NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

IN RE:

THE HEAD-ON COLLISION THAT : OCCURRED ON BNSF RAILWAY : NTSB Accident No. IN PANHANDLE, TEXAS ON : DCA16FR008 JUNE 28, 2016

Interview of: Randy Valencia

Tuesday, July 28, 2016

Panhandle, Texas

BEFORE:

TOMAS TORRES, NTSB RYAN RINGELMAN, BNSF AARON RATLEDGE, BNSF STEVE FACKLAN, BLET KAMRON SAUNDERS, SMART TD CHRIS MARTINEZ, FRA JIM SOUTHWORTH, NTSB RICK NARVELL, NTSB

This transcript was produced from audio provided by the National Transportation Safety Board.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-1-N-G-5
MR. SOUTHWORTH: Okay, once again, my name is
Jim Southworth, S-O-U-T-H-W-O-R-T-H. I'm the
investigator in charge for this accident that occurred
on June 28, 2016 in Panhandle, Texas on the Burlington
Northern Santa Fe. A head-on collision with two
freight trains.
The NTSB number for this investigation is
DCA16FR008. At this time, we are going to go around
the room and introduce the participants. I'll start t

my left and then I'll go to my right. We will end with the interviewee, and then we'll start the first round of questioning.

The person we are interviewing today is Randy Valencia with the Burlington Northern. So I'll start. And it's Jim Southworth, S-O-U-T-H-W-O-R-T-H, with the NTSB out of Washington, D.C. and the IIC for this investigation.

MR. TORRES: Tomas Torres, NTSB, T-O-M-A-S T-O-R-R-E-S.

Steve Facklan, F-A-C-K-L-A-N, MR. FACKLAN: primary investigator for BLET Safety Task Force.

MR. RINGELMAN: Ryan Ringelman, R-I-N-G-E-L-M-A-N, BNSF Safety Department.

> Chris Martinez, M-A-R-T-I-N-E-MR. MARTINEZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Z, FRA.
2	MR. RATLEDGE: Aaron Ratledge, Double A-R-O-N
3	R-A-T-L-E-D-G-E, BNSF ROA.
4	MR. SAUNDERS: Kamron Saunders, K-A-M-R-O-N S-
5	A-U-N-D-E-R-S, Smart TD National Safety Team.
6	MR. NARVELL Rick Narvell, N like in Nancy,
7	A-R-V-E-L-L, with NTSB.
8	Randy Valencia, V-A-L-E-N-C-I-A, with BNSF.
9	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Mr. Valencia, do we have your
10	permission to go on a first name basis?
11	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
12	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Do you go by Randy?
13	MR. VALENCIA: Randy.
14	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Okay. And you do not find it
15	necessary or wish to have a representative with you
16	today, yes or no?
17	MR. VALENCIA: No.
18	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Thank you. Thank you, and
19	we'll proceed.
20	Can you give us a little synopsis of your
21	railroad career? If it begins with a railroad other
22	than BNSF, please make that available to us. Kind of
23	tell us about your career in railroading, and bring it
24	up to where you are now. And then provide a little

25 additional detail into the duties and responsibilities

you have in your current position with Burlington, or 1 2 BNSF. 3 MR. VALENCIA: Hired out in the Maintenance of Way Department as a bridge and building laborer. 4 5 that for about a year and a half. And then I went into 6 the operating side and was hired as a fireman. 7 Shortly into the fireman, as a fireman, I went 8 into the Locomotive Engineering Training Program. 9 Became a locomotive engineer in 1980. I worked as a locomotive engineer until 2001, and became a road 10 11 foreman of engines in 2001. 12 Approximately mid-2002, I became what was a, 13 titled as a Senior Train Master/Road Foreman of 14 Engines. In 2005, I became the Manager of Safety for 15 this Kansas Division, and in 2007 I became the 16 Superintendent of Operating Practices for the Kansas 17 Division. MR. SOUTHWORTH: 18 So you are currently the 19 Superintendent of Operating Practices? 20 MR. VALENCIA: Correct. 21 MR. SOUTHWORTH: We're going to go ahead and 22 start, and go around the room. We'll start with Tomas. 23 Go ahead. 24 MR. TORRES: Tomas here with the NTSB. What's 25 your role as the Superintendent of Operations?

