off. I
- 24 received a call at 9:50 MST, 7-26 from the Michigan Department of
- 25 Environmental Quality, Mr. Brian Fish. I had not yet placed the

- 1 NRC call. I can't recall the circumstance of why he knew about
- 2 this leak.
- 3 MR. JOHNSON: If you'd have been here earlier, he was
- 4 out with Mick.
- 5 MR. GUNTHER: Right.
- 6 MR. JOHNSON: Because he lives right near it.
- 7 MR. KOLBUCK: Okay. Okay. So, yes, I responded to his
- 8 call, and then I was proceeding to call the NRC. The official
- 9 call from my perspective was 12:29. I do want to state that I
- 10 called at 12:08, was put on hold --
- 11 MR. GUNTHER: Hello? Yeah.
- MR. PIERZINA: Can we go --
- 13 (Off the record.)
- 14 (On the record.)
- 15 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Thanks folks, for --
- MR. KOLBUCK: Let me go back on clarity. Using Mountain
- 17 Standard Time, I attempted to call NRC 10:08 MST. I was put on
- 18 hold. I have called the NRC before. I've never been put on hold.
- 19 I was waiting. Other calls were coming in. I mismanaged my
- 20 phone, the call got dropped. I called again at 10:29, again put
- 21 on hold for a substantial period of time, and actually, if you
- 22 look at the NRC report, I did ask the lady to state this: "Caller
- 23 was contacted" -- wait a second.
- MR. PIERZINA: I saw that --
- MR. KOLBUCK: Yeah, I just want to point out --

Free State Reporting, Inc. (410) 974-0947

- 1 MR. PIERZINA: I saw the initial --
- 2 MR. KOLBUCK: -- I don't know what happened. The petty
- 3 officer said they're having high call volumes. So I placed the
- 4 NRC call numbered 948903 at, was at the time being 10:29 MST.
- I do want to also point to the NRC report for its
- 6 timing. If you see the report taken at 1333, that has to be
- 7 Eastern Time. And I noticed that this is taken at the Atlantic
- 8 Strike Team.
- 9 One of the items due to time zone that I made a mistake
- 10 on -- I shouldn't say mistake, is I informed them that we knew of
- 11 the leak at 9:45. And if you read the NRC report, it says, "Leak
- 12 discovered 9:45 local time." I didn't say what time zone. And so
- 13 if you look at the NRC report, it looks like 9:45 to 1333 would be
- 14 a period of four hours. You can see the differential there.
- 15 Whereas, what I'm stating is the differential in real time was
- 16 8:45 to 10:29; an hour and 45 minutes.
- MR. GUNTHER: And 9:45 was Mountain Time?
- 18 MR. KOLBUCK: Right.
- 19 MR. GUNTHER: Okay.
- 20 MR. KOLBUCK: So that is the factual timing of the
- 21 calling. The other timelines include, I got some information
- 22 flowing to other regions to get people --
- MR. JOHNSON: Just for a second. Are you clear on that,
- 24 Brian?
- MR. PIERZINA: Yes.

- 1 MR. JOHNSON: You were looking at it pretty hard. Have
- 2 you got any questions? Because I think that's really critical,
- 3 because it appears like, you know, we went past the two hour and
- 4 it's just a matter of -- it was four hours based on the time
- 5 differentials, but it's truly from the time Enbridge is aware of
- 6 oil on the ground to when Vince reported it is it's about two
- 7 hours, but it is less than that two hour limit. I think that's
- 8 really critical as someone looks at that NRC report.
- 9 MR. PIERZINA: Right. And I think we've -- between all
- 10 of the witness interviews that we've done and, you know, all the
- 11 facts that are established, I think that the timeline, you know,
- 12 including confirming and notifications and all that stuff is going
- 13 to be pretty well --
- 14 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Well, I just -- you know, I know
- 15 Vince was worried about that, and it goes on there and everyone
- 16 gets second guessed, and I want to make sure we're clear on that.
- 17 So, thank you very much.
- 18 MR. KOLBUCK: Yes. Just some other, I guess,
- 19 clarification things: 7-26 at approximately 12:50 I got here. I
- 20 got a call from Hans Shieh, PHMSA, shortly followed by Brian
- 21 Schliegler (ph.), USEPA. So these calls start to immediately roll
- 22 into myself as the NRC person, and I'm hoping their time frames
- 23 collaborate [sic] what I'm saying. I also received a call, let me
- 24 see, what am I saying here. Received a call from PHMSA. Received
- 25 a call at 10:50 MST received a call from PHMSA.

