7
R D U T 0 5 E 0 0
Image: dent: Time (Local) YY Time (Local) 0 0 5
 Converging, Opposite Courses Converging, Crossing Courses Same Course Diverging/Non-intersecting Courses
flight level of incident: 7000
n of Incident :
RDU Intersection 24 Runway 12 Taxiway
nt: 10. Was training in progress?
rs' facility: ntification Level Type J 9 T
16. Social Security Number:
Last 6 digits only
en received within the last 12 months levant to the incident? Yes No and the date of that training in this block: ix*
i

8/15/02

	tional EnonDeviation	Report Number R D U T 0					
20. Is a medical certification lated to the incident?	summary.)	 Identify and description schedule being cident: 1-2-3, (2 EVENIN FIRST SH SHIFT WE 	scribe the type (worked at the t 1 C/T MI) GS, 3 DA IFT OF S EK	of work ime of the in- DWATCH , YS) IX	22. Current and Previous shift Sign in Current shift Sign in 2.2	d previous s Sigr 3 () Sigr	hi ft: nout nout 040
23. Area of specialization: TOWER / TRACON	24. Sector or position: ALL TOWER/RADAR Number and Name	25. Time or 7 ξ Minu	n position: 9 tes	26. What see sition be the incid ALL 1 AND 7 COORI	ctors or position v ing staffed by the ent? POSITIONS FOWER COME DINATOR FO	were combin controller a IN TRA BINED T DR MIDW	ed at the p t the time CON O CAB ATCH
7. Which associated positio	ons were staffed at the tim	ne of the incident?					
3. Position function:	Radar II Flight Data II Air Traffic Assistant II	Radar Associate Clearance Delivery Traffic Management	Hand Of Departur Tracker	f E re Position E	Local Control Arrival Position Other ALL ' RADA	Ground Area S TOWER R POSIJ	I Control upervisor MD TIONS
9. Did the employee request	t assistance prior to the in	ncident?	30. Was the e was deve	employee aware loping?	e that an operation	nal error/dev	iation
E			Yes No				
(If yes, provide expla	anation in the incident summ	mary.)	J).	Provide explanat	ion in the incident s	summary.)	
(If yes, provide expla 1. Did the employee contem	anation in the incident sumr	mary.) :tion?	(F 32. Did the er	Provide explanat	ion in the incident s	summary.)	
(If yes, provide expla 1. Did the employee contem (Provide explanation)	anation in the incident summ plate taking corrective ac Yes No on in the incident summary.	mary.) :tion? .)	(F	Provide explanat	ion in the incident s of to take correctiv X Yes No ion in the incident s	summary.) ve action? summary.)	
(If yes, provide expla 1. Did the employee contem (Provide explanation) 13. Employee was alerted to Equipment: Conflict alert MSAW/EMSAW	anation in the incident summ plate taking corrective ac Yes No on in the incident summary. the incident by: Personnel: Self-identified Facility personnel	nary.) stion?) Non-facility I Pilot Anoth	(F 32. Did the er (F Personnel: ner facility	Provide explanat	ion in the incident s of to take correctiv Yes No ion in the incident s	summary.) ve action? summary.)	
 (If yes, provide explained in the employee contem 1. Did the employee contem (Provide explanation (Provide explanation) 33. Employee was alerted to Equipment: Conflict alert Conflict alert MSAW/EMSAW 4. Date and time employee to 08 / 17 / 2005 	anation in the incident summ plate taking corrective ac Yes No on in the incident summary. the incident by: Personnel: Self-identified Facility personnel became aware of the incident O O	mary.) stion?) Non-facility Pilot Pilot Another stent: 0.4	(F 32. Did the en (F Personnel: ner facility 35. Was the I (i.e., J-Ri	Provide explanat nployee attemp Provide explanat Other: Distance Reference ng) being used	ion in the incident s to take corrective Yes No ion in the incident s on in the incident s on ce Indicator	summary.) ve action? summary.)	ſes