1 MR. VALENCIA: Superintendent of Operating 2 Practices is the title. And I, my direct reports, my 3 work crew for the road foreman engines on the Kansas Division, and basically, we provide oversight over 4 5 locomotive engineer certification. We provide the 6 requirements for locomotive engineer certification. 7 We also have additional duties in locomotive 8 engineer training. And then we also have just a 9 general operating requirement, whether its' GCOR or Airbrake Train Handling, Rule of Requirement, and/or 10 11 training. 12 MR. TORRES: Do you set the guidelines for the 13 road foremen? You know, like, how many rides you got 14 to do per month or per year? Testing? 15 MR. VALENCIA: Yes, I do. 16 What are they? MR. TORRES: 17 MR. VALENCIA: Well, it depends upon where 18 they're assigned. Because some road foremen have 19 higher density of traffic than others. But basically, 20 they will either have a 14 train ride goal per month, 21 or a 12 train ride goal per month. 22 MR. TORRES: And how about efficiency testing? 23 I set that. It's, I've got two MR. VALENCIA: 24 different work groups and we break it down into series,

whether through our Operations Testing Guide, there's

1	100, 200, 600 Series. So, they're broken down. I
2	don't know the exact numbers for each road foreman.
3	MR. TORRES: So, do you set, like, how many
4	stop indication tests you do, or flags or anything like
5	that? I mean, is there, like, so many per month that
6	they've got to do?
7	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
8	MR. TORRES: What are those?
9	MR. VALENCIA: I have to look at the document.
10	I can't, I don't want to recite that off the top of my
11	head.
12	MR. TORRES: Do you spend time with your road
13	foremen?
14	MR. VALENCIA: Yes, I do.
15	MR. TORRES: And, when you're with them, what
16	is it that you're looking for, or, you know, can you
17	explain, you know, what your role is when you're with
18	them?
19	MR. VALENCIA: One of the things I do when I
20	meet with them, is I always provide them any kind of
21	new information. So, anything that has come up,
22	basically on the last time we visited, we talk about
23	anything new.
24	Or we look at any kind of ideas for the
25	territory. I also do some train rides with them.
	I .

Basically, I'm just looking at their interaction with the crews, what they're observing, what they're doing.

At times I go operations testing with them.

Basically look at their setup, communication,

performance as a test. Any interactions with the crews

that we do during that time. So, primarily it's either

we're in kind of an office-type environment where we're

going over any information and/or it's a field day.

MR. TORRES: Okay. On the road foremen, when they're doing the train rides, what's your expectations of them when they're in the cab, during the ride, you know? What is it that you expect for them to be doing with the crews or what?

MR. VALENCIA: Main thing I do is that, they are observing the skill set of the engineer, which is the check rides. And then they're also going to provide that information on the train ride report and do an honest assessment of the skill evaluation.

And then I also encourage that they give very honest and candid feedback towards what that performance was. And then also they're observing the interaction of all crew members as to how they're managing restrictions, how they're doing communication, if they're doing anything distracting.

So, basically, it's: evaluate the engineer;

provide feedback; score the ride; observe the entire crew; and, give feedback on that crew observation.

MR. TORRES: How about event recorder

MR. VALENCIA: Road foremen typically have a three event recorder review minimum. And in that, I specify that one event recorder review has to be a

local, a road switcher, or a yard engine.

evaluations? What's the requirement for road foremen?

And, basically, looking at train handling skills, looking at rule requirements, and those are the primary things you look at when you're looking at an event recorder.

MR. TORRES: Do you use event recorders to identify train handling patterns of engineers? How they're handling the train? How they're stopping the train? How they're approaching the signals? I mean, do you use that, or are you just looking at throttle modulation?

MR. VALENCIA: No. When we are looking at event recorder, if you have information on the signal indications, then you can evaluate that skill set and how that was handled. Event recorder alone will not provide signal information, so without some other level of technology or some other information, you have to have that in order to properly look at what was a stop