- 1 At 10:55, received a call from USEPA. At 11:19 MST,
- 2 I -- we have an internal reporting system that sends notifications
- 3 to critical people during leak events. That went out at 11:19
- 4 MST. 11:25, I contacted Environment, and they were making
- 5 arrangements to travel to the location.
- At 12:00, I was able to drill down and contact Mr. Dirk
- 7 Dunham from the Calhoun County Emergency Management Division.
- 8 He'd already been on site, and I think he just needed to know to
- 9 interact with. So I called him. And then I received a message to
- 10 call a Mr. Don Mazuchowski with the Michigan Public Service
- 11 Commission. And to my knowledge that's the extent of sort of
- 12 critical contacts made.
- 13 BY MR. PIERZINA:
- 14 Q. Okay, and I don't -- I guess one thing that occurs to
- 15 me, Vince, on the NRC notification if I'm not mistaken, it
- 16 indicated that oil had not reached the Kalamazoo River. Did it
- 17 say "yet"?
- 18 A. Yet.
- 19 O. Yet.
- 20 A. Yet.
- 21 O. Okay.
- 22 A. And -- go ahead.
- Q. And can I ask where -- so that was a statement that you
- 24 provided to the NRC?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 O. And who did you get that information from and when?
- 2 A. I had called First Responder Ben Camp. I could tell he
- 3 was scrambling. He was trying to assist with the deployment, and
- 4 he said, "One mile downstream in the creek running north to the
- 5 Kalamazoo River." So that may be the best he knew at the time. I
- 6 don't know if he knew what was happening at the riverhead. I
- 7 called him. I could tell he was in a panic situation.
- 8 MR. JOHNSON: He didn't know.
- 9 MR. KOLBUCK: Okay. So I --
- MR. JOHNSON: I mean, we've talked to some other folks
- 11 that -- I think Mick was with Brian Fish; that's the first person
- 12 who knew it already hit the river.
- MR. KOLBUCK: Right. So, I took what I knew to make the
- 14 call so I didn't delay the call further.
- MR. PIERZINA: All right. I think that helps with that.
- MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
- MR. PIERZINA: And so just so we've got a more -- and
- 18 thanks, Vince -- and we have a more detailed incident and event
- 19 log attached with this that takes us through the evening of -- no,
- 20 the morning of July 28th that we haven't looked at yet, but it's
- 21 going to provide a lot of additional details as far as the
- 22 timeline of the response and that's probably beyond the scope of
- 23 this interview to really get into that.
- 24 Karl, any questions as far as the notifications that --
- MR. GUNTHER: No, I don't have any additional. I think

- 1 I'm pretty satisfied.
- MR. PIERZINA: I have a question, Vince.
- 3 BY MR. PIERZINA:
- 4 Q. So you made these notifications. And then what were
- 5 your instructions related to this accident after that?
- 6 A. At the start end, Tom made it clear that he was
- 7 mobilizing everything he could, including himself and other
- 8 managers, and he told me to take care of all the paperwork aspects
- 9 and stay back for whatever else might come up as far as critical
- 10 contact. So, I was doing whatever I could to inform internal
- 11 notification people. I actually did call OSRO. Or let me go
- 12 back. I informed my boss, Bill Burdeau, to call our OSRO bay west
- 13 -- what do they call, our OSRO --
- MR. JOHNSON: OSRO. It's the bigger contractors.
- 15 That's --
- 16 MR. KOLBUCK: Yeah. So I was trying to orchestrate
- 17 anything I knew as far as internal resources, and I was receiving
- 18 calls from our insurance company. I had a contact with Dave
- 19 Hoffman who was getting calls from Dave Barrett. Dave Hoffman is
- 20 our compliance supervisor. I was giving him what updates I could.
- 21 For instance, there was some comments here about booming at the I-
- 22 94 crossing; approximately 200 feet was being put out at 6:34
- 23 p.m., which is 4:00 -- 34 MST. I was just taking calls basically.
- 24 BY MR. PIERZINA:
- 25 Q. So is it fair that --