8/15/02

Final Operational Error/Deviation R	Report Number	Report Number R D U T 0 5 E 0 0							
37. Name the OSIC/CIC assigned at the time of the incide	nt?	38. Was the as tional area	signed OSIC/CIC presen at the time of the incide	nt in the opera- nt?					
Enter C for CIC		X Yes	No No						
INGRAM CHARGE - 7									
Last name First name M	II SSN (Last 6 dig	ts)							
39. Did the employee require OSIC/CIC assistance prior to ☐ Yes	o the incident?	40. Did the assig ☐ Yes (Provide exp	gned OSIC/CIC provide a	assistance? No mmary.)					
41. If sectors were combined, did the OSIC/CIC approve t	he combination? ined IX N/A	42. If the positic CIC approve	ons were combined, did e the combination?	the OSIC/					
 43. In what activity was the assigned OSIC/CIC engaged a dent? ☐ General Supervision ☐ Administering tr ☐ Direct operational supervision ☐ Receiving training ☑ Working a position of operation ☐ Other 	at the time of the inci- aining ng	44. Was the OS ization wher	IC/CIC certified in the ar re the incident took plac	ea of special- e? io, explain here)					
45. Traffic complexity? 4 <u>1 2 3 4 5</u> Low Avg High	 46. Indicate which fa Weather Terrain Airspace configure Number of aircraft Experience level Emergency situat 	ctor(s) were associated v	vith traffic complexity. way configuration way condition w control ectal Event er See Appendix*	r					
47. Type of control provided	48. Required separ	ation was by: A Order illity Letter of Agreement (L 7110.65 -1A & 5-5-4A	OA) or Directive Facility LOA/Directive Paragraph						
 49. Were any deficient procedures noted as a result of the incident? Yes X No (If yes, explain here) 	50. Were any spec (e.g. Traffic Ma	ial procedures in effect a nagement Program)	t the time of the inciden	t? IX No here)					

FAA Form 7210-3 (08/02) Supersedes Previous Edition

0	14	12.1	in.	2
o,		0/	υ	6
0,		u	U	۲

51. Number of aircraft involved		n Report	R	eport Number	R D U T	0 5 E 0 0 2
	(Completion the incident: 2	ete additional sections if more	e than two aircrafts	are involved)		
	Airc	raft No. 1		1	Aircraft No	. 2
52. Identification		EGF721			COM157	'3
53. Prefix/type/suffix		E135/Q			CRJ1/Q	1
54. Flight profile or vehicle position at time of incident	□ Descending □ Making approach □ Touching down □ Radar vector □ Level flight □ Takeoff roll □ Taxiing-runway □ Landing roll □ Climbing □ Holding in position on runway ☑ Other LEVELING FROM DESCENT			Descending	Mak vn Rad Take ay Land VELING FRO	ting approach ar vector eoff roll ding roll ding in position on runwa OM CLIMB
55. Aircraft ground speed	□ N/A	266	Knots	□ N/A		278 Knots
56. TCAS equipped	X Yes N	o 🔲 Uni	known	X Yes	No No	Unknown
57. Evasive action	Yes X No	TCAS 🔲 Uni	known	X Yes N		Unknown
58. Did the pilot file a Near Midair Collision Report	Yes X No	D Unk	nown	Tes Yes	× No	Unknown
60. Was equipment layout or d	esign a factor in the incident? , explain in the incident sumr	? 6 nary) ^{re}	1. Was any percepted as fund	ertinent equipment o ctioning unsatisfacto	operated by the co orly before the inci plain in the incider	introller(s) ident?
60. Was equipment layout or d Yes XNo (If yes	esign a factor in the incident? , explain in the incident sumr	? 6 nary) re	1. Was any pe eported as func ☐Yes	ertinent equipment c ctioning unsatisfacto No (If yes, exp	operated by the co prily before the inci plain in the incider	introller(s) ident? nt summary)
60. Was equipment layout or d Yes ⊠No (If yes 62. System(s) in use: Narrowband Broadband DARC CENRAP Mode S	esign a factor in the incident' , explain in the incident sumr ASR-9 ASR-11 URET OASIS Model1	? mary) ASDE II ASDE III AASDE III AMASS D-BRITE BRITE IV	1. Was any pe eported as fund ☐Yes	ertinent equipment o tioning unsatisfacto No (If yes, exp STARS STARS on ARTS ACDs on ARTS DSR Other	operated by the co rrily before the incider plain in the incider A A A A A A A A A A A B A A B A A B A A B A A B B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B A B B A B B A B B A B A B B A B A B B A B A B A B A B A B A B B A B B A B B A B B A B B B B B A B B A B B A B	Introller(s) ident? nt summary) ARTS IIIA ARTS IIIE ARTS IIIE EARTS
60. Was equipment layout or d Yes ⊠No (If yes 62. System(s) in use: Narrowband Broadband DARC CENRAP Mode S	esign a factor in the incident' , explain in the incident sumr ASR-9 ASR-11 URET OASIS Model1	? nary) ASDE II ASDE III AMASS D-BRITE BRITE IV	1. Was any pe eported as fund Yes	ertinent equipment o ctioning unsatisfacto INO (If yes, exp STARS STARS on ARTS ACDs on ARTS DSR DSR Other	pperated by the co rrily before the inci plain in the incider A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	entroller(s) ident? nt summary) ARTS IIIA ARTS IIIE ARTS IIIE ARTS