1 for signal and what was a stop for something else. 2 MR. TORRES: Yes, like, for example, like, 3 speed restrictions, Form B, I mean you have that on your track forms. Do you use that as a tool when 4 5 you're looking at a event recorder? 6 MR. VALENCIA: Yes. 7 MR. TORRES: And how frequently is that? 8 mean, is it routine, or is it random? 9 It's random. To get all of the MR. VALENCIA: 10 Form As and the Form Bs of a trip, it has to be pretty 11 Because that gets archived in the database, 12 and the road foremen would have to spend, invest 13 significant time to get all of that information and 14 look at an event recorder. We don't always do that to 15 a very high degree. We do some, but it's because it's 16 time consuming, we really prefer them to be, to have 17 their time spent out in the field. 18 MR. TORRES: How about the Signal Awareness 19 Forms that the conductor's supposed to fill out? 20 know, they're required to turn them in at the 2.1 terminals. Or, what's the requirement for that? 22 Well, there's two instructions. MR. VALENCIA: 23 One of them is a system special instruction, that 24 provides the information for what a conductor is 25 supposed to document. And then it says that you will

1	turn them in based on local instructions. And then we
2	have local instructions as to where a Signal Awareness
3	Form is supposed to be turned in.
4	MR. TORRES: And where do they turn them in
5	to? Like a box, or
6	MR. VALENCIA: Typically it can be. It can be
7	a box or a drawer, or a cabinet. Whatever's set up at
8	the location.
9	MR. TORRES: And what's done with those forms,
10	you know, does somebody look at them?
11	MR. VALENCIA: Not, no. Not all of them.
12	MR. TORRES: I mean, how do you verify that
13	they're doing it correctly or that they, you know, they
14	are actually doing it the way they're supposed to be
15	doing it?
16	MR. VALENCIA: Typically, for the road
17	foremen, they look at Signal Awareness Forms when they
18	get on trains and during operations testing if we stop
19	a train in the field, we will look at their Signal
20	Awareness Form at that time.
21	MR. TORRES: Do they ever verify it with the
22	event recorder? Is it random?
23	MR. VALENCIA: Sometimes, but not often.
24	MR. TORRES: Okay. With your road foremen, do
25	you guys know your crew base pretty good? I mean, do

1 you, is there any employees that concern you at any 2 given moment, or you have focus on? 3 MR. VALENCIA: So, two questions there. was do we know our crew base. And I do want to believe 4 5 that all of my road foremen know their crew base. 6 then, are there people that concern us? Really and 7 truly, everybody concerns us because anybody could have 8 a bad train handling day. But, if there are any 9 employees that are, I've had, like, an opps test failure or has had a ride and is combative or quiet or, 10 11 I think there's kind of an ebb and flow with 12 everybody where they have good times and bad times, 13 especially over a long career. 14 MR. TORRES: Yes, but, is there any engineers 15 that really stand out, that might need more attention. 16 I mean, do you keep track of anything like that? 17 MR. VALENCIA: No. 18 MR. TORRES: BNSF as a scoring mechanism for 19 engineers, do you use that as -- how to rate an 20 engineer or, you know, how, who needs more focus, more 21 attention? 22 MR. VALENCIA: Not often. Originally, some of 23 that had some data points that, I think, had some 24 challenges with. But, we really try and work with all 25 of our engineers, and really try and just maintain an

1	overall presence with all of our crew members.
2	MR. TORRES: Are you familiar with the BNSF
3	discipline policy?
4	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
5	MR. TORRES: And alternative handling?
6	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
7	MR. TORRES: Can you describe it to us, or
8	explain it to us?
9	MR. VALENCIA: The discipline policy or the
10	alternative handling?
11	MR. TORRES: Let's start with the discipline
12	policy.
13	MR. VALENCIA: So, the discipline policy is
14	written in what's referred to as PEPA, and it shows,
15	basically, the stages and advancements of discipline
16	based on guidelines. And it's broken down into
17	standard and serious, and then how that progression can
18	work. Whether an event is deemed as standard or
19	serious and how that progression works.
20	MR. TORRES: And, the other one?
21	MR. VALENCIA: Alternative handling?
22	Alternative handling is an agreement-based process. So
23	some, it writes out the criteria for any kind of event
24	that is, qualifies for alternative handling. And then
25	those guidelines within that agreement are how

1	alternative handling is applied.
2	MR. TORRES: Okay. With the engineer on the
3	striking train, eastbound striking train on June 28th,
4	were you familiar with him? Were you familiar with his
5	record?
6	MR. VALENCIA: Mr. Owens? Yes.
7	MR. TORRES: Was there any concern on his work
8	history? Was there anything that stood out?
9	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
10	MR. TORRES: And what was that?
11	MR. VALENCIA: The fact that he had had two
12	decertifications within a short period of time.
13	MR. TORRES: Had a decertification March 1,
14	2015?
15	MR. VALENCIA: I believe that was the date,
16	yes. I'll have to trust you with that date, but I know
17	there was one early in 2015.
18	MR. TORRES: And two events which were
19	considered as one, on September 21, 2015.
20	MR. VALENCIA: Correct.
21	MR. TORRES: Speeding violations. And then,
22	on that same day, in the morning, your road foreman
23	boarded with him, and I guess he deducted some points
24	for Form B? You know, in a manner that he was
25	approaching a Form B?