- 1 MR. JOHNSON: You were making calls?
- MR. KOLBUCK: Making and taking and whatever I needed to
- 3 do.
- 4 BY MR. PIERZINA:
- 5 Q. So is it fair to say that you were the person kind of
- 6 check -- charged with document, you know, basically implementing,
- 7 you know, notifications, you know, getting resources and --
- 8 A. Basically that was to fulfill the ERD, emergency
- 9 response directory, requirements. That was really my charge. The
- 10 other stuff I'm talking about was things I just did to help
- 11 expedite other departments or inform people --
- 12 MR. JOHNSON: Well, like he said, the alert notice --
- MR. KOLBUCK: We have to get the internal alert notice.
- MR. JOHNSON: -- that's a mechanism Vince can fill out
- 15 and it goes e-mailed to all the players, if you will.
- 16 MR. PIERZINA: Right.
- But then I noticed in your log you put down where, you
- 18 know, what if the Environment doesn't get that alert or didn't
- 19 look at their e-mails? So I was pretty impressed because I even
- 20 saw it in there. It said, "Call Jay Johnson; he's on the way.
- 21 Call Bob Steed, " stuff like that. So, you know, Vince didn't, to
- 22 his credit, he didn't assume that the notification was going to
- 23 roll these people out as fast as they had to be, so good job.
- MR. KOLBUCK: Yeah, I could tell from Tom how serious it
- 25 was, and then in calling, and, yeah.

- 1 MR. PIERZINA: Karl, do you have any more questions?
- 2 MR. GUNTHER: No.
- 3 MR. PIERZINA: Sorry. If you can give me a few seconds
- 4 here, Jim.
- 5 (Off the record.)
- 6 (On the record.)
- 7 BY MR. PIERZINA:
- Q. Vince, let me ask you this: Do you have in your notes
- 9 when you may have become aware that the oil had hit the Kalamazoo
- 10 River?
- 11 A. No, because I also received a phone call from Tom
- 12 saying, "Please mobilize out here." So I sort of lost contact at
- 13 -- I think my last correspondence is like 6:00 or 7:00 p.m. my
- 14 time; he called me and says, "We need you out here." So I went
- 15 home, picked up my stuff, and came out. So I sort of lost the
- 16 control or awareness because I was mobilizing myself.
- 17 Q. Right. So at that time your role changed?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Or at least you're in transit and not being able to do
- 20 that role?
- 21 A. Yeah. The concept being he knew he needed somebody back
- 22 to field calls in whatever manner. That was sort of primarily to
- 23 get the notifications out by -- he just said, "Stay back, we'll
- 24 tell you what to do." And I got fed information, and I relayed
- 25 things as best I could.

```
1
              So when you left Griffith or left your office, did
         O.
    somebody take over for that role for you in Griffith?
 2
 3
                   Tom was now established to be the commander.
         Α.
 4
              So Tom Fridel was set up as incident commander?
         Ο.
 5
              Haz -- yeah.
         Α.
              MR. PIERZINA: All right. I think that all helps a lot,
 6
 7
    especially the basis for the spill volume that was used, even if
8
    it may have had some mathematical errors, I think we can overcome
    that and, so --
9
10
              MR. GUNTHER: No more questions.
11
              MR. PIERZINA: Thanks a lot, Vince.
12
              (Whereupon, at 3:08 p.m., the interview was concluded.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceeding before the

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF: ENBRIDGE OIL SPILL

MARSHALL, MICHIGAN

Interview of Vincent Kolbuck

DOCKET NUMBER: DCA-10-MP-007

PLACE: Coldwater, Michigan

DATE: July 30, 2010

was held according to the record, and that this is the original, complete, true and accurate transcript which has been compared to the recording accomplished at the hearing.

Amy Shankleton-Novess

Carl'C' al Elant car' a B

Certified Electronic Reporter

Kristen Shankleton

Transcriber