7210-3

8/15/02 7210-3 **Final Operational Error/Deviation Report** R D U T 0 5 E 0 0 2 Report Number 65. SUMMARY OF INCIDENT TIMELINE OF EVENTS ALL TIMES LOCAL. ALL ALTITUDES MSL. ALL AIRCRAFT POSITION REPORTS DERIVED FROM RDU STARS/NOS DATA. RDU METAR 170400Z 08006KT 7SM -TSRA BKN040CB OVC060 23/22 A3002. 2354 COM1573 CONTACTED RALEIGH CAB COORDINATOR, REPORTED READY FOR TAXI. CC ISSUED COM1573 TAXI CLEARANCE TO RUNWAY 5R. COM1573 READBACK WAS CORRECT. EGF721 CONTACTED CC, REPORTED RDU ATIS E, 12,000, HEADING 250 FOR 2357 WEATHER. CC ADVISED EGF721 EXPECT RUNWAY 5L. EGF721 READBACK WAS CORRECT. EGF721 WAS RDU046044, LEVEL 11,900, HEADING 253, AT 359 KNOTS. EGF721 REQUESTED DESCENT TO AVOID WEATHER/TURBULENCE. CC 2359:08 INSTRUCTED EGF721 DESCEND AND MAINTAIN 7,000. EGF721 READBACK WAS CORRECT. 2359:21 COM1573 REPORTED READY FOR DEPARTURE, AND REQUESTED 020 HEADING (WEATHER). CC ISSUED COM1573 DEPARTURE HEADING 020, AND RUNWAY 5R TAKE OFF CLEARANCE. COM1573 READBACK WAS CORRECT. 0000:10 CC INSTRUCTED EGF721 "...WHEN ABLE FLY HEADING 210." EGF721 READBACK WAS CORRECT. EGF721 WAS RDU030030, DESCENDING 11,000, HEADING 249, REDUCING 323 KNOTS. 0002:12 CC RADAR IDENTIFIED COM1573, AND VERIFIED CURRENT AND CORRECT BEACON CODE. COM1573 WAS RDU018004, CLIMBING 4,600, HEADING 015, INCREASING 172 KNOTS. SEPARATION FROM EGF721: 036/017.18 0003:27 COM1573 LEVELED AT ASSIGNED 7,000. SEPARATION FROM EGF721: 001/008.08. EGF721 ASKED CC IF THERE WAS TRAFFIC IN HIS VICINITY. 0003:46 CC RESPONDED, "YES". 0003:52 0003:57 CC INSTRUCTED COM1573 TURN LEFT HEADING 320. 0004:00 EGF721 REPORTED, "WE'RE GETTING AN R A FOR SEVEN TWENTY ONE." 0004:01 COM1573 RESPONDED, "...WE'RE UH CLIMBING FOR UH TRAFFIC ADVISORIES." COM1573 WAS CLIMBING THROUGH 7,100. 0004:02 LOSS OF SEPARATION BETWEEN EGF721 AND COM1673: 002/002.79. 0004:07 CC INSTRUCTED EGF721 TURN TEN DEGREES LEFT. EGF721 READBACK WAS CORRECT. FAA Form 7210-3 (08/02) Supersedes Previous Edition Page 5