1	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
2	MR. TORRES: Did any of that really I mean,
3	what did you do with that information? I mean, what
4	concerns did that bring to you?
5	MR. VALENCIA: Well, the concern was the
6	performance, but the conductor the road foreman had
7	addressed it with the engineer that day. He had
8	documented and deducted the points, and also talked to
9	the conductor on that same trip. And, as I recall, the
10	conductor said that he really hadn't provided that
11	he didn't also think that it was as much of a train
12	handling matter as the road foreman took it as.
13	MR. TORRES: Are you involved in the
14	discipline process or who's involved?
15	MR. VALENCIA: I'm involved to some degree,
16	yes.
17	MR. TORRES: Were you involved in this
18	instance? September 21, 2015?
19	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
20	MR. TORRES: And how did you guys handle it?
21	Or, you know, what was required when you guys handled
22	it?
23	MR. VALENCIA: One, because it met the
24	criteria for decertification. We then suspended the
25	certification. My group also the road foreman, put
- 1	I

1 in the Notice of Investigation, which is part of the 2 discipline process, combined it with the FRA hearing. 3 And then that went on to actually go to a formal investigation. And then the actual discipline 4 5 assessment, I don't do that. Who does that? 6 MR. TORRES: 7 MR. VALENCIA: It's either, primarily it's the 8 general manager has the final decision, but also their 9 labor relations has PEPA Review. Typically, they can 10 review the investigation and determine if the case was 11 proven or not proven, or if there are any outstanding 12 circumstances with that. 13 MR. TORRES: And after that incident, what 14 kind of training was provided to the engineer? 15 MR. VALENCIA: After that incident? Really 16 wasn't much because he had just, because he had went to what we refer to as the Decertification Retraining 17 18 Class in the prior event in March. Because of the 19 short proximity and the class is the same, we did not 20 send him to the class a second time after the September 21 incident. 22 So, there was no further action MR. TORRES: 23 other than just kind of keep it the way it was. 24 than, what --

Well, he --

MR. VALENCIA:

1	MR. TORRES: the FRA would require.
2	MR. VALENCIA: The revocation period gets
3	MR. TORRES: No additional remedial
4	training.
5	MR. VALENCIA: Not that I'm aware of.
6	MR. TORRES: And then this particular
7	engineer, on May 21st, he had a formal reprimand for
8	laying off too much?
9	MR. VALENCIA: That I couldn't tell you about.
10	MR. TORRES: And then, how about the incident
11	on June 1, 2016, he failed a test on a approach signal?
12	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
13	MR. TORRES: And, how was that one handled?
14	MR. VALENCIA: That one was handled there
15	was a formal investigation issued for that event. It
16	was also documented, I believe, as an operation test
17	failure from Mr. Roberson (phonetic). However, that
18	was never finalized prior to the accident and his, him
19	being deceased.
20	MR. TORRES: So, wow. What kind of plan was
21	scheduled to be assessed on this engineer? You know,
22	like, did he fall under the alternative plan or
23	MR. VALENCIA: I believe in that case, he did.
24	I can't say for certain, but if memory serves me, he
25	did, that one did qualify as an alternative handling.

1 MR. TORRES: Then did you guys come up with a 2 plan as to what the remedial action was going to be for 3 that engineer? No, we did not. 4 MR. VALENCIA: 5 So there's nothing written, and MR. TORRES: 6 you guys never discussed it? 7 MR. VALENCIA: When you read alternative 8 handling, the plan has to be a coordinated effort 9 between employee, his local chairman, and a supervisor. 10 And that meeting did not happen before the June 28th 11 incident. 12 MR. TORRES: From June 1st to the 28th, what 13 happened that it didn't happen? 14 Well, first off, because -- the MR. VALENCIA: 15 event happens, so there is a time lapse for when that 16 also gets reviewed. Investigation notice has to come 17 out. And then, in return, in order for alternative 18 handling to be, the employee has to accept 19 responsibility. So all of that takes time. 20 because it happened June 1st, doesn't mean June 2nd 2.1 you're doing alternative handling. 22 It's a process that takes time and steps for 23 not only the actual notice, but also for the employee 24 to determine with his local chairman if there's going 25 to be acceptance of responsibility and communicate that

1	back. And then agree to an alternative handling.
2	MR. TORRES: On June 1st, on that incident,
3	did that raise any concerns on your part?
4	MR. VALENCIA: Certainly, yes.
5	MR. TORRES: You know, with two
6	decertifications in 2015, and then this incident. I
7	mean, did it inspire you to take any more actions?
8	More serious actions? Or were you just going to follow
9	what was in place?
10	MR. VALENCIA: I'm going to follow what's in
11	place.
12	MR. TORRES: Did you discuss it with anybody
13	else?
14	MR. VALENCIA: I informed my general manager
15	that it occurred and that we were going to put out the
16	Notice of Investigation.
17	MR. TORRES: And what did he say, you know,
18	when you discussed it with him?
19	MR. VALENCIA: He asked me about, if that's
20	standard. And I said yes.
21	MR. TORRES: Was there any other concerns on
22	the engineer, as far as anything that might have been
23	affected in his performance?
24	MR. VALENCIA: The only thing I have in that
25	case is speculation. I don't have any facts.
ı	I

1	MR. TORRES: Okay. I'll pass that on to Rick.
2	MR. NARVELL Thank you. Rick Narvell with
3	NTSB. Randy, you had an acronym here that we have
4	
	heard today, but I wanted to make sure that I get it
5	from you. And that's PEPA. What does that acronym
6	stand for?
7	MR. VALENCIA: That's Policy on Employee
8	Performance and Accountability.
9	MR. NARVELL Also known as
10	MR. VALENCIA: (inaudible.)
11	MR. NARVELL that's okay. That's why I
12	was wanting to check. Also known as the disciplinary
13	policy.
14	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
15	MR. NARVELL For lack of a better term. Is
16	that accurate? Okay.
17	When your road foreman of engines, when they
18	do train or check rides, are these announced or are
19	they unannounced? Or are they both?
20	MR. VALENCIA: For an onboard check ride? We
21	typically don't tell them beforehand we're going to
22	ride with them. Every now and then, if we need to get
23	somebody for a certification date, we will tell them,
24	I'm going to meet with you on your next trip you're
25	going to go on so that I can do your evaluation.

1	MR. NARVELL So this would be for Part 240,
2	for example.
3	MR. VALENCIA: Right.
4	MR. NARVELL Okay. But there are
5	unannounced, and that's
6	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
7	MR. NARVELL normal. Okay. All right.
8	Mr. Torres went down, and I don't want to go over this
9	ad nauseam again, but there are two de-certifiable
10	events for this gentleman. And then, ultimately, it
11	ended up with the 1 June 16 incident, where he,
12	apparently, re-made the decision to have him go forward
13	with the alternative handling, is that correct?
14	MR. VALENCIA: Correct.
15	MR. NARVELL Now, how is the details of that
16	worked out? So, we have a way forward, and now how are
17	we going to execute that plan? What are all the
18	elements or components that are a part of that? How's
19	that work?
20	MR. VALENCIA: Of the alternative handling
21	plan?
22	MR. NARVELL: Yes. So we made a decision.
23	We're going to put me in an AH. Now what? What
24	happens next?
25	MR. VALENCIA: Well, again, by agreement, that

has to be a coordinated effort between the employee, his representative, and a supervisor. And, out of that meeting, and those three determine what is the best course of action, what remedial training is necessary, what does the employee need.

So, it does require some open candidness from the employee to say, I need that. And if you don't get that, basically, you have to put down or determine what is the best course of action with the three party meeting.

MR. NARVELL: Okay. Good. So, on a timeline here, on a linear basis, we have the incident was 1 June. And then we have this accident on 28 June. So, almost a month.

What is the normal, or is there a normal average time from an event to when the plan gets put into effect?