Final Operational Erro	r/Deviation Report	Report Number R D U T 0 5 E 0 0 2
	65. SUMMARY OF INCIDENT (cont	inued from page 5)
0004:12 PCP: 007/0	001.61.	
0004:16 SEPARA 011/001.69.	TION RE-ESTABLISHE	D BETWEEN COM1573 AND EGF721:
0004:50 COM1573 HEADING 348, AT 291	STARS AUTO-ACQUIRE KNOTS.	AT RDU014015, DESCENDING 7,500,
0005-0010 COM15 TRANSFERRED CONTROL LIBERTY SECTOR. COM15 FURTHER INCIDENT.	73 PROCEEDED ON PAC OF, AND COMMUNICATI 73 CLIMBED ABOVE, AN	K5 DEPARTURE TO LIBERTY VOR. CC ONS WITH, COM1573 TO WASHINGTON D DEPARTED, RDU AIRSPACE WITH NO
0005-0016 EGF721 F TO TERMINAL C WITH NO	PROCEEDED VISUAL APPRO FURTHER INCIDENT.	DACH, LANDED RUNWAY 5L, AND TAXIED
SUMMARY OF EVENTS		
DURING THE PERIOD 2350	-0005 LOCAL, THE SPEC	CIALIST WORKED 12 AIRCRAFT. AT THE
	66. INVESTIGATOR	S
Date	Typed/Printed Name	Signature
10181310121010151	PRESTON L WILLIAMS	
MM/DD/YYYY	First/MI/Last Name	Investigator-in-Charge
MM/DD/YYYY	First/MI/Last Name	Team Member
	First/MI/Last Name	Team Member
MM/DD/YYYY	First/MI/Last Name	Team Member
MM/DD/YYYY	First/MI/Last Name	Team Member
	First/MI/Last Name	Team Member
		ream wember

8/15/02

.

Page 6

7210-3

Final Operational Error/Deviation Report

Report Number R D U T 0 5 E 0 0 2

65. SUMMARY OF INCIDENT (continued from page 6)

TIME OF THE LOSS OF SEPARATION (0004 LOCAL) THE SPECIALIST HAD CONTROL/SEPARATION RESPONSIBILITY FOR 9 AIRCRAFT (ONE DEPARTURE, SIX ARRIVALS, AND TWO GROUND TAXIS). COMPLEXITY LEVEL WAS MODERATELY DIFFICULT.

BLOCK 4. WEATHER CELLS AND THUNDERSTORMS IN RDU TRACON AIRSPACE PRESENTED SPECIALIST WITH PILOT REQUESTS FOR DEVIATIONS, AND RESULTED IN AIRCRAFT 'A' BEING VECTORED OFF STANDARD ARRIVAL ROUTE, PRESENTING CONFLICT WITH AIRCRAFT 'B'.

BLOCKS 30, 31 & 32. DURING SEPARATE INTERVIEWS WITH THE SUPPORT MANAGER, AND THE SPECIALIST'S SUPERVISOR, CC SPECIALIST STATED HE WAS OCCUPIED WITH RECTIFYING COM1573 NON-STARS AUTO-ACQUISITION AND LACK OF ACTIVE FLIGHT PLAN. HE BECAME AWARE OF A POTENTIAL LOSS OF SEPARATION WHEN QUESTIONED BY AIRCRAFT 'A'. HOWEVER, THIS WAS MERELY 16 SECONDS BEFORE LOSS OF SEPARATION IN NEARLY AN OPPOSITE DIRECTION/CONVERGING SITUATION. SPECIALIST CONTEMPLATED CORRECTIVE ACTION WHILE AIRCRAFT 'A' AND 'B' BOTH REPORTED AND ACTED UPON TCAS RA. SPECIALIST TOOK ADDITIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTION WITH ISSUANCE WITH RADAR VECTORS TO ATTAIN DIVERGENCE AND MAINTAIN/REGAIN SEPARATION.

BLOCK 36. IN STARS AUTOMATION SOFTWARE, AN AIRCRAFT TRANSMITTING A BEACON CODE NOT RECOGNIZED BY THE SYSTEM WILL BE DISPLAYED AS A LIMITED DATA BLOCK (* SYMBOL AND ALTITUDE) WITH THE WORD "WHO". "WHO" WAS NOT DISPLAYED FOR COM1573. THIS WAS A KNOWN PROBLEM WITH STARS BUILD R9B, AND HAS SINCE BEEN CORRECTED BY BUILD R11, WHICH IS NOW OPERATIONAL AT RDU ATCT.