MR. VALENCIA: No, not really. Because when an investigation notice comes out, labor representatives of the employee have the ability to postpone, and sometimes we have multiple postponements. So, some of them can happen fairly quickly; some of them can drag on for a long time during the postponement procedures, before there is an acceptance of responsibility.

1	MR. NARVELL: So that was my next kind of
2	follow-up question. Is there a drop dead, thou shalt
3	must have this done by six I'm just using an
4	arbitrary number. Is there a date that has to be, or
5	can this go on for months and years? Maybe that's a
6	little extreme, but
7	MR. VALENCIA: There is no timeline that says
8	from the date an investigation comes out, to it has to
9	be finalized.
10	MR. NARVELL: That's what I'm asking. So, in
11	this particular case, it was 27 days, same one.
12	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
13	MR. NARVELL: Some are shorter. So, once the
14	decision is made here, and then the details if you
15	will, or the process, or the elements, that had not
16	occurred here with this gentleman, is that correct?
17	MR. VALENCIA: Correct.
18	MR. NARVELL: So that was, I guess, TBD or to
19	be determined. And then this incident happened in
20	Panhandle on 28 June. Okay.
21	So there's no average time, and there's no
22	kind of drop dead date for these things. It's just
23	getting people together on that three-legged stool if
24	you will. And then once that is all done and agreed
25	upon, that's when it goes into effect?

1 MR. VALENCIA: Yes. 2 Is that accurate? MR. NARVELL: 3 Did you know this gentleman? I know you're, you've got a lot of engineers. There's, I think we 4 5 heard there's some 9,000 in the whole system, and quite a bit less, obviously, on the Kansas sub, but did 6 7 you happen to know this gentleman? 8 MR. VALENCIA: Yes. 9 Okay. Can you just tell us MR. NARVELL: 10 about him from what you know of him? 11 MR. VALENCIA: So, not a lot of real personal 12 knowledge, but I'd seen him. See him at work. See him 13 in crew lobbies. Had discussions with him. Nothina 14 really stand out in any of my interactions with him. 15 couldn't tell you what any of his personal hobbies were 16 or anything like that. But I just knew, I knew his 17 name, especially since I'd been on the Panhandle sub. 18 And been, really, on this division for a long period 19 time. 20 MR. NARVELL: Okav. 21 MR. VALENCIA: I know most people, but really 22 details about knowing them, I usually don't. 23 MR. NARVELL: Okay. So he's been around, and I say that in a good way, about 20 years or something 24

like that, we heard?

1	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
2	MR. NARVELL: Okay. So nothing untoward that
3	would cause you a concern with what you knew of him at,
4	in the work environment?
5	MR. VALENCIA: Correct.
6	MR. NARVELL: All right. Now, you mentioned
7	you didn't know anything about his personal hobbies.
8	Did you know anything about his life off the railroad?
9	Family, or where he lived, or anything of a personal
LO	nature?
11	MR. VALENCIA: Not directly.
L2	MR. NARVELL: Okay. What do you mean by not
13	directly?
L4	MR. VALENCIA: Basically, I had to go it's
15	basically, rumor or hearsay that he had had some
16	marital issues. But, I don't have any confirmation of
L7	that in any way.
18	MR. NARVELL: Okay. Now, I understand that
19	and I appreciate that. Thank you. But, we're trying
20	to, obviously, figure out what happened here. I will
21	ask you, and again, a factual based on that, I will
22	keep it in that context. Was this something that was
23	fairly recent? Or is it something that goes back a
24	ways with this potential problem, or do you know?
25	MR. VALENCIA: I'm going to have to say it was
	I

1 fairly recent. 2 Fairly recent. Okay. Anything MR. NARVELL: 3 else about this gentleman that comes to mind, at this point, for our purposes today? 4 5 MR. VALENCIA: No. Thank you. 6 MR. NARVELL: Okay. 7 that's all I have for right now. Mr. Southworth? 8 MR. SOUTHWORTH: I think I'm going to pass on 9 this round just to see how many other follow-ups develop and then I'll -- might have some clarifying 10 11 questions a little later. 12 For now, I'm going to pass. We'll ask Steve 13 Facklan if he has anything. 14 MR. FACKLAN: Steve Facklan. BLET Safety Task 15 Force. I just got a couple. Most of them are just kind 16 of follow-ups. 17 The September 2015 event with Mr. Owens, how 18 long was that decertification? I think he was, from 19 our discussion, he was, after that he was working as a 20 brakeman for approximately six months. Was he 2.1 decertified for that six months total, or was some of 22 it just a record suspension, maybe a 30 day, and he 23 chose to stay on the ground? MR. VALENCIA: No. Well, I can't say if he 24 25 stayed on the ground beyond his revocation period.