BLOCK 40. AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT, THE CIC WAS ON POSITION FOR 79 MINUTES AND WAS WORKING A CONTROL POSITION DURING THE FACILITY'S SINGLE PERSON MID WATCH. THEREFORE, NO CIC ASSISTANCE WAS PROVIDED.

THE CIC REPORTED A POSSIBLE LOSS OF SEPARATION. RDU SUPPORT MANAGER INITIATED A PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION.

RDU CONFLICT ALERT DID NOT ACTIVATE SINCE COM1573 WAS NOT RDU STARS-TRACKED. RDU IS STILL INVESTIGATING THE LACK OF MODE C INTRUDER ALERT/NOTIFICATION REGARDING THESE TWO AIRCRAFT.

LACK OF RDU STARS AUTO-ACQUISITION OF AIRCRAFT "B" WAS TRACED TO TWO FLIGHT PLANS IN NAS SYSTEM. STARS DID NOT ALLOW SECOND FLIGHT PLAN TO ENTER. NAS REMOVED FIRST FLIGHT PLAN FROM STARS, BUT DID NOT AUTOMATICALLY RE-FORCE SECOND FLIGHT PLAN TO STARS.

CAUSAL FACTORS

THE PRIMARY CAUSAL FACTORS ARE RELATED TO CONTROLLER SITUATIONAL AWARENESS AND ACTIONS. SPECIFICALLY, THE CC SPECIALIST'S FAILURE TO MAINTAIN CONSTANT SURVEILLANCE AND AWARENESS OF THE STARS DATA DISPLAYS AND TRAFFIC SITUATION,

FAA Form 7210-3 (08/02) Supersedes Previous Edition

Final Operational Error/Deviation Report

Procedural	Equipment	X ATCS	Manage	r/Supervisor/Other	Personnel				
68. Causal Factors				No		Yes	(Employ	/ee)	
					A	В	С	D	E
A. Data Posting	1			X					
(1) Computer Entry									
Incorrect input									
Incorrect update									
Premature termination of d	ata								
Input/Update not made	-								
Other (explain)							-	-	-
(2) Flight Progress Strip									
Not updated									
Interpreted incorrectly									
Posted incorrectly									
Updated incorrectly									
Premature removal									
Other (explain)									
B. Radar Display									
(1) Misidentification									
Failure to reidentify aircraft	when the accepted target	identity becomes question	nable						
Overlapping data blocks									
Acceptance of incomplete of	or difficult to correlate posit	tion information							
Other (explain)									
(2) Inappropriate Use of Dis	splayed Data								
MODE C									
BRITE									
Conflict alert	4-1-								
Failure to comprehend disr	data								
Failure to comprehend disp	tue of displayed data								
Other (evoluin)	tus of displayed data								
Other (explain)									
								_	_
C. Aircraft Observation (To	owers Only)				_				
(1) Actual Observation of A	ircraft								
(2) Improper Use of Visual	Data	and the second							
Landing									
Taking Off									
Ground Operation									
Taxiing across runway									
Holding in position for take	off								
Other (explain)						_	_	-	_
			and the second se						

Page 8

8/15/02

Final Operational Error/Deviation Report	Report Number	RDU	JT	0 5	E 0	0 2
	No		Yes	(Emplo	yee)	
		A	В	С	D	E
D. Communication Error	X					
(1) Phraseology						
(2) Transposition						
(3) Misunderstanding						
(4) Read back						
Altitude						
Clearance						
Identification						
Other (explain)						
(5) Acknowledgement						
Other (explain)						
E. Coordination	X					
(1) Area of Incident						
Intra-sector/position						
Inter-sector/position						
Inter-facility						
Facility type: Level: and facility ID:						
(2) Failure to utilize/comply with precoordination information						
(3) Improper use of information exchanged in coordination						-
Aircraft Identification						
Altitude/Flight Level						
Route of Flight						
Speeds						
APREQs						
Special Instructions						
Other (explain)						
(4) Failure to coordinate between ground and local control						
Crossing active runway						
Vehicle, equipment, or personnel on active runway						
Use of other than active runway for arrival and departures						
Runway closure						
Other (explain)						
F. Position Relief Briefing	X	_				
(1) Employee did not use position relief checklist						
(2) Employee being relieved gave incomplete briefing						
(3) Relieving employee did not make use of pertinent data exchanged at briefing						
Other (explain)						

8/15/02

Page 9

7210-3

8/15/02

RDUT05E002

69. FACILITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

BOTH THE EMPLOYEE'S OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR, AND THE RDU ATCT QUALITY ASSURANCE OFFICE CONDUCTED A COMPREHENSIVE INVESTIGATION OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS INCIDENT. THE QUALITY ASSURANCE INVESTIGATION INCLUDED A THOROUGH REVIEW OF OCCURRENCE SPECIFIC AUDIO TAPE AND COMPUTER DATA PLOTS/INFORMATION, CAUSAL FACTORS, TRAINING RECORDS, CONTROLLER STATEMENTS, OPERATIONAL STAFFING, EQUIPMENT LAYOUT, FAA DIRECTIVES AND LOCAL PROCEDURES/PRACTICES. ADDITIONALLY, THE SPECIALIST WAS INTERVIEWED. THE INVESTIGATIVE RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS WERE THEN PRESENTED TO THE ATM, AATM AND SM.

AFTER AN ALL-INCLUSIVE REVIEW OF THE FACTS AND FAAOS 7110.65, 7210.3, 7210.56, AND 3120.4 REQUIREMENTS, A REMEDIAL TRAINING PLAN ADDRESSING THE SPECIFIC NEEDS OF THE SPECIALIST WAS DEVELOPED AND ADMINISTERED BY THE SPECIALIST'S OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR. THE SPECIALIST WAS PROVIDED, AND SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED, THE FOLLOWING:

A. A PERFORMANCE SKILL CHECK OF THE GROUND CONTROL EAST POSITION PRIOR TO WORKING IN THE TOWER AREA OF OPERATION.

B. A COMPLETE REVIEW OF THE OPERATIONAL ERROR AND IDENTIFIED OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE DEFICIENCIES.

C. FAA COMPUTER-BASED INSTRUCTION COURSE 57052, SITUATIONAL AWARENESS

- D. A FORTY MINUTE CLASSROOM IN-DEPTH REVIEW OF FAAO 7110.65, PARS.
 - 5-5-7A, PASSING OR DIVERGING COURSES
 - 4-5-1A, VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMA
 - 5-5-4A, RADAR SEPARATION MINIMA

E. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE IMPLICATIONS OF BEING DISTRACTED BY SURROUNDINGS OR BY EVENTS THAT MAY TAKE AWAY FROM ALERTNESS WHILE ON POSITION.

THE SPECIALIST'S OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR ADMINISTERED EXTENSIVE AND SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE SKILL CHECKS OF THE SPECIALIST AT BOTH THE NORTH DEPARTURE RADAR POSITION OF OPERATION IN THE TRACON, AND THE LOCAL CONTROL EAST POSITION OF OPERATION IN THE TOWER, DURING A PERIOD OF MODERATE OF GREATER VOLUME / COMPLEXITY. THE SPECIALIST HAS RETURNED TO FULL OPERATIONAL DUTY.

WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE ABOVE-MENTIONED PERFORMANCE SKILL CHECK, FOLLOW-UP PERFORMANCE SKILL CHECKS WILL BE CONDUCTED ON A RADAR POSITION OF OPERATION, AND A LOCAL CONTROL POSITION OF OPERATION.

THE EMPLOYEE AND NATCA HAVE BEEN FURNISHED WITH COPIES OF THIS REPORT AND

Date	Typed/Printed Name of Facility Manager	Signature
09282005	RICHARD A BELMONTE	
MM/DD/YYYY	First/MI/Last Name	

FAA Form 7210-3 (08/02) Supersedes Previous Edition

R D U T 0 5 E 0 0 2

69. FACILITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (continued from page 10)

HAVE OFFERED NO COMMENT.

AN OPERATIONAL BRIEFING WILL BE DISTRIBUTED TO THE CONTROLLER WORK FORCE PRESENTING THE TOP 10 CAUSAL FACTORS OF OPERATIONAL ERRORS / DEVIATIONS NATIONWIDE. THE BRIEFING WILL ALSO DESCRIBE THE EVENTS LEADING TO/CAUSAL FACTORS OF THIS OCCURRENCE, AND METHODS TO AVOID A RECURRENCE.