based on the FRA Guidelines, two de-certifications within a two year, 24 month period, is six month revocation period. So, his revocation was six months, based on the regulation. And if he stayed any time beyond that as a brakeman, it may have just been then because he didn't have a bump or whatever. can't answer. Okay. We just needed --MR. FACKLAN: MR. VALENCIA: But it was six months. MR. FACKLAN: -- that clarified. Safety Summit Agreement. There's Class I, Class II and Class III identifications. Or identifiers for There's pretty much everything, you know, violations. rules, violations, everything out here followed there in one of those Classes. Attendance issues. Stop To a minor route, just administrative signal issues. rules of violation. Typically, if a rules violation MR. VALENCIA: is covered under the Safety Summit Agreement, the it would be classified under I, II or III. MR. FACKLAN: Okay. MR. VALENCIA: There are some exclusions in the Appendix to the Safety Summit Agreement, but there are some that are not eligible at all.

MR. FACKLAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I was just trying to figure --

1	give the definition of Class III offenses. What Class
2	III offenses, can you give us examples of what a Class
3	III offense would be under the Safety Summit Agreement?
4	MR. VALENCIA: Class III are typically
5	considered a standard level violation. And, you know,
6	what we know as an approach signal-type violation,
7	train handling action that wasn't involved in a
8	violation that met the criteria for decertification, it
9	could fall under that standard level.
LO	MR. FACKLAN: The June 1st incident with
11	Mr. Owens, where he had to place a straight in an
12	emergency, would that be considered a Class III?
13	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
L4	MR. FACKLAN: Okay. That's all I have right
15	now.
L6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Nothing for me.
L7	MR. MARTINEZ: Nothing for me. Chris
18	Martinez, FRA.
L9	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Nothing from Aaron Ratledge,
20	BNSF.
21	MR. SAUNDERS: Kamron Saunders, Smart TD.
22	We've heard other testimony today. This is the first
23	time that we've heard that on the June 1st issue we
24	heard earlier that that would be a more or less a slap
25	on the hand. Now we're hearing that there was an
	I control of the cont

1	investigation scheduled. Is that are you sure there
2	was an investigation scheduled for that yellow block
3	incident?
4	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
5	MR. SAUNDERS: And do we have documentation of
6	that, and can we get that?
7	MR. SOUTHWORTH: If there was one scheduled,
8	we have documentation, so
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
10	MR. SAUNDERS: That's all I have at this time.
11	MR. TORRES: Tomas Torres with NTSB. In 2015,
12	he had two incidents. March 1st for the dark signal,
13	correct?
14	MR. VALENCIA: Correct.
15	MR. TORRES: And then September 21st was
16	speeding violation?
17	MR. VALENCIA: Correct.
18	MR. TORRES: So, would both be considered two
19	separate incidents?
20	MR. VALENCIA: Yes.
21	MR. TORRES: The reason I'm asking is because
22	in your policy here, in Section 2 Serious Violation,
23	there's one part that reads, a second serious violation
24	committed by the applicable review period, may result
25	in dismissal. So, what decision was made to keep him
I	I

1 onboard? 2 Well, one, the PEPA policy says MR. VALENCIA: 3 may, doesn't say it's required. 4 MR. TORRES: Okay. 5 MR. VALENCIA: So, then again, that is the general manager's discretion. And/or any information 6 7 they get from PEPA review. 8 MR. TORRES: Okay. 9 MR. NARVELL: I just have one more area, and 10 it won't take long, Randy. We've had, as you've heard, 11 a lot of discussion about Mr. Owens and questions about 12 him. 13 Did you know anything at all about Mr. Smith, 14 his conductor, there the day of that incident. 15 know anything at all about him? 16 MR. VALENCIA: I certainly do not. 17 MR. NARVELL: Never met him, or --MR. VALENCIA: 18 I think I've met him, you know, 19 I supervised the Panhandle sub a lot of years ago, and 20 he's worked there for a lot of years. But as far as 2.1 having any recent interactions or anything that comes to memory, I don't. 22 23 Okay. Great. Thank you very MR. NARVELL: That's all I have. 24 much. 25 I just want to make sure MR. SOUTHWORTH:

I'm clear. I got some clarification from Matthew

Garland and that makes me understand -- this is Jim

Southworth, NTSB -- that makes me understand the

different classes. I get the March 1 incident, in dark

territory. That was a serious level event. I get that

he had another serious level event on the 21st of

September 2015, which resulted in decertification as an engineer.

And then he worked as a brakeman up until the middle of March, March the 16th, where he was recertified as a locomotive engineer. And then we have the event, June 1st, 2016. One of the questions I asked Mr. Garland was, is it typical to go almost four weeks before we have an arrangement that's been made, the deployment, whatever you want to call it, to meet and talk about elements of what was then going to be, or was communicated to me and I think the rest of the group to be, the alternate handling.

And I guess I'm a little confused. Do we all, are we also going to view that there was to be an official investigation, and that was determined. Is that what I'm hearing?

MR. VALENCIA: An Investigation Notice was issued. And everything I recall is that he had requested and received alternative handling.

1	MR. SOUTHWORTH: From the union?
2	MR. VALENCIA: Well, they request alternative
3	handling from the union and then, basically, under the
4	policy guidelines, he could get alternative handling.
5	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Who makes the request for
6	alternative handling?
7	MR. VALENCIA: Typically, it's his
8	representative, the local chairman.
9	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Okay. When there is
10	alternative handling accepted by management, what
11	happens to the activities that were put into motion to
12	do an investigation?
13	MR. VALENCIA: The investigation is basically
14	nullified by the alternative handling. The part about
15	alternative handling, is it's an alternative to
16	discipline. So the discipline procedure is basically
17	just stopped and put on hold and then closed out as
18	alternative handling.
19	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Okay. Was it closed out?
20	MR. VALENCIA: No.
21	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Okay. And, for the record,
22	BNSF is going to dig up for us that investigation
23	written information.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, I mean it's, those
25	are captured. I mean, we can resurrect that.

1 MR. SOUTHWORTH: Yes. It fits into the 2 chronology. 3 I don't have anything further right now. Steve Facklan, BLET Safety Task 4 MR. FACKLAN: 5 Force. Panhandle. The incident. Did you have any -from the event prior, nothing afterwards -- have you 6 7 heard of any reports from any crews or anything, within 8 the Panhandle area itself, just the siting, the 9 signals, and the switches right there at Panhandle, any 10 reports of any kind of switch failures or signal failures? 11 12 MR. VALENCIA: No. 13 Okay. That's all I have. MR. FACKLAN: 14 MR. RINGELMAN: Ryan Ringelman. No questions. 15 MR. MARTINEZ: Chris Martinez, FRA. Just to -16 - you said that you and him would make a request, and 17 who did they send the request to, and how did they send 18 the request? 19 MR. VALENCIA: For? 20 MR. MARTINEZ: For alternative handling. 21 MR. VALENCIA: The request has to come in 22 The most common way in today's world is an writing. 23 The local chairman would send an email saying, 24 requesting or accepting alternative handling for this 25 individual, or a case number.

1	MR. MARTINEZ: Who does he send an email to?
2	MR. VALENCIA: The director of administration.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: Okay.
4	MR. RATLEDGE: No, I don't have any.
5	MR. SOUTHWORTH: All right, Randy, is there
6	anything we have not asked that you think we should
7	have asked? Is there anything you'd like to add or
8	speak into the record, that you think would be
9	pertinent, helpful, useful, necessary, and of course,
10	factual in nature?
11	MR. VALENCIA: Not that I can think of at this
12	time, no.
13	MR. SOUTHWORTH: Well, thank you for your
14	time. And this concludes the interview.
15	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter was
16	concluded.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

MATTER: Head On Collision, Panhandle, TX BNSF Railway, June 28, 2016 Accident No. DCA16FR008 Interview of Randy Valencia

DATE: 07-28-16

I hereby certify that the attached transcription of page 1 to 33 inclusive are to the best of my professional ability a true, accurate, and complete record of the above referenced proceedings as contained on the provided audio recording; further that I am neither counsel for, nor related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action in which this proceeding has taken place; and further that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.



NEAL R. GROSS