Final Operational Error	/Deviation Report	Report Number	RI	U	T O	5	E O	0
rt III. AIR TRAFFIC DIVISION	MANAGER		<u> </u>	-		1 1		1
70. AIR 7	RAFFIC DIVISION MANAGER'S CO	NCLUSIONS/RECOMMEN	DATIONS					
We concu	r with the recommendations and corre	ctive actions of the facility r	nanager.					
Date	Typed/Printed Name of Division Ma	anager		Signatu	ire			42.0
0 9 2 8 2 0 0 5	JOHN G MCCARTNE	Y						
				-				

8/15/02											7	210-3
1	Final Operational Error/Deviation Report	Report Number	R	D	U	Т	0	5	E	0	0	2

70. AIR TRAFFIC DIVISION MANAGER'S CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS	(continued from page12)
--	-------------------------

Appendix

19. Has training been received within the last 12 months that is relevant to the incident? (Employee 1) SUPPLEMENTAL (3) REMEDIAL (2) REFRESHER (1) (1) 6-27-05, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - COMPLACENCY (1) 6-3-05, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - SITUATIONAL AWARENESS (1) 4-22-05 - QA DVRS TAPE REVIEW (1) 4-7-05 - WEATHER BRIEFING (1) 3-21-05, 100 DAY OE-FREE BRIEFING/CAUSAL FACTORS (1) 3-18-05, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - CONTROL RULES (1) 3-10-05, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - YOUR FULL ATTENTION (1) 2-10-05, QA WEEKLY OE/D DIGEST, NATIONAL (1) 2-3-05, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - TRAFFIC ALERTS/ADVISORIES (1) 2-3-05, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - DUTY PRIORITIES (1) 1-8-05, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - WEATHER DEVIATIONS (1) 12-6-04, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - LESSONS LEARNED (1) 12-2-04, QA BASICS AND BEYOND - HOW IS YOUR MEMORY? (1) 10-19-04, OE/D CAUSAL FACTORS

46. Indicate which factor(s) were associated with traffic complexity. NON-STARS AUTO ACQUISITION OF DEPARTURE AIRCRAFT

65. Summary Of Incident (continued from page 7)

FAILURE TO COMPREHEND AND PROJECT THE FUTURE STATUS OF DISPLAYED DATA, AND FAILURE TO PROVIDE ATTENTION TO DETAIL NECESSARY FOR THE SAFE OPERATION OF AIRCRAFT UNDER CONTROL. THIS LED TO A LOSS OF APPROPRIATE VERTICAL/LATERAL SEPARATION (FAAO 7110.65P, PAR. 4-5-1A., VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMA; AND PAR. 5-5-4B., RADAR SEPARATION MINIMA) WHEN THE FLIGHT PATHS OF EGF721 AND COM1573 CONVERGED AND STANDARD SEPARATION WAS NOT MAINTAINED UNTIL COM1573 RESPONDED TO TCAS RA AND CLIMBED ABOVE ASSIGNED ALTITUDE. SEPARATION WAS REESTABLISHED WHEN VERTICAL SEPARATION WAS OBTAINED, AS PROVIDED BY FAAO 7110.65P, PAR. 4-5-1A., VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMA. THERE WERE NO OTHER CAUSAL FACTORS THAT LED TO THIS ERROR.

PREVENTION

THIS OPERATIONAL ERROR COULD HAVE BEEN PREVENTED BY:

1. ADHERE TO FACILITY STANDARD OPERATING PRACTICES BY DESCENDING ARRIVAL AIRCRAFT "A" TO 8,000, WHICH PROVIDES ALTITUDE SEPARATION FROM DEPARTURE TRAFFIC CLIMBING TO 7,000.

2. ACTIVE VIEWING/ANALYZING DISPLAYED STARS DATA WHEN ISSUING AIRCRAFT "A" A TURN DIRECTLY TOWARD RDU, WHEN JUST 49 SECONDS PRIOR AIRCRAFT "B" WAS ISSUED A DEPARTURE HEADING TOWARD THIS GENERAL VICINITY.

3. A MORE EFFICIENT OPERATIONAL SCAN. AS A MINIMUM, PAYING CLOSER ATTENTION TO THE DETAILS AND INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE STARS DATA

Appendix Page 1

DISPLAY/BLOCKS DURING PERIODIC SCAN OF TRAFFIC SITUATION MAY SPARK A THOUGHT OR TRIGGER SHORT TERM MEMORY, IN TURN ENSURING CONFLICTS HAVE NO OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP.